

# Object-Oriented Programming

CSCI-UA 0470-001

Class 18

Instructor: Randy Shepherd

# Translating Constructors

# Constructors in the Target Language

- Translate constructor logic into a regular method with some standardized name (e.g., `_init`).
- Moreover, introduce `_init` methods for each class corresponding to each constructor.
- Inside of each `_init` method, add an explicit call to the default `_init` method of the super class, before the actual body of `_init` is executed

# Constructors in the Target Language

```
1  struct __A {
2      __A_VT* __vptr;
3      std::string a;
4
5      __A();
6
7      // impl should appear in cpp
8      static void __init(A o) {
9          o->a = "a";
10     }
11
12     static String toString(A);
13     static Class __class();
14     static __A_VT __vtable;
15 };
```

```
1  struct __B {
2      __B_VT* __vptr;
3      std::string a;
4
5      __B();
6
7      static void __init(B o) {
8          __A::__init((A) o);
9          o->a = "b";
10     }
11
12     static String toString(B);
13     static Class __class();
14     static __B_VT __vtable;
15 };
```

# Constructors in the Target Language

- Every call to 'super' in a constructor is just replaced by a call to the corresponding \_init method of the super class.
- Also, for 'new' statements, add an explicit call to \_init after the allocation of the data layout for the new instance.

# Overloading in C++

# Function Overloading

- Like Java, C++ allows you to specify more than one definition for a function name in the same scope
- Functions may or may not be part of a class, so-called 'free functions' can be overloaded in the same manner as methods.

# Function Overloading

- Like Java, functions must differ from each other by the types and arity of parameters.
- The compiler selects the implementation to use by comparing the argument types at the call site with the parameter types in the definitions.

```
1  int overloaded() { /* ... */ }
2
3  int overloaded(int a) { /* ... */ }
4
5  int overloaded(double a) { /* ... */ }
6
7  int overloaded(int a, double b) { /* ... */ }
```



# Function Overloading

- Like Java, you can not overload function declarations that differ only by return type.

```
1  class Bar {  
2      Bar() { }  
3  
4      // does not compile  
5  
6      int bar() {  
7          return 1;  
8      }  
9  
10     float bar() {  
11         return 1.0;  
12     }  
13 };
```

# Differences to Java

- So pretty similar to Java, except..
- Methods with the same name and the same parameter types cannot be overloaded if any of them is a static function.

```
1  class Foo {  
2      Foo() { }  
3  
4      // does not compile  
5  
6      void foo() {  
7          cout << "foo" << endl;  
8      }  
9  
10     static void foo() {  
11         cout << "static foo" << endl;  
12     }  
13 };
```

# Example code

- Code demonstrating method overloading in C++ can be found in the following repository

<https://github.com/nyu-oop/overloading-cpp>

# Operator Overloading

- operator overloading, like method overloading is a specific case of polymorphism, where different operators have different implementations depending on their arguments.
  - Ex. “foo” + “bar” *vs* 1 + 2
- In the simplest terms, operators are functions with special, symbolic function names.
  - Ex.  $a + b * c$  *vs* `add(a, multiply(b,c))`

# Operator Overloading

- You can overload most of the built-in *operators* available in C++.
- Some exceptions, ex. “::” and “?:”
- Thus you can use operators with user-defined types.
- Java does not support user operator overloading.

# Example

- Lets suppose we are building graphics library.
- We have a number shape primitives and we'd like to be able to easily add two of them together to form a third that has the volume of the two combined.
- We define some type Box
- Wouldn't it be nice if we could write...

```
Box b1(1, 1, 1);  
Box b2(1, 1, 1);  
Box b3 = b1 + b2;
```

# Example

- Note the method on line 14.
- Overloaded operators are functions with special names i.e. the keyword *operator* followed by the symbol for the operator being defined.
- Now we can do this..

```
Box b1(1, 1, 1);  
Box b2(1, 1, 1);  
Box b3 = b1 + b2;
```

```
1  class Box {  
2  private:  
3      double height;  
4      double length;  
5      double breadth;  
6  
7  public:  
8      Box(double height, double length, double breadth) :  
9          height(height), length(length), breadth(breadth) { }  
10  
11     // accessors....  
12  
13     // Overload + operator to add two Box objects.  
14     Box operator+(const Box& b) {  
15         double height = this->height + b.getHeight();  
16         double length = this->length + b.getLength();  
17         double breadth = this->breadth + b.getBreadth();  
18  
19         return Box(height, length, breadth);  
20     }  
21 };
```

# Advantages

- Add the end of the day, operator overloading is *syntactic sugar*.
- It is used because it allows user-defined types to have a similar level of syntactic support as types built into the language.
- When used judiciously, it can lead to simpler, more expressive code.



# Disadvantages

- Can be confusing if an operator is overloaded for multiple classes.
  - Same operator symbol would represent different things in different contexts.
  - This can lead to hard-to-read code.
- Operators could be overloaded to do something unintuitive (switching + and -)
  - What if the Box + actually subtracted the two? Seem crazy.. yet these things do happen.

# 3 Basic Rules of Operator Overloading

- *Whenever the meaning of an operator is not obviously clear and undisputed, it should not be overloaded.*
- Basically, the first and foremost rule for overloading operators, at its very heart, says: Don't do it.
- Symbolic operators contain less information than a method name, except in very obvious cases (like addition)
- Obvious cases are rare.

# 3 Basic Rules of Operator Overloading

- *Always stick to the operator's well-known semantics.*
- The compiler will happily accept code that implements the '+' operator to subtract.
- However, the users of such an operator would never suspect the expression  $a + b$  to subtract  $a$  from  $b$ .

# 3 Basic Rules of Operator Overloading

- *Always provide a set of related operations.*
- Operators are related to each other and to other operations. If your type supports  $a + b$ , generally speaking, it should support  $a - b$  as well.

# Example code

- Code demonstrating method overloading in C++ can be found in the following repository

<https://github.com/nyu-oop-fall16/overloading-cpp>

# Operator Overloading in Translator

- So far in our translator, we need to check to see if the index is within the bounds of the array. Then we can access a specific element in the array

```
__rt::checkIndex(a, 2);  
std::cout << "a[2]  : " << a->__data[2] << std::endl;
```

# Array Dereference Operator

- What if we can check if an index is within the bounds of the array while accessing the element in the array. Just like in Java.
- We can overload the array subscript operator to provide this additional functionality.

```
T& operator[](int32_t index) {  
    if (0 > index || index >= length)  
        throw ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException();  
    return __data[index];  
}
```

# Array Dereference Operator

- Now we can access an element in an array by using the syntax.

```
(*a)[2];
```

- Note that the `[]` operator must return a reference to an array element to support modification of the array
- Also note that the `[]` operator always takes an index, so it can check whether the index is in bounds



# Array Dereference Operator

- By convention, when you define the [] operator, you also need to define a const [] operator.
- The const [] operator does not allow modification of a const array.
- If the array is const it will return a const element.

```
const T& operator[](int32_t index) const {  
    if (0 > index || index >= length)  
        throw ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException();  
    return __data[index];  
}
```

# Left Shift Operator

- Every time we want to print a string, we have to use the code:

```
cout << k->__vptr->getName(k)->data // k.getName()
```

- Wouldn't it be nice if we didn't have to use '->data' every time we were printing a string object?
- We can do that by overloading the << operator (left shift operator)
- But wait a minute.. << is part of the standard library!  
Actually that not a problem.

# Left Shift Operator

- We can overload the left-shift operator like so..

```
std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, String s){  
    out << s->data;  
    return out;  
}
```

- This overloads the left-shift operator *for the ostream* when our String object is passed in.
- Returning *out* enables chaining. If we had void return type, then chaining would be impossible.

```
String s1("1");  
String s2("2");  
cout << s1 << s2 << endl;
```

# Implementations

- Overloading the [] operator is an example of an operator that is a method of a class (our array template)
- Overloading the << operator is an example of overloading an operator that is not a member of a class.
- The target library is updated with these operators overloads in the java\_lang\_4 repo.
- You can feel free to add more if the need arises.

# Wrapper Class for Pointers

- To illustrate the power of operator overloading we implement a wrapper class for the built-in pointers of C++.
- For now, the wrapper class provides the same functionality as a regular pointer.
- However, later on we will extend it with functionality for automatic memory management (smart pointers).

# Wrapper Class for Pointers

- We made a new template class: `Ptr<T>` where `T` is some type to which we point.
- Ex. `Ptr<int>` syntax is more intuitive than `int*`
- A `Ptr` instance contains an address of a `T`

# Wrapper Class for Pointers

- We have a new template class `Ptr<T>` where `T` is some type to which we point.
- `Ptr<int>` syntax is more intuitive than `int*`
- (trace is a function that prints the string and line number to std out)

```
1  template<typename T>
2      class Ptr {
3          T* addr;
4
5      public:
6          Ptr(T* addr) : addr(addr) {
7              trace("constructor");
8          }
9
10         Ptr(const Ptr& other) : addr(other.addr) {
11             trace("copy constructor");
12         }
13
14         ~Ptr() {
15             trace("destructor");
16         }
17
18         // ...
```

# Wrapper Class for Pointers

- A Ptr instance contains an address of a T
  - i.e. the pointer we are wrapping
- Constructor on line 6 takes pointer as argument
- Also a 'copy constructor' on line 10 to make a copy of another instance

```
1  template<typename T>
2      class Ptr {
3          T* addr;
4
5      public:
6          Ptr(T* addr) : addr(addr) {
7              trace("constructor");
8          }
9
10         Ptr(const Ptr& other) : addr(other.addr) {
11             trace("copy constructor");
12         }
13
14         ~Ptr() {
15             trace("destructor");
16         }
17
18         // ...
```



# Wrapper Class for Pointers

- Note line 14
- This `~ClassName` syntax indicates that this is a destructor
- Intuitively you can think of it as the opposite of a constructor

```
1  template<typename T>
2      class Ptr {
3          T* addr;
4
5      public:
6          Ptr(T* addr) : addr(addr) {
7              trace("constructor");
8          }
9
10         Ptr(const Ptr& other) : addr(other.addr) {
11             trace("copy constructor");
12         }
13
14         ~Ptr() {
15             trace("destructor");
16         }
17
18         // ...
```

# Destructors

- A destructor is a method which is automatically invoked when the object is destroyed. i.e. when the memory is to be freed.
- It can be called when its lifetime is bound to scope and the execution leaves the scope or when it was allocated dynamically and is released explicitly.
- Its main purpose is to free the resources (memory allocations, open files etc.) which were acquired by the object along its life cycle

# Destructors

- If the instance is allocated on the stack, the destructor is called automatically when the instance goes out of scope.
- If the instance is allocated on the heap, the destructor is called when the instance is explicitly deallocated with a delete statement.
- The destructor implicitly calls the destructor of the super class (assuming there is one) as well as the destructors of all members which have them.
- If you do not provide a destructor, the compiler provides a default destructor, which does nothing except for the above implicit destructor calls.

# Overloading Assignment

- On line 3 we overload the assignment operator.

```
1 // ...
2
3 Ptr& operator=(const Ptr& right) {
4     trace("assignment operator");
5     if (addr != right.addr) {
6         addr = right.addr;
7     }
8     return *this;
9 }
10
11 T& operator*() const {
12     trace("dereference operator");
13     return *addr;
14 }
15
16 T* operator->() const {
17     trace("arrow operator");
18     return addr;
19 }
```

# Overloading Assignment

- This is done to make sure that the stored address in the instance is reassigned to a new value when our pointer appears in the left hand side of an assignment expression.
- This will be necessary later. (We will be doing “reference counting”)
- When overloading the assignment operator, you must protect against self assignment. (line 5)

```
1 // ...
2
3 Ptr& operator=(const Ptr& right) {
4     trace("assignment operator");
5     if (addr != right.addr) {
6         addr = right.addr;
7     }
8     return *this;
9 }
10
11 T& operator*() const {
12     trace("dereference operator");
13     return *addr;
14 }
15
16 T* operator->() const {
17     trace("arrow operator");
18     return addr;
19 }
```

# Guarding Self-Assignment

- The typical sequence of operations within an assignment operator is something like this.

```
MyClass& MyClass::operator=(const MyClass &rhs) {  
    // 1. Deallocate any memory that MyClass is using internally  
    // 2. Allocate some memory to hold the contents of rhs  
    // 3. Copy the values from rhs into this instance  
    // 4. Return *this  
}
```

- So what happens if you then do something like this...

```
MyClass mc;  
mc = mc; // oops
```

# Guarding Self-Assignment

- Fortunately it is easy to avoid this.
- A correct version of an assignment overload would be something like as follows...

```
MyClass& MyClass::operator=(const MyClass &rhs) {  
    // Only do assignment if RHS is a different object from this.  
    if (this != &rhs) {  
        ... // Deallocate, allocate new space, copy values...  
    }  
    return *this;  
}
```

# Overloading Dereferencing

- On line 11 we overload the dereference operator.
- Just dereferences the wrapped pointer and returns the value.
- A convenience.

```
1 // ...
2
3 Ptr& operator=(const Ptr& right) {
4     trace("assignment operator");
5     if (addr != right.addr) {
6         addr = right.addr;
7     }
8     return *this;
9 }
10
11 T& operator*() const {
12     trace("dereference operator");
13     return *addr;
14 }
15
16 T* operator->() const {
17     trace("arrow operator");
18     return addr;
19 }
```



# Overloading Arrow

- On line 16 we overload the arrow operator.

```
1 // ...
2
3 Ptr& operator=(const Ptr& right) {
4     trace("assignment operator");
5     if (addr != right.addr) {
6         addr = right.addr;
7     }
8     return *this;
9 }
10
11 T& operator*() const {
12     trace("dereference operator");
13     return *addr;
14 }
15
16 T* operator->() const {
17     trace("arrow operator");
18     return addr;
19 }
```

# Overloading Arrow

- Kind of odd that it just returns the pointer.
- Doesn't that mean we will require two deferences?
- Something like....

```
__rt::Ptr<String> foo(new String("foo"));  
cout << (foo->)->data << endl;
```

```
1 // ...  
2  
3 Ptr& operator=(const Ptr& right) {  
4     trace("assignment operator");  
5     if (addr != right.addr) {  
6         addr = right.addr;  
7     }  
8     return *this;  
9 }  
10  
11 T& operator*() const {  
12     trace("dereference operator");  
13     return *addr;  
14 }  
15  
16 T* operator->() const {  
17     trace("arrow operator");  
18     return addr;  
19 }
```

# Overloading Arrow

- The operator-> has special semantics in that, when overloaded, it reapplies itself to the result.
- While the rest of the operators are applied only once, operator-> will be applied by the compiler as many times as needed to get to a raw pointer and once more to access the memory referred by that pointer.
- Don't you just love C++?

```
1 // ...
2
3 Ptr& operator=(const Ptr& right) {
4     trace("assignment operator");
5     if (addr != right.addr) {
6         addr = right.addr;
7     }
8     return *this;
9 }
10
11 T& operator*() const {
12     trace("dereference operator");
13     return *addr;
14 }
15
16 T* operator->() const {
17     trace("arrow operator");
18     return addr;
19 }
```

# As usual..

- <https://github.com/nyu-oop-fall16/java-lang/tree/master/java-lang-4>
- You must port this code to your translator.
- I have included a CHANGES.md file that points out the specific additions. Just copy and paste those bits.



# Translator Timeline

- About 6 weeks until final project presentations
- Features to implement:
  - Constructor translation
  - Array translation
  - Method overloading
  - Memory management
- Right now you should be wrapping up any outstanding midterm requirements and working on arrays.
- More inputs coming in the next few days, but nothing stopping you from making your own.

