Combinatory Logic / Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus

FOCS, Fall 2020

Combinators

A combinator is a lambda calculus expression that contains no free identifier

- All identifiers refer to parameters in enclosing abstractions

All encodings we have seen are combinators

$$< x y \rightarrow x >$$

 $< x y \rightarrow < s \rightarrow (s x) y >>$
 $< f x \rightarrow f (f (f x)) >$

Combinatory logic

One of the simplest systems

Expressions:

- I, K, S are expressions
- M N is an expression if M, N are expressions

Simplification rules:

- ((S a) b) c = (a c) (b c)
- (K a) b = a
- |a=a|

$$\mathbf{S} = \langle x \ y \ z \rightarrow (x \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \langle x \ y \rightarrow x \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{I} = \langle x \rightarrow x \rangle$$

$$((\mathbf{S} \ a) \ b) \ c =$$

$$S = \langle x \ y \ z \rightarrow (x \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle$$

$$K = \langle x \ y \rightarrow x \rangle$$

$$I = \langle x \rightarrow x \rangle$$

$$((S \ a) \ b) \ c = ((\langle x \ y \ z \rightarrow (x \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle \ a) \ b) \ c$$

S =
$$\langle x \ y \ z \rightarrow (x \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle$$

K = $\langle x \ y \rightarrow x \rangle$
I = $\langle x \rightarrow x \rangle$
((**S** a) b) c = (($\langle x \ y \ z \rightarrow (x \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle \ a) \ b) \ c$
= ($\langle y \ z \rightarrow (a \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle \ b) \ c$

S =
$$\langle x \ y \ z \rightarrow (x \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle$$

K = $\langle x \ y \rightarrow x \rangle$
I = $\langle x \rightarrow x \rangle$
((**S** a) b) c = (($\langle x \ y \ z \rightarrow (x \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle \ a) \ b) \ c$
= ($\langle y \ z \rightarrow (a \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle \ b) \ c$
= $\langle z \rightarrow (a \ z) \ (b \ z) \rangle \ c$

S =
$$\langle x \ y \ z \rightarrow (x \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle$$

K = $\langle x \ y \rightarrow x \rangle$
I = $\langle x \rightarrow x \rangle$
((**S** a) b) c = (($\langle x \ y \ z \rightarrow (x \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle \ a) \ b) \ c$
= ($\langle y \ z \rightarrow (a \ z) \ (y \ z) \rangle \ b) \ c$
= $\langle z \rightarrow (a \ z) \ (b \ z) \rangle \ c$
= (a c) (b c)

Combinatory logic is Turing-complete

A computational model is Turing-complete if it can simulate Turing machines

The lambda calculus is Turing-complete:

- you can implement Q1 from Homework 4 in the lambda calculus.
- you can implement it with combinators

Combinatory logic is Turing-complete:

you can implement any closed lambda expressions

Translation algorithm

```
< x \rightarrow x> \Rightarrow I

< x \rightarrow M> \Rightarrow K M (if x not free in M)

< x \rightarrow M N> \Rightarrow (S < x \rightarrow M>) < x \rightarrow N>
```

Repeatedly translate abstractions until none remain

$$\langle x \rightarrow \langle y \rightarrow y \rangle \rangle =$$

$$\langle x \rightarrow \langle y \rightarrow y \ x \rangle \rangle = \langle x \rightarrow (S \langle y \rightarrow y \rangle) \langle y \rightarrow x \rangle \rangle$$

Example |

$$\langle x \rightarrow \langle y \rightarrow y | x \rangle \rangle = \langle x \rightarrow (S \langle y \rightarrow y \rangle) \langle y \rightarrow x \rangle \rangle$$

= $\langle x \rightarrow (S | I) \langle y \rightarrow x \rangle \rangle$

$$\langle x \rightarrow \langle y \rightarrow y | x \rangle \rangle = \langle x \rightarrow (S \langle y \rightarrow y \rangle) \langle y \rightarrow x \rangle \rangle$$

= $\langle x \rightarrow (S | I) \langle y \rightarrow x \rangle \rangle$
= $\langle x \rightarrow (S | I) (K | x) \rangle$

$$< x \rightarrow < y \rightarrow y \ x>> = < x \rightarrow (S < y \rightarrow y>) < y \rightarrow x>>$$

= $< x \rightarrow (S I) < y \rightarrow x>>$
= $< x \rightarrow (S I) (K x)>$
= $(S < x \rightarrow S I>) < x \rightarrow K x>$

```
< x \rightarrow < y \rightarrow y \ x>> = < x \rightarrow (S < y \rightarrow y>) < y \rightarrow x>>
= < x \rightarrow (S I) < y \rightarrow x>>
= < x \rightarrow (S I) (K x)>
= (S < x \rightarrow S I>) < x \rightarrow K x>
= (S (K (S I))) < x \rightarrow K x>
```

```
<\mathsf{x} \to <\mathsf{y} \to \mathsf{y} \; \mathsf{x}>> = <\mathsf{x} \to (\mathbf{S} <\mathsf{y} \to \mathsf{y}>) <\mathsf{y} \to \mathsf{x}>>
= <\mathsf{x} \to (\mathbf{S} | \mathsf{I}) <\mathsf{y} \to \mathsf{x}>>
= <\mathsf{x} \to (\mathbf{S} | \mathsf{I}) (\mathbf{K} | \mathsf{x})>
= (\mathbf{S} <\mathsf{x} \to \mathbf{S} | \mathsf{I}>) <\mathsf{x} \to \mathbf{K} | \mathsf{x}>
= (\mathbf{S} (\mathbf{K} (\mathbf{S} | \mathsf{I}))) <\mathsf{x} \to \mathbf{K} | \mathsf{x}>
= (\mathbf{S} (\mathbf{K} (\mathbf{S} | \mathsf{I}))) ((\mathbf{S} <\mathsf{x} \to \mathbf{K}>) <\mathsf{x} \to \mathsf{x}>)
```

```
<\mathsf{x} \to <\mathsf{y} \to \mathsf{y} \; \mathsf{x}>> = <\mathsf{x} \to (\mathsf{S} <\mathsf{y} \to \mathsf{y}>) <\mathsf{y} \to \mathsf{x}>>
= <\mathsf{x} \to (\mathsf{S} \mathsf{I}) <\mathsf{y} \to \mathsf{x}>>
= <\mathsf{x} \to (\mathsf{S} \mathsf{I}) (\mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{x})>
= (\mathsf{S} <\mathsf{x} \to \mathsf{S} \mathsf{I}>) <\mathsf{x} \to \mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{x}>
= (\mathsf{S} (\mathsf{K} (\mathsf{S} \mathsf{I}))) <\mathsf{x} \to \mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{x}>
= (\mathsf{S} (\mathsf{K} (\mathsf{S} \mathsf{I}))) ((\mathsf{S} <\mathsf{x} \to \mathsf{K}>) <\mathsf{x} \to \mathsf{x}>)
= (\mathsf{S} (\mathsf{K} (\mathsf{S} \mathsf{I}))) ((\mathsf{S} (\mathsf{K} \mathsf{K})) <\mathsf{x} \to \mathsf{x}>)
```

```
< x \rightarrow < y \rightarrow y \ x>> = < x \rightarrow (S < y \rightarrow y>) < y \rightarrow x>>
= < x \rightarrow (S I) < y \rightarrow x>>
= < x \rightarrow (S I) (K x)>
= (S < x \rightarrow S I>) < x \rightarrow K x>
= (S (K (S I))) < x \rightarrow K x>
= (S (K (S I))) ((S < x \rightarrow K>) < x \rightarrow x>)
= (S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) < x \rightarrow x>)
= (S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) I)
```

Validation: (((S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) I)) a) b = b a

(((S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) I)) a) b

```
(((S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) I)) a) b
= (((K (S I)) a) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
```

```
(((S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) I)) a) b
= (((K (S I)) a) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
= ((S I) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
```

```
(((S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) I)) a) b
= (((K (S I)) a) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
= ((S I) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
= (I b) ((((S (K K)) I) a) b)
```

```
(((S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) I)) a) b
= (((K (S I)) a) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
= ((S I) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
= (I b) ((((S (K K)) I) a) b)
= b ((((S (K K)) I) a) b)
```

```
(((S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) I)) a) b
= (((K (S I)) a) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
= ((S I) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
= (I b) ((((S (K K)) I) a) b)
= b ((((S (K K)) I) a) b)
= b ((((K K) a) (I a)) b)
```

```
(((S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) I)) a) b
= (((K (S I)) a) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
= ((S I) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
= (I b) ((((S (K K)) I) a) b)
= b ((((S (K K)) I) a) b)
= b ((((K K) a) (I a)) b)
= b ((K (I a)) b)
```

```
(((S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) I)) a) b

= (((K (S I)) a) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b

= ((S I) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b

= (I b) ((((S (K K)) I) a) b)

= b ((((S (K K)) I) a) b)

= b ((((K K) a) (I a)) b)

= b ((K (I a)) b)

= b (I a)
```

```
(((S (K (S I))) ((S (K K)) I)) a) b
     = (((K (S I)) a) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
     = ((S I) (((S (K K)) I) a)) b
     = (I b) ((((S (K K)) I) a) b)
     = b ((((S (K K)) I) a) b)
     = b ((((K K) a) (I a)) b)
     = b ((\mathbf{K} (\mathbf{I} a)) b)
     = b (\mathbf{I} a)
     = b a
```

Simply-typed lambda calculus

A model for understanding modern programming languages

- 1) Start with the lambda calculus
- 2) Add integers + some interesting constants
 - 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., -1, -2, -3, ... are expressions succ is an expression
- 3) Add special specific simplifications rules for constants

$$succ i = i + 1$$

Simply-typed lambda calculus

Expressions M, N:

```
X, Y, Z, ...
     0, 1, 2, 3, ..., -1, -2, -3, ...
     SUCC
     \langle x : T \rightarrow M \rangle (for an expression M)
     MN
                    (for expressions M, N)
Types T, U:
```

 $T \rightarrow U$ (for types T, U)

```
succ2 = \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{succ (succ } x) \rangle
pair = \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \langle y : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \langle s : (\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow (s x) y \rangle \rangle
first = \langle p : ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}) \to p \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \to \langle y : \mathbb{Z} \to x \rangle \rangle
Intuitively:
succ2 has type \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}
pair has type \mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}))
(pair 10) 20 has type (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}
first has type ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}
```

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{succ2} = \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \to \text{succ (succ } x) \rangle \\ & \text{pair} = \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \to \langle y : \mathbb{Z} \to \langle s : (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to (s \ x) \ y \rangle \rangle \rangle \\ & \text{first} = \langle p : ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}) \to p \ \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \to \langle y : \mathbb{Z} \to x \rangle \rangle \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Sample simplifications:

succ2 ((pair 10) 20) = ... = succ (succ
$$\langle s : (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to (s \ 10) \ 20 \rangle$$
)

Type-checking algorithm

Algorithm to get the type of an expression in a context C

Context = assignment of types to identifiers

- *i* has type \mathbb{Z} in any context
- succ has type $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ in any context
- x has type T in context C with (x:T) ∈ C
- <x : T → M> has type T → U in context C if:
 M has type U in context C U {x:T}
- M N has type U in context C if:
 M has type T → U in context C and N has type T in context C

When I don't mention a context, it's the empty context

succ (succ 10) has type \mathbb{Z} (in context \emptyset)

```
succ (succ 10) has type \mathbb{Z} (in context \varnothing) succ has type \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} succ 10 has type \mathbb{Z}
```

```
succ (succ 10) has type \mathbb{Z} (in context \emptyset)
succ has type \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}
succ 10 has type \mathbb{Z}
succ has type \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}
10 has type \mathbb{Z}
```

succ2 = $\langle x : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{succ (succ } x) \rangle$ has type $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

```
succ2 = \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{succ (succ } x) \rangle has type \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} succ (succ x) has type \mathbb{Z} in context \{x : \mathbb{Z}\}
```

```
succ2 = \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \to \text{succ (succ } x) \rangle has type \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} succ (succ x) has type \mathbb{Z} in context \{x : \mathbb{Z}\} succ x has type \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} in context \{x : \mathbb{Z}\}
```

```
succ2 = \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \to \text{succ (succ } x) \rangle has type \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} succ (succ x) has type \mathbb{Z} in context \{x : \mathbb{Z}\} succ has type \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} in context \{x : \mathbb{Z}\} succ x has type \mathbb{Z} in context \{x : \mathbb{Z}\} succ has type \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} in context \{x : \mathbb{Z}\} x has type \mathbb{Z} in context \{x : \mathbb{Z}\}
```

```
\begin{aligned} \textbf{pair} &= \langle \mathbf{x} : \mathbb{Z} \to \langle \mathbf{y} : \mathbb{Z} \to \langle \mathbf{s} : (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to (\mathbf{s} \ \mathbf{x}) \ \mathbf{y} >>> \ \text{has type} \ \mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}) ) \\ &< \mathbf{y} : \mathbb{Z} \to \langle \mathbf{s} : (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to (\mathbf{s} \ \mathbf{x}) \ \mathbf{y} >>> \ \text{has type} \ \mathbb{Z} \to ((\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}) \ \text{in context} \ \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &< \mathbf{s} : (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to (\mathbf{s} \ \mathbf{x}) \ \mathbf{y} >>> \ \text{has type} \ (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z} \ \text{in context} \ \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbf{y} : \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbf{s} : (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \} \\ & \qquad \qquad (\mathbf{s} \ \mathbf{x}) \ \text{has type} \ \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \ \text{in context} \ \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbf{y} : \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbf{s} : (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \} \\ & \qquad \qquad \mathbf{s} \ \text{has type} \ \mathbb{Z} \ \text{in context} \ \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbf{y} : \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbf{s} : (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \} \\ & \qquad \qquad \mathbf{x} \ \text{has type} \ \mathbb{Z} \ \text{in context} \ \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbf{y} : \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbf{s} : (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \} \\ & \qquad \qquad \mathbf{y} \ \text{has type} \ \mathbb{Z} \ \text{in context} \ \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbf{y} : \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbf{s} : (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \} \end{aligned}
```

```
first = \langle p : ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}) \to p \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \to \langle y : \mathbb{Z} \to x \rangle \rangle has type ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} p \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \to \langle y : \mathbb{Z} \to x \rangle \rangle has type \mathbb{Z} in context \{p : ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z})\} p has type (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}) in context \{p : ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z})\} \langle x : \mathbb{Z} \to \langle y : \mathbb{Z} \to x \rangle \rangle has type \mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}) in context \{p : ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})), x : \mathbb{Z}\}\} x has type \mathbb{Z} in context \{p : ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})), x : \mathbb{Z}\}\}
```

```
((pair 10) 20) has type (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}
pair 10 has type \mathbb{Z} \to ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z})
pair has type \mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}))
10 has type \mathbb{Z}
20 has type \mathbb{Z}
```

```
succ2 (first ((pair 10) 20)) has type \mathbb Z
       succ2 has type \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}
       first ((pair 10) 20) has type \mathbb{Z}
               first has type ((\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}
               (pair 10) 20 has type (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}
succ2 ((pair 10) 20) doesn't have a type because:
               succ2 has type \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}
               (pair 10) 20 has type (\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}
```

Type soundness

A value is an expression of the form

```
i
succ
< x : T \rightarrow M >
```

Theorem: if M has type T, then there exists a value N of type T with M=N

In English: if M has type T, then you can simplify M to a value