Week 3 lecture notes - PSYC 3435

Jan 30-Feb 3, 2017

Building a good research question

- Suppose we want to claim that people perform best with a good night of sleep
 - 1. must FOCUS the idea
 - break more general idea into smaller, more specific ideas
 - * what do we mean by "performance" academic? physical?
 - * what do we mean by "good night of sleep" 8 hours? uninterrupted? 3 hours of REM?
 - develop underlying theoretical model
 - * since consolidation of memories happens during REM sleep, we can predict that getting more REM sleep should lead to better recall
 - 2. must EVALUATE the idea
 - ROT test is it:
 - * replicable?
 - * observable?
 - * testable?
 - 3. TEST the idea
 - what are the variables of interest?
 - what is the hypothesized relationship between these variables?
 - how should we test it?

Some definitions

- Operational definition a definition of an abstract concept that is formulated in terms of how the concept is being measured
 - Example: define "memory ability" as score on a memory test
- External validity the degree to which results of a study apply (generalize) to individuals/behaviors outside context of the study
- Internal validity the degree to which a study provides causal information about behavior
- Reliability the degree to which the results of a study can be replicated under similar conditions

General research methods

- 1. Naturalistic observation: observation and description within a natural setting
 - high external validity
 - hard to do well
 - reactivity effects
 - takes a long time
 - need multiple observers
- 2. Survey methods questionnaires/interviews that ask people to provide information about themselves
 - widely used
 - best way to collect particular kinds of data (descriptive data, preferences, etc.)
 - large amounts of data very quickly
 - difficult to do correctly with high validity
- 3. Archival data examine existing public/private records
- 4. Note: these are all called "observation without manipulation"
 - Advantages:

- (a) can observe complex patterns of behavior
- (b) useful when little is known about subject of study
- (c) may learn something new that would never have been predicted
- Disadvantages:
 - (a) cannot establish causality
 - (b) threats to internal validity
 - lots of "confounds"
 - lots of alternative explanations
 - (c) sometimes results are not reproducible
- 5. Correlational methods measure two (or more) variables for each individual and see if the variables co-vary (suggesting they are related)
 - used for
 - making predictions
 - establishing reliability and validity
 - problem: cannot make causal claims!
- 6. Experiments manipulating and controlling variables in laboratory experiments
 - involves some type of comparison
 - at least two groups that get compared (random assignment to groups)
 - quasi-experiment groups NOT randomly assigned
 - types of variables
 - Independent variable (IV) variable that is manipulated
 - Dependent variable (DV) variable that is measured
 - Control variable held constant for all participants in experiment (either through explicit control or randomization)