

# Efficient computations of $p$ -adic $L$ -functions via overconvergent modular symbols (and applications to Stark-Heegner points)

Robert Pollack — Boston University

<http://math.bu.edu/~rpollack/>

# Main results

1) An algorithm that computes  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions of elliptic curves in **polynomial** time.

(joint with **Glenn Stevens**)

2) This algorithm then leads to a (conjectural) algorithm to compute Stark-Heegner points in polynomial time. (These are **global** points on elliptic curves defined over ring class fields of **real quadratic** extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .)

(joint with **Henri Darmon**)

# Heegner points

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of conductor  $N$ .  
Let  $K$  be a **imaginary** quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in which  $N$  splits completely.

Heegner points  $\longrightarrow$  systematic collections of  
**global** points on elliptic curves  
(over ring class fields of  $K$ )

To construct **Heegner points** on  $E$ , first consider the points on  $X_0(N)$  which correspond to elliptic curves with complex multiplication by  $K$ .

# Heegner points

By Wiles, Taylor-Wiles, *et al.*,  $E$  corresponds to a modular form  $f_E$  which gives rise to a map

$$X_0(N) \xrightarrow{\pi} E.$$

Heegner points are then the images of these CM points on  $X_0(N)$  under the map  $\pi$ .

By the theory of complex multiplication, these Heegner points are actually defined over finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (precisely, over ring class fields of  $K$ ).

## More explicitly...

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is the upper half plane, the modular parametrization of  $E$  comes from a composition of maps,

$$\mathcal{H}/\Gamma_0(N) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}/\Lambda \longrightarrow E(\mathbf{C}),$$

where the second map is the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function and the first map is given by **complex integration**; namely,

$$z \mapsto \int_z^{i\infty} f_E dz.$$

The **CM points** we are considering are then simply the elements of  $(\mathcal{H} \cap K)/\Gamma_0(N)$ .

# Computing Heegner points

One can efficiently compute Heegner points in practice.

First one computes  $\int_z^{i\infty} f_E$  to high precision using  $f_E = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{2\pi i z/n}$ . (This series converges very quickly if  $\text{Im}(z) \gg 0$ .)

Then one applies  $\wp$  and  $\wp'$  to some estimate of this line integral to obtain an approximate point on  $E$ .

As long as this point is computed with enough accuracy, one then identifies it as an algebraic number.

# Stark-Heegner points

Fix a prime  $p$ . Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a **real** quadratic extension with  $p$  inert in  $K$ .

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve of conductor  $N$  with  $p \parallel N$ .  
(For simplicity, we take  $N = p$ .)

**Stark-Heegner points** are a  $p$ -adic variant of Heegner points (conjecturally) defined over ring class fields of  $K$ .

To define them, instead of beginning with the upper half plane  $\mathcal{H}$ , we now use the  $p$ -adic upper half plane  $\mathcal{H}_p = \mathbb{C}_p - \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

(Note that  $\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}$  equals two copies of  $\mathcal{H}$ .)

# New notion of CM points

Instead of using the CM points  $(\mathcal{H} \cap K)/\Gamma_0(N)$ , we now use the points

$$(\mathcal{H}_p \cap K)/\Gamma$$

where  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}[1/p])$ .

The assumption that  $p$  is **inert** in  $K$  implies that this last set is non-empty as any embedding of  $K$  into  $\mathbf{C}_p$  will not land entirely within  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .

(Note that in the classical case, if  $K$  is an imaginary quadratic extension, then  $\infty$  is inert in  $K$  and thus  $\mathcal{H} \cap K$  is non-empty.)



# $p$ -adic uniformization of $E$

Instead of using the complex uniformization

$$\mathbf{C}/\Lambda \longrightarrow E(\mathbf{C})$$

we use the ( $p$ -adic) Tate uniformization

$$\mathbf{C}_p^\times / q^{\mathbf{Z}} \longrightarrow E(\mathbf{C}_p)$$

where  $q$  is the Tate period of  $E$  at  $p$ .

(Here we are exploiting the fact that  $p \parallel N$ .)

# Integration on $\mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}$

Complex integration was used to define the map

$$\mathcal{H}/\Gamma_0(N) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}/\Lambda.$$

In place of this, **Darmon** defines a notion of “integration” on  $\mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}$  combining both complex and  $p$ -adic methods!

That is, for any  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{H}_p$  and  $r, s \in \mathcal{H}$ , he constructs a number

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_r^s f_E \in \mathbf{C}_p$$

as a  $p$ -adic limit of line integrals involving  $f_E$ .

# Basic properties

This suggestive notation is used since this “double integral” is **linear** in both the  $p$ -adic and complex variables. For instance,

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_r^s f_E + \int_{z_2}^{z_3} \int_r^s f_E = \int_{z_1}^{z_3} \int_r^s f_E.$$

Also, it is **invariant** under the action of  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}[1/p])$ ; that is

$$\int_{\gamma z_1}^{\gamma z_2} \int_{\gamma r}^{\gamma s} f_E = \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_r^s f_E$$

for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

# Stark-Heegner points

Using the above double integral, Darmon (conjecturally) constructs a map

$$(\mathcal{H}_p \cap K)/\Gamma \longrightarrow K_p^\times / q^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

where again  $q$  is the Tate period of  $E$  at  $p$ .

Composing with Tate uniformization yields a map

$$(\mathcal{H}_p \cap K)/\Gamma \longrightarrow E(K_p).$$

**Stark-Heegner points** are then defined to be points in the image of this map.

# Fields of definition

Note that in the classical case when  $K$  is a quadratic imaginary field, we know that the image of  $(\mathcal{H} \cap K)/\Gamma_0(N)$  is not merely contained in  $E(\mathbf{C})$ , but in  $E(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ .

In the real quadratic case, Darmon **conjectures** that the image of  $(\mathcal{H}_p \cap K)/\Gamma$  is not merely in  $E(K_p)$ , but in  $E(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ .

Also, as in the classical case, Darmon makes precise conjectures about the **field of definition** of these points (being a certain ring class field of  $K$ ) and about the Galois action on these (conjecturally) global points.

# Evidence

To test these conjectures, Darmon and Green took elliptic curves  $E$  of prime conductor with rank one over  $K$ .

They computed approximations to the trace of the basic Stark-Heegner point down to  $K$  and compared this to multiples of a generator of  $E(K)$ .

In each case, the approximation of the Stark-Heegner point agreed with a global point (modulo a power of  $p$  equal to the accuracy of their computation).

# Accuracy

Unfortunately, they were only able to compute modulo a small power of  $p$  and thus were not able in general to **recognize** a global point from their  $p$ -adic computation.

For instance, for  $E = X_0(11)$  and  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{13})$ , the basic Stark-Heegner point should equal

$$2 \cdot \left( \frac{105557507041}{21602148048}, -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{15613525573072201}{11447669519372736} \sqrt{13} \right)$$

and so very high accuracy is needed to recognize this point!

Thus, without high accuracy, this algorithm cannot be used to **find** global points.

# Obstruction to high accuracy

The most difficult part of the computing Stark-Heegner points is in computing the “double integral”

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_r^s f_E \in \mathbf{C}_p.$$

For instance,

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_0^{i\infty} f_E = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^\times} \log \left( \frac{x - z_1}{x - z_2} \right) dL_p(E)$$

where  $L_p(E)$  is the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function of  $E$ . To compute this expression, one needs to be able to compute with the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function of the elliptic curve  $E$ .



# $p$ -adic $L$ -functions

The  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function of  $E$  (denoted by  $L_p(E)$ ) is a **distribution** on  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ . (That is, one can “integrate” any nice function on  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  against  $L_p(E)$ .)

The  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function is **uniquely characterized** by the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^\times} \chi \, dL_p(E) = c \cdot \frac{L(E, \chi, 1)}{\Omega_E}$$

where  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character of conductor a power of  $p$  and  $c$  is some explicit constant.

# Computing $p$ -adic $L$ -functions

These  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions arise from **measures** on  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ .  
Namely,

$$L_p(E)(a + p^n \mathbf{Z}_p) := \frac{1}{a_p^n} \left( \int_{a/p^n}^{i\infty} f_E + \int_{-a/p^n}^{i\infty} f_E \right) \cdot \Omega_E^{-1}$$

which lies in  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

To naively compute the **moments** of  $L_p(E)$  one would use **Riemann sums**; that is

$$\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^\times} x^j dL_p(E) \equiv \sum_{a \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^n \mathbf{Z})^\times} a^j \cdot L_p(E)(a + p^n \mathbf{Z}_p) \pmod{p^n}.$$

# Computing $p$ -adic $L$ -functions

To compute  $L_p(E)(a + p^n \mathbf{Z}_p)$  is relatively easy. On an Athlon 2800 processor, one can compute approximately 1000 per second for  $X_0(11)$ .

However, to compute the  $j$ -th moment  $\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^\times} x^j dL_p(E)$  to  $n$   $p$ -adic digits of accuracy would take  $p^n$  computations of  $L_p(E)(a + p^n \mathbf{Z}_p)$ .

For instance, to compute the first moment of  $L_p(E)$  to 10  $p$ -adic digits would take approximately 1 year of CPU time, 11 digits would take 11 years, *etc.*

This is why DG only computed to low levels of accuracy.

# Modular symbols

Let  $\Delta = \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q}) \times \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})$ . Then  $\text{Hom}(\Delta, \mathbf{Q}_p)$  is naturally a right  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q})$ -module. If  $r, s \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})$ , then

$$(\phi|\gamma)(r, s) = \phi(\gamma r, \gamma s)$$

where  $\gamma$  acts on  $r, s$  by linear fractional transformations.

We define the space of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -valued **modular symbols** of level  $\Gamma := \Gamma_0(p)$  to be

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MS}_\Gamma(\mathbf{Q}_p) &:= \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\Delta, \mathbf{Q}_p) \\ &= \{ \phi : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p \mid \phi|\gamma = \phi \text{ for } \gamma \in \Gamma \} . \end{aligned}$$

# Modular symbols

An example of a **modular symbol** of level  $\Gamma$  is

$$\phi_E(r, s) := \left( \int_r^s f_E + \int_{-r}^{-s} f_E \right) \cdot \Omega_E^{-1}$$

The space  $MS_\Gamma(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  has a **Hecke action** defined by

$$\phi_E|U_p = \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \phi \mid \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$$

and similarly for  $T_\ell$  with  $\ell \neq p$ .

The symbol  $\phi_E$  is an **eigensymbol** in that  $\phi_E|U_p = a_p \cdot \phi_E$ .

# Connection to $p$ -adic $L$ -functions

Since

$$L_p(E)(a + p^n \mathbf{Z}_p) = \frac{1}{a_p^n} \cdot \phi_E(a/p^n, i\infty),$$

in order to compute moments of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions, one must compute  $\phi_E$  at  $p^n$  points.

We wish to construct a more elaborate modular symbol so that evaluating it at a **single** ordered pair yields moments of the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function.

# Overconvergent modular symbols

Set  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  equal to all locally analytic functions on  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  and let  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  be the continuous  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -dual of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  – the space of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -valued distributions on  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . (Note that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is a left  $\Gamma$ -module and thus  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is a right  $\Gamma$ -module.)

We consider the large space of modular symbols given by

$$\mathrm{MS}_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p)) := \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\Delta, \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p))$$

which we will refer to as the space of **overconvergent modular symbols** of level  $\Gamma$ .

As before,  $\mathrm{MS}_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p))$  is naturally a Hecke-module.

# Slopes of OMS

Let the **slope** of an eigensymbol to be equal to the  $p$ -adic valuation of its  $U_p$ -eigenvalue.

For  $h \in \mathbf{R}$ , let  $MS_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{Q}_p)^{(<h)}$  and  $MS_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p))^{(<h)}$  denote the direct sum of the generalized eigenspaces of  $U_p$  whose slope is less than  $h$ .

For example, since  $p \nmid N$ , we have that  $a_p(E) = \pm 1$ . Thus  $\phi_E$  has slope 0.

Fact: The operator  $U_p$  is a **completely continuous** operator on the space  $MS_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p))$ . In this context, this means that  $MS_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p))^{(<h)}$  is **finite dimensional** for any  $h$ .



# Specialization

There is a natural (Hecke-equivariant) map

$$\rho : MS_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p)) \longrightarrow MS_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$$

given by taking **total measure**. That is,

$$\rho(\Phi)(r, s) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} 1_{\mathbf{Z}_p} d\Phi(r, s).$$

This map must have huge kernel since the target is finite dimensional. Moreover, by Eichler-Shimura theory, the slope of any classical modular symbol is  $\leq 1$ .

# Comparison theorem

Theorem (Stevens): The specialization map restricted to symbols of slope less than 1

$$\rho : MS_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p))^{(<1)} \longrightarrow MS_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{Q}_p)^{(<1)}$$

is an isomorphism.

Corollary (Stevens): There exists a unique Hecke-eigensymbol  $\Phi_E \in MS_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p))$  such that  $\rho(\Phi_E) = \phi_E$ . Moreover,

$$\Phi_E(0, i\infty) = L_p(E)$$

the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function of  $E$ .

# Strategy to compute $\Phi_E$

First lift  $\phi_E$  to any overconvergent modular symbol  $\Phi$  (not necessarily a Hecke-eigensymbol). Then

$$\Phi = \Phi_E + (\text{something of slope } \geq 1).$$

Then repeatedly apply the operator  $\frac{1}{a_p}U_p$  to  $\Phi$  to yield

$$\frac{1}{a_p^n} \Phi | U_p^n = \Phi_E + p^n \cdot (\text{something of slope } \geq 1).$$

In particular,  $\left\{ \frac{1}{a_p^n} \Phi | U_p^n \right\} \longrightarrow \Phi_E$  and we are gaining an extra  $p$ -adic digit of accuracy with each application of  $U_p$ !

# Computing in practice

To carry out this algorithm in practice, we need a way to store distributions on a computer and a way to store modular symbols on a computer.

The latter problem is standard. It is well known that one can find a finite set of ordered pairs

$$(r_1, s_1), (r_2, s_2), \dots, (r_n, s_n) \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q}) \times \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})$$

such that any  $\Phi \in \mathbf{MS}_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p))$  is uniquely determined by its values on these elements.

# Representing distributions

The functions  $\{x^j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  are dense in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  and thus any distribution  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is uniquely determined by its sequence of moments  $\{\mu(x^j)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ .

A natural approach then is to fix some large number  $M \gg 0$  and approximate  $\mu$  by the sequence  $\{\mu(x^j) \pmod{p^M}\}_{j=0}^{M-1}$ ; that is, store the first  $M$  moments each modulo  $p^M$ .

Unfortunately, this is **not stable** under our matrix actions. That is, the first  $M$  moments modulo  $p^M$  of  $\mu$  does not determine the same data for  $\mu|_{\gamma}$ .

# Representing distributions

The basic problem with this approach is that if  $\mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is the set of distributions all of whose moments are in  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ , then the subset

$$\{\mu \in \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{Z}_p) \mid \mu(x^j) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq M-1\}$$

is not stable under our matrix actions.

The smallest subset containing this set that is stable under our matrix actions is

$$\{\mu \in \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{Z}_p) \mid \mu(x^j) \in p^{M-j}\mathbf{Z}_p \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq M-1\}$$

which we denote by  $I(M)$ .

# Finite approximation modules

Let  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  denote

$$\mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{Z}_p)/I(M) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/p^M) \times (\mathbf{Z}/p^{M-1}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbf{Z}/p),$$

the  $M$ -th **finite approximation module**. This set is finite and stable under our matrix actions.

This gives us a way of storing a distribution  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  on a computer by simply projecting it into  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ ; that is, by storing its first  $M$  moments modulo descending powers of  $p$ .

# Finite approximation modules

Thus, the set  $MS_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{F}(M))$  can be stored on a computer with a finite amount of data since any symbol in this space can be represented by a finite number of elements of  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  which is a finite set.

Also, note that there is a natural map

$$MS_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{F}(M)) \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} MS_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{Z}/p^M)$$

given by taking total measure.

We note that both the source and the target of this map are finite sets.



# The algorithm

- 1) Lift the symbol  $\overline{\phi}_E \in \text{MS}_\Gamma(\mathbf{Z}/p^M)$  to a symbol  $\overline{\Phi}$  in  $\text{MS}_\Gamma(\mathcal{F}(M))$ . (This can be done very quickly.)
- 2) Apply  $\frac{1}{a_p}U_p$  to  $\overline{\Phi}$  until the answer **stabilizes** to a symbol  $\overline{\Phi}_E$ . (This should take  $M$  iterations.)
- 3) Evaluate  $\overline{\Phi}_E$  at the point  $(0, i\infty)$ . (The answer will be an approximation of the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function of  $E$ .)

We note that each iteration of  $U_p$  yields an extra  $p$ -adic digit of accuracy. Moreover, an application of  $U_p$  can be performed in **polynomial time** (in  $p$ ).

# Running times

Again consider the curve  $E = X_0(11)$ . Recall that to compute the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function to 10 digits of accuracy with Riemann sums required 1 year of CPU time.

With overconvergent modular symbols, to compute to 100 digits of accuracy takes less than 2 minutes on the same computer. To get 200 digits requires approximately 20 minutes.

Also, note that this computation is independent of  $K$ ! So once the moments are computed, one can find Stark-Heegner points over many real quadratic fields  $K$ .

# Example

For  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{101})$ , the class number equals 1.

Thus, the basic Stark-Heegner point should be defined over  $K$ .

We recognized it to be the global point

$$x = 1081624136644692539667084685116849,$$

$$y = -1939146297774921836916098998070620047276215775500 \\ -450348132717625197271325875616860240657045635493\sqrt{101}.$$

# Example

For  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{79})$ , the class number equals 3.

We found that the  $x$ -coordinate of the basic Stark-Heegner point satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h_{316}(x) = & 72766453768745463520694728094967184x^3 \\ & - 71914415566181323559220215097240264940x^2 \\ & + 2653029535749035413574464896382331270516x \\ & - 15333781783601940675857202851550615143803, \end{aligned}$$

whose splitting field is indeed the Hilbert class field of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{79})$ !

# Computer Programs

Find your own points! See:

<http://www.math.mcgill.ca/darmon/programs/programs.html>

to download a package that contains these algorithms.