

## CS 261P Project #2 Proposal

# Empirical Analysis of False Positive Rate for Two variants of Bloom Filters

Rishabh Saxena (rsaxena3) | Chaitanya Prafull Ujeniya (cujeniya)

### Summary:

This project explores a new way of implementing a Bloom filter and comparing the performance to a regular bloom filter. The new bloom filter (called *k-array bloom filter*) is implemented by having different arrays for each of the  $k$  hash functions. ( $h_0(x), h_1(x), \dots h_{k-1}(x)$ )

### Description:

In a regular Bloom Filter of size  $N$  &  $k$  hash functions, after  $n$  insertions, a total of  $n.k$  set bit operations are done. The formula for a false positive in such a bloom filter is:

$$(1 - (1 - \frac{1}{N})^{nk})^k$$

**Therefore, each of the  $k$  bits in a false positive map to one of the bits set by the  $n.k$  set bit operations.**

We define a new bloom filter (called *k-array bloom filter*) such that, for  $n$  insert operations, each of  $k$  hash functions sets  $n$  bits in its own individual array (Refer to Fig 2). Therefore, after  $n$  insertions, there are a total of  $n$  set bit operations in  $k$  individual arrays. **For such a bloom filter, each of the  $k$  bits in a false positive map to one of the bits set by  $n$  set bit operations.**

Finally, to ensure that the comparisons are fair, we will ensure that the 2 bloom filters being compared have the same total memory consumption i.e. we will consider the size of a regular bloom filter as  $N$  and the size of the *k-array bloom filter* as  $k$  arrays of  $N/k$  length. (Refer to Fig 1 & 2 below)

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

Fig 1. Regular Bloom Filter with  $N = 16$ , and  $k=4$

$h_0(x)$				
$h_1(x)$				
$h_2(x)$				
$h_3(x)$				
	0	1	2	3

Fig 2. *k-array* Bloom Filter with  $k=4$  and  $k$  arrays of length 4

## Details for implementation and analysis:

The following design considerations will be made during the implementation and analysis:

1. Code implementation will be done in C++.
2. We will use tabulation hashing for both bloom filters. Each  $k$  hash functions will have their own random table (to make the hash functions unique). The same set of  $k$  hash functions will be used for both bloom filters.
3. The  $n$  elements to be inserted in the Bloom Filter will be generated randomly and logged separately. This will help us distinguish between false-positives and true-positives.
4. We will fix the value of  $N/k$  to 2000 (size of each array in  $k$ -array bloom filter). Analysis will be done on different values of  $n$  and  $k$  ( $n$  ranging from [50, 250] and  $k$  ranging from [2, 10]).
5. For  $n$  inserted keys, we will first perform  $n$  membership tests of the inserted keys to sanity test that the bloom filter identifies all true positives correctly. We will then randomly generate  $T$  keys (around  $5.n$ ) and test for the false-positive rate among these keys and report the results.
6. By the above experiment, we can generate the false-positive rate (FPR) for both bloom filters for different values of  $n$  and  $k$ . We will generate 2 plots for each bloom filter of FPR vs.  $k$  (for fixed value of  $n$ ) and FPR vs.  $n$  (for fixed value of  $k$ ).
7. The generated graphs will allow us to compare the performance of the 2 bloom filters and draw inferences from our results.