

4. I - Interface Segregation Principle (ISP)

What is the Interface Segregation Principle (ISP)?

Code Example: Violating ISP

Problems with the Above Code

Code Example: Follows ISP

Key Benefits of the Refactored Code

Summary

▼ Resources

- Video → [1. SOLID Principles with Easy Examples \(Hindi\) | OOPs SOLID Principles - Low Level Design](#)

What is the Interface Segregation Principle (ISP)?

Interface Segregation Principle (ISP) states that “clients should not be forced to depend on interfaces they don't use. Instead of having one large interface with many methods, it's better to have multiple smaller, focused interfaces”.

That means, Interfaces should be such that the client should NOT implement unnecessary functions they do not need.

Code Example: Violating ISP

```
1 // BAD: This class violates ISP
2 // This is a fat interface
3 // One large interface forcing all implementers to define unused
  methods
4 public interface RestaurantEmployee {
5
6     void prepareFood();
7
8     void decideMenu();
9
10    void serveFoodAndDrinks();
11
12    void takeOrder();
13
14    void cleanTheKitchen();
15 }
16
17
18 // BAD: This class violates ISP(clients shouldn't depend on unused
  interfaces)
19 // Bloated class with empty or error-throwing methods
20 // This Waiter is forced to implement methods it doesn't need
21 public class Waiter implements RestaurantEmployee {
22     @Override
23     public void takeOrder() {
24         System.out.println("Taking order...");
25     }
26
27     @Override
28     public void serveFoodAndDrinks() {
29         System.out.println("Serving food and drinks...");
30     }
31 }
```

```

32     @Override
33     public void cleanTheKitchen() {
34         // Forced to implement but doesn't make sense for a waiter
35         throw new AssertionError("Detail Message: Waiter cannot clean
the kitchen!");
36     }
37
38     @Override
39     public void prepareFood() {
40         // Forced to implement but doesn't make sense for a waiter
41         throw new AssertionError("Detail Message: Waiter cannot
prepare food!");
42     }
43
44     @Override
45     public void decideMenu() {
46         // Forced to implement but doesn't make sense for a waiter
47         throw new AssertionError("Detail Message: Waiter cannot decide
the menu!");
48     }
49
50 }
51
52
53 // Usage example - showing the problem
54 public class ViolationDemo {
55     public static void main(String[] args) {
56         Waiter waiter = new Waiter();
57         // Works fine
58         waiter.takeOrder();
59         waiter.serveFoodAndDrinks();
60
61         // These will throw exceptions
62         waiter.prepareFood(); // forced implementation
63         waiter.decideMenu(); // forced implementation
64         waiter.cleanTheKitchen(); // forced implementation
65     }
66 }

```

Problems with the Above Code

- Classes are forced to implement methods they don't support.
- Results in `AssertionError` being thrown.
- Code becomes bloated with empty or error-throwing methods.
- Violates the principle that clients shouldn't depend on unused interfaces.

Code Example: Follows ISP

```

1 // GOOD: This follows ISP - Multiple focused interfaces following ISP
2 public interface ChefTasks {
3     void prepareFood();
4
5     void decideMenu();
6 }
7
8 // GOOD: This follows ISP - Multiple focused interfaces following ISP
9 public interface WaiterTasks {
10     void serveFoodAndDrinks();
11
12     void takeOrder();
13 }
14
15 // GOOD: This follows ISP - Multiple focused interfaces following ISP
16 public interface MaintenanceTasks {
17     void cleanTheKitchen();
18
19     void reStockGroceries();

```

```

20 }
21
22 // GOOD: This class follows ISP
23 // Now classes only implement what they actually need - Clean
  implementations
24 public class Chef implements ChefTasks {
25
26     @Override
27     public void prepareFood() {
28         System.out.println("Preparing food...");
29     }
30
31     @Override
32     public void decideMenu() {
33         System.out.println("Deciding menu...");
34     }
35 }
36
37 // GOOD: This class follows ISP
38 // Now classes only implement what they actually need - Clean
  implementations
39 public class Waiter implements WaiterTasks {
40
41     @Override
42     public void serveFoodAndDrinks() {
43         System.out.println("Serving food and drinks...");
44     }
45
46     @Override
47     public void takeOrder() {
48         System.out.println("Taking order...");
49     }
50 }
51 // Usage example - Following ISP
52 public class SolutionDemo {
53     public static void main(String[] args) {
54         // Create the objects
55         // Now classes only implement what they actually support
56         Chef chef = new Chef();
57         Waiter waiter = new Waiter();
58
59         // Use the objects
60         // These work perfectly - no forced implementations
61         chef.prepareFood();
62         chef.decideMenu();
63         // These work perfectly - no forced implementations
64         waiter.takeOrder();
65         waiter.serveFoodAndDrinks();
66     }
67 }

```

Key Benefits of the Refactored Code

- Prevents bloated classes by having each class implement interfaces that it uses.
- No forced dependencies on irrelevant functionality.
- Cleaner, more maintainable design/code.
- Different Restaurant Employee entities can implement multiple interfaces only when they support those features.

Summary

The **Interface Segregation Principle** (ISP) helps maintain focused classes by ensuring they only implement the methods they need. To identify potential violations of the ISP, look for indicators such as low cohesion, large or “fat” interfaces, empty methods, and challenging testing scenarios. By recognizing these signs early, you can refactor your code to uphold a modular and flexible design.

