

## 1

Prove that in any Eulerian graph, if there are  $k$  edge-disjoint paths from  $a$  to  $b$ , there are  $k$  edge-disjoint paths from  $b$  to  $a$ :

First define a flow network  $G$  in terms of the input graph. Assign a capacity of 1 to each edge in  $G$ . This graph has some maximum flow of capacity  $m$ . Using the argument from page 353 of the Algorithms Textbook,  $m$  will also be the maximum number of edge-disjoint paths from  $a$  to  $b$ , and  $m \geq k$ .

By the maxflow mincut theorem, the minimum  $(a, b)$  cut of the graph is of capacity  $m$ .

Since this cut is a partitioning into 2 sections of an Eulerian graph, the number of edges from  $L$  to  $R$ , or  $m$ , is equal to the number of edges from  $R$  to  $L$ . This same partitioning is also a  $(b, a)$  cut with capacity  $m$ . It is the smallest  $(b, a)$  cut because if there was a smaller one, it would have capacity less than  $m$ , meaning it would have less than  $m$  incoming or outgoing edges, which would imply there was a smaller  $(a, b)$  cut than the one we found.

Then, again by the maxflow-minicut theorem, the maximum  $(b, a)$  flow is  $m$ , and by the textbook argument, there exists a set of  $m$  edge-disjoint paths in the input graph from  $b$  to  $a$ . Since  $m \geq k$ , there is also a set of  $k$  edge-disjoint paths from  $b$  to  $a$ .

## 2

Proof that any  $d$ -regular bipartite graph has a perfect matching.

Define a flow network  $G$  based on the graph, by adding a vertex  $a$  and  $b$ , connecting  $a$  to all vertices in  $L$ ,  $b$  to all vertices in  $R$ , and setting all edge capacities to 1.

Note that in a  $d$ -regular bipartite graph, there are  $\frac{|V|}{2} = k$  vertices in each of  $L$  and  $R$ . If there were more vertices on one side, there would be too many outgoing edges to cover the fewer vertices on the other side, assuming  $d > 0$ .

Let  $m$  be defined as the maximum  $(a, b)$  flow of  $G$ .

By the maxflow-minicut theorem,  $m$  is also the size of the minimum  $(a, b)$  cut.

I claim that the smallest cut of the graph is of size  $k$ .

The cut  $(\{a\}, G \setminus \{a\})$  is of size  $k$ .

The cut  $(G \setminus \{b\}, \{b\})$  is of size  $k$ .

Any other cut is size  $\geq k$  because it will include at least one of the original (not  $a$  or  $b$ ) vertices in the left partition and in the right partition, as those are the remaining cases.

For any vertex in the right side of the bipartite graph, it will contribute 1 to the cut size. If it is in the  $a$  side of the cut, the edge neighboring it with  $b$  will be counted. If it is in the  $b$  side of the cut, if it is connected to a vertex in the left side of the bipartite graph which is in the  $a$  side of the cut, the