

Object-Oriented Programming

CSCI-UA 0470-001

Class 15

Instructor: Randy Shepherd

Solution to in-class exercise

Questions

- *Why is the implementation of the constructor for Array and its __vtable initialization call in the header file?*

```
template <typename T>
struct Array;

template <typename T>
struct Array_VT;

template <typename T>
struct Array {
    Array_VT<T>* __vptr;
    const int32_t length;
    T* __data;

    // The constructor (defined inline).
    Array(const int32_t length)
        : __vptr(&__vtable), length(length), __data(new T[length])
    }

    // The function returning the class object for the array.
    static java::lang::Class __class();

    // The vtable for the array.
    static Array_VT<T> __vtable;
};

// The vtable for arrays.
template <typename T>
Array_VT<T> Array<T>::__vtable;
```

Questions

- *Why is the implementation of the constructor for Array and its __vtable initialization call in the header file?*
- A template is not a class or a function. A template is a “pattern” that the compiler uses to generate a family of classes or functions. Therefore its not an implementation.
- More here..

<https://isocpp.org/wiki/faq/templates#templates-defn-vs-decl>

```
template <typename T>
struct Array;

template <typename T>
struct Array_VT;

template <typename T>
struct Array {
    Array_VT<T>* __vptr;
    const int32_t length;
    T* __data;

    // The constructor (defined inline).
    Array(const int32_t length)
        : __vptr(&__vtable), length(length), __data(new T[length])
    {}

    // The function returning the class object for the array.
    static java::lang::Class __class();

    // The vtable for the array.
    static Array_VT<T> __vtable;
};

// The vtable for arrays.
template <typename T>
Array_VT<T> Array<T>::__vtable;
```

Questions

- *Why has the 'component' property at line 6 and the 'isArray' at line 20 method been added?*

```
1  struct __Class
2  {
3      __Class_VT* __vptr;
4      String name;
5      Class parent;
6      Class component;
7      bool primitive;
8
9      // The constructor.
10     __Class(String name,
11              Class parent,
12              Class component = (Class)__rt::null(),
13              bool primitive = false);
14
15     // The instance methods of java.lang.Class.
16     static String toString(Class);
17     static String getName(Class);
18     static Class getSuperclass(Class);
19     static bool isPrimitive(Class);
20     static bool isArray(Class);
21     static Class getComponentType(Class);
22     static bool isInstance(Class, Object);
23
24     // The function returning the class object representing
25     // java.lang.Class.
26     static Class __class();
27
28     // The vtable for java.lang.Class.
29     static __Class_VT __vtable;
30 };
```

Questions

- Why has the 'component' property at line 6 and the 'isArray' at line 20 method been added?
- Reminder: covariance: for an array with component type S, if S extends T, then S[] extends T[]

```
1  struct __Class
2  {
3      __Class_VT* __vptr;
4      String name;
5      Class parent;
6      Class component;
7      bool primitive;
8
9      // The constructor.
10     __Class(String name,
11              Class parent,
12              Class component = (Class)__rt::null(),
13              bool primitive = false);
14
15     // The instance methods of java.lang.Class.
16     static String toString(Class);
17     static String getName(Class);
18     static Class getSuperclass(Class);
19     static bool isPrimitive(Class);
20     static bool isArray(Class);
21     static Class getComponentType(Class);
22     static bool isInstance(Class, Object);
23
24     // The function returning the class object representing
25     // java.lang.Class.
26     static Class __class();
27
28     // The vtable for java.lang.Class.
29     static __Class_VT __vtable;
30 };
```

Questions

- Why has the 'component' property at line 6 and the 'isArray' at line 20 method been added?
- Since arrays in Java are covariant, we need to know the type contained in the array to determine if some array is a subclass of some other array.
- isArray exists as a convenience so that we easily recognize array types for special casing logic for them.

```
1  struct __Class
2  {
3      __Class_VT* __vptr;
4      String name;
5      Class parent;
6      Class component;
7      bool primitive;
8
9      // The constructor.
10     __Class(String name,
11              Class parent,
12              Class component = (Class)__rt::null(),
13              bool primitive = false);
14
15     // The instance methods of java.lang.Class.
16     static String toString(Class);
17     static String getName(Class);
18     static Class getSuperclass(Class);
19     static bool isPrimitive(Class);
20     static bool isArray(Class);
21     static Class getComponentType(Class);
22     static bool isInstance(Class, Object);
23
24     // The function returning the class object representing
25     // java.lang.Class.
26     static Class __class();
27
28     // The vtable for java.lang.Class.
29     static __Class_VT __vtable;
30 };
```

Questions

- *Describe in just a few words what the checkStore function does.*

```
template <typename T, typename U>
void checkStore(Array<T>* array, U object)
{
    if (null() != (java::lang::Object) object)
    {
        java::lang::Class t1 = array->__vptr->getClass(array);
        java::lang::Class t2 = t1->__vptr->getComponentType(t1);

        if (! t2->__vptr->isInstance(t2, (java::lang::Object)object))
        {
            throw java::lang::ArrayStoreException();
        }
    }
}
```


Questions

- *Describe in just a few words what the checkStore function does.*
- checkStore checks object of some type U is a legal type to be inserted into the array and if not throws an exception.

```
template <typename T, typename U>
void checkStore(Array<T>* array, U object)
{
    if (null() != (java::lang::Object) object)
    {
        java::lang::Class t1 = array->__vptr->getClass(array);
        java::lang::Class t2 = t1->__vptr->getComponentType(t1);

        if (! t2->__vptr->isInstance(t2, (java::lang::Object)object))
        {
            throw java::lang::ArrayStoreException();
        }
    }
}
```

Questions

- *Describe in just a few words what the checkStore function does.*
- checkStore checks object of some type U is a legal type to be inserted into the array and if not throws an exception.
- What is the definition of a legal type?

```
template <typename T, typename U>
void checkStore(Array<T>* array, U object)
{
    if (null() != (java::lang::Object) object)
    {
        java::lang::Class t1 = array->__vptr->getClass(array);
        java::lang::Class t2 = t1->__vptr->getComponentType(t1);

        if (! t2->__vptr->isInstance(t2, (java::lang::Object)object))
        {
            throw java::lang::ArrayStoreException();
        }
    }
}
```

Questions

- *Note the comment on line 10 of output/java_lang.cpp, what needs to happen there?*

```
1  bool __Class::isInstance(Class __this, Object o)
2  {
3      Class k = o->__vptr->getClass(o);
4
5      do
6      {
7          if (__this->__vptr->equals(__this, (Object) k))
8              return true;
9
10         // TODO: handle covariance of arrays
11
12         k = k->__vptr->getSuperclass(k);
13     }
14     while ((Class) __rt::null() != k);
15
16     return false;
17 }
```

Questions

- *Note the comment on line 10 of output/java_lang.cpp, what needs to happen there?*
- *Check if the component of the array types have a super/sub-class relationship, since for the component type, if S extends T , then $S[]$ extends $T[]$ and since arrays extend `Object`.*
- *I.e. an `Array<String>` is an instance of `Array<Object>`*

```
1  bool __Class::isInstance(Class __this, Object o)
2  {
3      Class k = o->__vptr->getClass(o);
4
5      do
6      {
7          if (__this->__vptr->equals(__this, (Object) k))
8              return true;
9
10         // TODO: handle covariance of arrays
11
12         k = k->__vptr->getSuperclass(k);
13     }
14     while ((Class) __rt::null() != k);
15
16     return false;
17 }
```

Questions

- *Briefly explain this code.*

```
1 // Template specialization for arrays of ints.
2 template<>
3 java::lang::Class Array<int32_t>::__class() {
4     static java::lang::Class ik =
5         new java::lang::__Class(
6             __rt::literal("int"),
7             (java::lang::Class)__rt::null(),
8             (java::lang::Class)__rt::null(),
9             true
10        );
11
12     static java::lang::Class k =
13         new java::lang::__Class(
14             literal("[I"),
15             java::lang::__Object::__class(),
16             ik);
17
18     return k;
19 }
```

Questions

- *Briefly explain this code.*
- *This is the template specialization for arrays of int. Note that there is superclass for the component since ints don't extend Object.*
- *The component type must be constructed ad-hoc, since we don't have a `java::lang::int`*

```
2  template<>
3  java::lang::Class Array<int32_t>::__class() {
4      static java::lang::Class ik =
5          new java::lang::__Class(
6              __rt::literal("int"),
7              (java::lang::Class)__rt::null(),
8              (java::lang::Class)__rt::null(),
9              true
10         );
11
12     static java::lang::Class k =
13         new java::lang::__Class(
14             literal("[I"),
15             java::lang::__Object::__class(),
16             ik);
17
18     return k;
19 }
```

Questions

- How will you add primitive array specializations?
 - Implement 'by-hand' specializations into `java_lang.cpp`
- How could you add specializations for objects of arbitrary types in your translator?
 - The translator will have to be smart enough to recognize arrays of types that are being translated and generate a specialization for it, as you did by hand for `A` in this exercise.

```

1 namespace inputs
2 {
3     namespace javalang
4     {
5         __A::__A() : __vptr(&__vtable) {}
6
7         String __A::toString(A __this) {
8             return new __String("A");
9         }
10
11         Class __A::__class() {
12             static Class k =
13                 new __Class(__rt::literal("inputs.javalang.A"), (Class) __rt::null());
14             return k;
15         }
16
17         __A_VT __A::__vtable;
18     }
19 }
20
21 namespace __rt
22 {
23     // Template specialization for arrays of A
24     template<>
25     java::lang::Class Array<inputs::javalang::A>::__class()
26     {
27         static java::lang::Class k =
28             new java::lang::__Class(literal("[Linputs.javalang.A;"),
29                                     java::lang::__Object::__class(),
30                                     inputs::javalang::__A::__class());
31         return k;
32     }
33 }

```



```

1 public static void main(String[] args) {
2     int[] ints = new int[2];
3     System.out.println(ints[1]);
4
5     float[] floats = new float[2];
6     System.out.println(floats[1]);
7
8     A[] array = new A[5];
9
10    for (int i = 0; i < array.length; ++i) {
11        A a = new A();
12        array[i] = a;
13    }
14
15    for (int i = 0; i < array.length; ++i) {
16        A a = array[i];
17        System.out.println(a.toString());
18    }
19
20    try {
21        System.out.println(array[128]);
22    } catch (ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException e) {
23        System.out.println("Caught ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException");
24    }
25
26    try {
27        Object[] o = array;
28        o[2] = new Object();
29    } catch (ArrayStoreException e) {
30        System.out.println("Caught ArrayStoreException");
31    }
32 }

```

```

1 int main(void)
2 {
3     __rt::Array<int32_t>* ints = new __rt::Array<int32_t>(2);
4     __rt::checkNotNull(ints);
5     __rt::checkIndex(ints, 1);
6     cout << ints->__data[1] << endl;
7
8     __rt::Array<float>* floats = new __rt::Array<float>(2);
9     __rt::checkNotNull(floats);
10    __rt::checkIndex(floats, 1);
11    cout << fixed << floats->__data[1] << endl;
12
13    __rt::Array<A>* array = new __rt::Array<A>(5);
14    for(int i = 0 ; i < array->length ; ++i) {
15        A a = new __A();
16        __rt::checkNotNull(array);
17        __rt::checkIndex(array, i);
18        __rt::checkStore(array, a);
19        array->__data[i] = a;
20    }
21
22    for(int i = 0 ; i < array->length ; ++i) {
23        __rt::checkNotNull(array);
24        __rt::checkIndex(array, i);
25        A a = array->__data[i];
26        cout << a->toString(a)->data << endl;
27    }
28
29    try {
30        __rt::checkNotNull(array);
31        __rt::checkIndex(array, 128);
32        std::cout << array->__data[128] << std::endl;
33    } catch (const ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException& ex) {
34        std::cout << "Caught ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException" << std::endl;
35    }
36
37    try {
38        __rt::Array<Object>* o = (__rt::Array<Object>*) array;
39        String s = __rt::literal("Hello");
40        __rt::checkNotNull(o);
41        __rt::checkIndex(o, 2);
42        __rt::checkStore(o, s);
43    } catch (const ArrayStoreException& ex) {
44        std::cout << "Caught ArrayStoreException" << std::endl;
45    }
46
47    return 0;
48 }

```

Inheritance is terrible..



Inheritance is terrible..

(sometimes)

- *Class* inheritance is a powerful way to achieve code reuse, but not always best tool for job.
- It is safe to use class inheritance when extending classes specifically designed for it.
- Inheriting from ordinary, concrete classes is often a bad approach.

Advantages of Class Inheritance

- Substitution principle.
- Easy code reuse, just inherit.
- Easy to modify or extend the implementation being reused.

Disadvantages of Class Inheritance

- *Complicated inheritance hierarchies* can lead to code which is difficult to read and maintain.
- Undisciplined, poorly applied subtype polymorphism leads to a large gap between reading the static code and what actually happens at runtime.
- Bugs become very difficult to find (and sometimes recreate). Mental gymnastics required to debug.

Disadvantages of Class Inheritance

- *Breaks encapsulation*; subclass exposed to implementation details of superclass.
- Known as “white-box” reuse. Good abstractions are “black-box”.
- Subclasses may have to be changed if superclass is changed.
- Tight coupling between super and subclasses.

Breaking Encapsulation

- Best understood through example:
 - Suppose we have a Java program that uses the `java.util.HashSet` data structure. (Which is an implementation of a Set).
 - In order to tune performance, we want to monitor the number of attempted element insertions.
 - Note that this is different than simply querying the `HashSet` for size, we want to consider any removed elements in our count or duplicates.

Breaking Encapsulation

- In our first attempt, we write an extension to `HashSet` that keeps count of the insertion attempts.
- `HashSet` contains two methods capable of adding elements, `add` and `addAll`
- These two methods are overridden.

InstrumentedHashSet

- This looks pretty reasonable, right?

```
1 public class InstrumentedHashSet extends HashSet {
2     // The number of attempted element insertions
3     private int addCount = 0;
4
5     public InstrumentedHashSet() { super(); }
6
7     public InstrumentedHashSet(Collection c) { super(c); }
8
9     public InstrumentedHashSet(int initCap, float loadFactor) {
10         super(initCap, loadFactor);
11     }
12
13     public boolean add(Object o) {
14         addCount++;
15         return super.add(o);
16     }
17
18     public boolean addAll(Collection c) {
19         addCount += c.size();
20         return super.addAll(c);
21     }
22
23     public int getAddCount() {
24         return addCount;
25     }
26 }
```

InstrumentedHashSet

- This looks pretty reasonable, right?

- Suppose we do this...

```
HashSet hs = new InstrumentedHashSet();  
hs.addAll(new String[] {"1", "2", "3"})  
System.out.println(hs.getAddCount());
```

- What should we *expect* as output?

```
1 public class InstrumentedHashSet extends HashSet {  
2     // The number of attempted element insertions  
3     private int addCount = 0;  
4  
5     public InstrumentedHashSet() { super(); }  
6  
7     public InstrumentedHashSet(Collection c) { super(c); }  
8  
9     public InstrumentedHashSet(int initCap, float loadFactor) {  
10         super(initCap, loadFactor);  
11     }  
12  
13     public boolean add(Object o) {  
14         addCount++;  
15         return super.add(o);  
16     }  
17  
18     public boolean addAll(Collection c) {  
19         addCount += c.size();  
20         return super.addAll(c);  
21     }  
22  
23     public int getAddCount() {  
24         return addCount;  
25     }  
26 }
```

InstrumentedHashSet

- This looks pretty reasonable, right?

- Suppose we do this...

```
HashSet hs = new InstrumentedHashSet();  
hs.addAll(new String[] {"1", "2", "3"})  
System.out.println(hs.getAddCount());
```

- What should we *expect* as output?
- 3 right? Well, it prints 6.

```
1 public class InstrumentedHashSet extends HashSet {  
2     // The number of attempted element insertions  
3     private int addCount = 0;  
4  
5     public InstrumentedHashSet() { super(); }  
6  
7     public InstrumentedHashSet(Collection c) { super(c); }  
8  
9     public InstrumentedHashSet(int initCap, float loadFactor) {  
10         super(initCap, loadFactor);  
11     }  
12  
13     public boolean add(Object o) {  
14         addCount++;  
15         return super.add(o);  
16     }  
17  
18     public boolean addAll(Collection c) {  
19         addCount += c.size();  
20         return super.addAll(c);  
21     }  
22  
23     public int getAddCount() {  
24         return addCount;  
25     }  
26 }
```

InstrumentedHashSet

- The problem is that the `addAll` method of the class `HashSet` is implemented by calling the `add` method for each element in the `Collection` argument.
- Since `add` is overridden by the subclass every inserted element is counted twice.

```
1 public class InstrumentedHashSet extends HashSet {
2     // The number of attempted element insertions
3     private int addCount = 0;
4
5     public InstrumentedHashSet() { super(); }
6
7     public InstrumentedHashSet(Collection c) { super(c); }
8
9     public InstrumentedHashSet(int initCap, float loadFactor) {
10         super(initCap, loadFactor);
11     }
12
13     public boolean add(Object o) {
14         addCount++;
15         return super.add(o);
16     }
17
18     public boolean addAll(Collection c) {
19         addCount += c.size();
20         return super.addAll(c);
21     }
22
23     public int getAddCount() {
24         return addCount;
25     }
26 }
```

“Fixing” the Problem

- We could “fix” this by removing our implementation of *addAll*.
- While that would work, our data structure’s correct functioning would depend on the implementation of its superclass.
- There is no guarantee that this implementation detail would hold true in future versions of Java.
- Encapsulation is broken.

“Fixing” the Problem

- We could again try to “fix” this by implementing our own version of `addAll`, where we iterate over the `Collection` ourselves and add each element.
- This is slightly better, but also has problems.
- We are defeating a primary purpose of inheritance (code reuse) possibly introducing bugs.
- And this is not always possible, since data required for this technique, in some cases may be private.

“Fixing” the Problem

- This problem stems from method overriding.
- Ok, lets not override methods then. This sounds safer. (It is in fact, to a degree).
- So we add a `addWithInsertionCount` method that returns an *int*.
- However, what if a future version of `HashSet` has its own `addWithInsertionCount` method... and it returns a `long`?

Fixing the Problem

- Luckily there is a solution and it is a design technique with wide applicability.
- Wrap HashSet inside a new class that delegates all work to the HashSet and its only logic is only counts the number of attempted insertions.
- This approach is called '*composition*'.
- By using composition we can make the wrapper class independent of the concrete implementation of HashSet.

Composition

- This technique is called ‘composition’ because the existing class becomes a *component* of the new class.
- Each instance method method in the new class either ‘decorates’ or ‘forwards to’ an existing Set implementation.
- Note how we take an implementation of the Set interface as an argument at construction.

```
1 public class InstrumentedSet implements Set {
2     private final Set s;
3     private int addCount = 0;
4
5     public InstrumentedSet(Set s) { this.s = s; }
6
7     public boolean add(Object o) {
8         addCount++;
9         return s.add(o);
10    }
11
12    public boolean addAll(Collection c) {
13        addCount += c.size();
14        return s.addAll(c);
15    }
16
17    public int getAddCount() { return addCount; }
18
19    public void clear() { s.clear(); }
20
21    // Other forwarding methods for the remaining
22    // methods of the Set interface
23    // ...
24 }
```

Composition

- This approach is extremely flexible.
- Unlike the inheritance-based approach, our new class can work for any Set implementation.
- We are completely decoupled from the set implementation. Encapsulation is preserved.
- This is known as the *'Decorator Pattern'*

```
1 public class InstrumentedSet implements Set {
2     private final Set s;
3     private int addCount = 0;
4
5     public InstrumentedSet(Set s) { this.s = s; }
6
7     public boolean add(Object o) {
8         addCount++;
9         return s.add(o);
10    }
11
12    public boolean addAll(Collection c) {
13        addCount += c.size();
14        return s.addAll(c);
15    }
16
17    public int getAddCount() { return addCount; }
18
19    public void clear() { s.clear(); }
20
21    // Other forwarding methods for the remaining
22    // methods of the Set interface
23    // ...
24 }
```

Another Disadvantage of Class Inheritance

- *Implementations* inherited from superclasses *can not be changed at run-time*.
- To change the way a subclass behaves you must modify the source code.

Implementations Fixed at Runtime

- Another example is necessary...
 - Suppose we want to model the business logic of an airline.
 - One component of the software handles transactions with passengers such as ticket reservation and purchase. Another component handles payroll.
 - The ticketing component involves *passengers* and *agents*, while the payroll component involves only *agents*.
 - The ticketing component needs to keep track of the name and address of each *passenger*. The payroll component needs to maintain the *same information* for each *agent*.

Implementations Fixed at Runtime

- A design for the two components might involve the following class hierarchy:
 - A class `Person` is used to store the name and address of each person.
 - There are two classes, `Passenger` and `Agent`, to model agents and passengers, respectively.
 - Both of these classes are subclasses of `Person`.

Person Hierarchy

- What's wrong with this design?

```
1  public abstract class Person {  
2      private String name;  
3      private Address address;  
4      //.. Accessors, etc.  
5  }  
6  
7  public class Agent extends Person {  
8      // Agent-related methods...  
9  }  
10  
11 public class Passenger extends Person {  
12     // Passenger-related methods...  
13 }
```

Person Hierarchy

- What's wrong with this design?
- The roles of a person may change over time.
- A person may be a passenger at one point in time and an agent at a another point in time, or even both at the same time.
- This is not reflected in the static class hierarchy.

```
1  public abstract class Person {  
2      private String name;  
3      private Address address;  
4      //.. Accessors, etc.  
5  }  
6  
7  public class Agent extends Person {  
8      // Agent-related methods...  
9  }  
10  
11 public class Passenger extends Person {  
12     // Passenger-related methods...  
13 }
```

Fixing the problem

- One viable solution is to use subclassing and composition together:
- Introduce a new class `PersonRole` that has a reference to a `Person`.
- Define `Passenger` and `Agent` as subclasses of `PersonRole`.

```
1  public abstract class PersonRole {
2      protected Person p;
3      // Role-related methods...
4  }
5
6  public class Agent extends PersonRole {
7      // Agent-related methods...
8  }
9
10 public class Passenger extends PersonRole {
11     // Passenger-related methods...
12 }
13
14 public class Person {
15     private String name;
16     private Address address;
17     //.. Accessors, etc.
18 }
```


Advantages of Composition

- Contained objects are accessed by the containing class solely through their interfaces.
- "Black-box" reuse, since internal details of contained objects are not visible.
- Good encapsulation.
- Fewer implementation dependencies.
- Each class is focused on just one task.
- The composition can be defined dynamically at run-time through objects acquiring references to other objects of the same type.

Disadvantages of Composition

- Resulting systems tend to have more objects.
 - Though the JVM is good at dealing w/ short-lived objects.
- Lots of "boiler plate" code for forwarding methods that delegate work to the contained objects.
 - Nothing new in Java.
- Interfaces must be carefully defined in order to use many different objects as composition blocks.
 - This is where you get into trouble.

So when should one use class inheritance?

- **Coad's Rules:** Use class inheritance when the following criteria are satisfied:
 1. A subclass expresses *"is a special kind of"* and not *"is a role played by a"* (and also not *"has a"*).
 2. An instance of a subclass never needs to become an object of another class.
 3. A subclass extends, rather than overrides or nullifies, the responsibilities of its superclass.
 4. A subclass does not extend the capabilities of what is merely a utility class.
 5. For a class in the problem domain, the subclass specializes a role, transaction, or device.

And when you do use it..

- Beware the pitfalls!
- The relationships between objects in the problem domain do not always carry over to an OO design.
- For example, suppose we want to model geometric objects such as rectangles, squares, circles, etc.
- Since a square is a special kind of a rectangle, we might want to implement squares as a subclass of Rectangle:

False Hierarchies

```
1 public class Rectangle {
2     protected double width;
3     protected double height;
4
5     public Rectangle(double w, double h) {
6         width = w;
7         height = h;
8     }
9
10    public double getWidth() { return width; }
11    public double getHeight() { return height; }
12
13    public void setWidth(double w) { width = w; }
14    public void setHeight(double h) { height = h; }
15
16    public double area() {return (width * height); }
17 }
```

```
1 public class Square extends Rectangle {
2     public Square(double s) { super(s, s); }
3
4     // ...
5
6     public void setHeight(double h) {
7         height = h;
8         width = h;
9     }
10
11    public void setWidth(double w) {
12        height = w;
13        width = w;
14    }
15
16    //...
17 }
```

- Note that we override the setHeight and setWidth to maintain the invariant that the height and width of a square coincide.

False Hierarchies

```
1 public class Rectangle {
2     protected double width;
3     protected double height;
4
5     public Rectangle(double w, double h) {
6         width = w;
7         height = h;
8     }
9
10    public double getWidth() { return width; }
11    public double getHeight() { return height; }
12
13    public void setWidth(double w) { width = w; }
14    public void setHeight(double h) { height = h; }
15
16    public double area() {return (width * height); }
17 }
```

```
1 public class Square extends Rectangle {
2     public Square(double s) { super(s, s); }
3
4     // ...
5
6     public void setHeight(double h) {
7         height = h;
8         width = h;
9     }
10
11    public void setWidth(double w) {
12        height = w;
13        width = w;
14    }
15
16    //...
17 }
```

- A Square object does not behave like a Rectangle object because the methods setHeight and setWidth of class Square modify both height and width.

False Hierarchies

```
1 public class Rectangle {
2     protected double width;
3     protected double height;
4
5     public Rectangle(double w, double h) {
6         width = w;
7         height = h;
8     }
9
10    public double getWidth() { return width; }
11    public double getHeight() { return height; }
12
13    public void setWidth(double w) { width = w; }
14    public void setHeight(double h) { height = h; }
15
16    public double area() {return (width * height); }
17 }
```

```
1 public class Square extends Rectangle {
2     public Square(double s) { super(s, s); }
3
4     // ...
5
6     public void setHeight(double h) {
7         height = h;
8         width = h;
9     }
10
11    public void setWidth(double w) {
12        height = w;
13        width = w;
14    }
15
16    //...
17 }
```

- We cannot safely use a Square object when a Rectangle object is expected, even though the subclass relationship suggests otherwise. This is a violation of the *substitution principle*.

Final note...

- Everything we have talked about today relates to *class* inheritance. What's known as “implementation inheritance”.
- There is another kind of inheritance that has fewer disadvantages, called “definition inheritance”.
- Have you heard of interfaces? That is an example. We will get to that later in the semester.