

# PREVALENCE OF MAJOR ECTOPARASITES OF POULTRY IN EXTENSIVE AND INTENSIVE FARMS IN JIMMA, SOUTHWESTERN ETHIOPIA

Wario Mata, Wako Galgalo and Kula Jilo

School of veterinary Medicine, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia.

Email: kula.jilo1@gmail.com/kula.jilo2@yahoo.com



Received: 14 Apr. 2017

Accepted: 16 June 2017

Published: 13 July 2017

Copyright © 2017 by author(s) and Scientific Research International PUB Org. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

## Abstract

Ectoparasites pose a serious health threat and one of the major impediments in poultry production in many countries of the world including Ethiopia. Nevertheless, they are paid less attention as endoparasites and infectious diseases; the huge economic burden of the disease needs a comprehensive study encompassing both intensive and free range poultry rearing is of paramount importance to generate accurate information about the disease. The current study was designed to indentify species composition, estimate prevalence and assess associated risk factor of ectoparasites of poultry in extensive and intensive farms in and around Jimma town. A cross sectional study was conducted from January to June 2017 and total of 384 chickens from purposively selected two intensive farms and randomly selected free range systems were sampled by systematic random sampling technique. Ectoparasites were collected from different parts of the body including skin scraping from shank and base of wing. Breed, ages, sexes and management system were recorded. This study showed overall prevalence of 65.6% and lice, fleas and mites were major ectoparasites prevailing in the current area with prevalence of 28, 26.6 and 10.9% respectively. Logistic regression analysis showed that ectoparasite infestation was significantly higher in local than exotic chickens (OR=12; CI=7.320-19.673; P=0.000). Regarding ages, significantly adults were found 6.29 more likely susceptible for ectoparasites than young chickens (OR=6.29; CI=3.745-10.587; P=0.000). Similarly, statistically significant variation was encountered between sexes as females were more infested than male chicken in the current study (OR=1.48; CI=1.277-2.242; P=0.040). Additionally, chickens kept under extensive management were significantly prone to ectoparasites than that kept under intensive management system (OR=8.12; CI=5.012-13.164; P=0.000). Generally, the study revealed that the ecto-

parasites are highly prevalent in extensive farming system than in intensive farming system and in exotic than local chicken breeds due attention with respect to hygienic system, treatment and control practices. Therefore, control of ectoparasites and creation of awareness to the community on overall effect of ectoparasites on productivity of poultry is highly recommended.

### Keywords

Chicken; Poultry; Ectoparasites; Intensive; Extensive farm; Jimma

---

## 1. Introduction

Ethiopia is endowed with a very large and diverse livestock resource in the world composed of approximately 56.71 million cattle, 29.33 million sheep and 29.11 million goats, 2.03 million horses, 7.43 million donkeys, 0.4 million mules, 1.16 million camels, 56.87 million poultry and 5.88 million bee hives (CSA, 2016). Ethiopia's economy is predominantly agricultural where the livestock sub-sector plays a substantial role by providing meat, milk, hide, power, and traction for agricultural purpose and fertilizer for increasing the productivity of smallholding (Minjauw and Mcleod, 2003). Poultry has been accepted as one of the most important sources of animal protein for human beings in Ethiopia and elsewhere. In most parts of Ethiopia, consumers have high preferences for poultry products particularly during festivals. It is also suggested that poultry products provide proteins of high biological value (Kondombo, 2005).

The proportional contribution of poultry to the total animal protein production of the world by the year 2020 is believed to increase to 40%, the major increase being in the developing world (Delgado *et al.*, 1999). The Ethiopian Livestock Master Plan stipulates that poultry will make up 30% of national protein demand by 2030 from current 5%, demanding meat production to grow by 235% and egg production by 828 % (AACCSA, 2016). In Ethiopia indigenous chickens can be found in almost all households in rural areas and about 99% of chickens are maintained under a traditional system with little or no inputs for housing, feeding or health care and are characterized by low output levels (Tadelle, 2003).

Studies revealed that parasitic diseases particularly, ectoparasites as the major impediment to chicken health worldwide by given direct and indirect losses they cause (Swai *et al.*, 2007). They can affect bird health directly by causing irritation, discomfort, competing for feed, tissue damage, blood loss, toxicosis, allergies and dermatitis which in turn alleviate quality and quantities of meat and egg production. Also they act as mechanical or biological vectors transmitting number of pathogens (Mekuria and Gezahegn, 2010; Tamiru, *et al.*, 2014; Ikpeze *et al.*, 2017). Some of the ectoparasites of poultry like ticks, lice and mites play an important role in the transmission of certain pathogens which cause heavy economic losses to poultry industry in addition to direct effect of causing morbidity by sucking blood and causing irritation to the birds which adversely affects economic production of poultry (Arends, 2003; Sofunmade, 2003; Maina, 2005).

In developing countries, concomitant factors such as suboptimal management, lack of supplementary feed; low genetic potential, high morbidity and mortality rate due to various diseases may attributed to the low production and productivity (Zarith *et al.*, 2017). External parasites are common in tropical countries because of the favorable climatic conditions for their development and the poor standards of

husbandry practices. (Mungube *et al.*, 2006). In Ethiopia chicken infestation with external parasites poses a challenge to free-range chickens' productivity and associated benefits since there is inappropriate housing and lack of appreciable pest control efforts (Amede *et al.*, 2011).

The incidence of mortality and morbidity due to different ectoparasitic diseases in chicken demands serious efforts to curtail the diseases. However, despite their devastating effects, ectoparasites paid less attention than endoparasites and infectious diseases in almost all the production systems. Even though, it has been attempted by few researchers (Hagos and Eshetu, 2005; Belihu *et al.*, 2010; Mekuria and Gezahegn, 2010; Amede *et al.*, 2011; Tolossa and Tafesse, 2013; Fraol *et al.*, 2013,) there is no enough information concerning the species composition, distribution, burden, and economic impact of ectoparasite in different parts of Ethiopia. Particularly, there is limited information in the prevalence and species composition of poultry ectoparasites in the current study area. This contributes to a problem in poultry disease control, planning, monitoring and evaluation strategy of the country for rural poultry programs (Arends, 2003). Given the huge economic burden of ectoparasites in poultry, a comprehensive study encompassing both intensive and free range poultry rearing is of paramount importance to generate accurate information and thereby, design effective disease control and prevention strategies accordingly. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to indentify species composition, assess prevalence and associated risk factor of ectoparasite of poultry in extensive and intensive farms in and around Jimma town.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted from January to June 2017 to determine the prevalence and associated risk factors of poultry ectoparasite in and around Jimma town, south western Ethiopia. Jimma town is located in Oromia region, south west of Ethiopia, at a distance of about 352 km from Addis Ababa. Geographically, Jimma is located at 7°13' and 8°56' N latitude and 35°52' and 37°37'E longitude. The climatic condition of the area is 'Woynadega' with altitude ranging between 1720 to 2110 m above sea level and receives annual rainfall which ranges between 1200 to 2000mm. There are two rain seasons, short rainy season (November to April) and long rainy season (July to October). The annual mean temperature ranges from about 12.1°C to 28°C Jimma zone has a livestock population of about 570,241 (Poultry), 2,200,106 (cattle), 824,208(Sheep), 411,180(Goats), 92,093(Horses), 71,880(Donkeys), 20,011(Mules) and 570,241beehives (CSA, 2016).

### 2.2 Study Population

The population of interest was poultry and 384 chickens was randomly selected from different production systems in and around Jimma town that all age, sex and breed were considered. Kitto furdisa campus and JUCAVM poultry farms were purposely selected for their intensive farming system and extensive farms of small holder poultry rearing in around Jimma town was also randomly sampled at JUCAVM open air clinic. Information like biodata and management system of poultry were obtained from the owners visiting JUCAVM clinic to treat their flock while age of the chickens were determined based on the size of crown, length of spur and flexibility of the xiphoid cartilage together with observing color of the shank and growth of the spur and categorized as young grower (less than 12 weeks of age) and adult (greater than 12 weeks of age) (Fraol *et al.*, 2014).

### 2.3 Study Design and Sample Size Determination

A cross sectional study was carried out from March to May 2017 by sampling ectoparasites from 384 poultry to identify species composition, determine prevalence and other risk factor of poultry ectoparasites the study area. Sample size was determined based on the formula provided by Thrusfield (2005).

$$n = (1.96)^2 P_{\text{exp}} (1 - P_{\text{exp}}) / d^2$$

Where, n= required sample size,  $P_{\text{exp}}$ = expected prevalence and d= desired absolute precision. Sample size was determined using 95% level of confidence, 50% expected prevalence since there was no previous work in this study area and 0.05% desired absolute precision was taken. Accordingly, a total 384 chickens were sampled for this study.

### 2.4 Study Methodology

During sample collection, bird's legs were tied with the help of assistant and feathers manually deflected to observe the presence of parasite. After restraining, samples were taken randomly from head, vent, neck, leg, back, wattle, comb, and wing by using naked eye and hand lenses. A systematic approach was employed to detect and collect ectoparasites and thus, head was examined first and followed by the neck, body sides, back, ventral part of the abdomen; wings, vent area and legs. Lice and fleas were collected from hosts by parting the hairs or feathers, gently brushing the base of the feathers with a fine soft brush so as to prevent the chickens from injuries and some of them was collected by hand picking and non-toothed thumb forceps whereas, mites were collected by scraping the skin surface with scalpel blade and shank scraps were collected on clean petri-dish. Each chicken examined were assigned a serial number on the sampling bottle for easy identification. Likewise, bio data of each chicken like sex, breed, age, and predilection sites and managements systems were recorded on format prepared for this purpose.

Representative of ectoparasite found in body of the chickens were put in universal bottle (film holders, vial) containing 70% alcohol and predilection sites of the body and hypothesized risk factor were also simultaneously labeled with water proof pencil. At JUCAVM veterinary parasitology laboratory, lice and fleas were transferred from universal bottle to the clean petri-dish, mounted under stereomicroscope and identified whereas, wet film was prepared from the scrap and 10% potassium hydroxide was added to digest debris and then examined under light microscope. Identifications of all ectoparasites were performed according to their morphological characteristics using, entomological keys as per of standard books such as (Soulsby, 1982; William 2010, Urquhart, 1996; Wall and Shearer, 2001).

### 2.5 Data Managements and Statistical Analysis

All collected data were entered to Micro-Soft Excel sheet 2010 and analyzed by SPSS version 20. Descriptive statistics was used to determine the frequency and percentage of both dependent and independent variables. The prevalence was calculated as percent of infected animals from the total number of animals examined. Pearson's chi-square( $X^2$ ) and logistic regression were applied to assess association of different variables. For statistical analysis, a confidence level of 95% and P-values less than 5% was judged as significant.

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1 Overall Prevalence of Ectoparasites

In current study, total of 384 chickens of local and exotic breed kept under different management systems were examined and 252 (65.6%) chickens were found infested with one or more species of ectoparasite. Accordingly, three major groups of poultry ectoparasites identified were lice fleas and mites with prevalence of 28, 26.6 and 10.9% respectively (Table 2). Lice were encountered from all body parts examined

and relatively highly infesting among ectoparasites of poultry in this area followed by fleas and mites respectively. However, fleas encountered restricted to head, comb, neck and wattle while mites were found on subcutaneous tissues of thigh and base of wings (Table 3).

Regarding species of ectoparasites of poultry in present study, seven species were identified. *Echidnophaga gallinacean* was highly prevalent ectoparasite with prevalence of 26.6% while *Menacanthus stramineus*(1.5%) was the least one. *Lipeurus caponis*(14%) was the second most prevalent species infesting poultry followed by *Menopon gallinae*(7.8%), *Menopon gallinae*(7.8%), *Cuclotogaster heterographus*(4.7%) and *Cnemidocoptes mutans*(3.1%) respectively(Table 3).

**Table 1:** Prevalence of identified major ectoparasites and their respective predilection sites on chickens

Ectoparasite	No. of infested chicken	Prevalence	Predilection sites
Lice	108	28%	All body parts
Flea	102	26.6%	Head, comb, neck and wattle
Mite	42	10.9%	Subcutaneous tissues of thigh and base of wings
<b>Total</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>65.6%</b>	

**Table 2:** Prevalence of identified species of ectoparasites and their respective predilection sites on chickens

Species of ectoparasite	No. of infected chickens	Prevalence (%)	Predilection sites
<i>Dermanyssus gallinae</i>	30	7.8%	Thigh, base of wing
<i>Cnemidocoptes mutans</i> ,	12	3.1%	Thigh, base of wing
<i>Lipeurus caponis</i>	54	14%	All body parts
<i>Menopon gallinae</i>	30	7.8%	All body parts
<i>Menacanthus stramineus</i>	6	1.5%	All body parts
<i>Cuclotogaster heterographus</i>	18	4.7%	All body parts
<i>Echidnophaga gallinacean</i>	102	26.6%	Head, comb, neck and wattle
<b>Total</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>65.6%</b>	

### 3.2 Lice Infestation

In the current study, 108(28%) chickens were found positive for lice infestation and four species of lice were identified. *Lipeurus caponis*, *Menopon gallinae*, *Cuclotogaster heterographus* and *Menacanthus stramineus* were species of lice identified with prevalence of 14, 7.8, 4.7 and 1.5 % respectively. The infestation of lice was higher in local breed (36.7%) than exotic breed (20.6%) and this variation was statistically significantly ( $X^2=32.8$ ;  $P=0.000$ ). In the age wise prevalence adult chickens (45.7%) were more than young (6.9%) with statistically significant variation( $X^2=45.7$ ;  $P=0.000$ ). There were significant differences between the two sexes and management system as females (32.5%) were more infested than males (20.8%) ( $X^2=30.2$ ;  $P=0.000$ ) and extensively managed (40.7%) were more infested than intensively managed chickens (19.8%) ( $X^2=43.1$ ;  $P=0.000$ ) respectively (Table 4).

**Table 3:** Distribution of Lice infestation of poultry among different risk factors

Variables		No. Examined	No. positive	Prevalence	$X^2$ (P-Value)
Breed	Local	180	66	36.7%	32.8(0.000)
	Exotic	204	42	20.6%	
Age	Young	174	12	6.9%	45.7(0.000)
	Adult	210	96	45.7%	
Sex	Female	240	78	32.5%	30.2(0.000)
	Male	144	30	20.8%	
Management	Extensive	162	66	40.7%	43.1(0.000)
	Intensive	222	44	19.8%	

$X^2$ =Pearson Chi-square



### 3.3 Fleas Infestation

In the current study, 102(26.6%) chickens were found infested with fleas that were collected from head, comb, neck and wattles of sampled chickens. *E. gallinacean* (stick tight flea) was the only species of flea identified from present study area and its distribution among different animal related risk factors and management system employed were found varying. Accordingly, the prevalence of flea infestation was higher in local breed (43.3%) than exotic breed (11.7%). This variation was statistically significantly ( $X^2=48.85$ ;  $P=0.000$ ). Similarly, there was statistically significant difference between age groups and adult birds (25.8%) were found more prone to flea infestation than growers (6.9%) ( $X^2=15.59$ ;  $P=0.000$ ). Regarding the management system, again significant variation was revealed and infestation of fleas was higher in extensively managed (37%) than intensively managed (18.9%) chickens ( $X^2=15.76$ ;  $P=0.000$ ). Regarding sex wise prevalence the infestation of fleas was higher in male (27.8%) than in female chickens (25.8%). However, this variation was not statistically significant ( $X^2=0.17$ ;  $P=0.721$ ) (Table 5).

**Table 4:** Distribution of flea infestation of poultry among different risk factors

Variables		No.Examined	No. positive	Prevalence	$X^2$ (P-Value)
Breed	Local	180	78	43.3%	48.85(0.000)
	Exotic	204	24	11.7%	
Age	Young	174	12	6.9%	15.59(0.000)
	Adult	210	90	42.9%	
Sex	Female	240	62	25.8%	0.17(0.721)
	Male	144	40	27.8%	
Management	Extensive	162	60	37%	15.76(0.000)
	Intensive	222	42	18.9%	

$X^2$ =Pearson Chi-Square

### 3.4 Mite Infestation

This study revealed that, overall prevalence of 10.9% of mite infestation on the body surface subcutaneous tissue of chicken. *C. mutans* (14%) and *D. gallinae* (7.8%) were two species of mites identified



from current study area. The occurrence of mite infestation was found varying among different categories of studied chickens and their respective management system. To this effect, statistically significant difference in mite infestation was observed between breeds, age groups, sexes of chicken and management system. The local breed (23.3%) was found highly susceptible to mite infestation than exotic breed (0%) ( $X^2=53.45$ ;  $P=0.000$ ). Similarly, chickens kept under extensive management system (25.9%) were highly prone to mite infestation than that that kept under intensive management (0%) ( $X^2=64.62$ ;  $P=0.000$ ). Regarding the age group of examined chickens the mite infestation was encountered only in adult birds (20%) ( $X^2=17.05$ ;  $P=0.000$ ). Concerning sex wise prevalence, male chicken was more infested than female ones with prevalence of 20.8 and 5% respectively and this variation was statistically significant ( $X^2=26.45$ ;  $P=0.000$ ) (Table 6).

**Table 5:** Distribution of mite infestation of poultry among different risk factors

Variables		No.Examined	No.positive	Prevalence	$X^2$ (P-Value)
Breed	Local	180	42	23.3%	53.45(0.000)
	Exotic	204	0	0%	
Age	Young	174	0	0%	17.05(0.000)
	Adult	210	42	20%	
Sex	Female	240	12	5%	26.45(0.000)
	Male	144	30	20.8%	
Management	Extensive	162	42	25.9%	64.62(0.000)
	Intensive	222	0	0%	

$X^2$ =Pearson Chi-Square

### 3.5 Risk Factors

In this study, variables like breed (local and exotic), ages, sexes and management system were considered as risk factors for ectoparasite infestation of poultry in and around Jimma town. Likewise, the total of 384 chickens was examined and the overall prevalence 65.6% was recorded showing significant variations among all hypothesized risk factors for infestation of chickens with ectoparasites at current study area.

Generally, local breed of chicken was found more prone to ectoparasites than exotic breed with statistically significant variation (OR=12; CI=7.320-19.673;  $P=0.000$ ). Regarding age of examined chickens,

statistically significant variation was observed and adults were found more susceptible for ectoparasites than young chickens (OR=6.29; CI=3.745-10.587; P=0.000). Similarly, statistically significant variation was encountered between sexes of chickens as females were more infested than male chicken in the current study (OR=1.48; CI=1.277-2.242; P=0.040). In the same way, chickens kept under extensive management were significantly prone to ectoparasites than that kept under intensive management system (OR=8.12; CI=5.012-13.164; P=0.000) (Table 6).

**Table 6:** Association of chicken ectoparasite infestation with assessed risk factors

Risk factors		No.examined	No.positive	Prevalence	OR	95% CI	P-Value
Breed	Local	180	150	83.3%	12	7.320-19.673	0.000*
	Exotic	204	60	29.4%	Ref*	0.172-0.338	
Age	Young	174	96	55.2%	Ref*	0.540-0.718	0.000*
	Adult	210	186	88.6%	6.29	3.745-10.587	
Sex	Female	240	140	58.3%	1.48	1.277-2.242	0.040*
	Male	144	70	48.6%	Ref*	0.735-1.011	
Mgt	Ext	162	132	81.5%	8.12	5.012-13.164	0.000*
	Int	222	78	35%	Ref*	0.076-0.200	

OR=Odd ratio, CI=Confidence of Interval, Mgt=Management, Ext=Extensive, Int=Intensive, Ref\*=Reference, \*=Significant

## 4. DISCUSSION

Poultry provide a valuable protein to the diets of people worldwide and is an important source of egg production and many kinds and species of ectoparasites such as flies, lice, mite, and ticks are known to infest chicken (Ensminger, 1992). Ectoparasites damage feathers and irritate and cause skin lesions, resulting in reduced performance of old chickens and direct harm to young chicks (Arends, 2003). Controlling ectoparasites in poultry flocks results in healthier and more economically productive birds for the pleasure and benefit of rural families (Moyer *et al.*, 2002). In the present study, lice, fleas and mite were common ectoparasites infesting chickens with the overall prevalence of 65.6% and out of 384 examined chickens 252 were found harboring at least one species of external parasites.

The observed overall prevalence of 65.6% of ectoparasite infestation in the current study was conceded with results of 67.95 and 70.73% from ambo district (Fraol *et al.*, 2014) and Meerut, (kansal and Singh 2014) respectively. However, the lower prevalence 41% and 2.6% was reported by Nnadi and George (2010) and Tolossa and Tafesse(2013) from Nigeria and Fayoumi, Ethiopia respectively. On other hand, higher prevalence of 86.67 % from Bangladesh (shanta *et al.*, 2006), 91.5% from Central Ethiopia (Belihu *et al.*, 2010), and 100 % from Nigeria ( Bala *et al.*, 2011).The difference between the current and previous findings may be due to difference in breed, season of study, management, agro ecological, and implemented methods of the disease control and prevention practiced in the study area, which exposes the chickens to poor hygiene on the farm and chicken houses thus, enabling them to contract a wide range of harmful ectoparasites.

### 4.1 Overall Ectoparasite Infestation of Poultry

The current study revealed that Lice infestation was the first most common among the chickens examined in small holder poultry rearers in and around Jimma town and intensive poultry farms of Jimma University. The overall prevalence of lice infestation obtained in present study (28%) was lower than reports 35.1, 72.72, 81.33%, 84.3, 88 and 90% from East Ethiopia (Amede *et al.*, 2011), Fayoumi farm Ethiopia (Belihu *et al.*, 2010), Nigeria(Malann *et al.*, 2016), Nigeria (Sadiq *et al.*, 2003), Wolayta (Mekuria and Gezahegn, 2010), Kenya (Sabuni *et al.*, 2010) respectively. These differences in prevalence may be attributed to differences management system, breed of chickens examined, in geographical areas, sample size and period of study. Different geographical areas and period of study have different climatic conditions (temperature and humidity) which may alter the population dynamics of the parasites (Magwisha *et al.*, 2002). In addition, it might be associated with the poor hygienic practice in rural regions, which creates a favorable environment for parasites and the free-range system, which provides a more sustainable environment for the parasites.

During the present study, four species of lice were identified were *L. caponis*, *M. gallinae*, *C. heterographus* and *M. stramineus* were species of lice identified with prevalence of 14, 7.8, 4.7 and 1.5 % respectively. Among the identified lice species, *L. caponis* (14%) was the most frequently occurring species while *M. stramineus* (1.5%) was the least prevalent one. The prevalence of *L. caponis*(14%) is higher than reported by (Bala *et al.*, (2011)), (Sadiq *et al.*,2003), and (Biu *et al.*, 2007),who reported 5%,3.7%and 6.27% respectively.

The prevalence of *M. gallinae* was the second most prevalent lice species in present study area with prevalence of 7.8 % that closely conceded with the finding of Bala *et al.*, (2011) who reported 8.1% from Ni-

geria. However, the current finding was lower than 14.3, 40.12 and 97.7% that reported by Amede *et al.*(2011), Sabuni *et al.*(2010) and Sadiq *et al.*(2003) respectively.

*C. heterographus* (4.7 %) was third in prevalence among lice species encountered during the current study. This result was lower than findings of Amede *et al.* (2011), Belihu *et al.* (2011) and Mekuria and Gezahegn(2010) who reported prevalence of 7.4, 25 and 40 % respectively. *M. stramineus*(1.5%) was the least lice species in prevalence while the highest prevalence of *M. stramineus* was reported from Bangladesh, (Shanta *et al.*, 2006), Ethiopia, (Belihu *et al.*, 2010), (Bersabeh, 1999) and Nigeria (Bala *et al.*, 2011),who reported 70%, 41.7%, 6.9% and 65.33% respectively.

In the present study flea was the second most prevalent ectoparasite of poultry with overall prevalence of 26.6%. However, *E. gallinacean* (stick tight flea) was the only species of flea identified from present study area. The current finding was higher than 6 and 8% of *E. gallinacean* reported from Eastern Ethiopia ( Amede *et al.*,2011) and Iran(Mirzaei *et al.*, 2016). However, the higher findings were reported by most scholars from different countries. For instance, 56 %( Maina, 2005) and 76.7 %( Mungube *et al.*, 2008) were reported from Kenya. Similarly, 76.7% (Permin *et al.*, 2002) infestation of *E. gallinacean* was reported from Zimbabwe.

This study revealed that, mites were the least prevalent ectoparasite with overall prevalence of 10.9%. *C. mutans* (14%) and *D. gallinae* (7.8%) were two species of mites identified from current study area. The overall prevalence recorded in current study (10.9%) was closer to the finding of Mungube *et al.* (2008) who reported 13.3% of mite infestation in poultry from Kenya. However, the current finding was lower as compared to the findings of Permin *et al.* (2002) and Mania (2005) who reported the prevalence of 32 and 24% respectively.

Generally variations in prevalence and types of poultry ectoparasites encountered in the present study and aforementioned studies may be due to a variation in agro-climatic and topographic conditions, species adaptability, management system and husbandry practices would account for the difference in finding. In addition, duration and season of study might show the seasonal prevalence pattern of the parasites compared to the shorter one. Larger sample sizes depict the true reflection of what is on the ground compared to smaller sample sizes, hence the variation encountered.

Collecting ectoparasites within a relatively short period minimizes errors since parasites have their own biology and populations that can vary rapidly in both space and time (Clayton & Moore 1997). Furthermore, hygiene practice in the farm and chicken houses as well as control measures towards such parasites has great attribution for variation in poultry ectoparasite. Ectoparasite tends to be more of a problem in household flocks than commercial flocks, as commercial breeders do not permit parent-offspring contact.

### **3.2 Ectoparasites Infestation and associated Risk Factors**

#### **4.2.1 Age**

Regarding age of examined chickens, statistically significant variation was observed and adults were found more susceptible for ectoparasites than young chickens (OR=6.29; CI=3.745-10.587; P=0.000). The adult chickens had prevalence of ectoparasite 88.6% which was higher than that of growers (55.2%). This association agreed with studies of Permin *et al.*, (2002) and Biu *et al.*, (2007), Sabuni *et al.*, (2010) and Nnadi and George (2010) in which adult chickens were highly infested as compared to young chickens. However, current result disagrees with the findings of Sabuni *et al.* (2010) and Nnadi and George

(2010) were young chickens were found more infested by ectoparasites than adult chickens. The higher prevalence of ectoparasite in adult chickens than younger one may be due to longer exposure to the infested environment than the young grower, hence a higher prevalence and intensity rates.

#### 4.2.2 Sex

In the current study the statistically significant variation was encountered between sexes of chickens as females were more infested than male chicken (OR=1.48; CI=1.277-2.242; P=0.040). Female birds had higher prevalence (58.3%) than male which has prevalence of (48.6%). Higher prevalence of ectoparasite in female chickens than male was in contrast with the finding of Mungube *et al.* (2008) and of Belihu *et al.* (2010) who reported that males had a higher rate of occurrence of ectoparasites compared to female chickens. Additionally, Sabuni *et al.* (2010) and Amede *et al.* (2011) reported almost similar prevalence between males and females. But in lining with the current finding several researchers like Biu *et al.* (2007), Mekuria and Gezahegn (2010) and Bala *et al.* (2011), reported that hens had a higher prevalence of ectoparasites than cocks.

One of the reasons could be the stationary state of hens during the incubation of their eggs, which makes them more susceptible to parasitic infestations. Not only this, bedding materials and premises used during the incubation period may host parasites and may facilitate parasite infestation. In addition, it is also suggested that the odor that hens emit during incubation may attract parasites (Bala *et al.*, 2011). Furthermore, cocks may introduce more parasites on to the hens during mating, since the male is forced upon the female for every mating.

#### 4.2.3 Breed

Local breed (83.3%) of chicken was found more prone to ectoparasites than exotic breed (29.4%) with statistically significant variation (OR=12; CI=7.320-19.673; P=0.000). The higher prevalence of ectoparasite in local breed in comparison exotic was agreed with several findings reported from Ethiopia by Bala *et al.* (2011), Tolossa and Tafesse (2013) and Fraol *et al.* (2014) who reported higher susceptibility of local breed to ectoparasites than exotic breeds. The higher prevalence observed in local breeds may be due to difference in management, hygienic practice and health care facility provided to the flocks. More importantly, in the current study almost all the local breed of chickens examined were those owned by small holder farmers kept under extensive management system at the back yard and free range system with poor hygiene and of minimal health care provision where as exotic breeds were sampled from intensively managed poultry farms of Jimma university (JUCAVM) and Kito Furdisa campus with better hygiene and health care services. Additionally, in free-range system chickens are entirely released and stay out door thus becomes more vulnerable to ectoparasite than exotic breed, which are almost kept in door.

#### 4.2.4 Management

In this study, chickens kept under extensive management were found significantly prone to ectoparasites than that kept under intensive management system (OR=8.12; CI=5.012-13.164; P=0.000). The overall prevalence in intensive management system(35%) of poultry farms owned by Jimma university while in extensive management system (81.5%) the result agreed with the finding of Mekuria and Gezahegn (2010) who report high prevalence in back yard system than in intensive system.

This variation is due better measures and practices related to good housing, feeding and husbandry system applied in intensive farms. In extensive management could be due to the free-range system practiced in the study areas, which exposes the chickens to poor hygiene on the farm and chicken houses thus, ena-

bling them to contract a wide range of harmful ectoparasites. Arend( 2003) noted that management could be a contributing factor to the type of ectoparasites that are predominanting in chicken houses. The extensive system provides a more sustainable environment for the parasites that lack of control measures towards these parasites was a possible factor contributing to the high prevalence of the parasites, becoming vulnerable to ectoparasitism (Mungube *et al.*, 2008).

Moreover, inappropriate environmental conditions such as extreme temperature encourage the abundance of ectoparasite in poultry (Mekuria and Gezahegn, 2010). According to Banda (2011), *M. gallinae* were frequently found in a hot humid climate rather than in a hot dry condition. To this effect, unhygienic poultry farming carried out by the farmers that neglect the sanitation and poor ventilation may pave a way to the increment of ectoparasite infestation in free range farming system. According to Zarith *et al.* (2017) unsuitable housing as well as no additional food supplement is the most unethical practice conducted in traditional backyard poultry that made the poultry vulnerable to ectoparasite infestation.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Poultry provide a valuable protein to the diets of people world- wide and is an important source of egg production. Some of the ectoparasites of poultry like ticks, lice and mites play an important role in the transmission of certain pathogens which cause heavy economic losses to poultry industry in addition to direct effect of causing morbidity by sucking blood and causing irritation to the birds which adversely affects economic production of poultry. The present study demonstrated the high burden of ectoparasites of poultry in Jimma town and its surroundings with overall prevalence of 65.6%. Mite, lice and flea were the common types of ectoparasites in the study area. The observed overall prevalence of lice infestation was higher than that of mites and flea. Among the four species of lice identified *L. caponis*, *M. gallinae*, were the most common species of lice identified in current study area. Regarding fleas infestation *E. gallinacean* (stick tight flea) was the only species of flea identified. Concerning mite infestation two species of poultry mites (*C. mutans* and *D. gallinae*) were identified from the current study area with *C. mutans* found more commonly infesting than *D. gallinae*.

The occurrence of parasitic infestations found influenced by a number of factors like breed, sex, age, and management. Local breed, female chickens, adult and chickens kept under extensive management were found highly infested as compared to exotic breed, male chickens, young and intensively managed chickens. Notably, the occurrence of ectoparasites highly influenced by production system, being higher in the free range system than the intensive one as observed in this study. Ectoparasites affect the chickens by causing irritation, loss of weight, skin lesions that may be site of secondary infection, sucking blood, hence leading to anemia and death at times. In addition, external parasites act as mechanical or biological vectors transmitting a number of pathogens.

This study revealed high ectoparasite burden in chickens of current study area which demands serious efforts to curtail the problem. High infestation of parasites can be reduced by a well-planned management of poultry emphasizing on hygiene and suitable environment around the poultry farm and awareness creation to the farmers and farm farms staffs. It is concluded that, use of specific chemicals in the approved manner may also help the poultry farmers in the control of ectoparasites. Therefore, control of these ectoparasites and enlightenment campaign to the chicken rearers on the dangers resulting from ectoparasitic infestation on chickens should be instituted. Based the above conclusions the following recommendations are forwarded;



- Awareness should be created to the community on the overall effect of ectoparasites on productivity of poultry and Farmers and extension staff should be trained regarding on improved housing, feeding, disease control and improved productivity of local chicken.
- Government should take responsibility to provide the control measure to the farmers like regular pesticide applications
- The role of the ectoparasites on the outbreaks of concurrent parasitic infection as well as on bacterial and viral infections should be determined.
- Further studies are needed to identify more species and genus of poultry ectoparasites circulating in this area and to the direct and indirect economic losses of ectoparasite infestation in the area.

## References

- AACCSA(Addis Ababa Chamber of Commerce and Sectorial Association) (2016).”  
“Strengthening the Private Sector in Ethiopia” Project Finance by the Danish  
Embassy in Ethiopia.”
- Amede, Y., Tilahun, K., & Bekele, M. (2011). Prevalence of Ectoparasites in Haramaya  
University Intensive Poultry Farm. *Global Veterinaria*, **7**: 264-269.
- Arends, J. (2003). External parasites and poultry pests. In: Diseases of poultry; 11<sup>th</sup> ed., (Edited  
by Calnek, W. B., Barnes, J. H., Beard, W. C., McDougald, L. R. and Saif, Y. M.). Iowa  
State Press, Blackwell Publishing Company, Ames, Iowa, Pp.905-930.
- Bala,.Y., Anta, A., Waziri, A., Shehu, H. (2011). Preliminary survey of ectoparasites  
infesting chickens (*Gallus domesticus*) in four areas of Sokoto Metropolis.*Nigerian  
Journal of Basic and Applied Science*, **35**:101-126.
- Belihu, K., Mamo, A., Lobago, F., Ayana, D. (2010). Prevalence of ectoparasites in  
backyard local chickens in three agro-ecologic Zones of east Shoa in Ethiopia. *Revue  
de Medecine Veterinaire*,**160**: 537-541.
- Bersabeh, T. (1999). Survey of ectoparasites and gastrointestinal helminthes of backyard  
chickens in three selected agro climatic zones in central Ethiopia. **DVM thesis** Faculty  
of Veterinary Medicine, Addis Ababa University, Bishoftu, Ethiopia.pp: 53.



- Biu, A., Agbede, I., and Peace, P. (2007). Study on ectoparasites of poultry in Maiduguri, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal Parasitology*, **28**: 69-72.
- CSA(Central Statistical Agency)(2016). Federal democratic republic of Ethiopia. Central statistical agency. Agricultural sample survey, Volume II, Report on livestock and livestock characteristics. Statistical bulletin 583, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Delgado C., Rosegrant M., Steinfeld H., Ehui S and Courbois C. (1999). *Livestock t2020: The next food revolution*, Food, Agriculture and the Environment Discussion Paper28.
- Ensminger, M.(1992). Poultry Science. 1st ed. Danville, Illinois, USA: The interstate Printers and Publishers.
- Hagos, A. (2000).Survey on identification of major diseases of local chickens in three selected agro climatic zone in Central Ethiopia, **DVM thesis**, Faculty of veterinary Medicine, Addis Ababa University, Bishoftu, Ethiopia.
- Kansal, G. and Singh, S. (2014). Incidence of Ectoparasites in Broiler Chicken in Meerut. *IOSR-JAVS* **7**: 55-58.
- Kondombo, R. (2005). Improvement of village chicken production in a mixed (chicken ram) farming system in Burkina Faso. **PhD thesis**. Wageningen Institute of Animal Science Animal Nutrition Group, Wageningen University, the Netherlands. Pp. 208.
- Maina, A. N. (2005). Prevalence, intensity and lesion associated with gastrointestinal and ectoparasite of indigenous chicken in Kenya. **MSc thesis**. University of Nairobi,Nairobi Kenya. Pp: 207.
- Malann, D., Olatunji, O., Usman, M. (2016). Ectoparasitic infestation on poultry birds raised in Gwagwalada area council, FCT-Abuja. *International journal of innovative research and development*,**5**: 13, 74-77.
- Minjauw B, Mcheod A (2003). Tick-born disease and poverty. The impact of ticks and tick-borne disease on the live hood of small-scale and marginal livestock owners in India and Eastern and Southern Africa. Research Report, DFID Animal Health

Programme, Center for Tropical Veterinary Medicine, University of Edinburgh, UK.  
pp. 1-116.

Moyer, R., Drown, M., Clayton, H.(2002).Low humidity reduces ectoparasite pressure: implications for host life history evolution. *Oikos* 97: 223-8 Nairobi.Paper 28.  
*Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Science*, **35**:101-126.

Mungube, O., Bauni, M., Muhammad, L., Okwack, W., Nginyi, M., and Mutuoki, T. K.  
(2006). A survey of the constraints affecting the productivity of the local scavenging chickens in the Kionyweni cluster, Machakos District. Kari Katumani Annual Report.

Mungube, O., Bauni, M., Tenhagen, A., Wamae, L. W.,Nzioka,M.,Mohammed, L and Nginyi, M. (2008). Prevalence of parasites of the local scavenging chicken selected semi-arid zone of Eastern Kenya. *Tropical Animal and HealthProduction. Bulletin* **40**: 101-109.

Permin, A. and Hansen J. (1998). Epidemiology, diagnosis, and control of poultry parasites. *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*, Rome. Italy. Pp: 1-157.

Permin, A., Esmann, B., Hoj, H., Hove, T. and Mukatirwa, S. (2002). Ecto-Endo, and Haemoparasites in free range chicken in the Gomoronzi District in Zimbabwe. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, **54**: 213-224.

Phiri, K., Phiri, M., Ziela, M., Chota, A., Masuku, M. and Monrad,J.(2007).Prevalence and distribution of gastrointestinal helminthes and their effects on weight gain In free-range chickens in Central Zambia. *Tropical Animal Health and Production* **39**: 309-315.

Sabuni, A., Mbuthia, G., Maingi, N., Nyaga, N, Njagi, W, (2010). Prevalence of ectoparasites infestation in indigenous free-ranging village chickens in different agroecological zones in Kenya. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* **22**: 11.

- Sadiq, A., Adejinmi, O., Adedokun, A., Fashanu, O., Alimi, A., Salam, T., Mir, S. and Khan, R. (2009). Prevalence and seasonal variation of ectoparasite load in free-range chicken of Kashmir valley. *Tropical animal health and production*, **41**: 7, 1371.
- Shanta, S., Begum, N., Bari, M., Karim, J. (2006). Prevalence and Clinico-Pathological Effects of Ectoparasites in Backyard Poultry. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, **4**: 19-26.
- Sofunmade, T. (2003). Ectoparasites and haemoparasites of indigenous chicken (*Gallus domesticus*) in Ibadan and environs. *Tropical Veterinarian*, **21**: 187-191.
- Tadelle, D. and B. Ogle, (1996). A survey of village poultry production in the central highlands of Ethiopia. **MSc Thesis**, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. Pp: 207.
- Tadelle, D. and Ogle, B.(2001). Village Poultry Production Systems in the Central Highlands of Ethiopia. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*. **33**: 6, 521-537.
- Tadelle, D.(2003). Phenotypic and genetic characterization of chicken ecotypes in Ethiopia. **PhD thesis**. Humboldt University, Germany, pp: 208.
- Tamiru, F., Dagmawit, A., Askale, G., Solomon, S., Morka, D., and Waktole, T. (2014). Prevalence of ectoparasite infestation in chicken in and around Ambo Town, Ethiopia. *Veterinary Science and Technology*, **5**: 4, 1.
- Thrusfield, M. (2005). Domestic testing, in veterinary epidemiology, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed, Blackwell science Ltd, Oxford, UK, 305-329.
- Urquhart, M., Armour, J., Duncan, L., Dunn, M., and Jennings, W. (1996). *Veterinary Parasitology*. 2nd edition. Blackwell Science, pp: 180.
- Zarith, M., Suhaila, H., Ahmad, N. And Khadijah, S. (2017). Parasites prevalence in poultry: focusing on free range turkeys (*meleagris gallopavo*). *Malaysian Journal of Veterinary research*. **8**: 1, 1-9.