Mutable State

February 27, 2020

Riccardo Pucella

What we've done till now

Object languages:

- simple calculator language
- added first-class recursive functions (FUNC)
 - That language is Turing-complete
 - It can implement every possible computable function

Aside: I showed last time that we need only single-argument anonymous functions, function calls, and conditionals. This is essentially the lambda calculus.

What's next?

Mutable state (and in general, side effects)

We add variables whose value can be changed during a computation

Every function that refers to that variable will see the change in value

Two approaches to mutable state

ML style: add a special class of values representing mutable variables

C style: every identifier is a mutable variable

C-style mutable state can be syntactically translated to ML-style mutable state

ML-style mutable state

New kind of value: reference cell

A reference cell is a box that contains a value

You can pass the reference cell around You can get the reference cell's content You can put content in the reference cell

ML-style mutable state: API

(ref 10) create a reference cell with initial content 10

(get r) get content of reference cell r

(put r 20) put 20 into reference cell r

ML-style mutable state: API

```
(ref 10) create a reference cell with initial content 10
```

(get r) get content of reference cell r

(put r 20) put 20 into reference cell r

You do not call this to get a value You call this to perform an action!

New value: VRefCell

```
class VRefCell (val init : Value) extends Value {
 var content = init
 override def toString () : String =
      "ref(" + content + ")"
 override def isRefCell () : Boolean = true
 override def getRefContent () : Value = content
  override def putRefContent (v:Value) : Unit = {
    content = v
```

Primop to create a reference cell

Bound to identifier ref

```
def operRefCell (vs:List[Value]) : Value = {
    checkArgsLength(vs,1,1)
    val init = vs(0)
    return new VRefCell(init)
}
```

Primop to get from a reference cell

Bound to identifier get

```
def operGetRefCell (vs:List[Value]) : Value = {
    checkArgsLength(vs,1,1)
    val r = vs(0)
    r.checkRefCell()
    return r.getRefContent()
}
```

Primop to put into a reference cell

Bound to identifier put

```
def operPutRefCell (vs:List[Value]) : Value = {
    checkArgsLength(vs,2,2)
    val r = vs(0)
    val v = vs(1)
    r.checkRefCell()
    r.putRefContent(v)
    return VNone
}
```

Primop to put into a reference cell

Bound to identifier put

```
def operPutRefCell (vs:List[Value]) : Value = {
    checkArgsLength(vs,2,2)
    val r = vs(0)
    val v = vs(1)
    r.checkRefCell()
    r.putRefContent(v)
    return VNone
}

New value meaning
"no value"!
```

Sequencing

Performing an action does not return a value

In order to mix action-performing expressions (sometimes known as *statements*) and other expressions, it helps to have a way perform a sequence of actions *before* returning a value

(do e1 e2 e3 ... e)

EDo

```
class EDo (val es : List[Exp]) extends Exp {
   def eval (env : Env) : Value = {
      var v : Value = VNone
      for (e <- es) {
       v = e.eval(env)
      return v
```

Other primitive operations

While we're at it, we can introduce other operations that perform *actions* like operSetRefCell, such as printing values Bound to identifier print

```
def operPrint (vs:List[Value]) : Value = {
    for (v <- vs) {
       print(v)
       print(" ")
    }
    println(" ")
    return VNone
}</pre>
```

Example

```
(let ((result (ref 0)))
  (do (print (get result))
        (put result 10)
        (print (get result))
        (put result (+ (get result) 30))
        (get result)))
```

Actions cause side effects

And side effects are observable

Order of evaluation is now relevant!

This evaluates to 10 left-to-right, but 20 right to left!

Iteration

Once you have mutable state, other control structures for performing actions become reasonable.

A while loop repeats a sequence of actions until a particular condition is true.

(What is the equivalent in a functional world?)

EWhile

```
class EWhile (val cond: Exp, val body: Exp) extends Exp {
   def eval (env : Env) : Value = {
      var vc = cond.eval(env)
      vc.checkBoolean()
     while (vc.getBool()) {
       val v = body.eval(env) // result never used
       vc = cond.eval(env)
       vc.checkBoolean()
      return VNone
```

Example

EDo as a syntactic transformation

Assuming eager evaluation

```
(do e1 e2 e3 ... e)
  (let ((unused e1))
      (let ((unused e2))
         (let ((unused e3))
               e)))
```

EWhile as a syntactic transformation

```
(while cond exp) =
       (let ((while (fun w ()
                         (if cond (do exp
                                       (W))
                                   none))))
          (while))
```

Analysis

- It is entirely straightforward to implement mutable state via reference cells
- But it's heavy
 - You need to identify the things you want to mutate
 - You need to explicitly "get" a cell to get to its value
 - Expressions using the content of reference cells become hard to read
- Best approach when most of your code is functional and you need just a little bit of state (e.g., React)

C-style mutable state

By default, every binding is mutable

C-style mutable state for us

Our previous example with all bindings mutable:

Option 1: Modify environments

Requires changing how we evaluate the abstract representation

Change implementation of environments so that we can update the value of a binding

Pretty straightforward

Some subtleties: we have to make sure we don't copy environments and lose sharing

Option 2: Syntactic transformation

No change to the evaluation mechanism

Transform code into an abstract representation with explicit reference cells

Intuition:

- every binding uses a reference cell
- x in an expression is basically (get x)
- (set x E) is basically (put x E)

Transformation function

Transformation of a surface syntax expression into an expression using explicit reference cells: [[expr]]

```
[ integer ] = integer
[ boolean ] = boolean

[ (if expr1 expr2 expr3) ]] = (if [[expr1]] [[expr2]] [[expr3]])

[ (expr1 expr2 ...) ]] = ([[expr1]] [[expr2]] ...)

[ (do expr1 ... exprk) ]] = (do [[expr1]] ... [[exprk]])

[ (while expr1 expr2) ]] = (while [[expr1]] [[expr2]])
```

Transformation function

Interesting cases:

```
[[ identifier ]] = (get identifier)
[[(fun (s1 ...) expr)]] = (fun (s1 ...)
                              (let ((s1 (ref s1)) ...)
                                  [[expr]])
[[(let ((s1 \ expr1) \ ...) \ expr)]] = (let ((s1 \ (ref \ [[expr1]])) \ ...)
                                        [expr]
[[(set identifier expr)]] = (put identifier [expr])
```