Shakespeare in the bush

Interpretations of Buddhism can be different for all

What we read is always biased in some way and categorize Buddhism

Normative (cognitive)

* Prescriptive
* Scriptural
* Dogma

Lived (affective)

* Application of scriptures
* Social application
* Lived examples
  + Ritual
  + Clerical Roles of the monks that they take on

The three Jewels

* Buddha
* Dharma
* Sangha

Gongrich has great quote – The cognitive (normative) what people say they do

The affective- what people actually do

Normative define lived, lived defines normative

**Nirvanic (nibbanic) Buddhism**

* Liberation from Samsara

**Karmatic Buddhism**

* Accumulate *punya*merit to so life get better and eventually get to Nirvana
* Rely on scriptures to bring about merit
* With any action there are the components
  + Motivation, Action, Result
  + Motivation is the most important one in Buddhism

**Apotropaic Buddhism**

* Magical spells, rituals to ensure favorable crops
* Something outside the normative box
* Magic is very Lived
* Tontric Buddhism- use your power to cut through delusion and arrive state of liberation the techniques are very much magical

Normative and lived constantly defining and forming each other

4 things people supposed to focus on

Buddhist ordering

**Moksa** – liberation

**Dharma** – learning way of life

**Artha** – prosperity

**Kama** – pursuit of pleasure

Bromonical/Hinduism ordering

Dharma

Artha

Kama

Moksa