## **Core forms:**

## **Const:**

## Constants (type expr, type-constructor Const)

- ► Type: Const of sexpr
- ► The type-constructor Const is used both for self-evaluating and non-self-evaluating constants
- A self-evaluating constant is one you can type at the Scheme prompt and see it printed back at you: Numbers, chars, Booleans, strings

```
[\![\langle self\text{-}evaluating\ sexpr\rangle]\!] = \text{Const}(\langle self\text{-}evaluating\ sexpr\rangle)
```

#### **Example:**

```
let tag_parse = function
...
| Number(x) -> Const(Sexpr(Number(x)))
...;;
```

#### **Qoute:**

#### **Example:**

```
let tag_parse = function
...
| Pair(Symbol("quote"), Pair(x, Nil)) -> Const(Sexpr(x))evaluate
```

## **Variable:**

## $\sf Variables$ (type $\sf expr$ , type-constructor $\sf Var$ )

- ► Type: Var of string
- Variables are literal symbols that are not reserved words
  - ► The latest version of Scheme (R<sup>6</sup>RS) does not have many reserved words
  - ► Not having reserved words makes the parser more complex
  - ► We're going to ignore this, and assume that words that are used for syntax are reserved words. These include:
    - and, begin, cond, define, else, if, lambda, let, let\* letrec, or, quasiquote, quote, set! unquote, unquote-splicing
    - ► There are additional reserved words, but we'll ignore those

## Conditionals (type expr, type-constructor If)

- ► Type: If of expr \* expr \* expr
- ► Scheme supports if-then variant without an else-clause
  - ▶ These are used when the then-clause contains side-effects
  - ► The "missing"/implicit else-clause is defined to be Const(Void)
  - ► We shall support the if-then variant, and tacitly add the implicit else-clause
- ► This is your first recursive case of the expr datatype: An expr that contains sub-exprs.
  - Obviously, the tag-parser will have to be recursive!

#### **Example:**

```
let tag_parse = function
...
|Pair(Symbol("if"), Pair(test, Pair(dit, Pair(dif, Nil)))) ->
If(tag_parse test, tag_parse dif, tag_parse dif)
```

### Lambda:

## Lambdas (type expr, type-constructor LambdaSimple, LambdaOpt)

- ► Types:
  - ► LambdaSimple of string list \* expr
  - ► LambdaOpt of string list \* string \* expr
- ► Scheme has three lambda-forms, and we're going to represent these three forms using the two AST nodes LambdaSimple & LambdaOpt.

## Lambdas (type expr, type-constructor LambdaSimple, LambdaOpt)

- The general form of lambda-expressions is (lambda  $\langle arglist \rangle$  .  $(\langle expr \rangle^+)$ ):
  - ① If  $\langle arglist \rangle$  is a proper list of unique variable names, then the lambda-expression is said to be simple, and we represent it using the AST node LambdaSimple

#### Lambda simple:

# Lambdas (type expr, type-constructor LambdaSimple, LambdaOpt)

- ► The general form of lambda-expressions is (lambda  $\langle arglist \rangle$  .  $(\langle expr \rangle^+)$ ):
  - 1 If  $\langle arglist \rangle$  is a proper list of unique variable names, then the lambda-expression is said to be simple, and we represent it using the AST node LambdaSimple

#### example:

(lambda (x y). (x))

#### Lambda opt:

# Lambdas (type expr, type-constructor LambdaSimple, LambdaOpt)

- ► The general form of lambda-expressions is (lambda  $\langle arglist \rangle$  .  $(\langle expr \rangle^+)$ ):
  - 2 If  $\langle arglist \rangle$  is the improper list  $(v_1 \cdots v_n \cdot v_s)$ , then the lambda-expression is said to take at least n arguments:

#### **Example:**

(lambda (x y . z) . (x))

Lambda variadic: (translated to lambda opt)

# Lambdas (type expr, type-constructor LambdaSimple, LambdaOpt)

- ► The general form of lambda-expressions is (lambda  $\langle arglist \rangle$  .  $(\langle expr \rangle^+)$ ):
  - 3 If  $\langle arglist \rangle$  is the symbol vs, then the lambda-expression is said to be variadic, and may be applied to any number of arguments:

#### **Example:**

(lambda x . (x))

### **Sequences:**

### Sequences (type expr, type-constructor Seq)

- ► Type: Seq of expr list
- ► There are two types of sequences:
  - Explicit sequences (begin-expressions)
  - Implicit sequences
    - ► Body of lambda
    - ▶ In the Ribs of cond
    - ▶ In the body of let, let\*, letrec
    - ▶ Other syntactic forms we shall not support
- ► Both implicit & explicit sequences are encoded as single expressions using the type-constructor Seq

## Set:

## Assignments (type expr, type-constructor Set)

- ► Type: Set of expr \* expr
- ▶ The AST node for set! (pronounced "set-bang") expressions

## **Define:**

## Definitions (type expr, type-constructor Def)

- ► Type: Def of expr \* expr
- ► The AST node for define-expressions
- ► Two syntaxes for define:
  - (define  $\langle var \rangle \langle expr \rangle$ )
    - Example:
       (define pi (\* 4 (atan 1)))

### Or:

## Disjunctions (type expr, type-constructor Or)

- ▶ Type: Or of expr list
- ▶ [(or)] = [#f] (by definition)
- $[(or \langle expr \rangle)] = [[\langle expr \rangle]]$  (#f is the unit element of or)
- ► The real work is done here:

```
[\![(\text{or }\langle expr_1\rangle \cdots \langle expr_n\rangle)]\!] = \text{Or}([\![\langle expr_1\rangle]\!]; \cdots; [\![\langle expr_n\rangle]\!]])
```

## **Application:**

## Applications (type expr, type-constructor Applic)

- ► Type: Applic of expr \* (expr list)
- ► The AST node separates the expression in the procedure position from the list of arguments
- ► The tag-parser recurses over the procedure & the list of arguments:

## **Macro Expansions:**

## Let:

#### form:

► The syntax looks like this:

```
(let ((\mathbf{v}_1 \langle Expr_1 \rangle))

\cdots

(\mathbf{v}_n \langle Expr_n \rangle))

\langle expr_1 \rangle \cdots \langle expr_m \rangle)
```

## **Expands to:**

Putting it all together, we get the following macro-expansion:

### Let\*:

1) This is the first of the two base cases:

$$[[(let* () \langle expr_1 \rangle \cdots \langle expr_m \rangle)]]$$

$$= [[(let () \langle expr_1 \rangle \cdots \langle expr_m \rangle)]]$$

This is the second base case:

$$[[(let* ((v \langle Expr \rangle)) \langle expr_1 \rangle \cdots \langle expr_m \rangle)]]$$

$$= [[(let ((v Expr)) \langle expr_1 \rangle \cdots \langle expr_m \rangle)]]$$

This is the inductive case:

## **Letrec:**

## Cond:

#### Option 1:

#### Form:

The cond form has the general form:

```
(\operatorname{cond} \langle rib_1 \rangle \\ \cdots \\ \langle rib_n \rangle)
```

There are 3 kinds of cond-ribs:

1) The common form  $(\langle expr \rangle \langle expr_1 \rangle \cdots \langle expr_n \rangle)$ , where  $\langle expr \rangle$  is the test-expression: It is evaluated, and if not false, the rib is satisfied, all subsequent ribs are ignored, the corresponding implicit sequence is evaluated, and its final expression is returned.

#### **Expands to:**

The cond form macro-expands into nested if-expressions:

1 The general form of the rib converts into an if-expression with a condition and an explicit sequence for the then-clause. The else-clause of the if-expression continues the expansion of the cond:

#### **Option 2:**

#### Form:

2 The arrow form  $(\langle expr \rangle => \langle expr_f \rangle)$ , where  $\langle expr \rangle$  is evaluated: If non-false, the rib is satisfied, and the return value is the application of  $\langle expr_f \rangle$  to the value of  $\langle expr \rangle$ .

#### **Expands to:**

2 The arrow-form of the rib converts into a let that captures the value of the test, and if not false, passes it onto the function. For test-expression  $\langle expr \rangle$ , and function-expression  $\langle expr_f \rangle$ , the following expansion would do:

```
(let ((value [\langle expr \rangle]))
    (f (lambda () [\langle expr_f \rangle])))
(if value
    ((f) value)
;;; Continue with cond-ribs))
```

#### Option 3:

#### Form:

3 The else-rib has the form (else  $\langle expr1 \rangle \cdots \langle expr_n \rangle$ ). It is satisfied immediately, and all subsequent ribs are ignored. The implicit sequence is evaluated, and the value of its final expression is returned.

#### **Expands to:**

3 The else-form of the rib converts into a begin-expression, and subsequent ribs are ignored

## **Quasiquote:**

- ① Upon receiving the expression (unquote  $\langle sexpr \rangle$ ), we return  $\langle sexpr \rangle$
- 2 Upon receiving the expression (unquote-splicing  $\langle sexpr \rangle$ ), we generate an error message, and quit
- 3 Given either the empty list or a symbol, we wrap (quote ···) around it
- 4 Given a vector, we apply to it map the quasiquote-expander over the elements of the list, and apply the procedure vector to the elements of the resulting list

This is the heart of the algorithm:

- (5) Given a pair, let A be the car, and let B be the cdr respectively.
  - ▶ If  $A = (\text{unquote-splicing } \langle sexpr \rangle)$ , then return (append sexpr [B])
  - ▶ If  $B = (\text{unquote-splicing } \langle sexpr \rangle)$ , then return (cons [A] sexpr)
  - ▶ Otherwise, return (cons [A] [B])

#### **Examples:**

Some examples:

```
      sexpr
      expansion

      (,a,@b)
      (cons a (append b '()))

      (,@a,@b)
      (append a (append b '()))

      (,a, ,b)
      (append a b)

      (,a, ,@b)
      (cons a b)

      (((,@a)))
      (cons (cons (append a '()) '()) '())

      #(a,bc,d)
      (vector 'a b 'c d)
```

#### And:

#### and

- ► Conjunctions are easily expanded into nested if-expressions:
  - ▶ [(and)] = [#t] (by definition)
  - $[(and \langle expr \rangle)] = [[\langle expr \rangle]]$  (#t is the unit element of and)
  - $$\begin{split} & \quad \llbracket (\text{and } \langle expr_1 \rangle \ \langle expr_2 \rangle \ \cdots \ \langle expr_n \rangle) \rrbracket \ = \\ & \quad (\text{if } \llbracket \langle expr_1 \rangle \rrbracket \ \llbracket (\text{and } \langle expr_2 \rangle \ \cdots \ \langle expr_n \rangle) \rrbracket \ \llbracket \#f \rrbracket ) \end{split}$$

## **MIT define:**

- (define  $(\langle var \rangle . \langle arglist \rangle)$  .  $(\langle expr \rangle^+)$ )
  - This form is macro-expanded into  $(\text{define } \langle var \rangle \ (\text{lambda} \ \langle arglist \rangle \ . \ (\langle expr \rangle^+)))$
  - Used to define functions without specifying the  $\lambda$ : This is almost always a bad idea!
  - Note the implicit sequences!
    - Example: (define (square x) (\* x x))