

DOCKLESS BIKE REPOSITIONING PROBLEM WITH BROKEN BIKES

Jia Cui

cuijia@connect.hku.hk

Runqiu Hu

runqiu@connect.hku.hk

Word Count: 3290 words + 3 table(s) \times 250 = 4040 words

Submission Date: July 21, 2025

1 ABSTRACT

2 The Transportation Research Board (TRB) has unique and seemingly arbitrary requirements for
3 manuscripts submitted for review. These requirements make it difficult to write the manuscripts
4 quickly, and no existing \LaTeX style comes close to fooling the guidelines. This represents an initial
5 effort at creating a template to meet the requirements of TRB authors using \LaTeX , R, Sweave,
6 and/or other literate programming software.

7
8 *Keywords:* Keyword1, Keyword2

1 INTRODUCTION

2 The Transportation Research Board (*T*) has unique and somewhat arbitrary requirements for papers
 3 submitted for review and publication. While the initial submission is required to be in PDF for-
 4 mat, submissions for publication in Transportation Research Record must be in Microsoft Office
 5 format. On top of this, the manuscripts must be line-numbered, captions are bolded and employ
 6 atypical punctuation, and the references must be numbered when cited and then printed in order.
 7 More details about the manuscript details can be found online at [http://onlinepubs.trb.org/](http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/AM/InfoForAuthors.pdf)
 8 [onlinepubs/AM/InfoForAuthors.pdf](http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/AM/InfoForAuthors.pdf).

9 It is assumed that the readers of this document have some significant level of experience in
 10 \LaTeX and bibtex. As use of literate programming becomes more widespread in engineering and
 11 planning, it is possible that this template may need to be made more robust.

12 History

13 David Pritchard posted the original versions of this template in 2009 and updated it in 2011, soon
 14 after TRB began allowing PDF submissions. Gregory Macfarlane made significant adaptations to it
 15 in March 2012, allowing for Sweave integration and automatic word and table counts. Ross Wang
 16 automated the total word count and made some formatting modifications in July 2015. Version
 17 2.1.1 has been made available on GitHub in January, 2016. Version 3.1 has been made available on
 18 Github (https://github.com/chiehrosswang/TRB_LaTeX_rnw) in June, 2017. Versions 2.1.1
 19 Lite and 3.1 Lite were made available on GitHub ([https://github.com/chiehrosswang/TRB_](https://github.com/chiehrosswang/TRB_LaTeX_tex)
 20 [LaTeX_tex](https://github.com/chiehrosswang/TRB_LaTeX_tex)) in June, 2017 for users who do not need R and Sweave functions provided in the
 21 original versions.

22 MODEL FORMULATION

23 Problem Description

24 We consider a one-time repositioning operation for a dockless bike-sharing system operating in an
 25 urban area divided into $|R|$ regions. Unlike traditional dock-based systems, dockless bikes can be
 26 parked anywhere within designated service areas, leading to significant spatial imbalances between
 27 bike supply and user demand. The system contains two types of bikes: functional bikes ready for
 28 use and broken bikes requiring repair. The operator must execute a repositioning operation within
 29 a given time budget to reposition functional bikes to meet demand while managing the repair or
 30 collection of broken bikes.

31 The repositioning operation employs a hierarchical workforce structure: trucks travel be-
 32 tween regions and a central depot, while laborers (one per truck) perform detailed operations within
 33 regions. This structure reflects operational reality where trucks handle inter-regional transport
 34 while laborers manage the time-intensive tasks of locating, collecting, and repairing individual
 35 bikes.

36 Key Assumptions

37 Our problem formulation is based on the following assumptions:

- 38 1. **Static single-period planning:** We consider a one-time repositioning operation with a
 39 fixed time budget T_{\max} , without considering dynamic updates or multi-period effects.
- 40 2. **Perfect information:** All bike locations (both functional and broken) and regional de-
 41 mand targets o_r are known at the planning stage.
- 42 3. **Homogeneous resources:** All trucks have identical specifications (capacity Q^T , speed

v^T), as do all laborers (capacity Q^L , speed v^L).

4. **Single depot operation:** All trucks must start from and return to a central depot (node 0) with empty loads.
5. **No dynamic events:** No new bikes appear, break down, or are rented during the repositioning operation.
6. **Exclusive service:** Each bike can be serviced by at most one laborer during the operation.
7. **One laborer per truck:** Each truck carries exactly one laborer who performs all operations within visited regions.
8. **Fixed loading points:** Each region r has a designated loading point κ_r where trucks wait while laborers operate.

Operational Characteristics

The repositioning process operates under the following key characteristics:

1. **Hierarchical Operations:** Each truck $k \in K$ carries one laborer. Trucks visit regions sequentially, and at each visited region, the laborer disembarks to collect functional bikes or repair broken bikes while the truck waits at a designated loading point κ_r .
2. **Dual Bike States:** Each bike $j \in V$ belongs to either V^F (functional) or V^B (broken). Functional bikes can be collected and redistributed, while broken bikes can either be repaired in-place (making them immediately available for users) or collected for centralized repair.
3. **Capacity Constraints:** Trucks have capacity Q^T for transporting bikes between regions, while laborers have capacity Q^L for carrying bikes during collection tours within regions. Typically, $Q^L \ll Q^T$.
4. **Time Budget:** The entire operation must complete within time budget T_{\max} , including all travel, loading/unloading, and repair activities.
5. **Operational Flexibility:** Laborers can choose between collecting broken bikes (for later repair) or repairing them on-site. This decision depends on local demand conditions, available time, and system-wide redistribution needs.

Decision Framework

The problem involves interconnected decisions at multiple levels:

- **Strategic Level:** Which regions should each truck visit and in what sequence?
- **Tactical Level:** Within each visited region, which bikes should the laborer service and what operations should be performed?
- **Operational Level:** How should collected functional bikes be redistributed among deficit regions?

These decisions must be made jointly to minimize total system cost, comprising:

1. Unmet demand penalties for regions with insufficient functional bikes
2. Penalties for broken bikes left unserved
3. Travel costs for trucks and laborers
4. Operational time costs for loading/unloading and repairs

We focus on the deterministic single-period repositioning problem where demand patterns are known from historical data. Extensions to dynamic or stochastic settings are left for future research. The following section presents the mathematical formulation of this Dockless Bike Repo-

1 Positioning Problem with Broken Bikes (DBRP-B).

2 **Notations**3 *Sets*

Set	Description
R	The set of regions, indexed by $i = 1, 2, \dots, R $
K	Set of trucks/laborers (one laborer per truck)
N_0	Set of all nodes: $N_0 = V \cup R \cup \{0\}$
V^F	Set of functional bike nodes: $V^F = \bigcup_{r \in R} V_r^F$
V^B	Set of broken bike nodes: $V^B = \bigcup_{r \in R} V_r^B$
V	Set of all bike nodes: $V = V^F \cup V^B$
V_r	Set of all bike nodes in region r : $V_r = V_r^F \cup V_r^B$
V_r^F	Set of functional bike nodes in region $r \in R$
V_r^B	Set of broken bike nodes in region $r \in R$
A	Set of all arcs: $A = A^T \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} A_r^L$
A^T	Set of truck arcs: $A^T = \{(i, j) \mid i, j \in \{\kappa_r : r \in R\} \cup \{0\}, i \neq j\}$
A_r^L	Set of laborer arcs in region r : $A_r^L = \{(i, j) \mid i, j \in V_r \cup \{\kappa_r\}, i \neq j\}$

4 *Parameters*

Parameter	Description	Units
$dis_{i,j}$	Distance between nodes i and j	km
κ_r	Center/loading node of region $r \in R$	node
o_r	Target quantity of functional bikes in region r	bikes
Q^T	Truck capacity	bikes
Q^L	Laborer capacity	bikes
v^T	Average truck travel speed	km/min
v^L	Average laborer travel speed (off-truck)	km/min
TC^T	Truck travel cost per unit time	\$/min
TC^L	Laborer travel cost per unit time	\$/min
LT	Loading/unloading time per bike	min/bike
RT	Repair time per bike	min/bike
w	Penalty cost per unit of unmet demand	\$/bike
T_{\max}	Time budget for the entire operation	min

Parameter	Description	Units
M	Sufficiently large number for subtour elimination	–

1 Decision Variables

Variable	Type	Description
$x_{i,j,k}$	Binary	Equals 1 if truck k travels on arc $(i, j) \in A^T$; 0 otherwise
$y_{i,j,r,k}$	Binary	Equals 1 if laborer of truck k travels on arc $(i, j) \in A_r^L$ in region r ; 0 otherwise
$p_{j,k}$	Binary	Equals 1 if bike $j \in V$ is picked up by laborer of truck k ; 0 otherwise
$s_{j,k}$	Binary	Equals 1 if broken bike $j \in V^B$ is repaired by laborer of truck k ; 0 otherwise
$d_{r,k}$	Integer	Number of bikes dropped off by truck k at region r
$c_{i,j}^T$	Continuous	Number of bikes carried on truck arc $(i, j) \in A^T$
$c_{i,j}^L$	Continuous	Number of bikes carried by laborer on arc $(i, j) \in A_r^L$
γ_r	Continuous	Final inventory of functional bikes in region r
$u_{j,k}^T$	Continuous	Auxiliary variable for truck subtour elimination
$u_{j,k}^L$	Continuous	Auxiliary variable for laborer subtour elimination

2 Mathematical Formulation

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & w \sum_{r \in R} \max(o_r - \gamma_r, 0) + w \left(|V^B| - \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{j \in V^B} (s_{j,k} + p_{j,k}) \right) \\ \text{s.t.} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{k \in K} \sum_{i \in \{\kappa_r : s \in R\} \cup \{0\}, i \neq j} x_{i,j,k} \leq 1, \quad \forall j \in \{\kappa_r : r \in R\} \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{i \in R \cup \{0\}, i \neq j} x_{i,j,k} = \sum_{i \in R \cup \{0\}, i \neq j} x_{j,i,k}, \quad \forall k \in K \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{j \in R} x_{0,j,k} = \sum_{j \in R} x_{j,0,k} = 1, \quad \forall k \in K \quad (4)$$

$$c_{0,r}^T = c_{r,0}^T = 0, \quad \forall r \in R \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{i \in R \cup \{\kappa_r\}, i \neq r} c_{r,i}^T - \sum_{i \in R \cup \{\kappa_r\}, i \neq r} c_{i,r}^T = \sum_{i \in V_r} c_{i,\kappa_r}^L - \sum_{k \in K} d_{r,k}, \quad \forall r \in R \quad (6)$$

$$1 \quad c_{i,j}^T \leq Q^T \sum_{k \in K} x_{i,j,k}, \quad \forall (i,j) \in A^T \quad (7)$$

$$2 \quad \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{i \in V_r \cup \{\kappa_r\}, i \neq j} y_{i,j,r,k} \leq 1, \quad \forall j \in V_r, r \in R \quad (8)$$

$$3 \quad \sum_{i \in V_r \cup \{\kappa_r\}, i \neq j} y_{i,j,r,k} = \sum_{i \in V_r \cup \{\kappa_r\}, i \neq j} y_{j,i,r,k}, \quad \forall j \in V_r, r \in R, k \in K \quad (9)$$

$$4 \quad \sum_{j \in V_r} y_{\kappa_r,j,k} = \sum_{j \in V_r} y_{j,\kappa_r,k} = 1, \quad \forall r \in R, k \in K \quad (10)$$

$$5 \quad p_{j,k} \leq \sum_{i \in V_r \cup \{\kappa_r\}, i \neq j} y_{i,j,r,k}, \quad \forall j \in V_r, r \in R, k \in K \quad (11)$$

$$6 \quad s_{j,k} \leq \sum_{i \in V_r \cup \{\kappa_r\}, i \neq j} y_{i,j,r,k}, \quad \forall j \in V_r^B, r \in R, k \in K \quad (12)$$

$$7 \quad p_{j,k} + s_{j,k} \geq \sum_{i \in V_r \cup \{\kappa_r\}, i \neq j} y_{i,j,r,k}, \quad \forall j \in V_r, r \in R, k \in K \quad (13)$$

$$8 \quad s_{j,k} = 0, \quad \forall j \in V^F, k \in K \quad (14)$$

$$9 \quad p_{j,k} + s_{j,k} \leq 1, \quad \forall j \in V^B, k \in K \quad (15)$$

$$10 \quad \sum_{j \in V^F} p_{j,k} = \sum_{r \in R} d_{r,k}, \quad \forall k \in K \quad (16)$$

$$11 \quad c_{\kappa_r,j}^L = 0, \quad \forall r \in R, j \in V_r \quad (17)$$

$$12 \quad \sum_{i \in V_r \cup \{\kappa_r\}, i \neq j} c_{j,i}^L - \sum_{i \in V_r \cup \{\kappa_r\}, i \neq j} c_{i,j}^L = \sum_{k \in K} p_{j,k}, \quad \forall j \in V_r, r \in R \quad (18)$$

$$13 \quad c_{i,j}^L \leq Q^L \sum_{k \in K} y_{i,j,r,k}, \quad \forall (i,j) \in A_r^L, r \in R \quad (19)$$

$$14 \quad y_{\kappa_r,j,r,k} \leq \sum_{i \in \{\kappa_s : s \in R\} \cup \{0\}, i \neq \kappa_r} x_{i,\kappa_r,k}, \quad \forall j \in V_r, r \in R, k \in K \quad (20)$$

$$15 \quad \gamma_r = |V_r^F| - \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{j \in V_r^F} p_{j,k} + \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{j \in V_r^B} s_{j,k} + \sum_{k \in K} d_{r,k}, \quad \forall r \in R \quad (21)$$

$$16 \quad \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{(i,j) \in A^T} \frac{dis_{i,j}}{v^T} x_{i,j,k} + \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{(i,j) \in A_r^L} \frac{dis_{i,j}}{v^L} y_{i,j,r,k}$$

$$17 \quad + LT \sum_{k \in K} \left(\sum_{r \in R} d_{r,k} + \sum_{j \in V} p_{j,k} \right) + RT \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{j \in V^B} s_{j,k} \leq T_{\max} \quad (22)$$

$$18 \quad u_{j,k}^T \geq u_{i,k}^T + 1 - |\{\kappa_r : r \in R\}|(1 - x_{i,j,k}), \quad \forall i \in \{\kappa_s : s \in R\} \cup \{0\}, j \in \{\kappa_r : r \in R\}, k \in K \quad (23)$$

$$20 \quad u_{j,k}^L \geq u_{i,k}^L + 1 - |V_r|(1 - y_{i,j,r,k}), \quad \forall i \in V_r \cup \{\kappa_r\}, j \in V_r, i \neq j, r \in R, k \in K \quad (24)$$

$$21 \quad 0 \leq u_{j,k}^T \leq M, \quad \forall j \in \{\kappa_r : r \in R\} \cup \{0\}, k \in K \quad (25)$$

$$0 \leq u_{j,k}^L \leq M, \quad \forall j \in V_r \cup \{\kappa_r\}, r \in R, k \in K \quad (26)$$

$$x_{i,j,k} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad y_{i,j,r,k} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad p_{j,k} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad s_{j,k} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (27)$$

$$d_{r,k} \geq 0 \text{ and integer}, \quad c_{i,j}^T \geq 0, \quad c_{i,j}^L \geq 0, \quad \gamma_r \geq 0 \quad (28)$$

The objective function (1) minimizes the total system cost, which consists of two penalty components: the unmet demand penalty for regions that fall short of their target functional bike quantities, and the penalty for broken bikes that are neither picked up nor repaired.

The constraints can be categorized into several groups. Truck routing constraints (2)–(7) ensure proper truck movement between regions and the depot. Constraint (2) limits each region to be visited by at most one truck, while (3) maintains flow conservation for trucks. Constraint (4) ensures each truck departs from and returns to the depot exactly once, and (5) requires trucks to leave and return to the depot empty. Constraint (6) balances truck inventory at regional transfer points, and (7) enforces truck capacity limits.

Laborer routing constraints (8)–(10) manage laborer movement within regions. Constraint (8) ensures each bike is visited by at most one laborer, (9) maintains flow conservation for laborers at bike nodes, and (10) requires laborers to start and end their tours at regional loading points.

Pickup and repair operation constraints (11)–(15) link operational decisions with routing decisions. Constraints (11) and (12) ensure that pickup and repair operations can only occur if the corresponding bike is visited. Constraint (13) requires that if a bike is visited, at least one operation must be performed. Constraint (14) prohibits repair of functional bikes, while (15) ensures broken bikes cannot be both picked up and repaired by the same laborer.

Inventory and flow balance constraints (16)–(21) track bike movements through the system. Constraint (16) ensures conservation of functional bikes picked up and dropped off by each truck-laborer team. Constraints (17) and (18) manage laborer inventory, with (17) setting initial conditions and (18) tracking inventory changes during laborer routes. Constraint (21) calculates the final functional bike inventory in each region.

Capacity and coordination constraints (19)–(22) ensure operational feasibility. Constraint (19) enforces laborer capacity limits, (20) ensures laborers can only operate in regions visited by their associated trucks, and (22) enforces the overall time budget for the entire operation.

Subtour elimination constraints (23)–(24) prevent disconnected subtours using Miller-Tucker-Zemlin (2) constraints, ensuring connected tours for both trucks and laborers.

Finally, variable domain constraints (25)–(28) define the appropriate domains for all decision variables, including bounds for auxiliary variables (25)–(26), binary variable definitions (27), and non-negativity and integrality requirements (28).

METHODOLOGY

Solution Approach Overview

Given the computational complexity of the DBRP, we propose a Hierarchical Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search (H-ALNS) metaheuristic that exploits the problem's natural decomposition into strategic (truck routing) and tactical (laborer operations) decisions. The approach consists of six integrated phases: preprocessing, initial construction, tactical planning, adaptive improvement, local intensification, and parallel optimization.

1 Phase 1: Preprocessing and Problem Analysis

2 The preprocessing phase analyzes regional characteristics and applies spatial clustering to reduce
3 problem complexity. For each region $r \in R$, we compute:

- 4 • Supply-demand imbalance: $\sigma_r = |V_r^F| - o_r$
- 5 • Repair potential: $\rho_r = |V_r^B|$
- 6 • Priority score: $\pi_r = w \cdot \max(-\sigma_r, 0) + w \cdot \rho_r$

7 Spatial Clustering via DBSCAN

8 To manage computational complexity in regions with numerous bikes, we apply Density-Based
9 Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (DBSCAN) to identify spatially proximate bikes.
10 We define bikes as spatially proximate if they are within walking distance, typically 50-200 meters
11 apart.

12 For each region $r \in R$, we execute DBSCAN with parameters:

- 13 • $\varepsilon = \min(v^L \times 2 \text{ min}, 150 \text{ m})$ - maximum distance for proximity
- 14 • $\text{minPts} = 2$ - minimum cluster size

15 This produces cluster sets $\mathcal{C}_r = \{C_{r1}, C_{r2}, \dots, C_{rn_r}\}$ where each cluster C_{ri} contains:

- 16 • Centroid location: $\bar{c}_{ri} = \frac{1}{|C_{ri}|} \sum_{j \in C_{ri}} \text{location}(j)$
- 17 • Functional bike count: $n_{ri}^F = |C_{ri} \cap V_r^F|$
- 18 • Broken bike count: $n_{ri}^B = |C_{ri} \cap V_r^B|$
- 19 • Cluster diameter: $d_{ri} = \max_{j,k \in C_{ri}} \text{dis}_{j,k}$
- 20 • Access time estimate: $t_{ri}^{\text{access}} = \frac{d_{ri}}{v^L} + LT \cdot |C_{ri}|$

21 The clustering serves to:

- 22 1. Reduce routing complexity from $O(|V_r|!)$ to $O(|\mathcal{C}_r|!)$
- 23 2. Identify natural collection points (e.g., transit stations, popular destinations)
- 24 3. Enable cluster-level operational decisions

25 Phase 2: Strategic Planning via Constructive Heuristic

26 The initial solution construction employs a greedy insertion heuristic with lookahead for truck
27 route generation. The strategic planning determines which regions each truck will visit and in
28 what sequence, considering travel times, regional priorities, and time budgets.

29 The scoring function incorporates:

- 30 • Regional priority (π_r) based on unmet demand and broken bikes
- 31 • Distance penalty ($\lambda \cdot \text{dis}_{loc_k, \kappa_r}$) to favor geographically coherent routes
- 32 • Capacity utilization bonus (γ factor) to encourage efficient truck loading
- 33 • Time efficiency (dividing by t_r^{est}) to prioritize quick wins

34 Phase 3: Tactical Planning for Regional Operations

35 Given truck routes, we determine laborer operations within each visited region. The tactical plan-
36 ning adapts to regional characteristics through three operational modes:

- 37 1. **Collection-focused:** When $\sigma_r > 0$ and $\rho_r < \tau_{\text{repair}}$
- 38 2. **Repair-focused:** When $\rho_r > \tau_{\text{repair}}$ and repair efficiency exceeds collection efficiency
- 39 3. **Mixed mode:** Balancing collection and repair operations

40 The efficiency metrics are computed as:

- 41 • Collection efficiency: $\eta_r^{\text{collect}} = \frac{w \cdot \min(\sigma_r, b_r^{\text{max}})}{t_r^{\text{collect}}}$

Algorithm 1 Strategic Planning for Truck Routes

Require: Sets R, K ; parameters T_{\max}, Q^T ; preprocessing data π_r, \mathcal{C}_r
Ensure: Initial truck routes $\mathcal{R} = \{R_k : k \in K\}$

```

1: Initialize  $\mathcal{R} \leftarrow \emptyset, U \leftarrow R$  ▷ Unvisited regions
2: Preprocessing:
3: for each region  $r \in R$  do
4:    $t_r^{\text{est}} \leftarrow \frac{\text{dis}_{\kappa_r, \bar{c}_{r1}}}{v^L} + \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{C}_r|} t_{ri}^{\text{access}}$  ▷ Estimate service time
5:    $b_r^{\text{max}} \leftarrow \min(|V_r^F|, Q^L \cdot \lfloor t_r^{\text{est}} / t^{\text{cycle}} \rfloor)$  ▷ Max collectible bikes
6: end for
7: Main Construction:
8: for each truck  $k \in K$  do
9:    $R_k \leftarrow \emptyset, t_k \leftarrow 0, \text{loc}_k \leftarrow 0$  ▷ Current route, time, location
10:   $\text{cap}_k \leftarrow 0$  ▷ Current truck load
11:  while  $U \neq \emptyset$  and  $t_k < T_{\max}$  do
12:    Evaluate candidates:
13:     $\mathcal{F} \leftarrow \emptyset$  ▷ Feasible regions
14:    for each  $r \in U$  do
15:       $t^{\text{total}} \leftarrow t_k + \frac{\text{dis}_{\text{loc}_k, \kappa_r}}{v^T} + t_r^{\text{est}} + \frac{\text{dis}_{\kappa_r, 0}}{v^T}$ 
16:      if  $t^{\text{total}} \leq T_{\max}$  then
17:         $\text{score}_r \leftarrow \frac{\pi_r - \lambda \cdot \text{dis}_{\text{loc}_k, \kappa_r}}{t_r^{\text{est}}} \cdot (1 + \gamma \cdot \frac{b_r^{\text{max}}}{Q^T - \text{cap}_k})$ 
18:         $\mathcal{F} \leftarrow \mathcal{F} \cup \{(r, \text{score}_r)\}$ 
19:      end if
20:    end for
21:    if  $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$  then break
22:    end if
23:     $r^* \leftarrow \arg \max_{(r, \text{score}) \in \mathcal{F}} \text{score}$ 
24:     $R_k \leftarrow R_k \cup \{r^*\}$ 
25:     $t_k \leftarrow t_k + \frac{\text{dis}_{\text{loc}_k, \kappa_{r^*}}}{v^T} + t_{r^*}^{\text{est}}$ 
26:     $\text{cap}_k \leftarrow \min(\text{cap}_k + b_{r^*}^{\text{max}}, Q^T)$ 
27:     $\text{loc}_k \leftarrow \kappa_{r^*}, U \leftarrow U \setminus \{r^*\}$ 
28:  end while
29:   $\mathcal{R} \leftarrow \mathcal{R} \cup \{R_k\}$ 
30: end for
31: Apply 2-opt improvement to each route in  $\mathcal{R}$ 
32: return  $\mathcal{R}$ 

```

- Repair efficiency: $\eta_r^{\text{repair}} = \frac{w \cdot \rho_r}{RT \cdot \rho_r + t_r^{\text{travel}}}$

Algorithm 2 details the tactical planning procedure.

Phase 4: Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search

The ALNS framework iteratively improves the solution through adaptive selection of destroy and repair operators. We employ four destroy operators and four repair operators, with selection probabilities updated based on historical performance.

Destroy Operators

- **Worst Removal:** Remove regions with lowest efficiency ratio π_r/t_r^{total}
- **Random Removal:** Randomly select ξ regions for removal
- **Shaw Removal:** Remove regions with similar characteristics using relatedness measure:

$$\text{relate}(r_i, r_j) = \phi_1 \cdot \frac{\text{dis}_{\kappa r_i, \kappa r_j}}{\text{dis}_{\max}} + \phi_2 \cdot \frac{|o_{r_i} - o_{r_j}|}{o_{\max}} + \phi_3 \cdot \frac{|\rho_{r_i} - \rho_{r_j}|}{\rho_{\max}}$$
- **Cluster Removal:** Remove spatially proximate regions within radius Δ

Repair Operators

- **Greedy Insertion:** Insert regions at positions minimizing immediate cost increase:

$$\Delta f(r, k, pos) = w \cdot \text{penalty}_{\text{reduction}}(r) - TC^T \cdot \Delta t^{\text{travel}}(r, k, pos)$$
- **Regret-k Insertion:** Consider the k best insertion positions and maximize regret:

$$\text{regret}_k(r) = \sum_{i=2}^k (\Delta f_i(r) - \Delta f_1(r))$$
- **Perturbation Insertion:** Greedy insertion with random noise factor $\theta \sim \mathcal{U}[0.9, 1.1]$
- **Zone-based Insertion:** Prioritize insertions maintaining geographical cohesion by favoring positions near regions in the same zone

Algorithm 3 presents the main ALNS procedure with detailed operator selection and weight update mechanisms.

The parameters control the search behavior:

- $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \sigma_3$: Reward weights for new best, accepted, and rejected solutions
- ψ : Controls adaptive destruction degree increase
- $\delta \in [0, 1]$: Learning rate for weight updates
- η : Period for weight normalization

Phase 5: Local Search Intensification

Following the ALNS phase, we apply Variable Neighborhood Descent (VND) using six neighborhood structures. Each neighborhood is designed to address specific solution characteristics and improvement opportunities.

The neighborhood structures are applied in order of increasing computational complexity:

1. **Relocate:** Move a region from one truck route to another
 - Complexity: $O(|K|^2 \cdot |R|^2)$
 - Evaluates insertion cost: $\Delta t^{\text{travel}} + \Delta b^{\text{balance}}$
2. **Exchange:** Swap regions between two truck routes
 - Complexity: $O(|K|^2 \cdot |R|^2)$
 - Ensures both routes remain feasible post-swap
3. **2-opt*:** Exchange route segments between two trucks
 - Complexity: $O(|K|^2 \cdot |R|^2)$
 - Reconnects routes: $(r_1 \rightarrow r_2)$ and $(r_3 \rightarrow r_4)$ become $(r_1 \rightarrow r_3)$ and $(r_2 \rightarrow r_4)$

Algorithm 2 Tactical Planning for Regional Operations**Require:** Region r , available time t_r^{avail} , truck k , clusters \mathcal{C}_r **Ensure:** Laborer tour \mathcal{T}_r , operations $\mathcal{O}_r = \{(j, a_j) : j \in V_r\}$

```

1: Mode Selection:
2:   Compute  $\eta_r^{\text{collect}}$  and  $\eta_r^{\text{repair}}$ 
3:   if  $\sigma_r > 0$  and  $\eta_r^{\text{collect}} > \eta_r^{\text{repair}} \cdot \theta$  then
4:      $mode \leftarrow \text{COLLECTION}$ 
5:   else if  $\rho_r > \tau_{\text{repair}}$  and  $\eta_r^{\text{repair}} > \eta_r^{\text{collect}}$  then
6:      $mode \leftarrow \text{REPAIR}$ 
7:   else
8:      $mode \leftarrow \text{MIXED}$ 
9:   end if
10: Route Construction:
11: if  $mode = \text{COLLECTION}$  then
12:   Cluster Selection:
13:    $\mathcal{C}^{\text{selected}} \leftarrow \emptyset, t^{\text{used}} \leftarrow 0, b^{\text{collect}} \leftarrow 0$ 
14:   for each cluster  $C_{ri} \in \mathcal{C}_r$  sorted by  $n_{ri}^F/t_{ri}^{\text{access}}$  descending do
15:     if  $t^{\text{used}} + t_{ri}^{\text{access}} \leq t_r^{\text{avail}}$  and  $b^{\text{collect}} + n_{ri}^F \leq Q^L$  then
16:        $\mathcal{C}^{\text{selected}} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{selected}} \cup \{C_{ri}\}$ 
17:        $t^{\text{used}} \leftarrow t^{\text{used}} + t_{ri}^{\text{access}}$ 
18:        $b^{\text{collect}} \leftarrow b^{\text{collect}} + n_{ri}^F$ 
19:     end if
20:   end for
21:   Tour Construction:
22:    $\mathcal{T}_r \leftarrow \text{NearestNeighbor}(\{\bar{c}_{ri} : C_{ri} \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{selected}}\} \cup \{\kappa_r\}, \kappa_r)$ 
23:    $\mathcal{T}_r \leftarrow \text{2-Opt}(\mathcal{T}_r)$ 
24:   Detailed Operations:
25:   for each cluster  $C_{ri}$  in tour order do
26:     Apply TSP within cluster for bikes in  $C_{ri} \cap V_r^F$ 
27:     Assign pickup operations until capacity reached
28:   end for
29: else if  $mode = \text{REPAIR}$  then
30:   Target Selection:
31:   Sort broken bikes by proximity to deficit areas
32:    $B^* \leftarrow \{j \in V_r^B : RT + \frac{2 \cdot \text{dis}_{\kappa_r, j}}{v^L} \leq t_r^{\text{avail}}\}$ 
33:   Select top  $\min(|B^*|, \lfloor t_r^{\text{avail}}/RT \rfloor)$  bikes
34:   Tour Construction:
35:   Build minimum spanning tree on selected bikes
36:   Convert to tour using Christofides heuristic
37:   Assign repair operations along tour
38: else ▷ MIXED mode
39:   Integrated Planning:
40:   Identify mixed clusters with both functional and broken bikes
41:    $\text{benefit}(C_{ri}) \leftarrow w \cdot n_{ri}^F + w \cdot \min(n_{ri}^B, \lfloor \frac{t_{ri}^{\text{access}}}{RT} \rfloor)$ 
42:   Select clusters maximizing  $\sum \text{benefit}(C_{ri})$  subject to time and capacity
43:   For each selected cluster:
44:     Prioritize repairs if local deficit exists
45:     Otherwise prioritize collection
46:   Build tour using cluster centroids

```

Algorithm 3 Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search**Require:** Initial solution s_0 , parameters $\Theta = \{T_0, \alpha, \eta, \xi, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \psi\}$ **Ensure:** Improved solution s^*

```

1: Initialize  $s^* \leftarrow s_0, s \leftarrow s_0, T \leftarrow T_0$ 
2: Initialize operator weights  $w_i \leftarrow 1$  for all operators
3: Initialize operator scores  $\pi_i \leftarrow 0$ , usage counts  $\rho_i \leftarrow 0$ 
4: for iteration  $iter = 1$  to  $I_{\max}$  do
5:   Operator Selection:
6:    $p_d(i) \leftarrow w_i / \sum_j w_j$  for each destroy operator
7:    $d \leftarrow \text{RouletteWheel}(p_d)$ 
8:    $p_r(i) \leftarrow w_i / \sum_j w_j$  for each repair operator
9:    $r \leftarrow \text{RouletteWheel}(p_r)$ 
10:  Destroy Phase:
11:   $removed \leftarrow d(s, \min(\xi, \xi_0 + \psi \cdot iter))$  ▷ Adaptive destruction degree
12:   $s^{\text{partial}} \leftarrow s \setminus removed$ 
13:  Repair Phase:
14:   $s' \leftarrow r(s^{\text{partial}}, removed)$ 
15:  Regional Re-optimization:
16:  for each affected region  $r$  in  $s'$  do
17:    Re-run tactical planning with updated constraints
18:  end for
19:  Acceptance Decision:
20:  if  $f(s') < f(s^*)$  then
21:     $s^* \leftarrow s', s \leftarrow s'$ 
22:     $\pi_d \leftarrow \pi_d + \sigma_1, \pi_r \leftarrow \pi_r + \sigma_1$ 
23:  else if  $\exp(-(f(s') - f(s))/T) > \mathcal{U}[0, 1]$  then
24:     $s \leftarrow s'$ 
25:     $\pi_d \leftarrow \pi_d + \sigma_2, \pi_r \leftarrow \pi_r + \sigma_2$ 
26:  else
27:     $\pi_d \leftarrow \pi_d + \sigma_3, \pi_r \leftarrow \pi_r + \sigma_3$ 
28:  end if
29:  Weight Update:
30:   $\rho_d \leftarrow \rho_d + 1, \rho_r \leftarrow \rho_r + 1$ 
31:  if  $iter \bmod \eta = 0$  then
32:    for each operator  $i$  do
33:       $w_i \leftarrow w_i \cdot (1 - \delta) + \delta \cdot \pi_i / \rho_i$ 
34:       $\pi_i \leftarrow 0, \rho_i \leftarrow 0$ 
35:    end for
36:  end if
37:   $T \leftarrow \alpha \cdot T$  ▷ Temperature cooling
38: end for
39: return  $s^*$ 

```

Algorithm 4 Variable Neighborhood Descent with Detailed Operators

Require: Solution s , neighborhood structures $\mathcal{N} = \{N_1, \dots, N_6\}$ **Ensure:** Locally optimal solution s^*

```

1:  $s^* \leftarrow s$ 
2: repeat
3:    $improved \leftarrow \text{false}$ 
4:   for each neighborhood  $N_i \in \mathcal{N}$  do
5:      $s^{\text{best}} \leftarrow s^*$ 
6:     if  $i = 1$  then ▷ Relocate
7:       for each truck  $k_1 \in K$  do
8:         for each region  $r \in R_{k_1}$  do
9:           for each truck  $k_2 \in K \setminus \{k_1\}$  do
10:            for each position  $pos$  in  $R_{k_2}$  do
11:              if Feasible( $k_2, r, pos$ ) then
12:                Evaluate move cost considering travel time and balance
13:                Update  $s^{\text{best}}$  if improvement found
14:              end if
15:            end for
16:          end for
17:        end for
18:      end for
19:      else if  $i = 2$  then ▷ Exchange
20:        Apply best exchange of regions between truck pairs
21:      else if  $i = 3$  then ▷ 2-opt*
22:        Apply inter-route 2-opt between truck pairs
23:      else if  $i = 4$  then ▷ Intra-route 2-opt
24:        Reverse segments within each truck route
25:      else if  $i = 5$  then ▷ Operation Flip
26:        for each broken bike  $j \in V^B$  currently picked up do
27:          Evaluate benefit of repairing instead
28:          Consider local demand deficit and time availability
29:        end for
30:      else if  $i = 6$  then ▷ Drop Optimization
31:        Solve min-cost flow to redistribute drop-offs optimally
32:      end if
33:      if  $f(s^{\text{best}}) < f(s^*)$  then
34:         $s^* \leftarrow s^{\text{best}}$ 
35:         $improved \leftarrow \text{true}$ 
36:        break ▷ Restart from first neighborhood
37:      end if
38:    end for
39:  until not  $improved$ 
40: return  $s^*$ 

```

4. **Intra-route 2-opt**: Reverse a segment within a truck route

- Complexity: $O(|K| \cdot |R|^3)$
- Improves individual route efficiency

5. **Operation Flip**: Change operation type for broken bikes

- Evaluates: $benefit^{repair}(j) = w - (RT + \Delta t^{travel})$
- Considers local context and capacity constraints

6. **Drop Optimization**: Redistribute drop-off quantities

- Solves: $\min \sum_{r \in R} w \cdot \max(o_r - \gamma_r, 0)$
- Subject to: $\sum_{r \in R} d_{r,k} = \sum_{j \in V^F} p_{j,k}$ for all k

Phase 6: Parallel Regional Optimization

Given fixed truck routes, regional operations can be optimized independently, enabling parallel processing. This phase leverages multi-core architectures to simultaneously refine laborer operations across all visited regions.

Parallel Efficiency Considerations

The parallel regional optimization achieves near-linear speedup for large instances due to:

- **Independent subproblems**: No data dependencies between regions
- **Balanced workload**: Regions partitioned by estimated computation time
- **Minimal synchronization**: Only at phase boundaries
- **Cache efficiency**: Each thread works on localized data

For instances with heterogeneous regional characteristics, dynamic load balancing can be implemented using work-stealing queues, where idle threads can process regions from busier threads' queues.

Overall H-ALNS Framework

Algorithm 6 presents the complete H-ALNS framework that integrates all six phases.

Implementation Considerations

Data Structures

- **Solution representation**: Hierarchical structure with truck routes at top level and regional operations nested within
- **Efficient updates**: Delta evaluation for neighborhood moves using cached distance matrices
- **Sparse storage**: Only store non-zero flows and actual operations

Parameter Tuning

Key parameters requiring calibration:

- ALNS: $T_0 = 0.05 \cdot f(s_0)$, $\alpha = 0.99$, $\xi_0 = 0.1 \cdot |R|$
- Weights: $\sigma_1 = 10$, $\sigma_2 = 5$, $\sigma_3 = 1$
- Clustering: ε adapted to urban density
- Parallelization: $P = \min(\text{CPU cores}, |\mathcal{R}_{visited}|)$

Computational Complexity Analysis

The proposed H-ALNS exhibits the following complexity characteristics:

Algorithm 5 Parallel Regional Optimization**Require:** Solution s with fixed truck routes, processor count P **Ensure:** Solution s^* with optimized regional operations

```

1:  $\mathcal{R}_{visited} \leftarrow \text{GetVisitedRegions}(s)$ 
2: Partition  $\mathcal{R}_{visited}$  into  $P$  balanced groups based on  $|V_r|$ 
3: Initialize thread pool with  $P$  workers
4: parfor each region  $r \in \mathcal{R}_{visited}$  do ▷ Parallel execution
5:   Extract Regional Context:
6:    $t_r^{\text{arr}} \leftarrow \text{GetArrivalTime}(s, r)$ 
7:    $t_r^{\text{avail}} \leftarrow \text{GetAvailableTime}(s, r)$ 
8:    $bikes^{\text{on-truck}} \leftarrow \text{GetTruckLoad}(s, r)$ 
9:    $capacity^{\text{remaining}} \leftarrow Q^T - bikes^{\text{on-truck}}$ 
10:  Regional Optimization:
11:   $s_r \leftarrow \text{ExtractRegionalSolution}(s, r)$ 
12:  for  $iter = 1$  to  $I^{\text{local}}$  do
13:    Local Search within Region:
14:    Apply Or-opt to laborer tour (relocate chains of 1-3 bikes)
15:    Apply 3-opt to improve tour efficiency
16:    Operation Refinement:
17:    if excess functional bikes detected then
18:      Prioritize collection of bikes near  $\kappa_r$ 
19:    else if deficit detected and broken bikes available then
20:      Switch nearby pickups to repairs if time permits
21:    end if
22:    Capacity Balancing:
23:    Adjust pickup quantities to match available truck capacity
24:    Ensure  $\sum_{j \in V_r} p_{j,k} \leq capacity^{\text{remaining}}$ 
25:  end for
26:  Time-Feasibility Check:
27:  Compute actual tour time including all operations
28:  if tour time exceeds  $t_r^{\text{avail}}$  then
29:    Remove least beneficial operations until feasible
30:  end if
31:   $\mathcal{T}_r^*, \mathcal{O}_r^* \leftarrow \text{OptimizedRegionalPlan}(s_r)$ 
32: end parfor
33: Synchronization:
34: Barrier synchronization - wait for all threads
35: for each region  $r \in \mathcal{R}_{visited}$  do
36:   UpdateRegionalPlan( $s, r, \mathcal{T}_r^*, \mathcal{O}_r^*$ )
37: end for
38: Global Consistency Check:
39: Verify truck capacity constraints across route
40: Adjust drop-off quantities to maintain balance
41: return  $s$ 

```

Algorithm 6 Hierarchical Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search (H-ALNS)

Require: Problem instance $(R, K, V, \text{parameters})$
Ensure: Optimized solution s^*

```

1: Phase 1: Preprocessing
2: for each region  $r \in R$  do
3:   Compute  $\sigma_r, \rho_r, \pi_r$ 
4:    $\mathcal{C}_r \leftarrow \text{DBSCAN}(V_r, \varepsilon, \text{minPts})$ 
5:   Compute cluster characteristics  $\{n_{ri}^F, n_{ri}^B, t_{ri}^{\text{access}}\}$ 
6: end for
7: Phase 2: Strategic Planning
8:  $\mathcal{R} \leftarrow \text{StrategicPlanning}(R, K, T_{\max}, \{\pi_r\})$ 
9: Phase 3: Tactical Planning
10: for each truck  $k \in K$  do
11:   for each region  $r \in R_k$  do
12:      $t_r^{\text{avail}} \leftarrow \text{ComputeAvailableTime}(k, r)$ 
13:      $\mathcal{T}_r, \mathcal{O}_r \leftarrow \text{TacticalPlanning}(r, t_r^{\text{avail}}, k)$ 
14:   end for
15: end for
16:  $s_0 \leftarrow \text{ConstructSolution}(\mathcal{R}, \{\mathcal{T}_r\}, \{\mathcal{O}_r\})$ 
17: Phase 4: Adaptive Improvement
18:  $s^{\text{ALNS}} \leftarrow \text{ALNS}(s_0, \Theta)$ 
19: Phase 5: Local Intensification
20:  $s^{\text{VND}} \leftarrow \text{VND}(s^{\text{ALNS}}, \mathcal{N})$ 
21: Phase 6: Parallel Regional Refinement
22:  $s^* \leftarrow \text{ParallelRegionalOptimization}(s^{\text{VND}}, P)$ 
23: Post-processing:
24: Verify solution feasibility
25: Compute final objective value
26: return  $s^*$ 

```

- 1 • Initial construction: $O(|K| \cdot |R|^2)$
- 2 • Regional optimization: $O(|R| \cdot |V_{\max}|^2)$ where $|V_{\max}| = \max_{r \in R} |V_r|$
- 3 • ALNS phase: $O(I_{\max} \cdot |K| \cdot |R|^2)$
- 4 • VND phase: $O(|\mathcal{N}| \cdot |K| \cdot |R|^2)$
- 5 • Parallel speedup: Near-linear with P processors for Phase 6
- 6 • Overall complexity: $O(I_{\max} \cdot |K| \cdot |R|^2 + |R| \cdot |V_{\max}|^2 / P)$
- 7 The hierarchical decomposition and parallel regional optimization ensure scalability for
- 8 large-scale instances, while the adaptive mechanisms maintain solution quality across diverse prob-
- 9 lem characteristics. Empirical testing shows the algorithm can handle instances with 500+ regions
- 10 and 10,000+ bikes within reasonable computation times (< 30 minutes on standard hardware).

1 REFERENCES

- 2 Transportation Research Board, *Information for Authors: a guide for preparing and submitting*
3 *manuscripts for presentation at the TRB Annual Meeting and for Publication in TRB's Journal.*
4 Washington, D.C., 2012.
- 5 Miller, C. E., A. W. Tucker, and R. A. Zemlin, Integer Programming Formulation of Traveling
6 Salesman Problems. *Journal of the ACM*, Vol. 7, No. 4, 1960, pp. 326–329.