- 1. Ponturi db sem 3 E ponturi db
- 2. Ce multi sunteti aici sa moara bibi

3. ACID

- a. Atomicity: transactions are atomic (all or nothing)
- b. Consistency: the consistency of the DB is preserved after executing a trans, all the constraints are still satisfied (<=> a trans is a correct program) the DB might be in a wrong state at some point during the trans, but it only has to be correct at the end
- c. Isolation: a trans is protected from the effects of scheduling other trans concurrently
- d. Durability: the changes of a successful trans will persist even if there's a crash before writing all changes to disk
 - Write-ahead log: changes are written to a log on the disk before being reflected in the DB
- 4. If 2 trans are just reading an object => no conflicts (exec order is not important)
- 5. If 2 trans are r/w different objects => no conflicts (...)
- 6. 2 trans r/w on the same object => possible conflicts (order is important)
 - a. WR (dirty reads, reading uncommitted data) T2 reads sth written by T1
 - b. RW (unrepeatable reads T2 writes sth already read by T1
 - c. WW (overwriting uncommitted data, *blind write*) T2 writes sth already written by T1
- Serial schedules transactions are not interleaved
- 8. Serializable schedules iff their effect is identical to the effect of a serial schedule
- Schedules are conflict equivalent (S1 ≡c S2, the order <u>matters</u>) iff conflict(S1) = conflict(S2) ⇔ S1, S2 have the same op on the same objects + every pair of conflicting ops is ordered in the same way in S1 and S2
- 10. S is conflict serializable iff its precedence graph is acyclic
- 11.conflict serializable sch ≡c serializable sch
- 12. Conflict relation = set of pairs (op1, op2); op1 preceds op2 and in conflict
- 13. **Precedence graph**: one node for each committed trans in S + one arc Ti -> Tj if an op in Ti preceds and conflicts with an op in Tj
- 14. S1, S2 are **view equivalent** (S1 ≡v S2) iff each transaction performs the same computation in S1 and S2 and S1, S2 produce the same final DB state

- 15. Serial schedules ⊂ conflict serializable sch ⊂ view serializable sch ⊂ serializable sch ⊂ all schedules
- 16. Recoverable sch T commits only after all the transactions whose changes T reads have committed
 - a. Avoid cascading aborts => recoverable sch
- **17. Lock** prevents a trans from accessing an object while another trans is accessing that object
- 18. Locks + transaction protocols => allow interleaved executions
- 19. Basically we can have multiple readers but just 1 writer at a time

Can you get a -> lock if there is a ↓ lock	Shared lock	Exclusive lock
Shared lock	yes	no
Exclusive lock	no	no

- 20. Lock table for each object, entries of the form (no. of trans with a lock on that obj, lock type, pointer to queue of lock requests)
- 21. Transactions table for each trans, the list of locks held by it
- 22. Strict 2PL acquires s/x lock before r/w; locks released when trans ends => only serializable sch
- 23. (Normal) 2PL acquires...; once releasing a lock, it cannot request another one
- 24. Strict schedules recoverable, no cascading aborts, if a trans is aborted, its ops can be undone;
 - a. Strict 2PL only allows strict sch
- **25. Deadlock** 2 trans wait for each other to free a resource
 - a. Usually the oldest trans has priority
 - b. Prevention policies => deadlocks cannot occur
 - i. Wait-Die: if T1 has higher priority, it waits, else is aborted
 - **ii. Wound-Wait**: if T1 has higher priority, T2 is aborted, else it waits
 - c. Waits-for graph: 1 node / active trans; arc T1->T2 if T1 is waiting for T2 to release a lock; cycles => deadlocks; periodically checked by the DBMS
 - d. Timeout mechanism if a trans waits too long for a lock to be released, a deadlock is assumed to exist => trans is terminated
- 26. Dirty writes are not allowed under any iso levels; X locks are <u>always</u> acquired for reading and <u>always</u> released at the end of the trans

27. Read uncommitted

- a. A trans can read uncommitted data (dirty reads)
- b. No S locks when reading

c. Dirty reads, nonrepeatable reads, phantoms

28. Read committed (default)

- Trans can only read committed data, but the read data can still be modified by another trans
- b. S locks when reading, released asap
- c. Nonrepeatable reads, phantoms

29. Repeatable read

- a. Trans can only read committed data, the read data cannot be modified by another trans
- b. S locks when reading, released at the end of the trans
- c. Just phantoms

30. Serializable

- a. RR + if the trans reads a set of objects based on a search predicate, that set cannot be modified by another trans (key-range locks)
- b. Locks released at the end
- c. No phantoms

31. Snapshot -?

- 32. **Recovery manager** ensures atomicity (uncommitted trans are undone) and durability (committed trans survive crashes)
- 33. Trans failure causes: system failure, app error, action by the transaction manager (ex. because of deadlock resolution), self-abort
- 34. **Steal** = the changes made by a trans <u>can</u> be written to disk before the commit (the BM wants to remove the frame of t1 to make space for a frame for t2 => t2 "steals" a frame from t1)
- 35. **No-steal** = changes <u>cannot</u> be written... => we don't have to undo changes in case of failure but the BM needs to be able to hold all the changed pages, not always the case
- 36. **Force** = the changes are written to disk right when commiting => no need to redo trans after crashes, because they have been written to disk but if a page is committed many times by some transactions, it's written to disk that many times; no-force writes it only once
- 37. **No-force** = the changes might remain in the BP for a short while
- 38. Steal + no force -> most used
- **39. ARIES** steal + no force; after a crash, it does:
 - a. Analysis determine active trans + dirty pages
 - b. Redo reapply all changes, even those of aborted trans (bring the db to the state from when the crash occurred), in order
 - c. Undo undo uncommitted trans, in reverse order

d. Write-Ahead Logging - changes are first recorded in a log; the log is written to stable storage before the change is written to disk

40. **Log** (journal)

- a. Log tail = most recent fragment; periodically forced to stable storage
- b. LSN = log sequence number = id of a log record
- c. Page LSN = the LSN of the most recent record describing a change to P
- d. Log record = prev LSN, transID, type (update, commit etc)
- e. A record is written for: update page, commit, abort, end, undo update (=> compensation log record CLR)
- 41. Transaction table 1 entry / active trans
 - a. Trans ID, status (in progress, committed, aborted), lastLSN
- 42. Dirty page table 1 entry / dirty page in BP
 - a. pageID, recLSN (LSN of log that first dirtied the page)
- 43. Checkpointing in ARIES
 - a. Write a begin_checkpoint record
 - b. Write an end checkpoint record
 - c. After the end_checkpoint is written to stable storage, write a master record to stable storage
- 44. Crash -> restart -> restore from the most recent checkpoint

45. Analysis

- a. dirty page table gets a copy of the DirtyPageTable from the end checkpoint (most recent); same for trans table (TT)
- b. end log record => remove the trans from TT
- any other log record => add T to the TT if it's not there already, set its last LSN as the LSN of the crt log record;
- d. if it's a commit => set T's status (in the TT) to C, otherwise U
- e. if the page is not in DPT, add it; recLSN = crt LSN
- f. otherwise just update recLSN

46. Redo

- a. reapply the updates and CLRs, unless one of these conditions happen
 - i. the page (P) is not in DPT (usually their changes have already been written to disk)
 - ii. P in DPT, but P.recLSN > crtLSN
 - iii. P.pageLSN (from the actual DB on the disk) >= crtLSN
- b. the actual redo: reapply the changes; P.pageLSN = crtLSN

47. Undo

a. loser transaction = active at the end of the crash

- toUndo = set of last LSNs of losers; empty => the undos have been completed
- c. if L is a CLR, check undoNextLSN;
 - if not null => add undoNextLSN to toUndo
 - ii. else => do the redo?
- d. if L is an update write CLR, undo action, add L.prevLSN to toUndo
- 48. <u>Security</u> = protecting data against unauthorized users; <u>integrity</u> = .. authorized users
- 49. Access request = requested obj + requested operation + requesting user
- 50. Discretionary control allowed operations are explicitly specified, anything else if forbidden
- 51. Mandatory control each object has a classification level, each user has a clearence level
 - a. Bell and La Padula rules x can retrieve y if clearance(x) >= classification(y); x can update y if clearance(x) == classification(y)
- 52. Unless specified otherwise, every command is a transaction
- 53. There exists an update lock (U) deadlock avoidance mechanism
- 54. Key-range locking lock existing data and data which doesn't exist (yet) based on a search predicate
- 55. SQL server uses deadlock <u>detection</u>; + the trans that is the least expensive to rollback is terminated
- 56. Algorithms for operators, based on
 - a. Iteration
 - b. Indexing
 - c. Partition
 - i. Sorting
 - ii. Hashing
- 57. **Access path** = way of retrieving tuples from a relation (file scan or index + condition)
 - a. Condition C matches index I if I can be used to retrieve just the tuples satisfying C
 - b. Hash index used for equality selections; C has to have one term for <u>each</u> sk of I (C with <a, b> is not matched by I with <a, b, c>)
 - c. Tree-based index used for all (<, >, ..), including equality; terms of C have to be a prefix of those of I
 - d. Most selective access path retrieves the fewest pages (data retrieval cost is minimized)
 - e. General selection the condition has to be in CNF; L7, P16

- 58. Joins
 - a. E (outer rel) M pages; pe records/ page; S = N pages; ps records/ page
 - b. Iteration
 - i. Simple nested loops join; cost = M + pe * M * N I/Os

```
foreach tuple e \in E do
foreach tuple s \in S do
if e_i == s_i then add \langle e_i, s_i \rangle to the result
```

ii. Page-Oriented nested loops join; cost = M + M * N

```
foreach page pe \in E do foreach page ps \in S do if e_i == s_i then add <e, s> to the result
```

iii. **Block nested loops join** - uses the buffer pages more effectively

```
foreach block be ∈ E do
  foreach page ps ∈ S do
  {
    for all pairs of tuples <e, s> that meet the join
      condition, where e ∈ be and s ∈ ps,
      add <e, s> to the result
```

- B pages in the buffer pool => B 2 pages in a block (input / output each have 1 page)
- 2. Number of blocks = M / (B 2) (rounded up)
- 3. Cost = M + no. blocks * N
- c. Indexing
 - i. Index nested loops join

```
foreach tuple e in E do foreach tuple s in S where e_i == s_j add \langle e_i, s \rangle to the result
```

- Cost of examining the index on S = 2-4 for B+ tree index, 1.2 for hash index;
- 2. 1 extra I/O for reading a record in S, if clustered index: at most 1 if nonclustered
- 3. Cost: M + M * pe * (examine cost + read cost)
- d. Partitioning
 - i. Sort-merge join

- 1. Sort each relation (ex. with external merge sort)
- 2. Merge, with cost = M + N if the inner partition is scanned only once; M * N worst case
- 3. Cost = sorting costs + merge cost
- ii. Hash join
 - Partitioning phase: 1 buffer page = input, B-1 pages
 output; the hash function distributes tuples to the B-1 pages
 - 2. **Partition** = collection of tuples with the same hash value, can be stored on 1+ pages when on disk
 - Probing phase: for each partition of the smaller relation, scan the other one for matching tuples; 1 page for input, 1 for output, B - 2 to read the partitions of the smaller relation
 - 4. If a partition does not fit in memory => partition overflow => apply hash join recursively
 - 5. Cost = 3 * (M + N)
- 59. If the data fits in main memory => internal sorting (ex. quicksort), else => external sorting
- 60. Simple 2-way merge sort

$$2 * N * ([log_2N] + 1) I/Os$$

- a. Pass 0 -> read each page, sort it, save it back to disk => 1-page runs
- b. Pass 1+ -> use just 3 buffer pages, read + merge previous runs=> runs twice as long
- c. read + write each page at each pass (=> that first 2 I/O)
- 61. **External merge sort**: N = pages in input file; B = buffer pages => cost =

$$2*N*\left(\left\lceil log_{B-1}\left\lceil \frac{N}{B}\right\rceil\right\rceil+1\right)$$
l/Os

- a. Pass 0 -> read B pages at once, sort, write to disk
- 62. RLV (row-level versioning) useful when we need committed data, but not necessarily the most recent version
 - a. **XSN** = transaction sequence number; each row version is marked with ^ of the trans that changed the row

- b. The versions are kept in a linked list
- Read committed snapshot isolation operations see the most recent committed data as of the beginning of their execution; consistent reads at the command level
- d. Full snapshot isolation ...beginning of their transaction; consistent.. transaction level
- e. Increased concurrency, but update operations are slower and we also 'use' a *tempdb*; also, there is still the issue of simultaneous writers (update conflict)
- 63. Projection, based on
 - a. Sorting select only the required columns, sort this => a
 temporary table, from which we remove adjacent duplicate tuples
 - Cost: M + T (T is O(M)) + sort cost + T
 - ii. Last T only if we remove duplicates
 - iii. Sort cost: see external merge sort / 2way merge, but it's O(M log M)

b. Hashing

- i. Partitioning phase 1 input page, B 1 output => hash intoB 1 partitions, no unwanted fields
- ii. Duplicate elimination phase one partition at a time; build a new hash table for each to detect duplicates
- iii. Cost: M + 2 * T (T = no. of partitions)
- 64. Intersection = join with condition of equality on all fields
- 65. Cross-product = join with no condition
- 66. Union, based on
 - a. Sorting sort the relations + merge them, eliminating duplicates
 - b. Hashing partition the relations with a hash function; build another hash table for each partition for one of the relations; scan the other relation, while comparing with the values in the second hash table
- 67. Aggregate operations
 - a. Without group by maintain some *running info* about tuples (ex. current max, sum etc); cost = scan cost
 - b. With group by sort the relation on the grouping attr, then scan the relation to get the aggregates for each group
- 68. **Optimizer** generates plans for a query, tries to select the best one (but that's not always possible); optimizes one block at a time
 - a. Convention the outer relation is the left left child of the join op (in an algebra tree)

- 69. Statistics maintained by the DBMS updated periodically (R = relation, I = index)
 - a. <a href="https://www.nr.ncbescommons.com/NPagescommons.com/npag
 - b. <u>NKeys(I)</u> (distinct key values), <u>INPages(I)</u> (page count or, if b+ tree index -> leaf pages); <u>IHeight(I)</u> (just for tree indexes); <u>ILow(I)</u>, <u>IHigh(I)</u> (min/ max key value)
- 70. Estimating result sizes
 - a. Reduction factor (RF) estimation of how much each term in a WHERE eliminates candidate rows
 - b. The estimation = product of the relation cardinalities * product of RFs
 - c. Condition: column = value
 - i. Index on column => RF = 1 / NKeys(I)
 - ii. No index \Rightarrow RF = 1 / 10
 - d. Condition: column1 = column2
 - i. One index on each => RF = 1 / MAX(nkeys(i1), nkeys(i2))
 - ii. Just one index (on either column) => RF = 1 / nkeys(i)
 - iii. No index \Rightarrow RF = 1 / 10
 - e. Condition: column > value
 - i. Index => RF = (ihigh(i) value) / (ihigh ilow)
 - ii. No index => a random val < 0.5
 - f. Condition: column IN (value list) => RF = (RF for column = value)* list length
 - g. Condition: NOT => RF = 1 RF(condition)
- 71. Enumeration of alternative plans
 - a. Query with 1 relation in FROM
 - i. No joins / cross-products
 - b. ... several relations in FROM
- 72. DMV = dynamic management view
- 73. Query fine-tuning:
 - a. Identify waits sys.dm os wait stats
 - b. Correlate waits with queues sys.dm os performance counters
 - c. Drill down to DB / file level sys.dm io virtual file stats
 - d. Drill down to process level

74. Distributed DB

- a. Distributed data independence when writing a query, the user doesn't know / care that the data is distributed
- b. Distributed transaction atomicity a trans that operates on different sites is still atomic; again, the user doesn't know / care that the data is distributed

- c. Homogeneous same DBMS at every site; heterogeneous different DBMSs
- d. <u>Fragmentation</u> split the data into fragments, stored across several sites
 - i. Horizontal basically selection => disjoint subsets of rows;
 reconstruct -> union
 - ii. Vertical basically projection => disjoint subsets of columns; reconstruct -> natural join
 - iii. Hybrid combination of both ^
- e. <u>Replication</u> same fragment in multiple sites => increased data availability (in case one location fails, we still have others) + faster queries (no communication costs)
 - i. Synchronous = all data copies are up to date before the trans commits
 - Voting when writing, a trans modifies a majority of its copies; when reading, a trans has to read at least (n - the value of the majority)
 - a. Ex: n = 10; T1 modifies 7 copies => T2 has to read 4, to guarantee that at least 1 was modified by T1
 - 2. **Read-any write-all** when writing, a trans modifies all the copies; when reading, it has to read just 1
 - a. Better because reading is more frequent than writing, and when voting, reading is quite expensive
 - b. However, this method will require x locks from all the other sites, which could be slow
 - ii. **Asynchronous** = synchronized periodically => time periods when some copies are outdated -> the user will have to take data distribution into account
 - 1. Peer to peer
 - a. A hierarchy of copies, only master copies can be changed => all their successors are changed
 - b. preferred when there are no conflicts / data copies are disjoint
 - 2. **Primary site** just one master copy, with secondary copies at other sites; change propagation in 2 steps:
 - Capture ..all the changes made by a trans to the master copy

- Log based => a 'change data table' which will contain only the update log
 records of committed trans
- ii. Procedural a procedure is automatically invoked (ex. trigger); uses snapshots of the primary copy
- Apply propagate changes to secondary copies; either the primary site continually sends the data (CDT / snapshot) to the secondaries, or the secondaries periodically request it
- c. Log + continuous apply => minimum delay
- d. Procedure + request => most flexible

75. Distributed query processing

- a. There is a *shipping cost* we transfer pages between sites
- b. Nonjoin queries
- c. Join queries
 - i. Fetch as needed for each tuple in R, retrieve the corresponding tuples in A
 - ii. Ship to one site ship the entire R to a location, do the join there
 - iii. Semijoin
 - project R onto the join columns and send the projection to a location
 - 2. we do the join there ("reduction of A with respect to R")
 - 3. ship the reduction of A back to the first location, where we join R with the reduction of A
 - 4. Useful if there is a selection on one of the relations

iv. Bloomjoin

- 1. Hash the tuples in R; use a bit vector to store the hash results, send the bit vector to another location
- 2. Same hash but for A, discard the tuples whose hash value don't exist in the other bit vector => "reduction of A with respect to R", send the reduction to the first location
- 3. Join R with the reduction of A

76. Distributed catalog management

a. Centralized system catalog - one site contains all the info;
 vulnerable to single-site failures(SSF)

- b. Global system catalog at each site every site has all the info; no longer vulnerable to ssf[^], but local autonomy is compromised (local changes have to be propagated to all other sites)
- c. Local catalog at each site each site has only local data; a catalog keeps track of all fragments / replicas of its relations - if we move / create a new replica => have to update the original catalog

77. Distributed transaction management

a. Distributed concurrency control

i. Lock management

- Centralized one site does all the locking, vulnerable to SSF
- 2. Primary copy
 - each obj has a primary copy stored at some site with some lock manager
 - b. lock requests of all copies of the obj are handled by that lock manager
 - c. not vulnerable to SSF
 - d. when reading another copy of the same obj, stored at a different site, we need to communicate with both sites
- 3. Fully distributed each obj has a copy...; but here the lock req are handled by the lock manager of the site where the copy is stored => no longer need to communicate with 2 sites

ii. Distributed deadlocks

- Each site has a local waits-for graph, but there could exist global deadlocks even if there are no cycles in the local graphs
- Centralized detection algorithm all the local graphs are periodically sent to a single site, where they are united => global graph
- 3. <u>Hierarchical</u> .. there is a hierarchy of sites; each site sends the graph to its parent
- Based on timeout trans is aborted if it waits too long; could be the only option in heterogeneous systems (different dbms => the graphs might not be compatible)

5. Phantom deadlock - a trans aborts before the global graph is updated => global thinks there is a deadlock and might abort another transaction

78. Distributed recovery

- a. (for a trans T) coordinator = transaction manager at the site where T originated; subordinates = .. sites where T's subtransactions execute
- b. Two-phase commit protocol (2PC)
 - i. 2 rounds of messages, both init by the coord (voting / termination)
 - ii. The user decides to commit T => commit command sent to T's coordinator => start 2PC
 - 1. Coord sends a "prepare" msg to each subordinate
 - 2. The subordinate decides whether to commit / abort and responds "yes" / "no"
 - 3. Coord receives "yes" => sends "commit" msg to all subord; ...at least a "no" or no msg at all from a subord => coord sends "abort"...
 - Subord receives "abort" => writes an abort log record, responds with an "ack" msg, aborts the trans; ... receives "commit" => commit log, "ack" msg, commit trans
 - 5. Coord receives all "ack" msg => write end log
 - iii. Msg are sent only after the log has been forced to stable storage
- c. Restart after failure
 - i. If there is a commit / abort log => must redo / undo T; coord periodically sends commit / abort msg to subord until it receives all "ack" responses, then write and end log
 - ii. If there is a prepare log but no commit / abort => subord contact their coord until they get the trans status
 - iii. If there are no commit / abort / prepare logs => abort T, undo T
- d. Link and remote site failures: remote site R doesn't respond
 - If the crt site S is coord => S should abort T
 - ii. If S is a subord and hasn't voted yet(?) => S should abort T
 - iii. .. subord and voted "yes" => S blocked until T's coord responds