CS/ECE 473 Fall 2020 Homework 4 Problem 2

Ryan Prendergast (ryanp4) Noah Watson (nwatson3) Lawson Probasco (lawsonp2)

(a) Solution:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[X] &= \mathbf{E}[X_1 + \ldots + X_n] = \Sigma_{i=1}^n \, \mathbf{E}[X+i] & \text{linearity of expectation} \\ &= \Sigma_{i=1}^n \Sigma_x x p (1-p)^{i-1} & \text{defn. of E} \\ &= \Sigma i = 1^n \frac{1-p}{p} & \text{expectation for geometric} \\ &= \frac{n(1-p)}{p} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[X^2] &= \mathbf{E}[(X_1 + \ldots + X_n)^2] & X = \Sigma_i X_i \\ &= \mathbf{E}[X_1^2 + X_1 X_1 + \ldots + X_n^2] \\ &= \Sigma_{i=1}^n \, \mathbf{E}[X_i^2] + \Sigma_{i,j \in |n|, i \neq j}^n \, \mathbf{E}[X_i X_j] & \text{linearity of expectation} \\ \mathbf{E}[X]^2 &= (\mathbf{E}[X_1] + \ldots + \mathbf{E}[X_2])^2 & \text{linearity of expectation} \\ &= \Sigma_i = 1^n \, \mathbf{E}[X + i]^2 + \Sigma_{i,j \in |n|, i \neq j}^n \, \mathbf{E}[X_i X_j] & \text{indep. of } X_i \, X_i \, i \neq j \\ Var(X) &= \mathbf{E}[X^2] - \mathbf{E}[X]^2 & \text{defn. of var in terms of E} \\ &= \Sigma_i = 1^n \, \mathbf{E}[X_i^2] - \Sigma_{i=1}^n \, \mathbf{E}[X_i]^2 & \mathbf{E}[X^2] \, \text{and } \mathbf{E}[X]^2 \, \text{from above} \\ &= \Sigma_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{E}[X_i^2] - \mathbf{E}[X_i]^2) & \\ &= \Sigma_{i=1}^n Var(X_i) & \end{split}$$

- (b) **Solution:** When applying Chebyschev's inequality $Pr[|(X E[X])] \ge \epsilon]$ to $Pr[X \ge c E[X]]$, because X is always positive (as is E[X] naturally), there are two cases for the absolute value. X E[X] where X >= E[X] >= 0 is a positive or zero value, and thus would never deviate from |X E[X]|.
 - $X-\mathrm{E}[X]$ where $0<=X<\mathrm{E}[X]$ is a negative value v in the range $\mathrm{E}[X]<=v<0$. Thus, in order for Chebyschev's to be applied to $(X-\mathrm{E}[X])$, ϵ must satisfy $\epsilon \geq -\mathrm{E}[X]$, such that there is no difference of evaluation of $|X-\mathrm{E}[X]| \geq \epsilon$ and $X-\mathrm{E}[X] \geq \epsilon$. Because $\epsilon = c\,\mathrm{E}[X]$ satisfies this requirement for $c \geq 2$, we get $Pr[X \geq \mathrm{E}[X]] \leq \frac{Var(X)}{\mathrm{E}[X]^2}$ applying Chebyschev's, and using values from the previous part.
- (c) **Solution:** The event X > t is describes the occurrence in which X > t flips are required to yield n flips of heads. As a result, by definition this means that the first t flips yieled fewer than n heads, e.g. Y < n. As a result, Pr[X > t] = Pr[Y < n]. Considering the case where Pr[X = t], there are $\binom{t-1}{n-1}$ ways to partition the t flips into n groups (dilineated by a successful flip of heads, using stars and bars). Each of these ways to partition have probability $p^n(1-p)^{t-n}$ (based on number of successes and failures), therefore $Pr[X = t] = \binom{t-1}{n-1}p^n(1-p)^{t-n}$. Pr[Y = n] is modeled by a binomial distribution,

thus $Pr[Y=n]=\binom{t}{n}p^n(1-p)^{t-n}$ This leads to $Pr[X=t]\leq Pr[Y=n]$, and thus $Pr[X\geq t]\leq Pr[Y\leq n]$.

(d) **Solution:** In this application, $\epsilon = c - 1$, e.g. is ϵ is over the bound $\epsilon \geq 1$. Applying part 1c i for the first applicable portion of this bound, we can say that $Pr[X \geq c \, \mathrm{E}[X]] \leq e^{-(c-1)^2 \, \mathrm{E}[X]/4}$ for $2 \leq c \leq 2 + \ln 4$. Similarly for second portion of the bound of c, we can apply part 1c ii and say that $Pr[X \geq c \, \mathrm{E}[X]] \leq 2^{-(c-1)\, \mathrm{E}[X]/2}$ for $c \geq \ln 4$, and thus we have bound $Pr[X \geq c \, \mathrm{E}[X]]$ for $c \geq 2$.