

For each of the hypothesis testing problems below, you need to do the following: (1) explicitly define your null hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_0$  and alternative hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_1$ ; (2) calculate and report the observed  $t$ -score; (3) calculate and report the resulting Bayes factor; and (4) calculate and report the posterior probability of the “winning” model (i.e., the model which receives more support from the data).

1. A random sample of  $N = 35$  individuals is selected from a population with a mean of 60, and a treatment is administered to each individual in the sample. After treatment, the sample mean is found to be  $\bar{X} = 60.2$  with  $SS = 296$ . Based on the sample data, can we conclude that the treatment results in a meaningful score change?
2. To evaluate the effect of a treatment, a sample is obtained from a population with a mean of 20 and the treatment is administered to the individuals in the sample. After treatment, the sample mean is found to be  $\bar{X} = 17.7$  with a standard deviation of  $\hat{\sigma} = 3$ .
  - (a) If the sample consists of  $N = 16$  individuals, are the data sufficient to conclude that the treatment decreases scores?
  - (b) If the sample consists of  $N = 36$  individuals, are the data sufficient to conclude that the treatment decreases scores?
  - (c) Comparing your answers for parts (a) and (b), how does the size of the sample influence the size of the obtained Bayes factor?
3. A sample of  $N = 9$  individuals participates in a repeated measures study that produces a sample mean difference of  $\bar{X} = 4.25$  with  $SS = 128$  for the difference scores. Is this mean difference large enough to be considered a real positive effect?
4. Two separate samples receive two different treatments. The first treatment group ( $N = 15$ ) has a mean of 50 with  $SS = 210$ . The second treatment group ( $N = 9$ ) has a mean of 56 with  $SS = 190$ . Does the second treatment result in larger scores than the first treatment?