

# TYPE SYSTEMS

I MENTIONED THAT THE LAMBDA CALCULUS WAS THE FOUNDATION OF THE OCAML PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE (BY WAY OF LISP, AMONG OTHERS)

WORKING ON THE HOMEWORK SHOULD HAVE REINFORCED THAT RELATIONSHIP, SINCE THE CODE YOU ENDED UP WRITING WAS VERY OCAML-LIKE.

WHILE RELATED, THE  $\lambda$  CALCULUS AND OCAML LIVE AT TWO ENDS OF A SPECTRUM



WE ARE GOING TO TALK ABOUT TYPE SYSTEMS TODAY, OF THE KIND FOUND IN OCAML, BY ADDING A TYPE SYSTEM TO THE  $\lambda$  CALCULUS.

TO DO SO, WE FIRST NEED TO SHIFT THE  $\lambda$  CALCULUS A BIT MORE TO THE RIGHT.

WE ADDED THINGS LIKE NATURAL NUMBERS, PAIRS, AND THE LIKE TO THE  $\lambda$  CALCULUS BY WAY OF ENCODINGS. THAT'S GREAT FOR A THEORETICAL MODEL WHERE WE WANT TO SHOW THAT THOSE THINGS ARE JUST DERIVED NOTIONS, BUT NOT SO MUCH FOR MODELLING A PRACTICAL LANGUAGE.

SO WE ADD THE NOTION OF A "VALUE" TO THE  $\lambda$  CALCULUS, WITH PRIMITIVE VALUES LIKE INTEGERS AND BOOLEANS AND ASSOCIATED OPERATIONS, TO FORM AN "APPLIED"  $\lambda$  CALCULUS.

A TERM<sup>\*</sup> OF THE APPLIED  $\lambda$  CALCULUS IS ONE OF :

(<sup>\*</sup> ALSO CALLED AN EXPRESSION)

- AN IDENTIFIER  $x, y, z, \dots$
- A VALUE  $v$
- AN APPLICATION  $MN$   
(WHERE  $M, N$  ARE TERMS)
- AN EXPRESSION  $M+N$  OR  $M*N$   
(WHERE  $M, N$  ARE TERMS)
- A CONDITIONAL IF  $M$  THEN  $N_1$  ELSE  $N_2$   
(WHERE  $M, N_1, N_2$  ARE TERMS)

MEANWHILE, A VALUE IS ONE OF:

- AN INTEGER  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$
- A BOOLEAN TRUE OR FALSE
- A FUNCTION  $(x \rightarrow M)$   
(WHERE  $x$  IS AN IDENTIFIER  
AND  $M$  A TERM)

THIS CAN BE WRITTEN MORE  
SUCINCTLY USING A BNF GRAMMAR

$M, N ::= x \quad x \in \text{IDENT}$   
 $V$   
 $M N$   
 $M + N$   
 $M * N$   
IF  $M$  THEN  $N_1$  ELSE  $N_2$

$V ::= i \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}$   
TRUE  
FALSE  
 $(x \rightarrow M) \quad x \in \text{IDENT}$

SIMPLIFICATION IN THE APPLIED  $\lambda$  CALCULUS,  
CALLED EVALUATION, IS DONE VIA  
THE USUAL SIMPLIFICATION RULE,  
PLUS ADDITIONAL RULES FOR THE  
NEW OPERATIONS  $+$ ,  $*$ , AND IF

$$(x \rightarrow M) N \Rightarrow M \{N/x\}$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} i_1 + i_2 & \Rightarrow & i_3 \\ i_1 * i_2 & \Rightarrow & i_3 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} (i_3 = i_1 + i_2) \\ (i_3 = i_1, i_2) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{IF } \underline{\text{TRUE}} \text{ THEN } N_1 \text{ ELSE } N_2 \Rightarrow N_1 \\ \underline{\text{IF}} \text{ } \underline{\text{FALSE}} \text{ THEN } N_1 \text{ ELSE } N_2 \Rightarrow N_2 \end{array}$$

IN THE APPLIED  $\lambda$  CALCULUS, THE GOAL IS TO TAKE AN EXPRESSION AND EVALUATE IT (SIMPLIFY IT) UNTIL YOU GET A VALUE. THERE MAY BE TWO REASONS YOU CANNOT — YOU ALWAYS CAN EVALUATE, OR YOU GET STUCK ON A TERM YOU CAN NO LONGER EVALUATE BUT IS ALSO NOT A VALUE, LIKE  
IF a THEN 1 ELSE 2

(SINCE WE HAVE NO RULE FOR HOW TO SIMPLIFY AN IF WHEN THE CONDITION IS THE IDENTIFIER a, WE ARE STUCK.)

TYPE SYSTEMS AIM AT RULING OUT EXPRESSIONS THAT WOULD GET STUCK DURING EVALUATION

A TYPE IS A SET OF VALUES

E.G., INT IS THE SET OF ALL INTEGERS.

A VALUE  $V$  HAS TYPE  $T$  I.F.,  
BASICALLY,  $V \in T$ .

A TYPE SYSTEM IS A MECHANISM  
(USUALLY BASED ON RULES) THAT  
ASSIGNS A TYPE TO EVERY  
EXPRESSION.

WE CARE ABOUT TYPE SYSTEMS  
THAT ARE SOUND: IF THE TYPE  
SYSTEM ASSIGNS TYPE  $T$  TO AN  
EXPRESSION, THEN IF EVALUATION  
OF THE EXPRESSION TERMINATES,  
IT YIELDS A VALUE OF TYPE  $T$ .  
IN PARTICULAR, EVALUATION DOES  
NOT GET STUCK.

(IF THE TYPE SYSTEM CAN ASSIGN  
A TYPE TO THE EXPRESSION, WE  
SAY THE EXPRESSION TYPE CHECKS.

IF NOT, THEN IT HAS A TYPE ERROR.)

OCAML USES A SPECIFIC TYPE SYSTEM CALLED THE HINDLEY-MILNER TYPE SYSTEM. (WELL, A VARIATION THEREOF.)

WE ARE GOING TO DEVELOP A SIMPLER TYPE SYSTEM FOR OUR APPLIED  $\lambda$  CALCULUS — THE SIMPLY-TYPED  $\lambda$  CALCULUS.

WE MERELY NEED TO MODIFY OUR SYNTAX FOR FUNCTIONS TO ADD A TYPE ANNOTATION, AND ADD SOME SYNTAX FOR TYPES:

$V ::= \dots$   
 $(x : T \rightarrow M)$  x IDENT

$T, U ::=$   $\text{INT}$   
 $\text{Bool}$   
 $T \rightarrow U$  } TYPES

THE TYPE OF FUNCTIONS TAKING VALUES OF TYPE  $T$  AND YIELDING VALUES OF TYPE  $U$  IS  $T \rightarrow U$ .

HERE IS A FUNCTION OF TYPE  $\text{INT} \rightarrow \text{INT}$ :

$(x : \text{INT} \rightarrow x + 1)$

THE TYPE SYSTEM IS DEFINED VIA A FUNCTION TYPEOF THAT TAKES:

- AN EXPRESSION
- AN ENVIRONMENT  $\Gamma$   
(A MAP FROM IDENTIFIERS TO TYPES, GIVING THE TYPES OF THE AVAILABLE NAMES)

AND EITHER RETURNS THE TYPE ASSIGNED TO THE EXPRESSION OR FAILS IF NO SUCH TYPE CAN BE FOUND.

TYPEOF IS DEFINED RECURSIVELY OVER THE FORM OF THE SYNTAX OF EXPRESSIONS:

$$\text{TYPEOF}(x, \Gamma) = \Gamma(x)$$

$$\text{TYPEOF}(MN, \Gamma)$$

$$= \begin{cases} U & \text{IF TYPEOF}(M, \Gamma) = T \rightarrow U \\ & \text{AND TYPEOF}(N, \Gamma) = T \\ \underline{\text{FAIL}} & \text{OTHERWISE} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TYPEOF}(M+N, \Gamma) &= \begin{cases} \text{INT} & \text{IF TYPEOF}(M, \Gamma) \\ & = \text{TYPEOF}(N, \Gamma) = \text{INT} \\ \underline{\text{FAIL}} & \text{OTHERWISE} \end{cases} \\ \text{TYPEOF}(M * N, \Gamma) &= \begin{cases} \text{INT} & \text{IF TYPEOF}(M, \Gamma) \\ & = \text{TYPEOF}(N, \Gamma) = \text{INT} \\ \underline{\text{FAIL}} & \text{OTHERWISE} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

TYPEOF(IF M THEN N, ELSE N<sub>2</sub>,  $\Gamma$ )

$$= \begin{cases} T & \text{IF TYPEOF}(M, \Gamma) = \text{Bool} \\ & \text{AND TYPEOF}(M, \Gamma) = \text{TYPEOF}(N, \Gamma) \\ & = T \\ \text{FAIL} & \text{OTHERWISE} \end{cases}$$

TYPEOF(i,  $\Gamma$ ) = INT

TYPEOF(true,  $\Gamma$ ) = Bool  
TYPEOF(false,  $\Gamma$ ) = Bool

TYPEOF((x:T → M),  $\Gamma$ )  
=  $\begin{cases} T \rightarrow U & \text{if TYPEOF}(M, \Gamma[x \mapsto T]) \\ \text{FAIL} & \text{OTHERWISE} \end{cases}$

(WHERE  $\Gamma[x \mapsto T]$  IS THE MAP  
THAT ACTS LIKE  $\Gamma$  EXCEPT THAT  
IT MAPS  $x$  TO  $T$ .)

THEOREM: IF TYPEOF(M,  $\emptyset$ ) = T,  
THEN EVALUATING M  
TERMINATES AND YIELDS A  
VALUE OF TYPE T.



THUS THE SIMPLY-TYPED  
 $\lambda$  CALCULUS IS SOUND (YAY!)  
BUT IS NOT TURING COMPLETE  
(BOO!) — WE CANNOT WRITE  
INFINITE LOOPS, SO WE CANNOT  
SIMULATE ARBITRARY TURING  
MACHINES

NOTE THAT

$$Y = (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(x x)) (\lambda x. f(x x)))$$

DOES NOT TYPE CHECK IN THE  
SIMPLY-TYPED  $\lambda$  CALCULUS  
(WHAT TYPE WOULD YOU ASSIGN  
X IN  $(\lambda x. f(x x))$  ??)

TO RESTORE TURING COMPLETENESS  
YOU COULD SIMPLY ADD A  
FIXED POINT OPERATOR TO THE  
CALCULUS:

$$M ::= \dots$$
$$\underline{\text{Fix}} V$$

$$\text{WHERE } \underline{\text{Fix}} V \Rightarrow V(\underline{\text{Fix}} V)$$

$$\text{AND TYPE OF } (\underline{\text{Fix}} V, \Gamma)$$
$$= \begin{cases} T & \text{IF TYPE OF } (V, \Gamma) = T \rightarrow T \\ \underline{\text{FAIL}} & \text{OTHERWISE} \end{cases}$$

FOR INSTANCE, IF YOU ADD  
PRIMITIVE OPERATIONS

$M ::= \dots$   
 $\text{ZERO? } M$   
 $M - N$

WITH EVALUATION RULES

$\text{ZERO? } 0 \Rightarrow \text{TRUE}$

$\text{ZERO? } i \Rightarrow \text{FALSE} \quad (i \neq 0)$

$i_1 - i_2 \Rightarrow i_3$

$(i_3 = i_1 - i_2)$

AND  $\text{TYPEOF}(\text{ZERO? } M, \Gamma)$   
 $= \begin{cases} \text{BOOL} & \text{IF } \text{TYPEOF}(M, \Gamma) = \text{INT} \\ \underline{\text{FAIL}} & \text{OTHERWISE} \end{cases}$

$\text{TYPEOF}(M - N, \Gamma)$   
 $= \begin{cases} \text{INT} & \text{IF } \text{TYPEOF}(M, \Gamma) = \text{TYPEOF}(N, \Gamma) = \text{INT} \\ \underline{\text{FAIL}} & \text{OTHERWISE} \end{cases}$

THEN YOU CAN DEFINE

$\text{FACT} = \underline{\text{Fix}} \left( f: \text{INT} \rightarrow \text{INT} \rightarrow \right.$   
 $\left. (n: \text{INT} \rightarrow \underline{\text{IF}} (\text{ZERO? } n) \right.$

$\underline{\text{THEN}} \ 1$

AND CHECK:  $\underline{\text{ELSE}} \ n * (f(n-1)) \left. \right) \left. \right)$

$\text{TYPEOF}(\text{FACT}, \emptyset) = \text{INT} \rightarrow \text{INT}$