Ravi Raju MA 521 Homework #2 2/6/2019

Chapter 1: 4.18, 4.19, 4.22; Chapter 2: 1.6, 1.7, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 3.3, 4.6, 4.8, 4.9, 4.10

**Exercise 4.18.** Let *A* and *B* be sets. Assume *A* is infinite, *B* is countable, and *A* and *B* are disjoint. Prove  $A \sim A \cup B$ . Hint: The strategy of Theorem 4.16 may be useful.

If *A* is infinite, we have  $C \subset A$ , a countably infinite set. By Proposition 4.21, the union of two countable sets is still countable,  $B \cup C$ , which is countably infinite. Since  $((A \cup B) \setminus B \cup C) \cap C$  and  $((A \cup B) \setminus B \cup C) \cap (B \cup C)$  are both empty,  $A \cup B = ((A \cup B) \setminus B \cup C) \cup (B \cup C) \sim ((A \cup B) \setminus B \cup C) \cup C = A$ .

**Exercise 4.19.** Let X and Y be sets. Assume Y is countable and  $X \setminus Y$  is infinite. Prove that  $X \sim X \cup Y \sim X \setminus Y$ . Hint: Each of the equivalences can be done extremely quickly if you use the previous exercise and some set manipulations.

If  $X \setminus Y$  is infinite,  $X \setminus Y$  must have a countably infinite subset. This means that X must be infinite. We can use Exercise 4.18 but we need to prove that X and Y are disjoint sets. Let's solve by contradiction.

Assume  $a_1 \in X$ , Y s.t.  $X \cap Y = \{a_1\}$ . This means that  $X \setminus Y$  will be a proper subset of X. We can apply Theorem 4.16 to say  $X \sim X \setminus Y$ . But then,  $X \cap Y \sim (X \cap Y) \cap Y = \emptyset$ , which is a contradicts our assumption. This suggest that X and Y are disjoint and apply Exercise 4.18 directly to say  $X \sim X \cup Y \sim X \setminus Y$ .

## **Exercise 4.22.** Let *X* be a countable set.

- 1. Prove inductively that  $X^n \sim X^{n-1} \times X$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 2. Prove inductively that  $X^n$  is countable for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 1. WLOG, let n=2. For the base case, by definition of n-tuples,  $X^2=X\times X\sim X^1\times X$ . For the inductive step, assume statement is true for n,  $X^{n+1}=(X\times X\times \dots)\times X=X^n\times X=X^{(n+1)-1}\times X$ .
- 2. WLOG, let n = 2.  $X^2 = X \times X = \{(a,b) : a \in X \text{ and } b \in X\}$ . If  $X \cup X$  is countable by Proposition 4.21, then  $X \times X$  should also be countable. For the inductive step, let n = k+1 assume  $X^k$  is countable.  $X^{k+1} = X \times X^k \implies X$  is countable and  $X^k$  is countable by assumption so by Proposition 4.21,  $X^{k+1}$  should be countable.

**Exercise 1.6.** Let E, F, and G be nonempty subsets of an ordered set  $(S, \leq)$ . Prove the following statements.

- 1. If  $\alpha \in S$  is a lower bound for E and  $\beta \in S$  is an upper bound for E, then  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .
- 2.  $\sup E \leq \inf F$  if and only if  $x \leq y$  for any  $x \in E, y \in F$ .
- 3. If  $E \subset G$ , then  $\sup E \leq \sup G$ .
- 1. By definition of upper bound,  $\forall x \in E : x \leq \beta$ . By definition of lower bound,  $\forall x \in E : x \geq \alpha$ . So,  $\alpha \leq x \leq \beta \implies \alpha \leq E \leq \beta \implies \alpha \leq \beta$ .
- 2. (a) Let us prove this  $\rightarrow$  direction first. Given  $\sup E \leq \inf F$ . Let's solve by contradiction. Assume x > y for any  $x \in E, y \in F$ . Say  $\beta_1 = \sup E$ , implying  $\beta_1$  is an upper bound for E. So by definition,  $x < \beta_1 \, \forall x \in E$ . Say  $\alpha_1 = \inf F$ , implying  $\alpha_1$  is a lower bound for F. So by definition,  $\alpha_1 \leq y \, \forall y \in F$ . By the given statement,  $\beta_1 \leq \alpha_1 \implies x \leq \beta_1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq y$ . This establishes a contradiction so  $x \leq y$ .
  - (b) Now the other direction,  $\leftarrow$ . Given  $x \le y$  for any  $x \in E, y \in F$ . Let  $\beta_2$  be the upper bound for E. Let  $\alpha_2$  be the upper bound for F.  $x \le \beta_2 \le \alpha_2 \le y$ ; the tightest bounds for this expression would be if  $\beta_2 = \sup E$  and  $\alpha_2 = \inf F$ .  $x \le \sup E \le \inf F \le y \Longrightarrow \sup E \le \inf F$ .
- 3. Let  $a = \sup G$  and  $b = \sup E$ . Assume b > a. If b is larger than a, a could not be the upper bound of G since  $E \subset G$ . So, this establishes a contradiction and  $\sup E \leq \sup G$ .

**Exercise 1.7.** Let  $(S, \leq)$  be an ordered set, let f and g be functions from X to S and let A be a subset of X. Assume that  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ , and that furthermore  $\sup_A f$  and  $\sup_A g$  exist in S. Prove that  $\sup_A f \leq \sup_A g$ .

Given  $\sup_A f = \sup\{f(x) : x \in A\} = \beta, \sup_A g = \sup\{g(x) : x \in A\} = \alpha$ , and  $f(x) \le g(x) \ \forall x \in A$ . Clearly, since  $\beta$  is an upper bound for f,  $f(x) \le \beta \le g(x) \ \forall x \in A$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an upper bound for g,  $f(x) \le \beta \le g(x) \le \alpha \ \forall x \in A \implies \beta \le \alpha = \sup_A f \le \sup_A g$ .

**Exercise 2.3.** Let *A* be a nonempty subset of an ordered field  $(F, +, \cdot, \leq)$ . Assume that  $\sup A$  and  $\inf A$  exist in *F*, and let *c* be any element of *F*. Define the set  $cA := \{ca : a \in A\}$ .

- 1. Prove that  $c \ge 0$ , then  $\sup(cA) = c \sup A$ .
- 2. What is  $\sup(cA)$  if  $c \le 0$ ? Prove your answer is correct.
- 1. WLOG, let c > 0. Let  $B_1$  be an upper bound for A.  $\sup cA = \sup(\{ca : a \in A\}) = C_1 = cB_1 = c\sup A$ .
- 2. Prove  $\sup(cA) = c\inf(A)$ . Let  $\inf A = C_2$  and  $cC_2 = B_2$ . So,  $\{B_2 \ge ca : a \in A\}$  since A is an ordered field.  $\{ca : a \in A\} \le B_2 \implies \text{tightest upper bound is } \sup(cA)$ .

2

**Exercise 2.4** Let *A* be a nonempty subset of an ordered field  $(F, +, \cdot, \leq)$ . Assume that  $\sup A$  and  $\inf A$  exist in *F*. Define  $A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ . Prove that  $\sup(A + B) = \sup A + \sup B$  by filling in the details of the following outline:

- Denote  $s = \sup A$ ,  $t = \sup B$ . Then s + t is an upper bound for A + B.
- Let *u* be any upper bound for A + B, and let *a* be any element of *A*. Then  $t \le u a$ .
- We have  $s + t \le u$ . Consequently,  $\sup(A + B)$  exists in F and is equal to  $s + t = \sup A + \sup B$ .

Let  $s = \sup A$ ,  $t = \sup B$ . By definition of supremum, no element in A + B is greater than s + t so it must be an upper bound. Let u be any upper bound for A + B, and let a be any element of A. Then  $t \le u - a$ . Let's choose u = s + t + 1 and plugging that into the later expression yields  $t \le s + t + 1 - a \implies a - 1 \le s$ , which will always be true since s is an upper bound on A. If u is an upper bound on A + B,  $\sup(A + B)$  is the tightest bound which is s + t so  $\sup(A + B) = \sup A + \sup B$ .

**Exercise 2.5.** Let f and g be functions from a set X to an ordered field  $(F, +, \cdot, \leq)$ . Let A be a subset of X.

• Prove that the following inequality holds, assuming the relevant suprema all exist.

$$(*)\sup_{x\in A}(f(x)+g(x))\leq \sup_{x\in A}f(x)+\sup_{x\in A}g(x)).$$

- Show by way of an example that equality might not hold in (\*), even if the suprema all exist. (Hint: This is probably easiest if you choose X to be a set with two elements, and  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ .)
- $\forall x_0 \in A, f(x_0) + g(x_0) \le f(x_0) + g(x_0). \forall x_0, \exists x_1, x_2 \in A : f(x_0) + g(x_0) \le f(x_1) + g(x_2).$  Let  $f(x_1) = \sup_{x \in A} f(x)$  and  $g(x_2) = \sup_{x \in A} g(x). \sup_{x \in A} g(x) \cdot \sup_{x \in A} g(x) \cdot \sup_{x \in A} g(x)$ .
- Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $f : a \to 4, b \to 5$ , and  $g : a \to -1, b \to -2$ . Clearly,  $\sup f = 5$  and  $\sup g = -1$  but  $\sup f(x) + g(x) = \sup\{3,3\} = 3$ . This proves that equality doesn't hold.

**Exercise 3.3.** Using the strategies similar to those proofs in this section, prove the following statements.

- 1. There is no rational whose square is 20.
- 2. The set  $A := \{r \in \mathbb{Q} : r^2 \le 20\}$  has no least upper bound in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Exercise 4.6.** Elements of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  are called *irrational numbers*.

- 1. Assume r is rational and x is irrational. Show that r + x and rx are irrational.
- 2. Use the Archimedean property of  $\mathbb R$  to prove that the set of irrational numbers is dense in  $\mathbb R$ . (Hint: First prove if x and y are real numbers with  $y-x>\sqrt{2}$ , then there exists an integer m such that  $x< m\sqrt{2} < y$ .)
- 1.
- 2.

**Exercise 4.8.** Assume  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a \leq b + \epsilon$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Exercise 4.9.** Let *E* be a set of real numbers, let *s* be an upper bound for *E*. Prove that  $s = \sup E$  if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $x \in E$  such that  $x > s - \epsilon$ .

**Exercise 4.10.** Let *A* and *B* be nonempty sets of real numbers. Decide whether the following statements are true or false. If true, give a proof; if false, give a counterexample.

- 1. If  $\sup A < \inf B$ , then there exists a  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying a < c < b for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .
- 2. If there exists a  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying a < c < b for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , then  $\sup A < \inf B$ .