Chapter 2: 5.4, 5.5, 6.4 Chapter 3: 1.7, 2.3, 2.4, 2.6, 2.8, 2.11, 2.12, 2.16, 2.20

Exercise 5.4. Let *a* and *b* be real numbers. Show that the following three equalities hold:

$$\bigcap_{x>b}(a,x)=(a,b],\qquad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}[a+\frac{1}{n},b-\frac{1}{n})=(a,b),\qquad \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}(a+n,+\infty)=\varnothing.$$

- 1. $\bigcap_{x>b}(a,x) = \{y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} : y \in (a,x) \forall x > b\}$. $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ has a least upper bound so call this bound, d so $y \leq d \forall y \in \bigcap_{x>b}(a,x)$. Assume d < b, then $a < y \leq d < b < x \exists p \in \bigcap_{x>b}(a,x)$ s.t. a < b < p < x. So, d is not the least upper bound. So, $b \leq d$ and $a < y \leq b \leq d \forall \bigcap_{x>b}(a,x)$. $\bigcap_{x>b}(a,x) = \{y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} : a < y \leq b\} = (a,b]$.
- 2. $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} = [a + \frac{1}{n-1}, b \frac{1}{n-1}) \cup [a + \frac{1}{n}, b \frac{1}{n}] = \{y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} : a + \frac{1}{n} \le y \le b \frac{1}{n}\} = B.$
 - $a \frac{1}{2}$ is a lower bound since $\nexists y \in B$ s.t. $y < a + \frac{1}{n}$. Assume \exists a lower bound called β s.t. $\beta > a + \frac{1}{n}$. If so then, $B = \{a + \frac{1}{n} < y < b \frac{1}{n}\}$. But, $\exists y \in B$ s.t. $y = a + \frac{1}{n}$. So, \nexists any β so inf $B = a + \frac{1}{n}$ and $a < a + \frac{1}{n} = \inf B$.
 - $b-\frac{1}{n}$ is a upper bound since $\nexists y \in B$ s.t. $y > b-\frac{1}{n}$. Assume \exists some upper bound α s.t. $\alpha < b-\frac{1}{n}$. So, $b-\frac{1}{n}-\alpha > 0$. Choose $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ so $\frac{1}{\gamma} < b-\frac{1}{n}-\alpha$. $(b-\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{\gamma}) > b-\frac{1}{n}-(b-\frac{1}{n}-\alpha)$. So, α is not an upper bound. So, sup $B=b-\frac{1}{n} < b$.

Thus, $B = \{a < \inf B \le y < \sup B < b \forall y \in B\} = (a, b).$

3. Let's do proof by contradiction. Assume $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}(a+n,+\infty)=X$ s.t. $X=\{\beta\}, \beta\in\mathbb{R}, \beta< a+n$. Enumerate $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}(a+n,+\infty)=(a+1,\infty)\cap(a+2,\infty)\cap\cdots\cap(a+n,\infty)\cap\ldots$. Take a look at set, $(a+n,\infty)=\{x\in\overline{\mathbb{R}}:a+n< x<\infty\}=B$. Clearly a+n is a lower bound for B since $a+n< x\ \forall x\in B$. Assume that k is a lower bound s.t. k>a+n. So, $B=\{x\in\overline{\mathbb{R}}:a+n< k< x<\infty\}$. So, k-a-n>0. Choose ϕ so $\phi>k-a-n.a+n+\phi>a+n+k-a-n$. So, k is not a lower bound. So, inf $B=a+n\to\beta< a+n=1$ inf $B.\beta\notin B$ so $\beta\notin\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}(a+n,+\infty)$. This establishes a contradiction so $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}(a+n,+\infty)=\emptyset$.

Exercise 5.5. Let $a_1, a_2, ...$ be any enumeration of the negative rational numbers; let $b_1, b_2, ...$ be any enumeration of the positive rational numbers. Show that the following two equalities hold:

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j) = \{0\}, \qquad \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j) = \mathbb{R}.$$

1

- 1. Let's analyze one set of this general intersection, (a_n, b_n) and call it A. sup A exists by the least upper bound property since $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded above and nonempty by least upper bound property. Similar argument for existence of $\inf A$ but it is bounded below. Let us prove what sup A, $\inf A$ are supposed to be.
 - a_n is a lower bound $\rightarrow x \notin A$ s.t. $x < a_n \forall x \in A$. Assume that l is a lower bound s.t. $l > a_n$. So, $A = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} : a_n < k < x < b_n\}$. So, $l a_n > 0$. Choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ so $\alpha > l a_n$. $\alpha + a_n > a_n + l a_n$. Thus, l is not a lower bound. So, inf $A = a_n$.
 - b_n is a upper bound $\to x \notin A$ s.t. $x > b_n \forall x \in A$. Assume that u is an upper bound s.t. $u < b_n$. So, $A = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} : a_n < x < u < b_n\}$. So, $u b_n > 0$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so $u b_n > \frac{1}{n}$. So, $b_n \frac{1}{n} > u$. Thus, u is not an upper bound. So, sup $A = b_n$.

Since $a_n \in -\mathbb{Q}$ and $b_n \in +\mathbb{Q}$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \in (a_n, b_n) \forall n \text{ so } \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n) = \{0\}.$

- 2. Let's analyze one set of this general union, (a_n, b_n) and call it A. sup A exists by the least upper bound property since $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded above and nonempty by least upper bound property. Similar argument for existence of $\inf A$ but it is bounded below. Let us prove what sup A, $\inf A$ are supposed to be.
 - a_n is a lower bound $\rightarrow x \notin A$ s.t. $x < a_n \forall x \in A$. Assume that l is a lower bound s.t. $l > a_n$. So, $A = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} : a_n < k < x < b_n\}$. So, $l a_n > 0$. Choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ so $\alpha > l a_n$. $\alpha + a_n > a_n + l a_n$. Thus, l is not a lower bound. So, inf $A = a_n$.
 - b_n is a upper bound $\to x \notin A$ s.t. $x > b_n \forall x \in A$. Assume that u is an upper bound s.t. $u < b_n$. So, $A = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} : a_n < x < u < b_n\}$. So, $u b_n > 0$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so $u b_n > \frac{1}{n}$. So, $b_n \frac{1}{n} > u$. Thus, u is not an upper bound. So, $\sup A = b_n$.

Assume that there is some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\beta \notin A$, \exists some set in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j)$ s.t. $\beta \in \{a_n - \epsilon < x < b_n + \epsilon\}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. So, if true for any β , $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j) = \mathbb{R}$.

Exercise 6.4. Prove there exists no order \leq that makes $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, \leq)$ into an ordered field.

Let us argue by contradiction. Assume that \mathbb{C} is a ordered field. Let us analyze the case (0,1)=i.

- If i > 0, $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x = i, y = i, i \cdot i > 0 \implies -1 > 0$, which is a contradiction.
- If i < 0, $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ and x = -i, y = -i (since x, y > 0 for the second condition to hold), $-i \cdot -i < 0 \rightarrow i^2 > 0 \implies -1 > 0$, which is a contradiction.

2

Exercise 1.7. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on a real vector space V. Prove the *reverse triangle inequality*:

$$|||x|| - ||y||| \le ||x - y||$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &= \|(x-y) + y\| \le |\|x-y\| + \|y\||. \ |\|x\| - \|y\|| \le |\|(x-y) + \|y\| - \|y\|\|| = \\ \|\|(x-y)\|\| &= \|x-y\|. \\ \|y\| &= \|(y-x) + x\| = \|y-x\| + \|x\|. \|\|x\| - \|y\|| \le |\|x\| - (\|y-x\| + \|x\|)| \le \|x-y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2.3. Let X be any set. Prove that the discrete metric $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ (defined by d(x,y) = 1 if $x \neq y$ and d(x,x) = 0 for $x \in X$) satisfies the triangle inequality and is therefore a metric on X.

Let's argue via proof by contrapositive. Assume $d(x,y) > d(x,z) + d(z,y) \forall x,y,z \in X$ so d is not a metric on X. Let $x \neq y$ and enumerate what values z can take on.

- If $z \neq y \neq z$, then 1 > 2.
- If z = x and $z \neq y$, vice versa, then 1 > 1.
- If z = y = x, then 1 > 0. However, this contradicts our initial assumption that $x \neq y$.

Clearly, all these pose contradictions, so it must be that d satisfies the triangle inequality and is a metric on X.

Exercise 2.4. Determine which of the following functions are metrics on \mathbb{R} . Prove your answer in each case.

- $d_1(x, y) = \sqrt{|x y|}$.
- $\bullet \ d_2(x,y) = |x-2y|.$
- $d_3(x,y) = \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|}$.

Exercise 2.6. Consider the function $d : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$d(x,y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|, \qquad (x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2)).$$

- 1. Prove that *d* is a metric on \mathbb{R}^2 .
- 2. On a sheet of graph paper, draw the set $B_d((5,1),3)$. Use dotted lines to indicate the boundary, which is not included in the set you are drawing. (Hint: it may be easier to figure out what the set looks like if you first consider $B_d((0,0),3)$.)
- 3. On the same graph as in the previous part, draw $B_{d_u}((-3,2),1)$, where d_u denotes the square metric.

Exercise 2.8. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let E be a subset of X. The *diameter* of E in (X, d) is defined by the formula

$$diam_d(E) = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in E\}.$$

- 1. Prove that for any r > 0 and $x \in X$, we have $diam(B(x, r)) \le 2r$.
- 2. If *X* is any set and *d* is the discrete metric, show diam(B(x,r)) = 0 for any $r \le 1$, while diam(B(x,r)) = 1 for any r > 1.
- 3. If $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and d is the Euclidean metric, prove that diam(B(x,r)) = 2r.

Exercise 2.11. As in Example 2.7, let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$, $Y = [-1,3] \times [2,4]$, and let d denote the Euclidean metric on $X = \mathbb{R}^2$. Let p = (3,4) and let q = (2,4). Arguing *directly from the definition of an interior point* (i.e., without using Exercise 2.12), show that q is an interior point $B_Y(p,2)$ with respect to Y, but q is not an interior point $B_Y(p,2)$ with respect to X. In addition, draw a picture on a piece of graph paper that illustrates the idea of your proof.

Exercise 2.12. Let (X,d) be a metric space, and let Y be a subset of X. Prove that for any subset U of Y, we have

$$(*) \operatorname{Int}_X(U) = \operatorname{Int}_Y(U) \cap \operatorname{Int}_X(Y).$$

In the notation of Exercise 2.11, the equality (*) gives an alternate explanation of why q is not an interior point of $B_Y(p,2)$ with respect to X: It is because $q \notin Int_X(Y)$, as can be seen from the picture you drew in that Exercise.

Exercise 2.16. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let U be a subset of X. Use Proposition 2.15 to prove that $Int_X(U)$ is open in X.

Exercise 2.20. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Assume that $U \subset Y \subset X$, and additionally that Y is open X. Prove that U is open in Y if and only if U is open in X. (Note: There at least two possible solutions; one uses Theorem 2.19, the other uses Exercise 2.12.)

4