Coupled Klein–Gordon and Born–Infeld type equations: looking for solitary waves

By Dimitri Mugnai

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica Università di Perugia, Via Vanvitelli 1, Perugia 06123 (Italy), e-mail: mugnai@dipmat.unipg.it

The existence of infinitely many nontrivial radially symmetric solitary waves for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, coupled with a Born-Infeld type equation, is established under general assumptions.

Keywords: \mathbb{Z}_2 -Mountain Pass, L^{∞} -a priori estimate.

1. Introduction

Let us consider the following nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \psi + m^2 \psi - |\psi|^{p-2} \psi = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\psi = \psi(t, x) \in \mathbb{C}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and 0 .

In the last years a wide interest was born about solitary waves of (1.1), i.e. solutions of the form

$$\psi(x,t) = u(x)e^{i\omega t},\tag{1.2}$$

where u is a real function and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. If one looks for solutions of (1.1) having the form (1.2), the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation reduces to a semilinear elliptic equation, as well as if one looks for solitary waves of nonlinear Schrödinger equation (see [10], [12] and the papers quoted therein). Many existence results have been established for solutions u of such a semilinear equation, both in the case in which u is radially symmetric and real or non-radially symmetric and complex (e.g., see [6], [7], [15]).

From equation (1.1) it is possible to develop the theory of electrically charged fields (see [13]) and study the interaction of ψ with an assigned electromagnetic field (see [1], [2], [9]). On the other hand, it is also possible to study the interaction of ψ with its own electromagnetic field (see [3], [4], [5], [10]), which is not assigned, but is an unknown of the problem. More precisely, if the electromagnetic field is described by the gauge potentials (ϕ, \mathbf{A})

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \qquad \mathbf{A}: \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3,$$

then, by Maxwell equations, the electric field is given by

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$$

and the magnetic induction field by

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$
.

Of course, equation (1.1) is the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{KG} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right|^2 - |\nabla \psi|^2 - m^2 |\psi|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{p} |\psi|^p. \tag{1.3}$$

The interaction of ψ with the electromagnetic field is described by the minimal coupling rule, that is the formal substitution

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \longmapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ie\phi, \qquad \nabla \longmapsto \nabla - ie\mathbf{A},$$
 (1.4)

where e is the electric charge.

By (1.4) the Lagrangian density (1.3) takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{KGM} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + ie\psi\phi \right|^2 - |\nabla\psi - ie\mathbf{A}\psi|^2 - m^2|\psi|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{p}|\psi|^p.$$

The total action of the system is thus given by

$$S = \int \int (\mathcal{L}_{KGM} + \mathcal{L}_{emf}) dx dt,$$

where \mathcal{L}_{emf} denotes the Lagrangian density of the electromagnetic field. In the classical Maxwell theory, \mathcal{L}_{emf} can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{emf}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{M}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (|\mathbf{E}|^2 - |\mathbf{B}|^2).$$

If, as in [4], we look for ψ of the form

$$\psi(x,t) = u(x,t)e^{iS(x,t)}$$

for some $u, S : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $S = S(u, S, \phi, \mathbf{A})$. If u = u(x), $S = \omega t$, $\phi = \phi(x)$ and $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$, then the existence of infinitely many solutions for the Euler-Lagrange equation of

$$S = \int \int (\mathcal{L}_{KGM} + \mathcal{L}_{M}) \, dx \, dt$$

has been proved in [4] for 4 and in [10] for <math>2 .

Unfortunately, the theory of Maxwell exhibits some difficulties because the electromagnetic field corresponding to "some" charge distributions (point charge) have infinite energy (see [14]).

Born and Infeld suggested a way to overcome such difficulties in [8], introducing the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{BI} = \frac{b^2}{4\pi} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{b^2} (|\mathbf{E}|^2 - |\mathbf{B}|^2)} \right),$$

where $b \gg 1$ is the so-called *Born-Infeld parameter*. It is clear that the first order expansion of \mathcal{L}_{BI} coincides with \mathcal{L}_{M} , but using \mathcal{L}_{BI} the electrostatic case of a point charge has finite energy (see [14]).

As done in [14] and [11], we set

$$\beta := \frac{1}{2b^2}$$

and consider the second order expansion of \mathcal{L}_{BI} for $\beta \to 0^+$. In this way the Lagrangian density takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{BI'}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{E}|^2 - |\mathbf{B}|^2) + \frac{\beta}{4} (|\mathbf{E}|^2 - |\mathbf{B}|^2)^2 \right].$$

In [14] the existence of electrostatic solutions with finite energy associated to $\mathcal{L}_{BI'}$ was proved, while in [11] the authors considered the total action given by

$$S = \int \int (\mathcal{L}_{\text{KGM}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{BI}'}) \, dx \, dt.$$

In this case the authors proved that the associated Euler-Lagrange equation with u = u(x), $S = \omega t$, $\phi = \phi(x)$ and $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$,

$$-\Delta u + [m^2 - (\omega + e\phi)^2]u - |u|^{p-2}u = 0$$
(1.5)

$$\Delta \phi + \beta \Delta_4 \phi = 4\pi e(\omega + e\phi)u^2, \tag{1.6}$$

has infinitely many solutions, provided that $4 and <math>0 < |\omega| < |m|$, where $\Delta_4 u = \text{div}(|Du|^2 Du)$.

By a suitable L^{∞} -a priori estimate for ϕ , such a result is extended here in the following way.

Theorem 1.1. If $0 < \omega < \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}-1} |m|$ and $2 , or <math>0 < \omega < |m|$ and $4 \le p < 6$, the system (1.5) - (1.6) has infinitely many radially symmetric solution (u,ϕ) with $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\phi \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $|\nabla \phi| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Remark 1.1. The assumption $\omega < (\frac{p}{2}-1)|m|$ is merely technical, since it is needed only to prove the Palais–Smale condition if p < 4 (see §3). Of course it implies the assumption $\omega < |m|$ if $p \le 4$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let us choose e = 1 in (1.5)–(1.6), so that the system reduces to

$$-\Delta u + [m^2 - (\omega + \phi)^2]u - |u|^{p-2}u = 0$$
(2.1)

$$\Delta \phi + \beta \Delta_4 \phi = 4\pi (\omega + \phi) u^2. \tag{2.2}$$

By $H^1 \equiv H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we denote the usual Sobolev space endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{H^1} \equiv \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|Du|^2 + |u|^2\right) dx\right)^{1/2}$$

and by $D(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3,\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{D(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|D\phi\|_{L^2} + \|D\phi\|_{L^4}.$$

Denoting by $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3,\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the norm

$$||u||_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Du|^2 dx\right)^{1/2},$$

it is clear that $D(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is continuously embedded in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is continuously embedded in $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by Sobolev inequality and $D(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is continuously embedded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by Proposition 8 in [14]. We remark that q=6 is the critical exponent for the Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$, so that equation (2.1) has a subcritical nonlinearity.

Theorem 1.1 is then proved if we show the existence of solutions (u, ϕ) with $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^3)$, so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Du|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 \, dx < +\infty \tag{2.3}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |D\phi|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |D\phi|^4 \, dx < +\infty \tag{2.4}$$

For the sake of simplicity, from now on, the integration domain is intended to be \mathbb{R}^3 , if not explicitly stated otherwise.

Consider the functional $F: H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D(\mathbb{R}^3) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$F(u,\phi) = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |Du|^2 - \frac{1}{8\pi} |D\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(m^2 - (\omega + \phi)^2 \right) u^2 - \frac{\beta}{16\pi} |D\phi|^4 - \frac{1}{p} |u|^p \right\} dx,$$

whose Euler-Lagrange equation is precisely (2.1)-(2.2).

It is readily seen that the following result holds.

Proposition 2.1. The functional F is of class C^1 on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and its critical points solve (2.1) and (2.2) under the conditions (2.3)–(2.4).

It seems quite hard to find critical points for F directly, since it depends on two variables and since it is strongly indefinite, i.e. unbounded both from above and below, even modulo compact perturbations. Therefore, following the approach of [4], [10], [11], we introduce a new functional J depending only on u in such a way that critical points of J give rise to critical points of F.

First of all we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.2. For every $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ there exists a unique $\phi = \Phi[u] \in D(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which solves (2.2). If u is radially symmetric, then $\Phi[u]$ is radially symmetric.

The first result is proved in [11, Lemma 3], while the second one, though not explicitly stated, is proved in [11, Lemma 5].

A deeper look at solutions of (2.2) leads to the following $L^{\infty}-a$ priori estimate on $\Phi[u]$, which is a fundamental tool to prove Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.3. For any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it results $\Phi[u] \leq 0$. Moreover $\Phi[u](x) \geq -\omega$ if $u(x) \neq 0$.

Proof. Multiplying (2.2) by $\Phi^+ = \max\{\Phi[u], 0\}$, we get

$$-\int |D\Phi^{+}|^{2} dx - \beta \int |D\Phi^{+}|^{4} dx = 4\pi\omega \int u^{2} \Phi^{+} dx + 4\pi \int u^{2} (\Phi^{+})^{2} dx \ge 0,$$

so that $\Phi^+ \equiv 0$.

If we multiply (2.2) by $(\omega + \Phi[u])^-$, which is an admissible test function since $\omega > 0$, we get

$$\int_{\{x:\Phi[u]<-\omega\}} |D\Phi[u]|^2 dx + \beta \int_{\{x:\Phi[u]<-\omega\}} |D\Phi[u]|^4 dx$$
$$= -4\pi \int_{\{x:\Phi[u]<-\omega\}} (\omega + \Phi[u])^2 u^2 dx,$$

so that $(\omega + \Phi[u])^- = 0$ where $u \neq 0$.

In view of Lemma 2.2, we consider the map $\Phi: H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \longrightarrow D$, $u \mapsto \Phi[u]$. From standard arguments, $\Phi \in C^1(H^1, D^{1,2})$ and from the very definition of Φ we get

$$F'_{\phi}(u, \Phi[u]) = 0 \quad \forall u \in H^1.$$

Now consider the functional $J: H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J(u) = F(u, \Phi[u]),$$

that is

$$J(u) = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |Du|^2 - \frac{1}{8\pi} |D\Phi[u]|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(m^2 - (\omega + \Phi[u])^2 \right) u^2 - \frac{\beta}{16\pi} |D\Phi[u]|^4 - \frac{1}{p} |u|^p \right\} dx.$$
 (2.5)

Of course $J \in C^1(H^1, \mathbb{R})$, since both F and Φ are C^1 .

The next lemma states a relationship between the critical points of the functionals F and J (the proof can be found in [4, Proposition 3.5]).

Lemma 2.4. The following statements are equivalent:

- i) $(u, \Phi) \in H^1 \times D^{1,2}$ is a critical point of F,
- ii) u is a critical point of J and $\Phi = \Phi[u]$.

Then, in order to get solutions of (2.1)–(2.2), we look for critical points of J. Assume the following result holds.

Theorem 2.5. Assume $2 and <math>0 < \omega < (\frac{p}{2} - 1)|m|$. Then the functional J has infinitely many critical points having a radial symmetry.

It is now immediate to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Theorem 2.5 establishes the existence of infinitely many nontrivial critical points u_n of J. Lemma 2.4 implies that $(u_n, \Phi[u_n])$ are critical points for F. Finally Proposition 2.1 guarantees that $(u_n, \Phi[u_n])$ solve (2.1)–(2.2) under the conditions (2.3)–(2.4).

3. Proof of Theorem 2.5

Since J is invariant under the group of translations, there is an evident lack of compactness. In order to overcome this difficulty, we constrain J on the space of radially symmetric functions. More precisely we introduce the subspace

$$H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid u(x) = u(|x|) \},$$

and we look for critical points of J constrained on $H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In order to prove Theorem 2.5, this is enough in view of the following result (see [11] for the proof).

Lemma 3.1. Any critical point $u \in H^1_r$ of $J_{|H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ is also a critical point of J.

The choice of $H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ lets us recover a compactness property: indeed the embedding of $H_r^1 \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is compact for any $q \in (2,6)$ (see [6]). We recall that a C^1 functional f defined on a Banach space B satisfies the

Palais-Smale condition, (PS) for short, if

any sequence $(u_n)_n$ such that $f'(u_n) \to 0$ in B' and $f(u_n)$ is bounded, has a converging subsequence.

In [11] the Palais–Smale condition, as well as the existence of infinitely many solutions to (2.1)–(2.2), was proved for the case 4 . By Lemma 2.3 we areable to extend the result to the general case 2 .

Proposition 3.2. If $2 , then <math>J_{|H_{\pi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition.

Proof. From now on, let us write Ω in place of $m^2 - \omega^2$. Moreover, according to what we said above, it is enough to assume 2 .

Suppose $(u_n)_n$ in $H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is such that

$$J'_{|H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}(u_n) \to 0$$
 and $|J(u_n)| \le M$,

for a positive M.

From equation (2.2) we get

$$-\frac{1}{8\pi} \int |D\Phi[u]|^2 dx - \frac{\beta}{8\pi} \int |D\Phi[u]|^4 dx = \frac{\omega}{2} \int u^2 \Phi[u] dx + \frac{1}{2} \int u^2 \Phi^2[u] dx.$$

Substituting in (2.5), we obtain the following form of J:

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int \left\{ |Du|^2 + \Omega u^2 - \omega u^2 \Phi[u] \right\} dx + \frac{\beta}{16\pi} \int |D\Phi[u]|^4 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int |u|^p dx.$$
(3.1)

Then

$$pJ(u_n) - J'(u_n)(u_n) = \left(\frac{p}{2} - 1\right) \left\{ \int |Du_n|^2 dx + \Omega \int u_n^2 dx \right\}$$
$$+ \int u_n^2 \Phi^2[u_n] dx - \omega \left(\frac{p}{2} - 2\right) \int u_n^2 \Phi[u_n] dx + \frac{p\beta}{16\pi} \int |D\Phi[u_n]|^4 dx$$
$$\geq \left(\frac{p}{2} - 1\right) \left\{ \int |Du_n|^2 dx + m^2 \int u_n^2 dx \right\} - \omega^2 \left(\frac{p}{2} - 1\right) \int u_n^2 dx$$

$$-\omega\left(\frac{p}{2}-2\right)\int u_n^2\Phi[u_n]\,dx$$

and by Lemma 2.3, since 2 ,

$$\geq \left(\frac{p}{2} - 1\right) \left\{ \int |Du_n|^2 dx + m^2 \int u_n^2 dx \right\} - \omega^2 \int u_n^2 dx.$$

Therefore, since $(\frac{p}{2}-1)m^2 > \omega^2$ for any $p \in (2,4]$, we get

$$pJ(u_n) - J'(u_n)(u_n) \ge C||u_n||^2$$

for a positive constant C independent of n.

On the other hand, by hypotheses,

$$pJ(u_n) - J'(u_n)(u_n) \le pM + \varepsilon ||u_n||,$$

where $||J'(u_n)||_{(H^1)'} \leq \varepsilon$.

In this way $(u_n)_n$ is bounded.

Then, up to a subsequence,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u$$
 in $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Moreover from equation (2.2) we have

$$\int |D\Phi[u_n]|^2 dx + \int |D\Phi[u_n]|^4 dx = -4\pi\omega \int u_n^2 \Phi[u_n] dx$$
$$-4\pi \int u_n^2 \Phi^2[u_n] dx \le 4\pi\omega \left(\int \Phi^6[u_n] dx \right)^{1/6} \left(\int |u_n|^{12/5} dx \right)^{5/6}$$
$$\le C \|\Phi[u_n]\| \cdot \|u_n\|^2,$$

and so also $(\Phi[u_n])_n$ is bounded. This fact and the compact embedding of $H_r^1 \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $q \in (2,6)$ ([6]), imply that

$$u_n \to u$$
 strongly in $H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3)$

in a standard fashion (see, for example, [11]).

Now we show that $J_{\mid H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ satisfies the three geometrical hypothesis of the following \mathbb{Z}_2 version of the mountain pass theorem (see [16, Theorem 9.12]).

Theorem 3.3 (\mathbb{Z}_2 -Mountain Pass). Let E be a Banach space with $dim(E) = \infty$, and $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ be even, satisfy (PS) and I(0) = 0. Assume

- $\exists \rho > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $I(u) \geq \alpha \ \forall u \ with \ ||u|| = \rho$;
- for every finite dimensional subspace X of E there exists R = R(X) such that $I(u) \leq 0$ if $||u|| \geq R$.

Then I has an unbounded sequence of critical values.

First of all we observe that J(0) = 0. Moreover the hypotheses on the coefficients imply that there exists $\rho > 0$ and small enough such that

$$\inf_{\|u\|=\rho} J(u) > 0.$$

In fact, from (2.2) we get

$$-\omega \int u^2 \Phi[u] \, dx = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int |D\Phi[u]|^2 \, dx + \frac{\beta}{4\pi} \int |D\Phi[u]|^4 \, dx + \int u^2 \Phi[u]^2 \, dx,$$

and substituting this quantity in the expression (2.5) of J, we get

$$J(u) = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |Du|^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi} |D\Phi[u]|^2 + \frac{\Omega}{2} u^2 + \frac{1}{2} u^2 \Phi^2[u] + \frac{3\beta}{16\pi} |D\Phi[u]|^4 - \frac{1}{p} |u|^p \right\} dx.$$

Since p > 2, the claim follows.

Finally, let $X \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a finite-dimensional subspace. From (2.2) we get

$$-\frac{\beta}{16\pi} \int |D\Phi[u]|^4 dx = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int |D\Phi[u]|^2 dx + \frac{\omega}{4} \int u^2 \Phi[u] dx + \frac{1}{4} \int u^2 \Phi^2[u] dx.$$

Substituting in (2.5), we obtain the following form for J:

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int |Du|^2 dx + \frac{\Omega}{2} \int u^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int u^2 \Phi^2[u] dx$$

$$-\frac{3}{4} \omega \int u^2 \Phi[u] dx - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int |D\Phi[u]|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int |u|^p dx.$$
(3.2)

If $u \in X$, using (3.2), we get

$$J(u) \le \frac{1}{2} \int |Du|^2 \, dx + \frac{\Omega}{2} \int u^2 \, dx - \frac{3}{4} \omega \int u^2 \Phi[u] \, dx - \frac{1}{p} \int |u|^p \, dx.$$

By Lemma 2.3,

$$J(u) \le \frac{1}{2} \int |Du|^2 dx + \frac{\Omega}{2} \int u^2 dx + \frac{3}{4} \omega^2 \int_{\{x: u(x) \ne 0\}} u^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int |u|^p dx.$$

Therefore, if R is big enough and $||u|| \ge R$, then $J(u) \le 0$, since all norms are equivalent in X.

We have thus verified all the conditions of Theorem 3.3, proving that J has an unbounded sequence of critical values.

The author is supported by the M.I.U.R. project Metodi Variazionali ed Equazioni Differenziali Nonlineari (Variational Methods and Nonlinear Differential Equations).

References

Avron, J. & Herbst, I. & Simon, B. 1978 Schrödinger operators with electromagnetic fields I - General interaction. *Duke Math. J.* **45**, 847–883. [1]

Avron, J. & Herbst, I. & Simon, B. 1981 Schrödinger operators with electromagnetic fields III - Atoms in homogeneous magnetic field. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **79**, 529–572. [2]

- Benci, V. & Fortunato, D. 1998 An eigenvalue problem for the Schrödinger -Maxwell equations. *Top. Meth. Nonlinear Anal.* **11**, 283–293. [3]
- Benci, V. & Fortunato, D. 2002 Solitary waves of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation coupled with the Maxwell equation. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **14**, 409–420. [4]
- Benci, V. & Fortunato, D. & Masiello, A. & Pisani, L. 1999 Solitons and electromagnetic fields. *Math. Z.* **232**, 73–102. [5]
- Berestycki, H. & Lions, P. L. 1983 Nonlinear scalar field equatios, I Existence of a ground state. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 82 n. 4, 313-3-45.
- Berestycki, H. & Lions, P. L. 1983 Nonlinear scalar field equatios, II Existence of infinitely many solutions. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 82 n. 4, 347–375. [7]
- Born, M. & Infeld, L. 1934 Foundations of the new field theory. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 144, 425–451.
- Combes, J. M. & Schrader, R. & Seiler, R. 1978 Classical bounds and limits for energy distributions of Hamiltonian operators in electromagnetic fields. *Ann. Phys.* **111**, 1–18. [9]
- D'Aprile, T. & Mugnai, D. 2002 Solitary waves for nonlinear Klein–Gordon-Maxwell and Schrödinger–Maxwell equations. Preprint, University of Bari, submitted. [10]
- D'Avenia, P. & Pisani, L. 2002 Nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations coupled with Born–Infeld type equations. *Elect. J. Diff. Eqns.* No. **26**, 13 pp. [11]
- del Pino, M. & Felmer, P. & Tanaka, K. 2002 An elementary construction of complex patterns in nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Nonlinearity* **15** no. 5, 1653–1671. [12]
- Felsager, B. 1998 Geometry, particles and fields. New York: Springer-Verlag. [13]
- Fortunato, D. & Orsina, L. & Pisani, L. 2002 Born–Infeld type equations for electrostatic fields. J. Math. Phys. 43 No. 11, 5698–5706.
- Lions, P. L. 1986 Solutions complexes d'équations elliptiques semilinéaires dans \mathbb{R}^N . C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I **302**, 673–676. [15]
- Rabinowitz, P. H. 1986 Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations. *Reg. Conf. Ser. Math.* **65**. [16]