

## Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Cordillera Administrative Region Schools Division Office of Apayao Luna, Apayao



## **OFFICE MEMORANDUM**

To

Department of Education -SDO Apayao

**Curriculum Implementation Division** 

**School Governance and Operation Division** 

**Administrative Division** 

Information and Communication Unit

**Budget and Finance Unit** 

From:

BENEDICITA B. GAMATERO PhD

OIC, Office of the Schools Division Superintendent

Subject:

PREPARATION/PACKAGING OF MEANS OF VERIFICATION

2020 SDO APAYAO OFFICE PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT

AND REVIEW FORM (OPCRF)

Date:

December 28, 2020

In connection with the review, verification and submission of the Schools Division Office OPCRF means of verification (MOV) at the regional level on January 2021, all division/units/section of this office are enjoined to review the stated MOVs in the 2020 OPCRF to support the division's KRA and Objectives.

The involved/lead personnel are directed to get ahead with the preparation and submission of all required MOVs on or before the first week of January and to possibly accomplish an outstanding performance rating for CY 2020.

Identified MOVs from the different division or units shall be submitted to the SGOD-Policy, Planning and Research Unit for consolidation starting January 6, 2021.

See attached copy of the 2020 OPCR for your guidance.

For immediate dissemination and compliance.

## **MEANS OF VERIFICATION**

- 1. Please print clearly in a Legal size paper
- 2. Please Mark at the UPPER RIGHT CORNER the appropriate KRA to which your MOV will be attached to organize the MOVs.

Ex. KRA1 – Strategic Management/Research; KRA 6 – Other Intervening/Innovating Programs/E-Innovation (Refer to the OPCR attached)

- Reports must be signed by the personnel who prepared and verified and signed by the head of the Unit for Admin, Budget and Finance, Legal and IT, or Chief for the CID & SGOD
- 4. Provide photo documentation for reported Programs, Projects & Activities like trainings, seminars, and the like.
- 5. Provide printed screenshot for online proof of reports like E-Innovation and other systems
- Provide printed copies of proof of submission to higher level like transmittal and other reports.
- Provide printed or photocopies of other proof of accomplishments like MOA, MOU and proof of acknowledgement.
- 8. All MOV/ attachments must be submitted at once on or before the set deadline.



Ratee: SALLY B. ULLALIM, CESO V

Rating Period: January to June 2020

Position/Designation: Schools Division Superintendent

# Republic of the Philippines Department of Education Cordillera Administrative Region SCHOOLS DIVISION OFFICE OF APAYAO

Luna, Apayao

## OFFICE PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT AND REVIEW FORM (OPCRF)

Rater: FLORANTE E. VERGARA, CESO VI
Position: OIC Assistant Regional Director
Date of Review: January 2021



MAJOR FINAL OUTPUT	AREA		WEIGHT PER KRA	TO BE FILLED IN DURING PLANNING	TO BE FILLED DURING	
		OBJECTIVES		PERFORMANCE INDICATORS(Quality, Efficiency, Timeliness)	NO	Rating
Е	I. Strategic	1. Led in the conduct of research aligned	5%	5 - 9 to 10 completed researches		4
D S	Management	with the research agenda		4-8 completed researches		
B U E	(25%)			3 - 7 completed researches		
S A Y				2 - 6 completed researches		
C 1 C	The state of			1 - 5 and below completed researches		
о , щ		2. Managed the implementation of health programs and nutrition services	5%	5 - 91% - 100% of the schools monitored in their compliance in the implementation of school health programs and nutrition services		on
N N		22.00		4 - 81% - 90% of the schools monitored in their compliance in the implementation of		
		OK sa DepEd		school health programs and nutrition services		
				3-71%-80% of the schools monitored in their compliance in the implementation of hool h l h d ri i i		
	I			2 - 61% - 70% of the schools monitored in their compliance in the implementation of		
				school nearn brokrams and nutrition services		
	1000			1 - 60% and below of the schools monitored in their compliance in the implementation of school health programs and nutrition services		
MAJOR FINAL	KEY RESULT AREA		WEIGHT	TO BE FILLED IN DURING PLANNING	TO BE FILLED DURING	5
		OBJECTIVES	TEN NICA	PERFORMANCE INDICATORS(Quality, Efficiency, Timeliness)	EVALUATION	ng
BASIC	I. Strategic	3. Implemented the Disaster	5%	5 - 96% - 100% of the activities in the DPRP were implemented		Un
SERVICES	and Operations	Contingency Plan		4 - 91% - 95% of the activities in the DPRP were implemented		
	(25 %)	Public Service Continuity Plan (PSPC)		3 - 86% - 90% of the activities in the DPRP were implemented		
				2 - 81% - 85% of the activities in the DPRP were implemented		

4. Quarterly DMEA report and BAR submitted to RO on the due date.							(30%)	Instruction	curriculum			OUTPUT AREA										and private scn	5. Supervised the				quarter)	TOTAL WINDS
3 - Quarterly DMEA report and BAR su 3 - Quarterly DMEA report and BAR su 2 - Quarterly DMEA report and BAR su 1 - Quarterly DMEA report and BAR su 1 - Quarterly DMEA report and BAR su 2 - Quarterly DMEA report and BAR su 1 - Sand below of the Public Schools moved to Leve 1 - 5 and below of the Private Schools moved to Leve 1 - 5 and below of the Private Schools w 4 - 91% - 95% of the Private Schools w 2 - 81% - 85% of the Private Schools w 2 - 81% - 85% of the Elementary and se 2 - 81% - 90% of the Elementary and se 2 - 61% - 70% of the Elementary and se 1 - 60% and below of the Elementary and se 2 - 61% - 70% of the Elementary and se 3 - 71% - 85% of the Elementary and se 2 - 41% - 55% of the Elementary and se 3 - 56% - 70% of the Elementary and se 4 - 71% - 85% of the Elementary and se	-	- 2nd semeste (3%)	b. Retention R					(3%)		on					67.1	Schools 20/	b. Priva				3%	_					ay of the last inoliti of the	b of the last month of the
	2 - 41% - 55% of the Elementary and Secondary schools retention rate		_	5 - 86% - 100% of the Elementary and Secondary schools retention rate	1 - 60% and below of the Elementary and Secondary schools completion rate	2 - 61% - 70% of the Elementary and Secondary schools completed rate	3 - 71% - 80% of the Elementary and Secondary schools completion rate	4 - 81% - 90% of the Elementary and Secondary schools completion rate	5 - 91% - 100% of the Elementary and Secondary schools completion rate		PERFORMANCE INDICATORS(Quality, Efficiency, Timeliness)	TO BE FILLED IN DURING PLANNING	1 - 80% and below of the Private Schools were supervised	2 - 81% - 85% of the Private Schools were supervised	3 - 86% - 90% of the Private Schools were supervised		_	1 - 5 and below of the Public Schools moved to Level II SBM Level of Practice	2 - 6 - 10 Public Schools moved to Level II SBM Level of Practice	3 - 11 - 14 Public Schools moved to Level II SBM Level of Practice		5 - 20 or more Public Schools moved to		1- Quarterly DMEA report and BAR submitted to RO 4 or more days after the due date.	2 - Quarterly DMEA report and BAR submitted to RO 2-3 days after the due date.	3 - Quarterly DMEA report and BAR submitted to RO 1 day after the due date.	4 - Quarterly DMEA report and BAR submitted to RO on the due date.	
(A) (A) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B				=					S)	5 0.3		Pati Coor					(J)					On .	5 0.25				C	0.40

5. Managed ti education pr				mpienienauon	4. Developed curricular pro				Learning Co.	3. Supervisec of basic educ		OUTOI AKEA	A.				чишну эшниги	2. Led in e	
5. Managed the existing inclusive education program in the SDO				ğ	4. Developed Learning Resources for curricular programs and teaching				Learning Continuity Plan	<ol> <li>Supervised the implementation of basic education program</li> </ol>		OBJECTIVES					indi us	2. Led in ensuring compliance to	
6%	100				6%			þ		6%		PER KRA	WEIGHT				7	6%	
5 - 91% - 100% of the PPAs Implemented 4 - 81% - 90% of the PPAs Implemented 3 - 71% - 80% of the PPAs Implemented 2 - 61% - 70% of the PPAs Implemented 1 - 60% and below of the PPAs Implemented	1 - Developed 1 module each of the 80% and below competencies in the MELC for the 1st quarter per subject per grade level	2 - Developed 1 module each of the 81% - 85% competencies in the MELC for the 1st quarter per subject per grade level	3 - Developed 1 module each of the 86% - 90% competencies in the MELC for the 1st quarter per subject per grade level	4 - Developed 1 module each of the 91% - 95% competencies in the MELC for the 1st quarter per subject per grade level	5 - Developed 1 module each of the 96% - 100% competencies in the MELC for the 1st quarter per subject per grade level	1 - 80% and below of PAPs of the LCP implemented	2 - 81% - 85% of PAPs of the LCP implemented	3 - 86% - 90% of PAPs of the LCP implemented	4 - 91% - 95% of PAPs of the LCP implemented	5 - 96% - 100% of PAPs of the LCP implemented		PERFORMANCE INDICATORS(Quality, Efficiency, Timeliness)	TO BE FILLED IN DURING PLANNING	1 - 34% and below of the learners reached approximating mastery and mastery level	2 - 36% of the learners reached approximating mastery and mastery level	3 - 38% of the learners reached approximating mastery and mastery level	4 - 40% of the learners reached approximating mastery and mastery level	5 - 42% of the learners reached approximating mastery and mastery level	
											Q E T	ž	TO BE FILLED						
5 0.3					5 0.3					5 0.3	9	ng e						5 0.3	

MAJOR FINAL OUTPUT	KEY RESULT AREA	OBJECTIVES	WEIGHT PER KRA	TO BE FILLED IN DURING PLANNING  PERFORMANCE INDICATORS(Quality, Efficiency, Timeliness)
233			3	E 1007 of III the schools complied
	III. Human	1. Ensured the compliance of the	3%	5 - 100% of all the schools complied
	Resource	RPMS Cycle of all personnel following		4 - 90% - 99% of all the schools complied
SR	Developmen t	the 4 Phases		3 - 80% - 89% of all the schools complied
	and			2 - 70% - 79% of all the schools complied
E E	Management		Ĭ	1 - 69% and below of all the schools complied
	(15%)			The second secon
		2. Implemented the HRD Plan	4%	5 - 91% - 100% of the PAPs Implemented
				4-81%-90% of the PAPs Implemented
		SALAN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE P		3 - 71% - 80% non-teaching personnel provided with 24-hours training based from IPDP
				2 - 61% - 70% non-teaching personnel provided with 24-hours training based from IPDP
		at .		1 - 60% and below non-teaching personnel provided with 24-hours training based from IPDP
		3. Supervised the recruitment,	4%	5 - 96% - 100% of newly created items filled-up
		selection and placement of newly		4 - 91% - 95% of newly created items filled-up
		created teaching and non-teaching items		3 - 86% - 90% of newly created items filled-up
		in the division		2 - 81% - 85% of newly created items filled-up
				1 - 80% and below of newly created items filled-up
	1	4. Implemented welfare program	4%	5 - 96% - 100% of qualified personnel paid of their benefits within the CY
		for personnel benefits: Hardship post		4 - 91% - 95% of qualified personnel paid of their benefits within the CY
		Hazard Allowance Cash Allowance		3 - 86% - 90% of qualified personnel paid of their benefits
		Dolluses		2 - 81% - 85% of qualified personnel paid of their benefits
				1 - 80% and below of qualified personnel paid of their benefits
MAJOR FINAL	KEY RESULT		WEIGHT	TO BE FILLED IN DURING PLANNING
OUTPUT	AREA	OBJECTIVES	PEK KKA	PERFORMANCE INDICATORS(Quality, Efficiency, Timeliness)
BASIC	IV Resource	Ensured proper use of funds and	5%	5 - 96% - 100% of the schools' MOOE were downloaded and disbursed
BASIC EDUCATION SERVICES	IV. Resource Management (15%)	Ensured proper use of funds and resources at division office, schools and learning centers	5%	5 - 96% - 100% of the schools' MOOE were downloaded and disbursed 4 - 91% - 95% of the schools' MOOE were downloaded and disbursed
		b. Disbursement - 2.5%		3 - 86% - 90% of the schools' MOOE were downloaded and disbursed
				2 - 81% - 85% of the schools' MOOE were downloaded and disbursed

**MAJOR FINAL** OUTPUT (10%)**Plus Factors** Programs / VI. Others -Intervening/ Innovating (5%) and Linkages V. Partnership AREA KEY RESULT the delivery of services improve efficiency and effectiveness in Developed E-innovations to linkages for improved implementation of 3. Updated PPEI in Division and Schools procurement of goods and services for instructional and non-instructional 2. Improved the efficiency of purposes Forged or sustained partnerships and OBJECTIVES PAPs PER KRA WEIGHT 10% 5% 5% 5% 5 - 5 E-innovations developed and deployed 1 - 1 E-innovation developed and deployed 3-3 E-innovations developed and deployed 4 - 4 E-innovations developed and deployed 2-2 E-innovations developed and deployed 2 - 2 MOAs/ MOUs forged or sustained and implemented 4 - 4 MOAs/ MOUs forged or sustained and implemented MOA/ MOU forged or sustained and implemented 3 - 3 MOAs/ MOUs forged or sustained and implemented 5 - 5 or more MOAs/ MOUs forged or sustained and implemented 5 - 100% of schools and DO with updated PPEI 5 - APCPI improved from 2.6 to 3.0 4 - 95% - 99% of schools and DO with updated PPEI 3 - APCPI improved from 1.6 to 2.0 4 - APCPI improved from 2.1 to 2.5 - 84% and below of schools and DO with updated PPEI 1 - 80% and below of the schools' MOOE were downloaded and disbursed - APCPI improved lower than 1.5 - APCPI improved from 1.1 to 1.5 -85% - 89% of schools and DO with updated PPEI - 90% - 94% of schools and DO with updated PPEI PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (Quality, Efficiency, Timeliness) TO BE FILLED IN DURING PLANNING OVERALL RATING FOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS 0 EVALUATION TO BE FILLED DURING Rati ng U U Ch 4 Scor 0.25 4.87 0.5 0.25 0.2 e

Rater:

FLORANTE E. VERGARA, CESO VI

OIC, Assistant Regional Director

Schools Division Superintendent SALLY B. ULLALIM, CESO V

MAY B. ECLAR, CESO V

Approving Authority

Regional Director