

INF-2900 Software Engineering – Group 3

Evaluation exercise

Notes on self evaluation:

Specification: 8, 4, 8, 7

Summed points: 27/40

We are better than before, but need more progress in formulating and articulating better in the backlogs.

How can we do it? -> Have meetings discussing this matter

Testing: 2, 2, 9, 6

Summed points: 19/40

Not covering all functionality in the program. Too simple tests. Anonym points indicate that some individuals are more comfortable with testing, while others not.

How to be better? -> Give everyone, especially those who were not able to work with tests, backlogs with testing requirements. Use more time with testing.

Coding: 8, 5, 9, 5

Summed points: 27/40

Much of the coding is done under the hood, which makes it simpler. Some material has inspiration from the internet. Some of the coding is simple. Ex. poster is displayed via URL. A preferred option would be to code a download function for the user and display it (avoiding any future picture corruption), but in return requires more intense coding.

How to make better coding? -> Optimize coding, and widen your django knowledge.

Git: 9, 7, 8, 5

Summed points: 29/40

Too much changes in one branch, resulting in difficult merging between two branches in main. We don't have gitlab issues.

How to make git better and more usefull? -> Structurize branches more, and commit one feature to main via branch at a time. Add git issues.

Communication: 7, 5, 10, 5

Summed points: 27/40

Communication has been better, but still needs improvements. What has changed and worked is having internal extended meetings 2 times a week apart from the official meeting with TA. Communication between individuals needs to be better:

How to be better at communication: Text to each individual more frequently. Give updates on how you're doing.

Planning: 7, 4, 6, 3

Summed points: 20/40

We're using a MIRO board for planning, which makes everything easier. Regardless, group members may have different points of view on the project.

How to be better at planning together? -> Each individual tells their point of view and illustrates it with drawings. Meet up physically to elaborate more in detail.

Story gathering: 9, 6, 8, 4

Summed points: 27/40

Update in backlogs and user story on the MIRO board has produced a more favorable story display, but could be better.

How to be better? -> Use a person who is not technically oriented to read the user story and receive feedback.