Heuristic analysis

I implemented 3 custom scores as a part of the game. Its is very difficult to pick one good heuristic amongst the 3. In general a deeper search and a lower time limit gives me results above 80% for AB_Improved, AB_Customscore, AB_Customscore1 and AB_Customscore2. Screenshot below.

Time_limit = 15 millisec Depth = 7

		****	*****	*****	*****	*			
		****	Playin			*			
Match #	Opponent	AB_Imp	roved	AB_C	ustom	_	stom_2	AB_Cus	stom_3
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	9	1	9	1	10	0	10	0
2	MM Open	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0
3	MM Center	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	Θ
4	MM Improved	10 i	0	10	0	10	0	10	Θ
5	AB Open	7 i	3	5	5	5	5	7	3
6	AB Center	6	4	8	2	5	5	8	2
7	AB_Improved	5	5	5	5	8	2	5	5
	Win Rate:	81.	4%	81	. 4%	82	. 9%	85	.7%

Now reducing the depth to 3 and increasing the time limit to 25 - I get the following results. If you see below AB_Custom has reduced from 81.4% to 55% and there is a significant drop in other heuristics as well

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Cu	AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	
1	Random	9	1	5	5	9	1	10	0	
2	MM_Open	8	2	8	2	6	4	9	1	
3	MM Center	10	0	8	2	8	2	8	2	
4	MM Improved	6	4	5	5	7	j 3	6	4	
5	AB Open	7	3	4	6	4	j 6	7	3	
6	AB Center	6	4	7	3	8	1 2	5	5	
7	AB_Improved	3	7	2	8	7	j 3	5	5	
	Win Rate:	70	. 0%	55	. 7%	76	0.0%	71	. 4%	

Now keeping the time limit to 25 and increasing the depth to 8 - there is an increase in winning percentage across all the heuristics

Match #	Opponent	AB_Imp	roved	AB_C	ustom	AB_Cu	stom_2	AB_Cu	stom_3				
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost				
1	Random	7	3	9	1	7	3	8	2				
2	MM Open	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	Θ				
3	MM Center	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	Θ				
4	MM Improved	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	Θ				
5	AB Open	5 i	5	7	3	7	3	5	5				
6	AB Center	6	4	6	4	6	4	7	3				
7	AB_Improved	3	7	6	4	5	5	6	4				
	Win Rate:	72.	9%	82	. 9%	78	. 6%	80	. 0%				

Increasing the depth to 11

		****	*****	****	*****	k 3k			
		****	Playin			*			
Market W	0	AD T-		40.6		AD Co		AD C	
Match #	Opponent	_	roved Lost	_	ustom I Lost		stom_2 Lost		stom_3 Lost
1	Random	8	2	9	l 1	7	3	10	1 0
2	MM Open	10	Θ	10	Θ	10	0	10	0
3	MM Center	10	0	10	0	10	Θ	10	0
4	MM_Improved	10	Θ	10	0	10	Θ	10	0
5	AB_Open	5	5	8	2	6	4	5	5
6	AB_Center	5	5	9	1	6	4	9	1
7	AB_Improved	4	6	3	7	6	4	5	5
	Win Rate:	74	. 3%	84	. 3%	78	. 6%	84	. 3%

Increasing the depth to 13

Match #	Opponent	AB_Ir	nproved	AB_C	ustom	AB_Cu	stom_2	AB_Cus	stom_3		
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost		
1	Random	10	0	9	1	9	1	7	3		
2	MM Open	10	i 0	10	Θ	10	0	10	0		
3	MM Center	10	i 0	10	Θ	10	0	10	Θ		
4	MM Improved	10	i 0	10	Θ	10	0	10	0		
5	AB Open	5	5	7	3	6	4	5	5		
6	AB Center	8	1 2	8	2	9	1	6	4		
7	AB_Improved	4	6	4	6	8	2	4	6		
	Win Rate:	8:	1.4%	82	. 9%	88	. 6%	74	. 3%		

Again this does not mean that by increasing the depth linearly will give you a better result, quiesence will be reached.

Here is a brief description of all the heuristics

 Custom_score - reward your player if your player has more moves than the opponent's move

```
if game.is_loser(player):
    return float("-inf")

if game.is_winner(player):
    return float("inf")

own_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(player))
    opponent_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(game.get_opponent(player)))
    return float(own_moves - opponent_moves)
```

2. Customer_Score2 - play more aggressively and reward your player if your player has more legal moves to make than the opponents

```
if game.is_loser(player):
    return float("-inf")

if game.is_winner(player):
    return float("inf")

#return float(len(game.get_legal_moves(player)))
    own_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(player))
    #print(len(own_moves))
    opponent_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(game.get_opponent(player)))
    #print(len(opponent_moves))
    return float(own_moves - (2*opponent_moves))
```

3. Customer_Score2 - play more aggressively if the number of blank spaces are above 45 and less aggressively towards the end of the game

```
if game.is_loser(player):
    return float("-inf")

if game.is_winner(player):
    return float("inf")

blank_spaces = game.get_blank_spaces()
    #return float(len(game.get_legal_moves(player)))
    own_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(player))
    #print(len(own_moves))
    opponent_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(game.get_opponent(player)))
    if len(blank_spaces) >= 45:
        return float(own_moves - 3*opponent_moves)
    else:
        return float(3*own_moves - opponent_moves)
```

<u>Conclusion:</u>Based on the consistent performance overall between Custom_score2 and Customer_score3 - I will either chose customer_score2 or customer_score3. And again if I have to chose between custom_score2 and Customer_score3, I will chose customer_score3 as it has more scores in the 80's than custom_score2.