# **Higher-order Functions**

Rob Hackman

Fall 2025

University of Alberta

#### **Table of Contents**

# Recurring Patterns

Functions as First Class Values

Higher-order Functions

# **Doubling a** LList

Let's write a function doubleList that takes a LList of numbers and returns a new LList that is the result of multiplying every number in the given LList by two.

```
def doubleList(1):
   if isEmpty(1):
     return empty()
   return cons(2*first(1), doubleList(rest(1)))
```

#### Recalling leetSpeak

Now, let's recall our leetSpeak function.

```
def leetSpeak(s):
   if s == "":
     return ""
   return convertChar(s[0]) + leetSpeak(s[1:])
```

# **Generalizing recursive solutions**

These two functions are performing the same general task.

# Generalizing recursive solutions

These two functions are performing the same general task.

Each function is repeatedly applying some binary function f
to each value of the sequence and the result of the recursion

# Generalizing recursive solutions

These two functions are performing the same general task.

- Each function is repeatedly applying some binary function f
  to each value of the sequence and the result of the recursion
- Each function is producing a base value when the base case is reached

Both of these functions are *folding* these sequences. A *fold* of a sequence is exactly the procedure of applying a binary function f to each value of the sequence and each subsequent result of the function f.

Both of these functions are *folding* these sequences. A *fold* of a sequence is exactly the procedure of applying a binary function f to each value of the sequence and each subsequent result of the function f.

Particularly, given a sequence  $S = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}, v_n)$  and some binary function f these functions are both performing the procedure:

6

Both of these functions are *folding* these sequences. A *fold* of a sequence is exactly the procedure of applying a binary function f to each value of the sequence and each subsequent result of the function f.

Particularly, given a sequence  $S = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}, v_n)$  and some binary function f these functions are both performing the procedure:

 $f(v_0,$ 

Both of these functions are *folding* these sequences. A *fold* of a sequence is exactly the procedure of applying a binary function f to each value of the sequence and each subsequent result of the function f.

Particularly, given a sequence  $S = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}, v_n)$  and some binary function f these functions are both performing the procedure:

$$f(v_0, f(v_1,$$

Both of these functions are *folding* these sequences. A *fold* of a sequence is exactly the procedure of applying a binary function f to each value of the sequence and each subsequent result of the function f.

Particularly, given a sequence  $S = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}, v_n)$  and some binary function f these functions are both performing the procedure:

$$f(v_0, f(v_1, f(v_2, \dots, f(v_2, \dots,$$

6

Both of these functions are *folding* these sequences. A *fold* of a sequence is exactly the procedure of applying a binary function f to each value of the sequence and each subsequent result of the function f.

Particularly, given a sequence  $S = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}, v_n)$  and some binary function f these functions are both performing the procedure:

```
f(v_0,
f(v_1,
f(v_2,
```

6

Both of these functions are *folding* these sequences. A *fold* of a sequence is exactly the procedure of applying a binary function f to each value of the sequence and each subsequent result of the function f.

Particularly, given a sequence  $S = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}, v_n)$  and some binary function f these functions are both performing the procedure:

$$f(v_0,$$

$$f(v_1,$$

$$f(v_2,$$
...
$$f(v_{n-1},$$

Both of these functions are *folding* these sequences. A *fold* of a sequence is exactly the procedure of applying a binary function f to each value of the sequence and each subsequent result of the function f.

Particularly, given a sequence  $S = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}, v_n)$  and some binary function f these functions are both performing the procedure:

```
f(v_0, f(v_1, f(v_2, \dots f(v_{n-1}, f(v_n, ???))))))...
```

```
f(v_0, f(v_1, f(v_2, \dots f(v_{n-1}, f(v_n, ???))))))...
```

What value is used for the second argument when the fold is applying the given function to the final value of the sequence?

```
f(v_0, f(v_1, f(v_2, \dots f(v_{n-1}, f(v_n, ???))))))...
```

What value is used for the second argument when the fold is applying the given function to the final value of the sequence?

In the case of our recursions this would be the value we produce when the base case is reached!

```
f(v_0, f(v_1, f(v_2, \dots f(v_{n-1}, f(v_n, base))))))...
```

What value is used for the second argument when the fold is applying the given function to the final value of the sequence?

In the case of our recursions this would be the value we produce when the base case is reached!

# Finding f

One may argue that both our functions leetSpeak and doubleList do not apply just a single function f.

#### Finding *f*

One may argue that both our functions leetSpeak and doubleList do not apply just a single function f.

For example, one may argue leetSpeak both calls the function convertChar and uses the append operation to build the final result from the recursive result.

#### Finding f

One may argue that both our functions leetSpeak and doubleList do not apply just a single function f.

For example, one may argue leetSpeak both calls the function convertChar and uses the append operation to build the final result from the recursive result.

However while that may be the case as we've written it we can easily rewrite both of these functions so that their recursive result is simply the result of applying some function to their first value and their recursive result.

#### Rewriting leetSpeak

Consider the following rewrite of leetSpeak

```
def lc(c, s):
    return convertChar(c) + s

def leetSpeak(s):
    if s == "":
        return ""
    return lc(s[0], leetSpeak(s[:1]))
```

#### Rewriting leetSpeak

Consider the following rewrite of leetSpeak

```
def lc(c, s):
    return convertChar(c) + s

def leetSpeak(s):
    if s == "":
        return ""
    return lc(s[0], leetSpeak(s[:1]))
```

Now our function leetSpeak is simply the result of folding lc over a string!

#### Rewriting doubleList

```
Consider the following rewrite of doubleList

def dc(num, 1):
    return cons(2*num, 1)

def doubleList(1):
    if isEmpty(1):
        return empty()
    return dc(first(1), doubleList(rest(1)))
```

#### Rewriting doubleList

Consider the following rewrite of doubleList

```
def dc(num, 1):
    return cons(2*num, 1)

def doubleList(1):
    if isEmpty(1):
       return empty()
    return dc(first(1), doubleList(rest(1)))
```

Now our function doubleList is simply the result of folding dc over a LList of numbers!

```
lc("g",
```

# **Visualizing** leetSpeak

Consider the function call leetSpeak("great") and how it would be evaluated.

# **Visualizing** leetSpeak

Consider the function call leetSpeak("great") and how it would be evaluated.

```
lc("g", "r347")
```

# **Visualizing** leetSpeak

Consider the function call leetSpeak("great") and how it would be evaluated.

```
"gr347"
```

Now consider the function call doubeList(LC(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) and how it would be evaluated.

dc(1,

```
dc(1, dc(2, (6, 8, 10)))
```

```
dc(1, (4, 6, 8, 10))
```

Now consider the function call doubeList(LC(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) and how it would be evaluated.

By rewriting both functions and examining how they evaluate it is clear they are both performing exactly the same procudure (the fold) and the only ways they differ are their binary function and their base value!

Now consider the function call doubeList(LC(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) and how it would be evaluated.

By rewriting both functions and examining how they evaluate it is clear they are both performing exactly the same procudure (the fold) and the only ways they differ are their binary function and their base value!

Now that we've observed these similarities how can we take advantage of them?

### **Table of Contents**

Recurring Patterns

Functions as First Class Values

Higher-order Functions

In programming, and particularly functional programming, we define a concept known as *first class functions* to discuss the behaviours of some programming languages.

In programming, and particularly functional programming, we define a concept known as *first class functions* to discuss the behaviours of some programming languages.

We will define a programming language to have functions as first class values if the following conditions are true:

In programming, and particularly functional programming, we define a concept known as *first class functions* to discuss the behaviours of some programming languages.

We will define a programming language to have functions as first class values if the following conditions are true:

Functions may be used as arguments in function calls<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A corollary of this is that functions may be bound to identifiers

In programming, and particularly functional programming, we define a concept known as *first class functions* to discuss the behaviours of some programming languages.

We will define a programming language to have functions as first class values if the following conditions are true:

- Functions may be used as arguments in function calls<sup>1</sup>
- Functions may be the return value of a function call

Python does have first class functions!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A corollary of this is that functions may be bound to identifiers

### **Table of Contents**

Recurring Patterns

Functions as First Class Values

Higher-order Functions

### **Higher-order functions**

We now know that functions that take functions themselves as the values to substitute for their parameters, or even produce a function as their return value!

Functions that operate on functions (as their parameters or their return value) are called *higher-order functions*.

We will now define our first higher-order function! This function will be the one that abstracts away the differences between functions like leetSpeak and doubleList.

We have now defined the behaviour of folding over a sequence. For now we will focus just on folding LLists and write a function fold that takes an LList, a function combine, and a base value base and performs the fold operation we defined earlier.

We have now defined the behaviour of folding over a sequence. For now we will focus just on folding LLists and write a function fold that takes an LList, a function combine, and a base value base and performs the fold operation we defined earlier.

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
```

It is important to note that the *type* of combine here is a *function*!

We have now defined the behaviour of folding over a sequence. For now we will focus just on folding LLists and write a function fold that takes an LList, a function combine, and a base value base and performs the fold operation we defined earlier.

```
def fold(l, combine, base):
```

It is important to note that the *type* of combine here is a *function*!

Now, we define our base case. For an LList this will be when it is empty, and when that is the case we should produce the base value we were given

We have now defined the behaviour of folding over a sequence. For now we will focus just on folding LLists and write a function fold that takes an LList, a function combine, and a base value base and performs the fold operation we defined earlier.

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
   if isEmpty(1):
     return base
```

Now, we need only to apply the combine operation to both the first of our LList and the recursive result.

We have now defined the behaviour of folding over a sequence. For now we will focus just on folding LLists and write a function fold that takes an LList, a function combine, and a base value base and performs the fold operation we defined earlier.

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
   if isEmpty(1):
     return base
   return combine(first(1), ????)
```

But what is the recursive result in the case of fold?

We have now defined the behaviour of folding over a sequence. For now we will focus just on folding LLists and write a function fold that takes an LList, a function combine, and a base value base and performs the fold operation we defined earlier.

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
   if isEmpty(1):
     return base
   return combine(first(1), ????)
```

But what is the recursive result in the case of fold?

The result of folding the operation over the rest of the LList!

We have now defined the behaviour of folding over a sequence. For now we will focus just on folding LLists and write a function fold that takes an LList, a function combine, and a base value base and performs the fold operation we defined earlier.

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
   if isEmpty(1):
     return base
   return combine(first(1), fold(rest(1), combine, base))
```

# Function specifications for higher-order function

Let us write the function specification for fold

# Function specifications for higher-order function

Let us write the function specification for fold

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
  111
  fold folds the function combine over the LList l
       with the base value acting as our final
       second operand.
    - LList
  combine -
  base
  returns -
  111
```

### A notation for function types

We will choose to represent the *type* of a function as the types of its parameters and return types.

For example, we would write the type of a function that takes one string and one integer parameter and returns a float as the following (str int -> float).

The same as the way the text LList means the type LList, the text enclosed in the parentheses above means the type of "a function that takes a string and integer parameter and returns a float".

Now that we know how to write the type of a function, can we complete the specification for fold?

returns -

Now that we know how to write the type of a function, can we complete the specification for fold?

What is the type of the function combine though? We know it takes two parameters, but what types are they? What is its return type?

Now that we know how to write the type of a function, can we complete the specification for fold?

We could try writing any, to indicate that combine can operate on anything.

Now that we know how to write the type of a function, can we complete the specification for fold?

Then what is our base type? It is one of the values operated on by combine, so it must also be any?

Now that we know how to write the type of a function, can we complete the specification for fold?

What about our return type? It ultimately is the value produced by combine, so it must also be any?

Let's now try using our fold function.

Let's now try using our fold function.

First let us try fold with the dc function we wrote!

Let's now try using our fold function.

First let us try fold with the dc function we wrote!

fold(LL(1, 2, 3, 4, 5), dc, empty()) -> (2, 4, 6, 8, 10)

Let's now try using our fold function.

Consider the following fold application — what does it do?

Let's now try using our fold function.

Consider the following fold application — what does it do?

```
def add(x, y):
    return x + y

fold(LL(1, 2, 3, 4, 5), add, 0)
```

Let's now try using our fold function.

Consider the following fold application — what does it do?

```
def add(x, y):
   return x + y

fold(LL(1, 2, 3, 4, 5), add, 0)
```

This computes the summation of a LList, all in one line!

Let's now try using our fold function.

Consider the following fold application — what does it do?

Let's now try using our fold function.

```
Consider the following fold application — what does it do?
```

```
def mul(x, y):
    return x*y

fold(LL(1, 2, 3, 4, 5), mul, 1)
```

Let's now try using our fold function.

Consider the following fold application — what does it do?

```
def mul(x, y):
   return x*y
```

fold(LL(1, 2, 3, 4, 5), mul, 1)

This computes the produce of a LList, all in one line!

Now, we try the following use of our function fold

Now, we try the following use of our function fold

```
def sc(x, y):
  # x - a character
  # y - an int
  # returns - a character
  return chr(ord(x)-y)
fold(LL("h", "e", "y"), sc, 3)
When we try this we get an error!
TypeError: unsupported operand type(s)
  for -: 'int' and 'str'
```

Now, we try the following use of our function fold

```
def sc(x, y):
  # x - a character
  # y - an int
  # returns - a character
  return chr(ord(x)-y)
fold(LL("h", "e", "y"), sc, 3)
When we try this we get an error!
TypeError: unsupported operand type(s)
  for -: 'int' and 'str'
```

What is the issue?

Now, we try the following use of our function fold

The issue is that the result of our fold becomes:

```
sc("h", sc("e", sc("y", 3)))
```

Now, we try the following use of our function fold

The issue is that the result of our fold becomes:

```
sc("h", sc("e", "v"))
```

But sc cannot use a string as its second parameter! However, we didn't violate the specification we wrote for our fold because we said these could all be any! So clearly our specification is wrong!

def fold(1, combine, base):

111

When defining higher-order functions it is often the case that there will be a relationship between the type of the function we're operating on and our other values. We can use free variables in our types to denote types that can be any, but have some relationship to other types in our specification!

```
fold folds the function combine over the LList l with the
base value acting as our final second operand.

l - LList
combine - (X Y -> Z)
base - any
returns - anu
```

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
  111
  fold folds the function combine over the LList l with the
       base value acting as our final second operand.
    - I.I.i.st
  combine - (X Y \rightarrow Z)
  base - any
  returns - anu
  111
```

We will begin by changing all the values in combine to free variables, and then working out the relationships.

We will begin by changing all the values in combine to free variables, and then working out the relationships.

The X, Y, and, Z here are free variables that represent "some" type.

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
  111
  fold folds the function combine over the LList l with the
       base value acting as our final second operand.
    - I.I.i.st
  combine - (X Y \rightarrow Z)
  base - Y
  returns - any
  111
```

We know that the base value is used as the second argument to the call to combine. As such, the type of base should match with Y.

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
  111
  fold folds the function combine over the LList l with the
       base value acting as our final second operand.
    - I.I.i.st
  combine - (X Y \rightarrow Z)
  base - Y
  returns - Z
  111
```

Furthermore, we know that fold simply returns the result of combine in the recursive case. That means the return type of fold must be Z.

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
  111
  fold folds the function combine over the LList l with the
       base value acting as our final second operand.
    - I.I.i.st
  combine - (X Y \rightarrow Z)
  base - Y
  returns - Z
  111
```

Does this catch the problem from the above fold of our function sc though?

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
  111
  fold folds the function combine over the LList l with the
       base value acting as our final second operand.
    - LList
  combine - (X Y \rightarrow Z)
  base - Y
  returns - Z
  111
```

No it doesn't! The problem from sc was that sc returned a string, and the result of sc was then used as the second argument to *itself*! However sc expected an integer as its second argument so it didn't work!

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
  111
  fold folds the function combine over the LList l with the
       base value acting as our final second operand.
    - I.I.i.st
  combine - (X Y \rightarrow Y)
  base - Y
  returns - Y
  111
```

Since the return value of combine is going to be used as its own second argument these types must also match!

Since the return value of combine is going to be used as its own second argument these types must also match!

This means each of combines second parameter and return type, base, and the return type of fold are all the same type!

```
def fold(1, combine, base):
  111
  fold folds the function combine over the LList l with the
       base value acting as our final second operand.
  l - LList of X
  combine - (X Y \rightarrow Y)
  base - Y
  returns - Y
  111
```

Lastly, since each element of 1 is used as the first argument to combine that means that 1 must actually be a LList of X

## foldr and the cmput274 module

This function we've defined is actually known as foldr and performs what is known as a *right fold*.

#### foldr and the cmput274 module

This function we've defined is actually known as foldr and performs what is known as a *right fold*.

A more sophisticated foldr is available for you already in the cmput274 module. Unlike the one we wrote together on the slides here it works on both LLists and strings, as well as other built-in Python sequences.

#### foldr and the cmput274 module

This function we've defined is actually known as foldr and performs what is known as a *right fold*.

A more sophisticated foldr is available for you already in the cmput274 module. Unlike the one we wrote together on the slides here it works on both LLists and strings, as well as other built-in Python sequences.

For example:

```
foldr("great", lc, "") -> 'gr347'
```

## **Understanding** foldr

Knowledge Check: Consider the following function definition

```
def sub(x, y):
   return x - y
```

What is the result of the function call

foldr(LL(7, 10, 1, 22), sub, 0)? Figure out the result first by hand and then check your result by executing the code. If your answer does not match try to figure out where you went wrong!

## Practicing with foldr

Practice Problem: Write the function parity which takes a BinaryStr a parameter and returns True if the number of ones in the string is even, and False if the number of ones in the string is odd. Your function should not use explicit recursion and instead should use foldr to achieve any repetition necessary.

#### We define a BinaryStr as:

- The empty string ""
- "0" + BinaryStr
- "1" + BinaryStr

As always you may write any helper functions that you like (at least one helper function will be necessary — the argument for foldr!)

### More practice with foldr

Practice Problem: We have previously solved the problem of reversing a LList. Write a function foldReverse which solves takes a single LList parameter and returns the reverse of that LList. However, once again you may not use explicit recursion and must use only foldr if you require repetition.