

# FINAL REPORT

Group 14



Ruchita Manuja - 460494470

Supraja Sridharan - 460474472

Anirudh Sharma - 460482684

Sundaram Thangaraj – 470531015

Abhijeet Date - 470299528

# **Table of Contents**

Introduction	4
Data Set	4
Additional data requirement	4
Data Cleansing	4
Aims and Contribution	4
Design and Approaches	5
Data Extraction	6
Data Loading	6
Aggregation	6
Analysis	6
Visualisation	7
Visualisation 1 - Total flights vs Cancellation	7
Visualisation 2– Carrier Analysis	7
Visualisation 3 - Number of flights by carrier per year	10
Visualisation 5 - Total Cancellations and Reasons during a	5-year period 11
Visualisation 6 - Total number of flights and cancellations period	per week during a 5-year 13
Visualisation 7 - Airport Performance by State	17
Visualisation 8 - Top & Worst Airports	20
Visualisation 9 - Airlines Routes	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Visualisation 10 - Trend Analysis	27
Implementation	29
Evaluation	29
Results	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Carrier Analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Number of flights by carrier per year	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Identify Airport Performance by State	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Identify Top & Worst Airports	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.

# COMP5048 | Assignment 2- Final Report | Group 14

Appendix 1 - Meeting Minutes	Error! Bookmark not defined.
References	34
Conclusion	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Strengths	33
Discussion	33

# Introduction

Everybody seeks for the best experience when it comes to travelling on flights. "My flight was pleasant and on time" is a statement everybody wants to share with others. But this is not always the case, as there lie twists, tricks, and surprises that change a traveller's thought and manipulate their views for a certain airline merely based on an unpleasant experience such as delays. This report explains our analysis on the US airlines data and provides an insight to the visualization system created. Through this visualization system assist the travellers can make the best decisions on their next travel in terms of choosing the most reliable airline, airport or travel times.

# Data Set

Airlines dataset comes from the Research and Innovative Technology Administration (RITA), from the United States Department of Transportation. Data is from 1987 to 2008 and consists of figures about the arrival and departure details for the commercial flights throughout the 3,376 airports in the USA. The data is split down into 22 yearly chunk files, year by year. The dataset is 12GB with nearly about 120 million records.

# Additional data requirement

The dataset needed to be combined with the supplementary datasets provided to complete the analysis and visualization.

- Airport data Required to get airport names, city, longitude, and latitude of the airports
- Carrier data Required to get Airline's name

# **Data Cleansing**

Though the data set was already formatted, it still required additional data cleansing activities such as:

- Date is split into 3 columns (year month, day of month), it had to be combined
- Some columns like Arrival delay and departure delay contained value as 'NA' wherever not applicable. This needed to be converted to zero before performing any arithmetic operation
- Some of the airport names contain ',' in their names which was replaced with spaces

# Aims and Contribution

We have performed analysis and produced visualizations on the Airlines data in order to identify:

- Usual and unusual patterns in the data
- Best Month/day of the travel to avoid delay/cancellation
- Best and Worst Airlines
- Best and Worst Airports
- Identify reasons for Cancellations
- Analysis of Airport Routes based on the best and Worst Airports
- Factors that contributed to the airline's delay/cancellation such as 9/11 attack, Global financial crisis, weather and public holidays

# **Design and Approaches**




Figure 1 - System Overview [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# **Data Extraction**

The following data was extracted from <a href="http://stat-computing.org/dataexpo/2009/">http://stat-computing.org/dataexpo/2009/</a>.

- Flights data (year wise)
- Carrier data
- Airports
- Planes

# **Data Loading**

Data from CSV files was loaded into Teradata database using load scripts. Teradata was selected for data storage since Teradata load scripts are efficient for loading and processing large volume of data. Once the files were loaded into Teradata tables, they were validated for missing or invalid values.

# Aggregation

Once the data was cleansed of missing/invalid data, it was aggregated and validated using SQL. The aggregated results were extracted into CSV files for creating visualizations.

# **Analysis**

Following analysis techniques were performed.

# Filter the Data:

As the data set is fairly large it can be categorized under Big Data. We filtered the records in order to focus on a smaller subset of data as below:

- Our main focus was to analyze the data from the 21st century.
- We used various filters such as Airports, Carrier and routes.
- Flights actual departure or arrival time exceeded by 15 minutes from the scheduled departure or arrival we flagged those flights as delayed.

# **Clustering the data**

The flight network could be considered as a directed graph with multiple nodes as airports and edges as flight connections among the nodes. So, a "Graph Clustering" method has been used to identify the clusters in the flight network.

A general approach on this flight network is to discover the groups of airports based on connections between the nodes in the network. The idea is to form clusters of airports that have more connections (and count of flights) to one another than they do to outsiders.

# Centrality

This technique was used to identify important nodes (airports or carriers) and crucial links as below:

# 1. Degree centrality

- in-degree centrality -> total incoming traffic on a day
- out-degree centrality -> total outgoing traffic on a day
- 2. Betweenness centrality -> to identify proportion of shortest path between 2 nodes

# Visualisation

# Visualisation 1 - Total flights vs Cancellation

A Continuous Line Chart for analysis of Total flights with Cancellation has been created to identify the factors affecting the Performance of all the airlines. The charts for the years 1998 to 2008 has been combined as a video to identify the usual and unusual patterns.




Figure 2 - Visualisation 1 a - Total flights Vs Cancellation for Year 2001[Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]




Figure 3 - Visualisation 1 b - Total flights Vs Cancellation for Year 2008[Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# **Patterns Identified:**

- Carriers operate less flights on Saturdays
- Less flights observed on 27th November which is thanksgiving holiday
- Airlines traffic reduced after 9/11 attack in 2001
- Airlines traffic reduced after Global Financial Crisis in 2008

# Visualisation 2– Carrier Analysis

A *bar* visualisation for the carrier analysis has been created to identify Top and Bottom Carriers based on percentage of On Time, Delay and Cancelled from the Visualisation 2 a and Visualisation 2 b




Figure 4 - Visualisation 2 a - Carrier Analysis [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]




Figure 5 - Visualisation 2 b - Carrier Analysis [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# **Patterns Identified:**

# **Top Carriers:**

- Ontime -Hawaiian Airlines
- Delay Percentage: Hawaiian Airlines
- Cancellation Percentage: Hawaiian Airlines

# **Bottom Carriers**

- Ontime -Atlantic Southeast Airlines
- Delay Percentage: Atlantic Southeast Airlines
- Cancellation Percentage: American Eagle Airlines

# Visualisation 3 - Number of flights by carrier per year

An interactive D3 donut chart has been created for the number of flights for each carriers over a ten year period (1998 - 2008). This visualization assisted us in finding the most operating carrier for each year based on the Airline and the Total flights for each airline. (Code files have been supplied for this visualisation)

# 2008 • AirTran Airways Corporation: 261684 American Airlines Inc: 604885 Southwest Airlines Co: 1201754 Frontier Airlines Inc: 95758 American Eagle Airlines Inc: 490693 Alaska Airlines Inc: 151102 Mesa Airlines Inc: 254930 Aloha Airlines Inc: 7800 Northwest Airlines Inc: 347652 US Airways Inc: 453589 Atlantic Southeast Airlines: 280575 JetBlue Airways: 196091 Continental Air Lines Inc: 298455 Hawaiian Airlines Inc: 61826 Pinnacle Airlines Inc: 262208 Delta Air Lines Inc: 451931 United Air Lines Inc: 449515 Comair Inc: 197607 Expressiet Airlines Inc: 374510 Skywest Airlines Inc: 567159

# **Number of flights per Carrier**

Figure 6 - Visualisation 3 - Number of flights per Carrier[Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

Code Reference: A static, reusable donut chart for D3.js v4 [5]

# Pattern identified:

Through this visualization we identified that Delta Airlines used to be the most operative airline prior to 2000. However, since 2000 Southwest airline took over Delta Airlines and since then has been operating the most. Possible reasons for the decline in the number of airlines for Delta can be as the offerings that each airline offers to their passengers. Some of these are as below:

- Southwest offer no fees for the first two free checked in bags whereas Delta charge for each airline
- With Southwest passengers can purchase a 24 hour WiFI pass for \$8 whereas with Delta they have to pay \$16 for the same service [1]
- Southwest also differentiates themselves by keeping low fuel costs and flying on cheaper and older planes thereby offering cheaper flights to their passengers and attracting more passengers[2]

An interactive chart was prepared using Google charts to visualize the top 5 operating airlines for the period 2004-2008.

Performance of top 5 operating airlines

# Southwest Airlines Co. American Airlines Inc. Cancelled Delayed On Time 67.87 (67.9%) Cancelled Delayed On Time Cancelled Delayed On Time

Figure 7 - Visualisation 4 -Performance of Top 5 Operating Airlines [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# Code Reference: Visualization: Pie Chart | Charts | Google Developers [6]

# Pattern identified:

- There has been no major changes in the performance of Southwest Airlines despite being the most operative airline during the period 2004 -2008
- Skywest Airlines has an upward trend on the number of flights. In year 2005 they were the 5th operative airline whereas in 2006 they moved to the 4th operative airline. However they experience a negative impact on their performance as the number of on time flights declined by of 5.6%

# Visualisation 5 - Total Cancellations and Reasons during a 5-year period

A *lines (continuous)* visualisation have been created for the total cancellations VS the reason of flight cancellation. The information depicted by this visualisation will assist us in identifying the reason which led to the cancellation of a particular flight at a specific period of time. The X-axis has been plotted as the month and the Y-axis as the cancelled flights. The colour of the lines in the graph represents the reason for cancellation of flight. These reasons are categorized as below:

- A → cancellation of flight due to carrier
- B → cancellation of flight due to the weather
- C → cancellation of flight due to NAS
- D → cancellation of flight due to security reasons




Figure 8 - Visualisation 5 - Total Cancellations and Reasons during a 5-year period [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# Pattern Identified:

- From the visualisation 5, We can observe that weather is a major reasons for Cancellations from 2004 to 2008
- December, January and February has more number of Cancellations due to weather.
- The Second highest reason for cancellation is due to Carrier.In April 2008,American Airlines cancelled 1554 flights in two days.Alaska Airlines cancelled 42 Airlines in three days[3]
- January 2008 has more number of NAS Cancellations
- There are fewer Cancellations due to Security

# Visualisation 6 - Total number of flights and cancellations per week during a 5-year period

A *heatmap* visualisation for the total number of cancellations/delays per week /month (Visualisation 6 a to 6 f) have been created, which will assist us in identifying the weeks/months with the maximum and the minimum number of cancellations/delays.

### Pattern Identified:

# **Based on Cancellations**

- Best Month: April, May, October, November
- Worst Month:January, February, September, December
- Best Days:Saturday,Sunday
- Worst Days:Monday,Tuesday

# **Based on Delays:**

- Best Month:April,May,September,November
- Worst Month:June,July,August,December
- Best Days:Tuesday,Saturday
- Worst Days:Thursday,Friday

# **TOTAL CANCELLATIONS FROM 1998 to 2008**




Figure 9 - Visualisation 6 a - Total Cancellations from 1998 to 2008 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# **TOTAL DELAYS FROM 1998 to 2008**




Figure 10 - Visualisation 6 b - Total Delays from 1998 to 2008 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# **TOTAL CANCELLATIONS FROM 1999 to 2003**



Figure 11 - Visualisation 6 c - Total Cancellations from 1999 to 2003 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]




Figure 12 - Visualisation 6 d - Total Cancellations from 2004 to 2008 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]




Figure 13 - Visualisation 6 e - Total Delays from 1999 to 2003 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# **TOTAL DELAY FROM 2004 to 2008**



Figure 14 - Visualisation 6 f - Total Delays from 2004 to 2008 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# Visualisation 7 - Airport Performance by State

A *geo-maps* visualisation for the airport performance in terms of on time, major delay, and cancellations has been created to assist us in figuring out the best and the worst performing state with respect to the total number of on time, major delay, and cancelled flights. The performance of the airports (on time/cancellation) was calculated and plotted on the USA map. The three visualizations below are from year 2003-2008.

# Criteria to decide the best and the worst airport:

- The states with the on time flight percentage above 85% are considered as best performing states and the states having on time flight percentage below 85% are considered to be the worst performing states.
- The states with the cancelled flight percentage below 2.5% are considered to be the best performing states while the ones having cancelled flight percentage above 2.5% are considered to be the worst performing states.

# Airport performance by state based on on-time flights (2003-2008)




Figure 15 - Visualisation 7 a - Airport Performance by State based on On-Time Flights from 2003 to 2008 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# **Patterns identified**

Through the visualization we identified that California state within US has the maximum number of ontime flights of 700,599, from the period 2003-2008.

# Airport performance by state based on major delay (2003-2008)




Figure 16 - Visualisation 7 b - Airport Performance by State based on Major delay from 2003 to 2008 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# **Patterns identified**

The visualization helped us to identify that Texas within USA is the state which has the maximum number of flights with major delays. The number of major delays flights accounts to a number of 79,526 from the period 2003-2008.

# Airport performance by state based on cancellations (2003-2008)




Figure 17 - Visualisation 7 c - Airport Performance by State based on Cancellations from 2003 to 2008 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# **Patterns identified**

This visualization helped us to identify that Illinois state within USA deals with the maximum number of cancelled flights. The number of cancelled flights accounts to 14,234 over a period of 2003-2008.

# **Overall patterns identified** from all of the above three visualisations:

- Illinois and New york are the two worst performing states with large proportion of cancelled flights. In Illinois for every 30 flights, 1 gets cancelled and in New york, for every 31 flights, 1 gets cancelled.
- Illinois and New york have a flight cancelled percentage of 3.25% and 3.15% respectively. Also Illinois and New york have an ontime flight percentage of 79% and 82%.
- California and Florida are the best performing states with the minimum number of cancelled flights. In California, for every 71 flights, 1 gets cancelled and in florida, for every 77 flights, 1 gets cancelled.
- California and Florida have a flight cancelled percentage of 1.39% and 1.28% respectively. Also California and Florida have an on time flight percentage of 89.13% and 87.2%

# Visualisation 8 - Top & Worst Airports

A bubble chart visualisation has been created using Tableau to find the top 5 and worst 5 airports based on the percentage of cancellations, major delays and ontime flights. In this visualization the intensity of the colour is depicting the percentage of each of the performance measures i.e cancellations, major delays and on time. Higher the intensity of the colour means the percentage is higher for each of the performance measure. The size of the circles depicts the number of flights for each airport. Larger the size of the bubble, larger the number of flights for that airport.




Figure 18 - Visualisation 8 -Top and Worst Airports [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# **Patterns Identified:**

- Top Airport based on percentage of cancellation : LaGuardia
- Top Airport based on percentage of major delays: Chicago O'Hare International
- Top Airport based on percentage of ontime flights: Salt Lake City International

# Visualisation 9 - Airlines Routes

A **geomap** visualisation using Tableau is created to display all the routes for the three selected airports as below:

- Salt Lake City International This airport has the maximum percentage of time flights
- LaGuardia This airport has maximum percentage of cancelled flights
- Chicago This airport has maximum percentage of delayed flights

To show the change in the number of routes a video capture from Tableau was also created. ( Refer to the submitted video files )

# **Salt Lake City International**

# Year 2003:

# Salt Lake City International Routes (2003-2008)




Figure 19 - Visualisation 9 a - Airport Routes of Salt Lake City International for year 2003 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# Year 2008:

# Salt Lake City International Routes (2003-2008)




Figure 20 - Visualisation 9 b - Airport Routes of Salt Lake City International for year 2008 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

Through the two visualisation we observed that the number of flights increased every year , however this did not affect the airport's performance and Salt Lake City International remained to be the aiport with the maximum percentage of on time flights.

# LaGuardia

# Year 2003:




Figure 21 - Visualisation 9 c - Airport Routes of LaGuardia for year 2003 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# Year 2008:

# LaGuardia Routes (2003-2008)




Figure 22 - Visualisation 9 d - Airport Routes of LaGuardia for year 2008 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

Through the two visualisation we observed that the number of flights have increased every year. The increase in the number of flights every year could be a possible reason for why LaGuardia airport remained as the airport with the maximum percentage of cancelled flights.

# **Chicago O'Hare International Airport:**

# Year 2003:

# Chicago O'Hare International Routes (2003-2008)




Figure 23 - Visualisation 9 e - Airport Routes of Chicago O'Hare International for year 2003 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# Year 2008:

# Chicago O'Hare International Routes (2003-2008)




Figure 24 - Visualisation 9 f - Airport Routes of Chicago O'Hare International for year 2008 [Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

Through the two visualisation we observed that the number of flights have increased every year. The increase in the number of flights every year could be a possible reason for why Chicago O'Hare International airport remained as the airport with the maximum percentage of delayed flights.

# Visualisation 10 - Trend Analysis

A *lines (continuous)* visualisation have been created for the based on the Top Five Airlines and Airports with respect to Cancellation and Delays to analyse the Trends across years.




Figure 25 - Visualisation 10 a - Trend analysis on Cancellation/Delays for Carriers[Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

From the Visualisation 10 a we can observe the trend For Cancellation and Delays for Carriers. We have Identified the Top 5 Carriers from Visualisation 2 a and 2b-Carrier Analysis. We can observe that SouthWest Airlines experienced higher Cancellation percentage and Aloha Airlines had higher delay percentage in 2001 due september 11 terrorist attack. On 26th Nov and 17th December of 2006, there was 3805 and 4140 cancellations respectively due to weather (From Visualisation 5). Frontier, Southwest and ATA Airlines has higher Cancellations percentage on 2006. After 2006, the cancellation percentage of Frontier had gradually decreased.




Figure 26 - Visualisation 10 b - Trend analysis on Cancellation/Delays for Airports[Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

From the Visualisation 10 b we can observe the trend For Cancellation and Delays for Airports. All the five airports (Chicago O'Hare International, Gen Edw L.Logan International, LaGuardia, Newark International and Ronald Reagan Washington National) had higher cancellation Percentage in 2001 due september 11 terrorist attack. In 2002, all the five airports experienced a sudden dip in the cancellation Percentage. In 2007, all the 5 airports had highest delay Percentage and comparatively higher Cancellation percentage. According to USA Today Article published in 2007, the main reasons for the cause of delays are due to shortages of pilot, longer time to refuel and mechanical breakdowns. [4]

# Implementation

The following tools were utilized for data processing, storage and visualizations:




Figure 27 - Implementation Tools[Created by Group 14 for COMP5048]

# **Evaluation and Results**

To achieve the aim of our analysis, we evaluated based on the following tasks and we have summarised the results

Task Name	Visualization Number	Visualisation Name	Results
Factors affecting travel	Visualisation 1 a Visualisation 1 b	Total flights Vs Cancellation for Year 2001  Total flights Vs Cancellation for Year 2008	<ul> <li>Carriers operate less flights on Saturdays</li> <li>Less flights observed on 27th November which is thanksgiving holiday</li> <li>Airlines traffic reduced after 9/11 attack in 2001</li> <li>Airlines traffic reduced after Global Financial Crisis in 2008</li> </ul>
Top 5 Carriers	Visualisation 2 a Visualisation 2 b	Carrier Analysis	Top Carriers:  Ontime -Hawaiian Airlines Delay Percentage:Hawaiian Airlines Cancellation Percentage:Hawaiian

			Airlines  Bottom Carriers  Ontime -Atlantic Southeast Airlines Delay Percentage:Atlantic Southeast Airlines Cancellation Percentage:American Eagle Airlines
Identify Top 5 Operative Airlines	Visualisation 3	Number of flights by carrier per year	<ul> <li>Delta Airlines used to be the most operative airline till 2000.</li> <li>Since 2000 Southwest airline has been most operative Airlines</li> </ul>
Analyse the top 5 Operative Airlines based on Delay and	Visualisation 4	Performance of Top 5 operating airlines	<ul> <li>Southwest operates more flights during the period 2004 -2008</li> <li>Skywest Airlines has upward trend on the number of flights</li> <li>Northwest Airlines had steady decline during the period 2004-2008</li> </ul>
Identify Various reasons for Cancellations	Visualisation 5	Total Cancellations and Reasons during a 5-year period	<ul> <li>Weather is a major reasons for Cancellations from 2004 to 2008</li> <li>More Cancellations on December, January and February due to weather</li> <li>Second highest reason for cancellation is due to Carrier</li> <li>January 2008 has more number of NAS Cancellations</li> <li>Fewer Cancellations due to Security</li> </ul>
Best Month/Days to travel	Visualisation 6 a Visualisation 6 b Visualisation 6 c Visualisation 6 d Visualisation 6 e Visualisation 6 f	Total Cancellations from 1998 to 2008  Total Delays from 1998 to 2008  Total Cancellations from 1999 to 2003  Total Cancellations	<ul> <li>Best Month:         <ul> <li>April,May,October,November</li> </ul> </li> <li>Worst             <ul> <li>Month:January,February,September,December</li> <li>Best Days:Saturday,Sunday</li> <li>Worst Days:Monday,Tuesday</li> </ul> </li> <li>Based on Delays:</li> </ul>

		from 2004 to 2008  Total Delays from 1999 to 2003  Total Delays from 2004 to 2008	<ul> <li>Best         Month:April,May,September,Nove         mber</li> <li>Worst         Month:June,July,August,December</li> <li>Best Days:Tuesday,Saturday</li> <li>Worst Days:Thursday,Friday</li> </ul>
Identify Airport Performance by State	Visualisation 7 a Visualisation 7 b Visualisation 7 c	Airport Performance by State based on On- Time Flights from 2003 to 2008  Airport Performance by State based on Major delay from 2003 to 2008  Airport Performance by State based on Cancellations from 2003 to 2008	Illinois and New york are worst performing states with more percentage of cancelled and less On-time flights  Texas is the state which has the maximum number of flights with major delays  California and Florida have less percentage of Cancelled flights and higher on-Time flights
Identify Top & Worst Airports	Visualisation 8	Top and Worst Airports	<ul> <li>Top Airport based on percentage of cancellation: LaGuardia</li> <li>Top Airport based on percentage of major delays: Chicago O'Hare International</li> <li>Top Airport based on percentage of ontime flights: Salt Lake City International</li> </ul>
Analysis of Airport Routes	Visualisation 9 a Visualisation 9 b Visualisation 9 c Visualisation 9 d Visualisation 9 e Visualisation 9 f	Airport Routes of Salt Lake City International for year 2003 Airport Routes of Salt Lake City International for year 2008 Airport Routes of LaGuardia for year	The increase in the number of routes does not appear to the affect the performance of the top & worst airports. This result was achieved through following observations over a six year period:  • Salt Lake City International, the airport with the maximum percentage of ontime flights remained to be the airport with the maximum percentage of on time flights

		2003  Airport Routes of LaGuardia for year 2008  Airport Routes of Chicago O'Hare International for year 2003  Airport Routes of Chicago O'Hare International for year 2008	<ul> <li>LaGuardia airport the airport with the maximum percentage of cancelled flights remained as the airport with the maximum percentage of cancelled flights.</li> <li>Chicago O'Hare International airport the airport with the maximum percentage of delayed flights remained as the airport with the maximum percentage of delayed flights.</li> </ul>
Trend Analysis of Carriers and Delays based on Cancellation/Delay	Visualisation 10 a Visualisation 10 b	Trend analysis on Cancellation/Delay s for Carriers Trend analysis on Cancellation/Delay s for Airports	Due to terrorist attack(2001     september 11)SouthWest Airlines     experienced higher Cancellation     percentage and Aloha Airlines had     higher delay percentage     Frontier,Southwest and ATA Airlines     has higher Cancellations percentage     on 2006.After 2006,the cancellation     percentage of Frontier had gradually     decreased.  Airports
			<ul> <li>Chicago O'Hare International, Gen Edw L.Logan         International, LaGuardia, Newark         International and Ronald Reagan         Washington National had higher cancellation percentage in 2001 due terrorist attack         </li> <li>In 2002, all the five airports experienced a sudden dip in the cancellation Percentage.</li> <li>Main reasons for the cause of delays are due to shortages of pilot, longer time to refuel and mechanical breakdowns.</li> </ul>

# Discussion

# Strengths

- We tried to maintain the color uniformity in the visualisations we prepared. For instance, we choose green color for the on time flights, orange color for the delays, and red color for the cancellations.
- We tried to provide a detailed analysis and also, the patterns we identified from each of the visualisation side by side.
- The heat map visualizations we prepared displays huge data in a compact manner.
- Initially, we prepared a bubble chart with a large number of dataset, but its drawback was that it seemed to be dense and cluttered and so, we were not able to see the names of some of the airport. So to avoid this, we displayed only the top and the worst five airports in the bubble chart which turned out to be a good visualization.
- One of our task was to display the performance of only the top five operating airlines and so
  we choose the pie chart representation for this task as it is suitable to display less amount of
  data in a pie chart.
- We chose Teradata for Data loading and processing as it supports huge volume of data seamlessly and supports database capacity expansion if we need to scale up to cover more global flight data in the future.

### Weakness

- In the heat map visualizations we created, it is difficult to observe the changes in the color of the cells immediately.
- There can be some level of difficulty in reading the code of the state names in the geo maps we created.
- Visualization 1 Our analysis identified only the usual pattern that occurred across the ten
  year period such as less flights on saturdays and thanksgiving and the effect of 9/11 incident
  and Global Financial Crisis. In addition to this, there were unusual cancellations and drop in
  airlines traffic. Relating these unusual patterns to the root cause would provide more
  insights.

# Conclusion

We used rigorous data analysis and visualization techniques to not just learn various methodologies, but also we tried to deduce reasonable and useful insights which are relevant to the actual problems associated with flight industry and the passengers.

Some key questions such as best time (Day or month) to travel, best route for some specific source and destinations, carriers and the airports which are top performers or bad performers, were tried to be solved which would actually help real business case rather than just a proof of concept. We also tried to build a grid based data storage option which can hold years of data and can even scale up to cover more global flight data and not just limited to US airline industry.

In a nutshell, it was a very good learning experience where we learnt technical method of data visualization, importance of iterative development, collaboration, task prioritization, presentation approach to tell complex designs into simple user friendly stories.

This will indeed help us to be a better analyst in future with focus to not just problem solution but to an overall in fold approach which will actually help to do things which actually matter

# References

[1]"How to Compare US Airlines (Southwest, Delta, American, Jet Blue, United, and Virgin) | Me Want Travel", *Me Want Travel*, 2016. [Online]. Available: <a href="http://mewanttravel.com/compare-us-airlines/">http://mewanttravel.com/compare-us-airlines/</a>

[2]"Southwest Airlines: Delta's Worst Enemy? (LUV, DAL)", *Investopedia*, 2015. [Online]. Available: http://www.investopedia.com/articles/markets/080515/southwest-airlines-deltas-worst-enemy.asp.

[3]J. Bailey, "American Airlines Cancels 922 More Flights", *Nytimes.com*, 2008. [Online]. Available: <a href="http://www.nytimes.com/2008/04/10/business/11aircnd.html">http://www.nytimes.com/2008/04/10/business/11aircnd.html</a>.

[4]"Airline glitches top cause of delays - USATODAY.com", Usatoday30.usatoday.com, 2007. [Online]. Available: https://usatoday30.usatoday.com/travel/flights/2007-12-20-flight-delays\_N.htm

[5]M. Hall, "A static, reusable donut chart for D3.js v4.", *Bl.ocks.org*, 2017. [Online]. Available: <a href="https://bl.ocks.org/mbhall88/b2504f8f3e384de4ff2b9dfa60f325e2">https://bl.ocks.org/mbhall88/b2504f8f3e384de4ff2b9dfa60f325e2</a>.

[6]"Visualization: Pie Chart | Charts | Google Developers", Google Developers. [Online]. Available: <a href="https://developers.google.com/chart/interactive/docs/gallery/piechart">https://developers.google.com/chart/interactive/docs/gallery/piechart</a>

# Appendix 1 - Meeting Minutes

Meeting Number	1 - Kick off		
Date of Meeting:	14/Sep/17	Minutes Prepared By:	Ruchita
1. Meeting Obje	ctive and Outcome		

The project was kicked off with team introduction. Group Leader and Vice Group Leader were allocated.

At the end of the meeting each member was asked to go through all the data sets provided and come up with views on each to determine the best data set for our project.

Next meeting was scheduled - 18/Sep/17

# 2. Attendance at Meeting

Ruchita Manuja, Supraja Sridharan, Anirudh Sharma, Sundaram Thangaraj, Abhijeet Date

# 3. Role Allocations for Project

Ruchita Manuja	Group Leader	
Supraja Sridharan	Vice Group Leader	
Anirudh Sharma	Group Member	
Sundaram Thangaraj	Group Member	
Abhijeet Date	Group Member	

Meeting Number	2 - Dataset Selection		
Date of Meeting:	18/Sep/17 Minutes Prepared By: Supraja		
1. Meeting Objective and Outcome			

There was an online meeting initiated by our Group Leader. Every group member was asked to explain their preferred dataset along with their brief understanding. We have narrowed down our data selection to two- Flights data set and Mini Challenge Data Set. At the end, all the group members chose Flights data set unanimously.

Google Drive was created to collaborate and work together.

All the members were asked to go through the airport data in depth and come up with a set of aims before the next meeting.

Initial report tasks were also divided as per the role allocations

Next meeting was scheduled - 23/Sep/17 (Location: School of IT)

2.	Atter	ndance	at I	Meeting

Ruchita Manuja, Supraja Sridharan, Anirudh Sharma, Sundaram Thangaraj, Abhijeet Date

# Ruchita Manuja Introduction, Related Work, Visualization Explanation, Planning Supraja Sridharan Analysis, Related Work, Visualization Samples Anirudh Sharma Data Set, Related Work, Visualization Explanation Sundaram Thangaraj Visualization Samples, Evaluation Abhijeet Date Design & Approaches, Evaluation

Meeting Number	3 - Work Collaboration and working on Initial Report		
Date of Meeting:	23/Sep/17	Minutes Prepared By:	Ruchita

### 1. Meeting Objective and Outcome

Face to face meeting was conducted in University. Each team member discussed their approaches and then collaborated the work done so far for the Initial Report. At the end of the meeting the following work was completed:

- Introduction
- Data Set
- Visualization Samples
- Related Work Winner 1
- Implementation

The following work was pending at the end of the meeting

- Related Work Winner 2
- Design & Approaches
- Explanation of the Visualization Samples
- Analysis
- Evaluation
- Detailed Planning of the tasks

## 2. Attendance at Meeting

Ruchita Manuja, Supraja Sridharan, Anirudh Sharma, Sundaram Thangaraj, Abhijeet Date

## 3. Role Allocations at the end of the Meeting

Ruchita Manuja	Future Task Planning	
Supraja Sridharan	Analysis	
Anirudh Sharma	Explanation of the Visualization Graphs	
Sundaram Thangaraj	Evaluation	
Abhijeet Date	Design & Approaches	

Meeting Number	4 - Finishing off Initial Report		
Date of Meeting:	27/Sep/17	Minutes Prepared By:	Ruchita

1. Meeting Objective and Outcome				
A group call was conducte	d and all tasks were reviewed as a group.			
Initial Report was finalized	for submission			
Tasks for next week were	assigned			
2. Attendance at Meeting				
Ruchita Manuja, Supraja S	Sridharan, Anirudh Sharma, Sundaram Thanga	raj, Abhijeet Date		
3. Role Allocations at the	end of the Meeting			
Ruchita Manuja	Data Processing			
Supraja Sridharan	Data Preparation using DOS commands			
Anirudh Sharma	Data Preparation using DOS commands			
Sundaram Thangaraj	Data Processing			
Abhijeet Date	Setup Teradata environment			
Mooting Number	E. Concolidating data outracts and proparat	ion for Procentation		

Meeting Number	5 - Consolidating data extracts and preparation for Presentation		
Date of Meeting:	06/Oct/17	Minutes Prepared By:	Ruchita
1. Meeting Objective and Outcome			

A face to face meeting w drive for the team to star	vas conducted. Processed data was consolic t creating visualizations.	lated and added on the shared
Presentation tasks were	divided	
2. Attendance at Meeting		
Ruchita Manuja, Supra	ja Sridharan, Anirudh Sharma, Sundaram '	Гhangaraj, Abhijeet Date
3. Role Allocations at the e	end of the Meeting	
Ruchita Manuja	Presentation Slides, Routes Visualization & Analysis	
Supraja Sridharan	Presentation Slides, Airlines Visualization & Analysis	
Anirudh Sharma	Presentation Slides, Day Visualization & Analysis	
Sundaram Thangaraj	Presentation Slides, 5 year Visualization & Analysis	
Abhijeet Date	Presentation Slides, Total flights/delayr Visualization & Analysis	

Meeting Number	6 - Finalize Presentation		
Date of Meeting:	11/Oct/17	Minutes Prepared By:	Ruchita
1. Meeting Objective and Outcome			

A hangouts call was conducted with the team to finalise the presentation slides. More task ideas were created and allocated.

A google spreadsheet was created for task anocation					
2. Attendance at Meeting	2. Attendance at Meeting				
Ruchita Manuja , Supra	aja Sridharan, Aniru	dh Sharma, Sundaram T	hangaraj, Abhijeet Date		
3. Role Allocations at the	end of the Meeting				
Ruchita Manuja	Number of Flights for each carrier visualization				
Supraja Sridharan	Total Flights on c	laily basis			
Anirudh Sharma	Airport Performance Visualization				
Sundaram Thangaraj	Dataset Creation				
Abhijeet Date	Trend Analysis for Carriers, Airports and Airlines				
Meeting Number	7 - Presentation Pra	ctice			
Date of Meeting:	17/Oct/17	Minutes Prepared By:	Ruchita		
1. Meeting Objective and	Outcome				

The team met in SIT and practiced for Presentation. Future task allocations remained as per previous meeting

2. Attenuance at Meeting				
Ruchita Manuja , Supra	aja Sridharan, Aniru	idh Sharma, Sundaram T	hangaraj, Abhijeet Date	
3. Role Allocations at the	end of the Meeting			
Ruchita Manuja	Number of Flights for each carrier visualization			
Supraja Sridharan	Total Flights on o	daily basis		
Anirudh Sharma	Airport Performa	nce Visualization		
Sundaram Thangaraj	Dataset Creation			
Abhijeet Date	Trend Analysis for Carriers, Airports and Airlines			
Meeting Number	8 - Preparation of Visualization for Final Report			
Date of Meeting:	25/Oct/17	Minutes Prepared By:	Supraja	
1. Meeting Objective and Outcome				
All the members met in SIT and had a discussion about the outstanding tasks of each member. We decided to finish all the allocated tasks before 31st of October				
2. Attendance at Meeting				

Ruchita Manuja, Supraja Sridharan, Anirudh Sharma, Sundaram Thangaraj, Abhijeet Date			
3. Role Allocations at the	end of the Meeting		
Ruchita Manuja	Number of Flights for each carrier visualization		
Supraja Sridharan	Total Flights on daily basis		
Anirudh Sharma	Airport Performance Visualization		
Sundaram Thangaraj	Dataset Creation		
Abhijeet Date	Trend Analysis for Carriers, Airports and Airlines		
Meeting Number	9 -Revision of Final Report		

Meeting Number	9 -Revision of Final Report		
Date of Meeting:	1/Nov/17	Minutes Prepared By:	Ruchita

# 1. Meeting Objective and Outcome

All the members met in SIT and review all the entire report together. We consolidated all the codes and videos of the team members and prepared a zip file.

## 2. Attendance at Meeting

Ruchita Manuja, Supraja Sridharan, Anirudh Sharma, Sundaram Thangaraj, Abhijeet Date

# Appendix 2 - Code & Videos

Following TeraData SQL queries were used for processing the data:

```
Query to extract airlines route details
SELEC
        1998, origin,
                                           as TotalFlights, SUM(CASE
                                count(*
                                           WHEN
        airport,
cancelled='0
                then 0
                                    CancelledFlights,
                            end)
                else
SUM (CASE
                cancelled
                           '0' and (
                                                      or depdelay >
WHEN
                            arrdelay
                                                       15) then 1
else
               as DelayedFlights
        end)
```

```
SUM (CASE cancelled 10 and (
                                            <= <u>15</u>
                                                      depdelay
WHEN
                         arrdelay
                                             and
                                                      <= 15)
             <u>)</u>
                   as OnTimeFlights
from ipstaging.YEAR 1998 Fmt
inner join
tduser.airport
on a.origin=b.iata
group by 1,2,3;
SELEC
       1999, origin, count(* )
                                       as TotalFlights, SUM(CASE
       airport,
                                       WHEN
cancelled='0 then 0
              else
                       end)
                                CancelledFlights,
                                     \frac{>}{15} or depdelay > \frac{15}{15}) then 1
SUM (CASE
            cancelled '0' and (
WHEN
                         arrdelay
            as DelayedFlights
else
      end)
              cancelled = '0' and (
arrdelay
                                            <= 15
SUM (CASE
                                                     depdelay
WHEN
                                                      <= 15)
                                             and
then
                   as OnTimeFlights
from ipstaging.YEAR 1999 Fmt
a
inner join
tduser.airport
                         b
on a.origin=b.iata
```

```
group by 1,2,3;
SELEC
                           2000, origin,
                                                                                                                   count(* as TotalFlights, SUM(CASE
                                                                                                                                                           WHEN
                           airport,
                                                        then 0 1 end)
cancelled='0 then 0
                                                                                                                             CancelledFlights,
                                                  cancelled 101 and (
                                                                                                                                                                               \frac{>}{15} or depdelay > \frac{}{15} then \frac{1}{}
SUM (CASE
WHEN
                                                                                                   arrdelay
else
                                                 as DelayedFlights
                           end)
                                                  <= 15
SUM (CASE
                                                                                                                                                                                                                depdelay
WHEN
                                                                                                                                                                               and
                                                                                                                                                                                                                   <= 15)
                           <u>else</u>
                                                        end
                                                        as OnTimeFlights
from
tduser.YEAR 2000 Fmt
                                                                                                  a
inner join
tduser.airport
                                                                               <u>b</u>
on a.origin=b.iata
group by 1,2,3;
SELEC 2001, origin, count(* as TotalFlights, SUM(CASE when the sum of the sum
                          airport,
                                                                                                                                                           WHEN
cancelled='0 then 0
                                                                                                                                 as
                                                                                                  else
                                                                                                                                                                               <u>></u>
15
SUM (CASE
                                  cancelled '0' and (
                                                                                                                                                                                                    or depdelay >
                                                                                                                                                                                                    15) then 1
WHEN
                                                                                                   arrdelay
```

```
as DelayedFlights
else
     end)
SUM (CASE
         cancelled '0' and (
                                 <= 15 depdelay
WHEN
                                           <= 15)
                    arrdelay
                                    and
     then
from
tduser.YEAR 2001 Fmt
                  <u>a</u>
inner join
tduser.airport
                    b
on a.origin=b.iata
group by 1,2,3;
    2002, origin, airport, count(* as TotalFlights, SUM(CASE WHEN
     airport,
                               WHEN
CancelledFlights,
SUM (CASE
        WHEN
<u>else</u>
          as DelayedFlights
     end) ,
SUM (CASE cancelled '0' and (
                                  <= 15 depdelay
WHEN
                    arrdelay
                                    and
                                           <= 15)
     else
           end
) as OnTimeFlights
then
from
tduser.YEAR 2002 Fmt
                  <u>a</u>
inner join
tduser.airport
                    b
```

```
on a.origin=b.iata
group by 1,2,3;
SELEC<br/>T2003, origin,<br/>airport,count(*)<br/>)as TotalFlights, SUM(CASE)<br/>WHEN
cancelled='0 then 0
                        end)
                              CancelledFlights,
              else
SUM (CASE cancelled '0' and (

> or depdelay >
15 then 1
WHEN
                        arrdelay
      else
SUM (CASE cancelled or or and (arrdelay
                                         <= 15
                                                   depdelay
                                          and
                                                    <= 15)
             as OnTimeFlights
from
tduser.YEAR 2003 Fmt
                        a
inner join
tduser.airport
                       b
on a.origin=b.iata
group by 1,2,3;
SELEC 2004, origin, count(* as TotalFlights, SUM(CASE
                                      WHEN
      airport,
             then 0 1 end)
cancelled='0 then 0
                              CancelledFlights,
SUM (CASE
                                          > or uer 1
15) then 1
             cancelled '0' and (
                                              or depdelay >
WHEN
                        arrdelay
```

```
as DelayedFlights
else
        end)

        SUM (CASE
        cancelled
        '0' and (
        <= 15</th>
        depdelay

        WHEN
        =
        arrdelay
        and
        <= 15)</td>

       from
tduser.YEAR 2004 Fmt a
inner join
tduser.airport
                           b
on a.origin=b.iata
group by 1,2,3;
SELEC<br/>T2005, origin,<br/>airport,count(*<br/>)as TotalFlights, SUM(CASE<br/>WHEN

        SUM (CASE
        cancelled
        '0' and (
        > or depdelay >

        WHEN
        = arrdelay
        15
        15) then 1

              as DelayedFlights
        <u>end)</u> <u>,</u>
<= 15)
                            arrdelay
                                                  and
then
       from
tduser.YEAR 2005 Fmt a
```

```
inner join
tduser.airport
                          b
on a.origin=b.iata
group by 1,2,3;
       2006, origin, count(* as TotalFlights, SUM(CASE airport, WHEN
SELEC
               then 0 1 end)
cancelled='0
                                 CancelledFlights,
              cancelle '0' and (
SUM (CASE
                                                    or depdelay >
                                                   15) then 1
                         arrdelay
WHEN
               d =
else
              as DelayedFlights
       end)
                          '<mark>0' and (</mark>
SUM (CASE
               cancelle
                                               <= 15
                                                         depdelay <=</pre>
WHEN
               d =
                          arrdelay
                                               and
                                                         15)
                   as OnTimeFlights
from
tduser.YEAR 2006 Fmt
                          a
inner join
tduser.airport
                          b
on a.origin=b.iata
group by 1,2,3;
       2007, origin,
SELEC
                              count(*
                                         as TotalFlights, SUM(CASE
       airport,
                                         WHEN
cancelled='0
               then 0
                                  CancelledFlights,
               else
                          end)
```

```
cancelle 101 and (
                                         \frac{>}{15} or depdelay > \frac{1}{15} then 1
SUM (CASE
WHEN
               <u>d</u> =
                          arrdelay
else
              as DelayedFlights
       end)
               cancelle '0' and (
                                               <= 15
                                                          depdelay <=
SUM (CASE
WHEN
               d =
                                               and
                                                          15)
                          arrdelay
       <u>else</u>
               end
               )
                    as OnTimeFlights
from
ipstaging.YEAR 2007 Fmt a
inner join
tduser.airport
                          b
on a.origin=b.iata
group by 1,2,3;
       2008, origin,
                               count(*
                                          as TotalFlights, SUM(CASE
SELEC
                                          WHEN
       airport,
               then 0
cancelled='0
                                   as
                                  CancelledFlights,
               else
                          end)
                                               \frac{>}{15} or depdelay > 15) then 1
SUM (CASE
               cancelle
                          '0' and (
WHEN
               d =
                           arrdelay
else
              as DelayedFlights
       end)
               cancelle '0' and (
SUM (CASE
                                               <= 15
                                                          depdelay <=
WHEN
               d =
                           arrdelay
                                                          15)
                                               and
       else
then
               end
                    as OnTimeFlights
               )
from
tduser.YEAR 2008 Fmt
                           a
```

```
inner join
tduser.airport

on a.origin=b.iata

group by 1,2,3;
```

```
Query to extract carrier data
SELECT uniquecarrier,
                                           as TotalFlights,
description,
                                 count (*) SUM (CASE WHEN
               then 0
               else end) as CancelledFlights,
cancelled='0'
                                                 or depdelay
              cancelled '0' and (
                                           > > 15) then 15 1
SUM (CASE WHEN =
                         arrdelay
              as DelayedFlights
else 0 end)
              cancelled '0' and (
- arrdelay
                                         <= 15 depdelay

and <= 15)
SUM (CASE WHEN =
       else
then 1 0 end) as OnTimeFlights
from ipstaging.YEAR 1999 Fmt a
left join
tduser.carrier b
on a.uniquecarrier = b.carriercode
group by 1,2
```

```
Teradata load script
.SESSIONS 5;
.LOGON 192.168.28.129/dbc,dbc;
DATABASE IPSTAGING;
BEGIN LOADING year_1998
ERRORFILES year_ERR1, year_ERR2;
SET RECORD VARTEXT ",";
DEFINE
Year1 (VARCHAR(255)),
Month1 (VARCHAR(255)),
DayofMonth (VARCHAR(255)),
DayOfWeek (VARCHAR(255)),
DepTime (VARCHAR(255)),
CRSDepTime (VARCHAR(255)),
ArrTime (VARCHAR(255)),
CRSArrTime (VARCHAR(255)),
UniqueCarrier (VARCHAR(255)),
FlightNum (VARCHAR(255)),
TailNum (VARCHAR(255)),
ActualElapsedTime (VARCHAR(255)),
```

```
CRSElapsedTime (VARCHAR(255)),
AirTime (VARCHAR(255)),
ArrDelay (VARCHAR(255)),
DepDelay (VARCHAR(255)),
Origin (VARCHAR(255)),
Dest (VARCHAR(255)),
Distance (VARCHAR(255)),
Taxiln (VARCHAR(255)),
TaxiOut (VARCHAR(255)),
Cancelled (VARCHAR(255)),
CancellationCode (VARCHAR(255)),
Diverted (VARCHAR(255)),
CarrierDelay (VARCHAR(255)),
WeatherDelay (VARCHAR(255)),
NASDelay (VARCHAR(255)),
SecurityDelay (VARCHAR(255)),
LateAircraftDelay (VARCHAR(255))
FILE=1998.csv;
INSERT INTO IPSTAGING.year_1998
               (Year1, Month1, DayofMonth, DayOfWeek, DepTime,
                             CRSDepTime, ArrTime, CRSArrTime,
                             UniqueCarrier, FlightNum, TailNum,
                             ActualElapsedTime, CRSElapsedTime, AirTime,
                             ArrDelay, DepDelay, Origin, Dest, Distance, TaxiIn,
                             TaxiOut, Cancelled, CancellationCode, Diverted,
                             CarrierDelay, WeatherDelay, NASDelay,
                             SecurityDelay, LateAircraftDelay)
```

```
VALUES

(:Year1,:Month1,:DayofMonth,:DayOfWeek,:DepTime,:CRSDepTime,:ArrTime,

:CRSArrTime,:UniqueCarrier,:FlightNum,:TailNum,:ActualElapsedTime,

:CRSElapsedTime,:AirTime,:ArrDelay,:DepDelay,:Origin,:Dest,:Distance,

:Taxiln,:TaxiOut,:Cancelled,:CancellationCode,:Diverted,:CarrierDelay,

:WeatherDelay,:NASDelay,:SecurityDelay,:LateAircraftDelay

);

END LOADING;

LOGOFF;
```

```
Routes data Extract
insert into ipstaging.routes ranking
select 2002, origin, origin airport, origin city,
origin state, origin country, origin lat,
origin longt,
dest, dest airport, dest city, dest state,
dest_country, dest_lat, dest_longt,
sum(case when status='cancelled' then 1 else 0 end) as
Cancelled, sum (case when status='On Time departure'
then 1 else 0 end) as ontimedeparture,
sum(case when status='Small departure delay' then 1
else 0 end) as smalldeparturedelay
from
(
select
           a.origin, b.airport as origin airport , b.city as
origin city,
b.state as origin state, b.country as origin country, b.lat as
origin lat, b.longt as origin longt,
a.dest, c.airport as dest_airport, c.city as dest_city, c.state as
dest_state, c.country as dest_country, c.lat as dest_lat, c.longt as
dest longt, case when cancelled = '1' then 'cancelled'
when cancelled = '0' and (arrdelay <=15 and</pre>
     depdelay <= 15 )</pre>
                                                               then
Time departure
```

```
cancelled = '0' and (depdelay >15
       when
                                                       or depdelay >
       15)
'Small departure delay'
else 'no staus'
                from tduser.year 2002 fmt
end as status
 'On
then
inner join tduser.airport b
on a.origin=b.iata
inner join tduser.airport c
on a.dest=c.iata
) a
group by 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15;
insert into ipstaging.routes ranking 1
select year1, origin, origin airport, origin city,
origin_state, origin_country, origin lat, origin longt,
dest, dest airport, dest city, dest state,
dest country, dest lat, dest longt,
Cancelled,
ontimedeparture,
smalldeparturedelay,
cancelled+ontimedeparture+smalldeparturedelay as totalflights,
row_number()over( partition by year1 order by totalflights desc )
as ranking from ipstaging.routes ranking;
insert into ipstaging.routes ranking 2
                                as step , 'Destination' , dest,
SELECT
          year1, ranking , 4
origin_airport,
origin|| ' '||dest as pathid , origin lat, origin longt,
     cancelled,
                      smalldeparturedelay
ontimedeparture,
from ipstaging.routes ranking 1
union all
SELECT year1, ranking , 1 as step , 'Origin' , origin,
origin airport, origin|| ' '||origin as pathid , origin lat,
origin longt, 0 cancelled, 0 ontimedeparture, 0
smalldeparturedelay from ipstaging.routes ranking 1
union all
SELECT year1 , ranking, 2 as step, 'Destination' , origin,
origin airport, origin | ' '||origin as pathid , origin lat,
origin_longt, 0 cancelled, 0 ontimedeparture, 0
smalldeparturedelay from ipstaging.routes ranking 1
```

```
union all
SELECT year1, ranking , 3 as step , 'Origin' , origin,
origin_airport, origin|| '_'||dest as pathid , origin_lat,
origin_longt, 0 cancelled, 0 ontimedeparture, 0
smalldeparturedelay from ipstaging.routes_ranking_1
```

Following code files have been submitted to supplement this report:

### 1. Number of flights per Carrier ( D3 Donut Chart ):

This folder contains the following files:

- CarrierDetails.html
- index.js
- index.css
- 10 csv files for Carrier data from 1998 -2008

#### 2. Performance of top 5 Airlines (Google Chart ):

- Airline Performance.html
- airlines.css

In addition to the above codes files a few video files have also been submitted as below:

- Flights Visualisation.wmv / Flights Visualisation.mpg
- Airline Routes\_Chicago O'Hare International Routes (2003-2008),mp4
- Airline Routes\_LaGuardia Routes (2003-2008).mp4
- Airline Routes\_Salt Lake City International Routes (2003-2008).mp4
- Airport Performance by State based on Cancellation Count (2003-2008).mp4
- Airport Performance by State based on Count of Major Delay (2003-2008).mp4
- Airport Performance by State based on Count of on-time flights (2003-2008).mp4