

Prop: Let R be noetherian, $u \in R$ a mult. closed
set containing 1. Then $R[u^{-1}]$ is noetherian
as well.

Pf. $\varphi: R \rightarrow R[u^{-1}]$ $\varphi(r) = \frac{r}{1}$

Take $I \subset R[u^{-1}]$ an ideal. $I = (\varphi^{-1}(I)) R[u^{-1}]$

$I \ni r_1, \dots, r_k$ generate $\varphi^{-1}(I)$, then

$\varphi(r_1), \dots, \varphi(r_k)$ generate I . \Rightarrow

Pf. 2: w.r.t. $R[u^{-1}]$ satisfies the ascending chain condition. If $I_1 \subset \dots \subset I_k \subset \dots$, $\exists n$ s.t.

$$I_k \subset I_n \quad \forall k.$$

ψ^{-1} is an injection that preserves containment, so $\psi^{-1}(I_1) \subset \dots \subset \psi^{-1}(I_k) \subset \dots$

$$\Rightarrow \exists n \text{ s.t. } \psi^{-1}(I_n) \supset \psi^{-1}(I_k) \quad \forall k \Rightarrow$$
$$I_n \supset I_k \quad \forall k.$$

While being finitely generated / a field isn't
closed under localization, being noetherian
is. $(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$ is not f.g. over
 k , but still is noetherian.)

Prop: $R[u^{-1}]$ is flat as an R -mod.

(recall: M is flat as an R -mod iff

$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is exact \Rightarrow

$0 \rightarrow A \otimes M \rightarrow B \otimes M \rightarrow C \otimes M \rightarrow 0$ is exact,

sufficient to check $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$

$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow A \otimes M \rightarrow B \otimes M$)

Pl: Let's assume $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ is exact
 (eq. $M \xrightarrow{f} N$) w.t.s. $M \otimes R[u^{-1}] \hookrightarrow N \otimes R[u^{-1}]$
 eq. $M[u^{-1}] \xrightarrow{f'} N[u^{-1}]$.

Assume $\frac{m}{u} \in \ker(f')$

$$f'\left(\frac{m}{u}\right) = \frac{f(m)}{u} = 0 = \frac{0}{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists v \text{ s.t. } \overset{u}{\downarrow} f(m) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(vu) = 0 \Rightarrow vu = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{m}{u} = \underline{0}_1 \quad \text{in } M[u^{-1}] \quad \boxed{\Rightarrow}$$

(or: let M be an R -mod, M_1, \dots, M_n be submodules. Then $(\bigcap M_i)[u^{-1}] \cong \bigcap (M_i[u^{-1}])$)

Pl: $\Delta: M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M/M_i$

$$m \mapsto (m/M_1, \dots, m/M_n)$$

$$\ker(\Delta) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i$$

$$0 \rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i \rightarrow M \rightarrow \operatorname{im}(\Delta) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \left(\bigcap M_i \right) [\eta^{-1}] \rightarrow M [\eta^{-1}] \rightarrow \operatorname{im}(\Delta) [\eta^{-1}] \rightarrow 0$$

$$\ker(M [\eta^{-1}] \rightarrow \operatorname{im}(\Delta) [\eta^{-1}]) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (M_i [\eta^{-1}])$$



N.B. : Argument only used $R[u^{-1}]$ is flat.

$$R = k[x], \quad u = \{1, x, \dots\}$$

$$M = R, \quad M_i = x^i R$$

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i = \{0\}, \quad b_{i+1}$$

so

$$M_i[u^{-1}] = M[u^{-1}],$$
$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i[u^{-1}] = M$$

Th 3

Let R be a ring, M an R -module.

a) $m \in M$ is 0 \Leftrightarrow $im(m) = 0$ in $M_{\mathfrak{M}} \forall$
 \mathfrak{M} maximal ideals,

b) $M = 0 \Leftrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0 \forall \mathfrak{M}$ maximal.

$$(R_{\mathfrak{M}} = R[u_{\mathfrak{M}}^{-1}], u_{\mathfrak{M}} = R \setminus \mathfrak{M} \\ M_{\mathfrak{M}} = M[u_{\mathfrak{M}}^{-1}])$$

Pt. Clearly $a) \Rightarrow b)$.

Just need to show $a)$. Let $m \in M$.

Define $I = \text{ann}(m) = \{r \in R \mid r m = 0\}$.

Fact: $m = 0$ in $M_M \Leftrightarrow I \triangleleft M$.

Pt. (\Leftarrow) Assume $I \triangleleft M$. $\exists r \in I$,

$r \triangleleft M$. $\Rightarrow r m = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{m}{1} = 0$ in M_M

($r \in U_M$, $r m = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{r}{1} = 0$)

(\Rightarrow) Assume $m \neq 0$ in $M_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists r \in R \setminus \mathfrak{M} \text{ s.t. } r m = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{M}. \quad \checkmark$$

Assume $\text{in}(m) = 0$ in $M_{\mathfrak{M}} \quad \forall \mathfrak{M} \text{ maximal.}$

$I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} .

Zorn's lemma fact: Every $I \subset R$ is
either equal to R or contained
in a maximal ideal.

$$\Rightarrow I = R \Rightarrow 1 \in \text{ann}(M) \Rightarrow 1m = 0 \Rightarrow m = 0$$

(or: let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a homomorphism.

Then f is a monomorphism/epimorphism)

isomorphism $\Leftrightarrow f_M: M_M \rightarrow N_M$ is θ_M .

monomorphism
epimorphism

fancy word for injection
surjection.

P.L. of cor: f is injective $\Leftrightarrow \ker(f) = 0$

$\Leftrightarrow \ker(f|_M) = 0 \quad \forall M \Leftrightarrow f|_M$ is
injective $\forall M$.

f is surjective $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$

