# Machine Learning Estimation of undrained shear strength of Bangkok clay [Tentative]

Viroon Kamchoom <sup>a</sup>, Ankit Garg <sup>b</sup>, Sai krishna Akash Ramineni <sup>c</sup>, Thanu Harnpattanapanich <sup>d,e</sup>, Phichet Ratanaprasatkul <sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Excellent centre for green and sustainable infrastructure, Department of Civil Engineering, School of Engineering, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang (KMITL), Bangkok, Thailand

#### Abstract

content...

**Keywords:** 

#### 1 Introduction

Undrained shear strength (Su), representing the maximum shear stress soil can sustain under undrained conditions, is critical for assessing the short-term stability of geotechnical structures such as foundations and slopes [1]. Its determination traditionally relies on laboratory tests like unconsolidated undrained triaxial and falling cone methods, which face limitations in replicating in-situ stress conditions and microstructure, particularly in complex deposits like Bangkok clay [1, 2]. While correlations with standard penetration test (SPT) and cone penetration test (CPT) data offer practical alternatives, these methods require site-specific calibration and exhibit substantial uncertainties, with SPT showing poor reliability in soft clays [2, 3, 4]. Hydrogeological factors, including pore pressure variations, can alter su ratios by over 10%, emphasizing the need for precise, context-sensitive analyses [5].

Bangkok clay presents one of the most challenging geotechnical materials encountered in modern engineering practice, characterized by complex mineralogical composition and highly variable engineering properties that have long troubled infrastructure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>University in Shantou, Shantou, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Undergraduate, Department of Civil Engineering at IIT Indore, Indore city, Madhya Pradesh, Postal code- 453552, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Geotech Pillar co., ltd, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Thailand Underground and Tunnelling Group, The Engineering Institute of Thailand, Thailand

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> Bureau of Engineering and Architectural Design, Royal Irrigation Department (RID), Bangkok, Thailand

development in Thailand and similar marine alluvial environments worldwide [6, 7]. Recent advances in machine learning technologies have emerged as promising solutions for accurately predicting the undrained shear strength of this problematic soil, offering significant improvements over traditional empirical methods and laboratory testing approaches [8, 9, 10]. Contemporary research demonstrates that sophisticated machine learning models, including hybrid stacking algorithms, random forest, support vector regression, and ensemble methods, can achieve prediction accuracies ranging from 51% to over 87% when properly calibrated with comprehensive geotechnical datasets [9, 10, 11]. The integration of advanced data preprocessing techniques, Bayesian optimization algorithms, and multialgorithm approaches has shown particular promise in addressing the inherent variability and complex behavior of Bangkok clay, while providing more efficient and cost-effective alternatives to extensive field investigation programs [9, 10, 12].

The clay formation extends to depths of up to 80 meters beneath Bangkok city, with distinct stratifications: a soft, meta-stable layer (4–11 m depth), a stiff clay layer (12–24 m), and deeper hard clay layers, each exhibiting unique microstructural behaviors influenced by geological processes such as sea-level fluctuations and loading-unloading cycles [13]. The predominant clay mineral is high-swelling smectite, differentiating it from low-swelling smectite clays like Ariake, and contributing to its high plasticity (liquid limit: 100–140%, activity: 1.25–1.90) and significant volume change potential [14]. These properties, combined with complex responses to chemical treatments and cation exchange, necessitate specialized soil improvement techniques such as Liquefied Stabilized Soil (LSS), Controlled Low Strength Material (CLSM), and liquefied rubber applications to address infrastructure challenges, particularly in pipeline construction [15].

The research titled "Prediction of shear strength of soft soil using machine learning methods" specifically investigates and compares the predictive performance of four machine learning methods—Particle Swarm Optimization Adaptive Network-based Fuzzy Inference System (PANFIS), Genetic Algorithm Adaptive Network-based Fuzzy Inference System (GANFIS), Support Vector Regression (SVR), and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)—for estimating the shear strength of soft soils. The study utilizes 188 plastic clay soil samples collected from the Nhat Tan and Cua Dai bridge projects in Vietnam, with input variables including moisture content, clay content, liquid limit, plastic limit, plastic index, and consistency index. The models were trained and validated using these datasets, and their performance was assessed using Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and correlation coefficient (R) [16].

The findings reveal that PANFIS achieved the highest prediction accuracy (RMSE = 0.038, R = 0.601), outperforming GANFIS (RMSE = 0.04, R = 0.569), SVR (RMSE = 0.044, R = 0.549), and ANN (RMSE = 0.059, R = 0.49). This comparative analysis demonstrates that PANFIS is a promising technique for predicting the strength of soft soils, providing a more effective and accurate alternative to other machine learning approaches tested in this context [16].

### 2 Material and Methods

#### 2.1 Soil sample collection and testing

Soft Bangkok clay samples were collected from two different areas in the western part of Bangkok, Thailand. The soil investigation was carried out in order to obtain the design parameters for the water drainage tunnel under Bang Nam Chued and Likij canals, which are part of a national scheme to improve the drainage system and mitigate the recurring inundation problem in the lower Chao Phraya River Basin. A total of 54 boreholes were used in this study. Boreholes with a diameter of 10 cm were drilled using a power auger to a depth of 2–3 meters, and then percussion wash boring was carried out throughout the borehole depth. The soft clay layer was found to vary within 12–15 m depth. The undisturbed samples were collected according to ASTM D1587 by using a Thin-Walled Shelby Tube with a 7.5-cm diameter and a 75-cm length. The samples were collected in soft to medium clay layers at every 1 m. The tube was pressed into the soil about 0.5 m depth and then twisted to take each soil sample out of the borehole. After that, each tube was waxed on both sides to prevent any moisture loss from the soil and transported to the laboratory.

The laboratory tests were carried out in accordance with ASTM standards. Bulk unit weight can be calculated from the ratio between the weight of the soil sample and the volume of the soil being measured. The natural water content was calculated based on ASTM D2216, which is the ratio between the weight of soil moisture and the weight of dry soil. The Atterberg limits test according to ASTM D4318 is used to determine the soil index properties that depend on the soil composition, such as mineral compounds in the soil, soil consistency, etc. Grain size distribution was obtained based on ASTM D422. The soil sample was oven-dried at approximately 105 °C for 24 h, after which the dried soil was sieved through a sieve number of 200 (for particles smaller than 75 microns). The hydrometer test according to ASTM D2487 was conducted for soil classification. The limits, such as LL (Liquid limit), PL (Plastic limit), and PI (Plastic Index), together with the grain size distribution, were used to determine the classification of fine-grained soils (i.e., ASTM D2487). The Unconfined Compression Test, referred to as ASTM D21466, was conducted to determine the undrained shear strength of the soil.

#### 2.2 Machine Learning Methods

#### 3 Results and Discussion

#### 4 Conclusion

## Acknowledgments

The first author (V. Kamchoom) would like to thank the grant under Climate Change and Climate Variability Research in Monsoon Asia (CMONA) from National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC). The second author (A. Garg) thanks the grant XXX provided by XXX.

#### References

- [1] C. P. Wroth. The interpretation of in situ soil tests. Géotechnique, 34(4):449–489, 1984.
- [2] Soumyaranjan Mishra and Retnamony G. Robinson. Laboratory investigation on quasi-static penetration testing using spt sampler in soft clay bed. *Geotechnical Testing Journal*, 42(4):985–1005, 09 2018.
- [3] Mintae Kim, Osman Okuyucu, Ertuğrul Ordu, Seyma Ordu, Özkan Arslan, and Junyoung Ko. Prediction of undrained shear strength by the gmdh-type neural network using spt-value and soil physical properties. *Materials*, 15(18), 2022.
- [4] Alejandro Sepúlveda, Fausto A. Canales, Carlos Acosta, and Jose Duque and. Correlations for undrained shear strength of fine-grained soils with spt-values: literature review and uncertainty analysis. *International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 19(5):315–327, 2025.
- [5] Ingrid Belcavello and Lucas Deleon. Influence of hydrogeological conditions on estimation of undrained shear strength by cptu tests: case study in a tropical soil. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Geotechnical and Geophysical Site Characterization*, volume 18, page 21, 2024.
- [6] Hengchhorn Phai and Amin Eisazadeh. Compaction properties of rice husk ashlime-bangkok clay mixtures. In *Advanced Materials Research IX*, volume 803 of *Key Engineering Materials*, pages 331–337. Trans Tech Publications Ltd, 6 2019.

- [7] Pithan Pairojn. The increasing of undrained shear strength and shear modulus of soft bangkok clay by silica powder using unconfined compression test with bender element. *GEOMATE Journal*, 18(69):118–123, May 2020.
- [8] Mohamed B. D. Elsawy, Mohammed F. Alsharekh, and Mahmoud Shaban. Modeling undrained shear strength of sensitive alluvial soft clay using machine learning approach. *Applied Sciences*, 12(19), 2022.
- [9] Selçuk Demir and Emrehan Kutlug Sahin. The effectiveness of data pre-processing methods on the performance of machine learning techniques using rf, svr, cubist and sgb: a study on undrained shear strength prediction. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 38(8):3273–3290, jun 2024.
- [10] Chenghang Zhang and Mingyue Chen. Prediction of undrained shear strength utilizing a hybrid stacking model enhanced by bayesian optimization algorithm. *Transportation Research Record*, 0(0):03611981241278354, 0.
- [11] Binh Thai Pham, Chongchong Qi, Lanh Si Ho, Trung Nguyen-Thoi, Nadhir Al-Ansari, Manh Duc Nguyen, Huu Duy Nguyen, Hai-Bang Ly, Hiep Van Le, and Indra Prakash. A novel hybrid soft computing model using random forest and particle swarm optimization for estimation of undrained shear strength of soil. Sustainability, 12(6), 2020.
- [12] Huajian Yang, Zhikui Liu, Yuantao Li, Haixia Wei, and Nengsheng Huang. Cat-boost—bayesian hybrid model adaptively coupled with modified theoretical equations for estimating the undrained shear strength of clay. *Applied Sciences*, 13(9), 2023.
- [13] Krit Saowiang and Pham Huy Giao. Sea-level related engineering geology and intrinsic compression behaviour of bangkok clays. *GEOMATE Journal*, 17(59):144–153, Jul. 2019.
- [14] Masami Ohtsubo, Kazuhiko Egashira, Tatsuya Koumoto, and Dennes T. Bergado. Mineralogy and chemistry, and their correlation with the geotechnical index properties of bangkok clay: Comparison with ariake clay. *Soils and Foundations*, 40(1):11–21, 2000.
- [15] Sideth Prum, Nalinee Jumnongpol, Chutima Eamchotchawalit, Pisit Kantiwattanakul, Vannee Sooksatra, Thanatip Thanatip Jarearnsiri, and Somsak Passananon. Guideline for backfill material improvement for water supply pipeline construction on bangkok clay, thailand. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Civil, Structural, and Environmental Engineering*, April 2019.
- [16] Binh Thai Pham, Le Hoang Son, Tuan-Anh Hoang, Duc-Manh Nguyen, and Dieu Tien Bui. Prediction of shear strength of soft soil using machine learning methods. CATENA, 166:181–191, 2018.