# Brief Overview of Statistical Hypothesis Testing

#### Min Wang

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Clemson University

August 31, 2011







#### Outline

- Brief overview description of statistics
- The problems that I work on
- Applications in academia and industry
- Future careers

# Statistics is a SCIENCE (not really a Math)

# Statistics is a SCIENCE (not really a Math)

From MthSc 309!

Consider testing a binomial parameter

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ .

Consider testing a binomial parameter

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ .

- The classical frequentist evidence
  - the P-value
  - the Likelihood ratio test or F-test

. . . . .

Consider testing a binomial parameter

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ .

- The classical frequentist evidence
  - the P-value
  - the Likelihood ratio test or F-test

.....

- The Bayesian measure of evidence (my research area) ©
  - the posterior probability
  - the Bayes factor

. . . . .



Consider testing a binomial parameter

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ .

- The classical frequentist evidence
  - the P-value
  - the Likelihood ratio test or F-test

- The Bayesian measure of evidence (my research area)
  - the posterior probability
  - the Bayes factor

Warning: They may give rise to different decisions.



#### Testing a binomial parameter:

- $P(X=x) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{n-x}$ .
- A particle goes on to either a red (red=1) or a green (green = 0) light with theoretically equal probability 0.5
- The subject tries to 'influence' particles to go the red light
- To test:

$$H_0: \theta = 0.5 (\text{has no influence}) \text{ vs } H_1: \theta \neq 0.5 (\text{has influence})$$

• n = 104,900,000 trials resulting in x = 52,263,000 successes MLE: (x/n = 0.500177)

#### Results:

- p-value =  $Pr_{\theta=0.5}(X \ge x) \approx 0.0003$ , which implies that there is strong evidence against the null  $H_0$ .
- The posterior probability under the objective prior  $Pr(H_0 \mid x) \approx 0.94$ , which seems to support the null  $H_0$ .

#### Results:

- p-value =  $Pr_{\theta=0.5}(X \ge x) \approx 0.0003$ , which implies that there is strong evidence against the null  $H_0$ .
- The posterior probability under the objective prior  $Pr(H_0 \mid x) \approx 0.94$ , which seems to support the null  $H_0$ .
- Question: Bad results! Does the subject have influence on the particles?

#### Results:

- p-value =  $Pr_{\theta=0.5}(X \ge x) \approx 0.0003$ , which implies that there is strong evidence against the null  $H_0$ .
- The posterior probability under the objective prior  $Pr(H_0 \mid x) \approx 0.94$ , which seems to support the null  $H_0$ .
- Question: Bad results! Does the subject have influence on the particles?
- This is the current research that I am working on!

# Applications in academia and industry

- The keystone of most statistical applications
- Hot research in academic areas
- The natural sciences (laboratory experiments)
- The social sciences (analyzing data )
- Real life problems
- ......

### Some professors in statistics

- Xiaoqian Sun (my advisor)
- Colin M Gallagher
- Karunarathna B Kulasekera
- Robert B Lund
- Chanseok Park
- Calvin Williams
- ......

# It is one of my current research areas.

It is one of my current research areas.

So far, so good in job market!

It is one of my current research areas.

So far, so good in job market!

Have some fun to your

research!