## **EM Algorithm Implementation Details**

## Assumptions and derivations

Note: I am using notation from Casella and Berger for convenience.

We assume independent samples  $(X_1, Y_1), ..., (X_n, Y_n)$  are drawn from the bivariate normal pdf with means  $\mu_X$  and  $\mu_Y$ , variances  $\sigma_X^2$  and  $\sigma_Y^2$ , and correlation  $\rho$ .

The bivariate normal pdf can be expressed as:

$$f(x, y | \mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2, \rho) = (2\pi\sigma_X \sigma_Y \sqrt{1 - \rho^2})^{-1} \times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \left( (\frac{x - \mu_X}{\sigma_X})^2 - 2\rho (\frac{x - \mu_X}{\sigma_X}) (\frac{y - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}) + (\frac{y - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y})^2 \right) \right\}$$

We aim to derive the MLEs of  $\mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2, \rho$  when all five parameters are unknown.

One method of finding the MLEs is to use properties of exponential family distributions. Exponential families have the following pdf form:

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x)c(\theta)\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i(\theta)t_i(x)\right)$$

where  $\theta$  is a vector of parameters

We re-arrange the bivariate normal pdf to show exponential family form:

$$\begin{split} f(x,y|\mu_X,\mu_Y,\sigma_X^2,\sigma_Y^2,\rho) &= (2\pi\sigma_X\sigma_Y\sqrt{1-\rho^2})^{-1} \mathrm{exp} \left\{ \frac{-1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \left( \frac{\mu_X^2}{\sigma_X^2} - \frac{2\rho\mu_X\mu_Y}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y} + \frac{\mu_Y^2}{\sigma_Y^2} \right) \right\} \\ &\times \mathrm{exp} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} (\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X^2} - \frac{\rho\mu_Y}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y})x + \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} (\frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y^2} - \frac{\rho\mu_X}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y})y \right\} \\ &\times \mathrm{exp} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma_X^2(1-\rho^2)} x^2 - -\frac{1}{2\sigma_Y^2(1-\rho^2)} y^2 + \frac{\rho}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y(1-\rho^2)} xy \right\} \end{split}$$

where h(x, y) is 1,

 $c(\theta)$  is the first line in the above equation,

and  $w_i(\theta)$  and  $t_i(x,y)$  are clearly found inside the exponent terms on lines 2 and 3

Casella and Berger discuss the ability to derive MLEs directly from pdfs in exponential family form<sup>1</sup>. We solve the system of k equations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_i(x_j, y_j) = E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_i(x_j, y_j)\right), \text{ for } i = 1, ..., k$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Chapter 7. Point Estimation. Miscellanea pp. 367-368.

The above equations can be solved for  $\hat{w}_i(\theta)$ , or by invariance, any one-to-one function  $g(\hat{w}_i(\theta))$ . The Miscellanea discusses the correspondence between MLEs and method of moments estimators in exponential family distributions.

Therefore the k=5 equations below can be solved to find the MLEs of the bivariate Normal parameters,  $\mu_X$ ,  $\mu_Y$ ,  $\sigma_X^2$ ,  $\sigma_Y^2$ , and  $\rho$ .

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_1(x_j, y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j = E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j\right) = n\mu_X$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_2(x_j, y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j = E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j\right) = n\mu_Y$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_3(x_j, y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^2 = E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^2\right) = n(\sigma_X^2 + \mu_X^2)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_4(x_j, y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j^2 = E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j^2\right) = n(\sigma_Y^2 + \mu_Y^2)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_5(x_j, y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j = E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j\right) = n(\rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y + \mu_X m u_Y)$$

The solutions are found easily for  $\hat{\mu}_X$  and  $\hat{\mu}_Y$  by dividing the first two equations by n. With some algebra, we find the solutions for  $\hat{\sigma}_X^2$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}_Y^2$ , and  $\hat{\rho}$ .

$$\hat{\mu}_{X} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}}{n} = \bar{x}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{Y} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j}}{n} = \bar{y}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{j} - \bar{x})^{2}}{n}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{j} - \bar{y})^{2}}{n}$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{j} - \bar{x})(y_{j} - \bar{y})}{\hat{\sigma}_{Y} \hat{\sigma}_{Y}}$$

## Algorithm setup

Our missing data problem is that some observations are un-matched. In effect we do not estimate  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$  completely, but only partially for some subset of observations, m (m < n).

For the EM algorithm we must choose a representation of our complete data and our partially-observed data. I will use A to represent the vector of complete data quantities, and B to represent the vector of partially-observed data quantities. Formally:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i & \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i \end{bmatrix}$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & \dots & A_4 & \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus the only difference between A and B is the last element; in B we only observe the sum of the product of m terms, rather than n terms.

The following vector,  $\theta$ , represents all unknown parameters for which we aim to find the MLEs given our partially-observed data.

$$\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_X & \mu_Y & \sigma_X^2 & \sigma_Y^2 & \rho \end{bmatrix}$$

We use the iterative technique of the EM algorithm for finding the MLEs. In each iteration there are two steps, the **E-Step** and the **M-Step**. Note there are convenient properties of the EM algorithm when applied to exponential family distributions<sup>2</sup>.

In the **E-Step**, we find the expected value of the sufficient statistics, E[A], given our partially-observed data, B, and some set of parameters,  $\theta^{(p)}$ , at iteration p.

$$E[A|B, \theta^{(p)}] = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i & \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 & E[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i] \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the expected value of the missing data quantity,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$ , is simply the sum of the product of m matched terms added to the expected value of the sum of the product of n-m un-matched terms, using estimates of  $\mu_X$ , ...,  $\sigma_Y$  from the un-matched terms only. This is to enforce a constraint that the resulting estimate of  $\rho$  does not exceed the bounds of [-1,1].

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} | B, \theta^{(p)}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} y_{i} + (n-m) \left(\rho^{(p)} \sigma'_{X} \sigma'_{Y} + \mu'_{X} \mu'_{Y}\right)$$

where  $\sigma_X^{'},\,\sigma_Y^{'},\,\mu_X^{'},\,\mu_X^{'}$  are MLEs obtained from un-matched samples only.

In the **M-Step**, we find the values of  $\theta$  that maximize the likelihood given our partially-observed data. Since the MLEs of  $\mu_X$  and  $\sigma_X^2$  depend only on  $\sum x_i$  and  $\sum x_i^2$  (and likewise for  $\mu_Y$  and  $\sigma_Y^2$ ), the only parameter to update in this step is  $\rho$ .

$$\rho^{(p+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{E[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i] - n\bar{x}\bar{y}}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \right)$$

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{Nan}$  Laird. Handbook of Statistics (1993). Chapter 14: The EM Algorithm. pp. 512-513.