Introduction to Bindings and Identifiers

January 28, 2014

Riccardo Pucella

Last time

 Core of an interpreter for a simple mathematical call-by-value language

- Call-by-value is an evaluation strategy
- It answers the question of when to reduce expressions during evaluation
 - Call-by-value: before you invoke a primitive operation (or a defined function)
 - Call-by-name, call-by-need (homework)

```
= EInt of int
datatype expr
               EVec of int list
                EAdd of expr * expr
                ESub of
                        Values:
                ENeg o
                        fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
                           \mid eval (EVec v) = VVec v
datatype value = VInt of int
                 VVec of int list
```

```
datatype expr = EInt of int

| EVec of int list
| EAdd of expr * expr
| ESub of expr * expr
| EMul of expr * expr
| ENeg of expr
```

Primitive operations (functions):

```
| eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
| eval (ESub (e,f)) = applySub (eval e) (eval f)
...
```

```
datatype expr = EInt of int

| EVec of int list

| EAdd of expr * expr

| ESub of expr * expr

| EMul of expr * expr

| ENeg of expr
```

Primitive operations (functions):

call-by-value

```
| eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
| eval (ESub (e,f)) = applySub (eval e) (eval f)
```

• • •

```
datatype expr = EInt of int
              | EVec of int list
              | EAdd of expr * expr
              | EMul of expr * expr
              | EBool of bool
              | EAnd of expr * expr
                EIf of expr * expr * expr
datatype value = VInt of int
               | VVec of int list
                | VBool of bool
```

```
if (true and false)
      then 1 + 2
    else 1 + 3
    EIf (EAnd (EBool true, EBool false),
         EAdd (EInt 1, EInt 2),
         EAdd (EInt 1, EInt 3))
da
```

```
da
    1 + (if (true and false)
           then 2
         else 3)
    EAdd (EInt 1,
          EIf (EAnd (EBool true, EBool false),
               EInt 2,
da
               EInt 3))
```

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  | eval (EVec v) = VVec v
  | eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EMul (e,f)) = applyMul (eval e) (eval f)
```

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  | eval (EVec v) = VVec v
  | eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EMul (e,f)) = applyMul (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EBool b) = VBool b
  | eval (EAnd (e,f)) = applyAnd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EIf (e,f,g)) = evalIf (eval e) f g
```

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i

| fun applyAnd (VBool b) (VBool c) = VBool (b andalso c)
| applyAnd _ _ = raise TypeError "applyAnd"

| eval (EAnd (e,f)) = applyAnd (eval e) (eval f)
| eval (EIf (e,f,g)) = evalIf (eval e) f g
```

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  \mid eval (EVec v) = VVec v
  | eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EMul (e,f)) = applyMul (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EBool b) = VBool b
  | eval (EAnd (e,f)) = applyAnd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EIf (e,f,g)) = evalIf (eval e) f g
and evalIf (VBool true) f g = eval f
  | evalIf (VBool false) f g = eval g
  | evalIf _ _ _ = raise TypeError "evalIf"
```

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  | eval (EVec v) = \frac{1}{2}
   eval (EAdd (e, EIf is a special form
  | eval (EMul (e,
  | eval (EBool b) (doesn't follow evaluation strategy)
  | eval (EAnd (e,f)) = applyAnd (eval <u>e) (eval</u>f)
  | eval (EIf (e,f,g)) = evalIf (eval e) f g
and evalIf (VBool true) f g = eval f
    evalIf (VBool false) f g = eval g
   evalIf _ _ _ = raise TypeError "evalIf"
```

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  \mid eval (EVec v) = VVec v
   eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
   eval (EMul (e,f)) = applyMul (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EBool b) = VBool b
  | eval (EAnd (e,f)) = applyAnd (eval e) (eval f)
  \mid eval (EIf (e,f,g)) =
       (case (eval e) of
           VBool true => eval f
         | VBool false => eval g
         | _ => raise TypeError "eval/EIf")
```

Special forms arise whenever you want to bypass the call-by-value evaluation mechanism.

Consider the Boolean operation and:

true and true = ?

Special forms arise whenever you want to bypass the call-by-value evaluation mechanism.

Consider the Boolean operation and:

true and false = ?

Special forms arise whenever you want to bypass the call-by-value evaluation mechanism.

Consider the Boolean operation and:

false and true = ?

Special forms arise whenever you want to bypass the call-by-value evaluation mechanism.

Consider the Boolean operation and:

false and whatever = ?

Special forms arise whenever you want to bypass the call-by-value evaluation mechanism.

Consider the Boolean operation and:

false and *whatever* = false

No need to evaluate whatever

Special forms arise whenever you want to bypass the call-by-value evaluation mechanism.

Consider the Boolean operation and:

true and whatever = ?

Short-circuiting Boolean operations

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  | eval (EVec v) = VVec v
  | eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EMul (e,f)) = applyMul (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EBool b) = VBool b
  | eval (EAnd (e,f)) = applyAnd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EIf (e,f,g)) = evalIf (eval e) f g
```

Short-circuiting Boolean operations

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  \mid eval (EVec v) = VVec v
  | eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EMul (e,f)) = applyMul (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EBool b) = VBool b
  | eval (EAnd (e,f)) = evalAnd (eval e) f
  \mid eval (EIf (e,f,g)) = evalIf (eval e) f g
and evalAnd (VBool true) f = eval f
  | evalAnd (VBool false) f = VBool false
  | evalAnd _ _ = raise TypeError "evalAnd"
```

Functions versus special forms

- Some things just have to be special forms in a call-by-value language
 - o conditionals, while loops, etc
 - o in general: control flow is a special form
- Other things are up to the designer
 - o and, or
 - 0 *?

- BUT: the less uniform the evaluation model, the more difficult the language is to use
 - o hard to predict what will be evaluated when

Let bindings

Introduce a way to give a local name to an expression

let
$$x = 10 + 10$$

in $x * x$

What do we need in our internal representation?

Let bindings

Let bindings

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  | eval (EVec v) = VVec v
  | eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EMul (e,f)) = applyMul (eval e) (eval f)
```

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  | eval (EVec v) = VVec v
  | eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EMul (e,f)) = applyMul (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (ELet (id,e,f)) = evalLet id e f
  | eval (EIdent id) = ??
and evalLet id exp body = ??
```

The substitution model

A let gives a local name to an expression

let
$$x = 10 + 10$$

in $x * x$

The substitution model

A let gives a local name to an expression

let
$$x = 10 + 10$$

in $(10 + 10) * (10 + 10)$

substitute x with 10 + 10...

The substitution model

A let gives a local name to an expression

$$(10 + 10) * (10 + 10)$$

substitute x with 10 + 10... and get rid of the let

Nested bindings

```
let x = 10
let y = 20
in x * y
```

Nested bindings

```
let y = 20 in 10 * y
```

Nested bindings

10 * 20

```
let x = 10
let y = x
in x * y
```

```
let y = 10
in 10 * y
```

10 * 10

```
let x = 10
  let y = x
  let x = 30
  in x * y
```

An identifier always refers to the nearest enclosing definition

```
let x = 10
let y = x
let x = 30
in x * y
```

Substituting for x is "blocked" by a let for x

```
let y = 10
let x = 30
in x * y
```

```
let x = 30
in x * 10
```

30 * 10

```
fun subst (EInt i) id e =
  | subst (EVec v) id e =
  | subst (EAdd (f,g)) id e =
  | subst (EMul (f,g)) id e =
  | subst (ELet (id',f,g)) id e =
  | subst (EIdent id') id e =
```

```
fun subst (EInt i) id e = EInt i
  | subst (EVec v) id e =
  \mid subst (EAdd (f,g)) id e =
  | subst (EMul (f,g)) id e =
  \mid subst (ELet (id',f,g)) id e =
  | subst (EIdent id') id e =
```

```
fun subst (EInt i) id e = EInt i
  | subst (EVec v) id e = EVec v
  \mid subst (EAdd (f,g)) id e =
  | subst (EMul (f,g)) id e =
  | subst (ELet (id',f,g)) id e =
  | subst (EIdent id') id e =
```

```
fun subst (EInt i) id e = EInt i
  | subst (EVec v) id e = EVec v
  | subst (EAdd (f,g)) id e =
       EAdd (subst f id e, subst g id e)
  | subst (EMul (f,g)) id e =
  | subst (ELet (id',f,g)) id e =
  | subst (EIdent id') id e =
```

```
fun subst (EInt i) id e = EInt i
  \mid subst (EVec v) id e = EVec v
  | subst (EAdd (f,g)) id e =
       EAdd (subst f id e, subst g id e)
  | subst (EMul (f,g)) id e =
       EMul (subst f id e, subst g id e)
  | subst (ELet (id',f,g)) id e =
```

| subst (EIdent id') id e =

```
fun subst (EInt i) id e = EInt i
  \mid subst (EVec v) id e = EVec v
  | subst (EAdd (f,g)) id e =
       EAdd (subst f id e, subst g id e)
  | subst (EMul (f,g)) id e =
       EMul (subst f id e, subst g id e)
  | subst (ELet (id',f,g)) id e =
       if id = id'
         then ELet (id', subst f id e, g)
       else ELet (id', subst f id e, subst g id e)
  | subst (EIdent id') id e =
```

```
fun subst (EInt i) id e = EInt i
  \mid subst (EVec v) id e = EVec v
  | subst (EAdd (f,g)) id e =
       EAdd (subst f id e, subst g id e)
  | subst (EMul (f,g)) id e =
       EMul (subst f id e, subst g id e)
  | subst (ELet (id',f,g)) id e =
       if id = id'
         then ELet (id', subst f id e, g)
       else ELet (id', subst f id e, subst g id e)
  | subst (EIdent id') id e =
       if id = id'
         then e
       else EIdent id'
```

Evaluation function

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  | eval (EVec v) = VVec v
  | eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EMul (e,f)) = applyMul (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (ELet (id,e,f)) = evalLet id e f
  | eval (EIdent id) = ??
and evalLet id exp body = ??
```

Evaluation function

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  | eval (EVec v) = VVec v
  | eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EMul (e,f)) = applyMul (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (ELet (id,e,f)) = evalLet id e f
  | eval (EIdent id) = ??
and evalLet id exp body = eval (subst body id exp)
```

Evaluation function

```
fun eval (EInt i) = VInt i
  | eval (EVec v) = VVec v
  | eval (EAdd (e,f)) = applyAdd (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (EMul (e,f)) = applyMul (eval e) (eval f)
  | eval (ELet (id,e,f)) = evalLet id e f
  | eval (EIdent id) = raise EvalError "eval/EIdent"
and evalLet id exp body = eval (subst body id exp)
```

The substitution model is not call-by-value

In call-by-values languages, like SML:

let
$$x = 10 + 10$$

in $x * x$

The substitution model is not call-by-value

In call-by-values languages, like SML:

```
let x = 20
in x * x
```

The substitution model is not call-by-value

In call-by-values languages, like SML:

```
let x = 20
in 20 * 20
```

The substitution model is not call-by-value

In call-by-values languages, like SML:

20 * 20

The substitution model is not call-by-value

In call-by-values languages, like SML:

400

The substitution model is not call-by-value

In call-by-values languages, like SML:

Your task for next time

modify the interpreter to implement a callby-value substitution

```
evalLet id exp body = eval (subst body id (eval exp))
```