The Art of Design and Development

Ravishankar Rajagopalan

Why do we need it?

- Programmers spend 49% of their time debugging*
- Total estimated cost of debugging is \$312 billion
- Need to significantly improve productivity!

^{*}Source CVP Surveys

Why do we need it?

- Spent hour(s)/day(s) to find a bug which could have been found in minutes
- Maintenance nightmare
 - Realized later that we used overly complex data structures/coded wrong algorithms
 - Nobody can understand the program now (at times, not even the author)
- Need to make the program run faster, use less memory
- Tested a program but missed some obvious use cases
- Program works fine in our system, cranks in production
- Struggled to port a program
- Realized later we could have automated many more things
- Need Refactoring
- .

Where do we start from?

- Reliability
 - Does not mean system is always working perfectly or giving desired results
 - Fault within tolerance limits
- Availability
 - Up-time or down-time
- Serviceability
 - Easy to maintain/upgrade/deal with production issues

Everything we do and talk will be around this!

Slowness can be an acceptable trait, but failure and data loss are almost never acceptable

Principles

- Simplicity
 - Keep programs small and manageable
- Clarity
 - Easy to read and understand (esp for people)
- Generality
 - Work under a wide range of situations
 - Take this with a pinch of salt
 - There are situations when development time could be abnormally high esp. When trying to generalize when not needed
- Consistency
- Maintainability
- Automation
 - Automate as much as possible
 - Makes it easy to test too

Helpful for

- Professionals/Engineers
- Managers
- Architects
- Anyone who's willing to be a student in IT/software design & development

Learn by Example

- May use C/Java/Go/Python other programs to demonstrate, but ideas are much language agnostic
- Examples are almost entirely production code
- Some of us may know many or all of these things & few of us apply this unconsciously
 - Always good to learn from professionals who know the craft

Naming

Use descriptive names for globals and short names for local variables

- Naming conventions
 - May indicate what the variable does/type
 - stptr or nodep
 - zeta_str, alt1_int64

..Naming

Globals

- Well differentiated from the local (and possibly) package variable names to avoid mixups
- More descriptive
 - Use elementIndex instead of elx
- Unique package/other name prefixed if it's going to be multiple packages merged together
 - int CralSecNumQItems; or cralSecNumQItems//depending on language
- Constants can usually be all caps
 - CRALINA_SEC_MAX_Q_LENGTH = 100 //e.g. Cralina's security package, max Q length
- Camel case or _ or something else?
 - Better to use convention used by language or package developer/organization
 - Don't mixup use ONE convention in all of your package in a given language
 - If all existing code is all using _, use _ even if language convention is otherwise, consistency is more important than convention

..Naming

- Relevant and non-repetitive
 - Well differentiated from the local (and possibly) package variable names to avoid mixups
 - More descriptive

```
class ItemQueue {
    int numItemsInQ, queueCapacity, frontOfQueue
    public int numberOfItemsInQueue() {...}
}

class ItemQueue {
    int nitems, capacity, front
    public int getNumItems() {...}
}
```

..Naming

- Self explanatory
 - Use intuitive active verbs

```
if(checkDigit(c)) ..
if(isDigit(c))..
```

Bad Naming Real life Samples

```
#define FALSE 1
#define TRUE 0

if ((ch == getchar()) == EOF) {
    not_eof = FALSE;
}
```

```
#define FALSE 0
#define TRUE 1

if ((ch == getchar()) == EOF) {
    eof = TRUE;
}
```

Bad Naming Real life Samples