

Chapter 1: 4.18, 4.19, 4.22;
Chapter 2: 1.6, 1.7, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 3.3, 4.6, 4.8, 4.9, 4.10

Exercise 4.18. Let A and B be sets. Assume A is infinite, B is countable, and A and B are disjoint. Prove $A \sim A \cup B$. Hint: The strategy of Theorem 4.16 may be useful.

If A is infinite, we have $C \subset A$, a countably infinite set. By Proposition 4.21, the union of two countable sets is still countable, $B \cup C$, which is countably infinite. Since $((A \cup B) \setminus B \cup C) \cap C$ and $((A \cup B) \setminus B \cup C) \cap (B \cup C)$ are both empty, $A \cup B = ((A \cup B) \setminus B \cup C) \cup (B \cup C) \sim ((A \cup B) \setminus B \cup C) \cup C = A$. ■

Exercise 4.19. Let X and Y be sets. Assume Y is countable and $X \setminus Y$ is infinite. Prove that $X \sim X \cup Y \sim X \setminus Y$. Hint: Each of the equivalences can be done extremely quickly if you use the previous exercise and some set manipulations.

If $X \setminus Y$ is infinite, $X \setminus Y$ must have a countably infinite subset. This means that X must be infinite. We can use Exercise 4.18 but we need to prove that X and Y are disjoint sets. Let's solve by contradiction.

Assume $a_1 \in X, Y$ s.t. $X \cap Y = \{a_1\}$. This means that $X \setminus Y$ will be a proper subset of X . We can apply Theorem 4.16 to say $X \sim X \setminus Y$. But then, $X \cap Y \sim (X \cap Y) \cap Y = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. This suggests that X and Y are disjoint and apply Exercise 4.18 directly to say $X \sim X \cup Y \sim X \setminus Y$. ■

Exercise 4.22. Let X be a countable set.

1. Prove inductively that $X^n \sim X^{n-1} \times X$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. Prove inductively that X^n is countable for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. WLOG, let $n = 2$. For the base case, by definition of n -tuples, $X^2 = X \times X \sim X^1 \times X$. For the inductive step, assume statement is true for n , $X^{n+1} = (X \times X \times \dots) \times X = X^n \times X \sim X^{(n+1)-1} \times X$.
2. WLOG, let $n = 2$. $X^2 = X \times X = \{(a, b) : a \in X \text{ and } b \in X\}$. If $X \cup X$ is countable by Proposition 4.21, then $X \times X$ should also be countable. For the inductive step, let $n = k + 1$ assume X^k is countable. $X^{k+1} = X \times X^k \implies X$ is countable and X^k is countable by assumption so by Proposition 4.21, X^{k+1} should be countable. ■

Exercise 1.6. Let E, F , and G be nonempty subsets of an ordered set (S, \leq) . Prove the following statements.

1. If $\alpha \in S$ is a lower bound for E and $\beta \in S$ is an upper bound for E , then $\alpha \leq \beta$.
2. $\sup E \leq \inf F$ if and only if $x \leq y$ for any $x \in E, y \in F$.
3. If $E \subset G$, then $\sup E \leq \sup G$.

1. By definition of upper bound, $\forall x \in E : x \leq \beta$. By definition of lower bound, $\forall x \in E : x \geq \alpha$. So, $\alpha \leq x \leq \beta \implies \alpha \leq E \leq \beta \implies \alpha \leq \beta$.
2. (a) Let us prove this \rightarrow direction first. Given $\sup E \leq \inf F$. Let's solve by contradiction. Assume $x > y$ for any $x \in E, y \in F$. Say $\beta_1 = \sup E$, implying β_1 is an upper bound for E . So by definition, $x < \beta_1 \forall x \in E$. Say $\alpha_1 = \inf F$, implying α_1 is a lower bound for F . So by definition, $\alpha_1 \leq y \forall y \in F$. By the given statement, $\beta_1 \leq \alpha_1 \implies x \leq \beta_1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq y$. This establishes a contradiction so $x \leq y$.
 (b) Now the other direction, \leftarrow . Given $x \leq y$ for any $x \in E, y \in F$. Let β_2 be the upper bound for E . Let α_2 be the upper bound for F . $x \leq \beta_2 \leq \alpha_2 \leq y$; the tightest bounds for this expression would be if $\beta_2 = \sup E$ and $\alpha_2 = \inf F$. $x \leq \sup E \leq \inf F \leq y \implies \sup E \leq \inf F$.
3. Let $a = \sup G$ and $b = \sup E$. Assume $b > a$. If b is larger than a , a could not be the upper bound of G since $E \subset G$. So, this establishes a contradiction and $\sup E \leq \sup G$. ■

Exercise 1.7. Let (S, \leq) be an ordered set, let f and g be functions from X to S and let A be a subset of X . Assume that $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in A$, and that furthermore $\sup_A f$ and $\sup_A g$ exist in S . Prove that $\sup_A f \leq \sup_A g$.

Given $\sup_A f = \sup\{f(x) : x \in A\} = \beta, \sup_A g = \sup\{g(x) : x \in A\} = \alpha$, and $f(x) \leq g(x) \forall x \in A$. Clearly, since β is an upper bound for f , $f(x) \leq \beta \leq g(x) \forall x \in A$. Since α is an upper bound for g , $f(x) \leq \beta \leq g(x) \leq \alpha \forall x \in A \implies \beta \leq \alpha = \sup_A g \leq \sup_A f$. ■

Exercise 2.3. Let A be a nonempty subset of an ordered field $(F, +, \cdot, \leq)$. Assume that $\sup A$ and $\inf A$ exist in F , and let c be any element of F . Define the set $cA := \{ca : a \in A\}$.

1. Prove that $c \geq 0$, then $\sup(cA) = c \sup A$.
2. What is $\sup(cA)$ if $c \leq 0$? Prove your answer is correct.

- 1.
- 2.

Exercise 2.4 Let A be a nonempty subset of an ordered field $(F, +, \cdot, \leq)$. Assume that $\sup A$ and $\inf A$ exist in F . Define $A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$. Prove that $\sup(A + B) = \sup A + \sup B$ by filling in the details of the following outline:

- Denote $s = \sup A, t = \sup B$. Then $s + t$ is an upper bound for $A + B$.
- Let u be any upper bound for $A + B$, and let a be any element of A . Then $t \leq u - a$.
- We have $s + t \leq u$. Consequently, $\sup(A + B)$ exists in F and is equal to $s + t = \sup A + \sup B$.

-
-
-

■

Exercise 2.5. Let f and g be functions from a set X to an ordered field $(F, +, \cdot, \leq)$. Let A be a subset of X .

■

Exercise 3.3. Using the strategies similar to those proofs in this section, prove the following statements.

1. There is no rational whose square is 20.
2. The set $A := \{r \in \mathbb{Q} : r^2 \leq 20\}$ has no least upper bound in \mathbb{Q} .

■

Exercise 4.6. Elements of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ are called *irrational numbers*.

1. Assume r is rational and x is irrational. Show that $r + x$ and rx are irrational.
2. Use the Archimedean property of \mathbb{R} to prove that the set of irrational numbers is dense in \mathbb{R} . (Hint: First prove if x and y are real numbers with $y - x > \sqrt{2}$, then there exists an integer m such that $x < m\sqrt{2} < y$.)

- 1.
- 2.

■

Exercise 4.8. Assume $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that $a \leq b$ if and only if $a \leq b + \epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$.

■

Exercise 4.9. Let E be a set of real numbers, let s be an upper bound for E . Prove that $s = \sup E$ if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $x \in E$ such that $x > s - \epsilon$.

■

Exercise 4.10. Let A and B be nonempty sets of real numbers. Decide whether the following statements are true or false. If true, give a proof; if false, give a counterexample.

1. If $\sup A < \inf B$, then there exists a $c \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $a < c < b$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.
2. If there exists a $c \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $a < c < b$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, then $\sup A < \inf B$.

■