## discrete time algebraic riccati equation

# LQR problem

discrete-time system:

$$x_{t+1} = Ax_t + Bu_t, x_0 = x^{init}$$

problem: choose  $u_0, u_1, \dots$  so that:

- $x_0, x_1, \dots$  is 'small', i.e. we get good regulation or control
- $u_0, u_1, \dots$  is 'small', using small input effort or actuator authority
- there are usually competing objectives, e.g. a large u can drive x to zero fast
- LQR theory addresses this question

we define quadratic cost function:

$$J(U) = \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1} (x_{\tau}^T Q x_{\tau} + u_{\tau}^T R u_{\tau}) + x_N^T Q_f x_N$$

where  $U=(u_0,...,u_{N-1})$  and  $Q=Q^T\geq 0, Q_f=Q_f^T\geq 0, R=R^T>0$  are given state cost, final state cost, and input cost matrices. Later, we'll see why we require R.0.

- N is called time horizon
- first term measures state deviation
- second term measures input size or actuator authority
- last term measures final state deviation

Let us consider a simpler scalar example,

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (qx^2 + ru^2) dt$$

- the factor 1/2 is introduced for numerical convenience.
- the weighing factors q and r express the relative importance of keeping x and u near zero.
- if we place more importance on x, then we select q to be large relative to r. In this case, the state x will converge to 0 faster, but the control effort will be bigger, and energy cost higher.
- If we care more about the energy cost rather than the response speed, then we should set higher r.

• Although we are interested in minimizing J, the actual value of J is usually not of interest. This also means that we can set either q or r to unity for convenience because it is their relative weight that is important.

it turns out that feedback-control law that minimizes J is a linear state feedback

$$u = -Kx$$

Assume a simple plant, and r = 1

$$\dot{x} = x + u$$

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (qx^2 + u^2) dt$$

plug in the control law, we have the closed loop system:

$$\dot{x} = x - Kx = -(K - 1)x$$

and for constant K,

$$x = x(0)e^{-(K-1)t}$$

substituting x(t) into J gives,

$$J = \frac{1}{2}(q + K^2)x^2(0)\int_0^\infty e^{-2(K-1)t}dt = \frac{q + K^2}{4(K-1)}x^2(0)$$

now we can compute  $\frac{dJ}{dK}=0$  we will have  $K^2-2K-q=0$ . its roots are  $K_1=1+\sqrt{1+q}, K_2=1-\sqrt{1+q}$ . To ensure the system to be stable we require K > 1.  $K_1$  will satisfy this condi-

Lets have more insights by considering other sample problems.

1. Consider a state space plant:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2 + u$$

the performance index:

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty x_1^2 + u^2 dt$$

no minimum for J will exist because the state variable  $x_1$  is uncontrollable and of an unstable mode. The response of  $x_1$  will be  $x_1(t) = x_1(0)e^t$ regardless of what u and  $x_2$  do, i.e.  $x_1(t) \to \infty$  no matter what u(t) does. Hence, we assume that the system, (A, B), is controllable.

#### 2. Consider a state space plant:

$$\dot{x} = x + u$$

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} (u^{2}) dt$$

to minimize J, u(t) = 0. but then  $x \to \infty$ . The system is unstable. We need the performance index to know all the state variables. Therefore, we assume that the system pair (A,H) is completely observabl, where H is any matrix such that  $H^TH = Q$ .

#### 3. Consider the cost functions:

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty x^2 - u^2 dt$$

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty -x^2 + u^2 dt$$

J maybe made as negative as one wishes (when u or  $x \to \infty$ ) therefore we impose the conditions the weighing matrices Q to be semi-positive definite and R to be positive definite respectively.

# 4. But why do we require R > 0 Consider:

$$\dot{x} = -x + u$$

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty x^2 dt$$

has Q=1 and R=0 (not positive definite). In this case,  $K \to \infty$ . Which implies the input is infinity. u(t) must be finite due to physical limitations.

this section, considers only linear discrete-time time-invariant systems

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k)$$

and quadratic cost functions

$$J(x_0, U) = x_N^T P X_N + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (x_i^T Q x_i + u_i^T R U_i)$$

are considered, and we consider only the problem of regulating the state to the origin, without state or input constraints.

## Recursive approach

using the concept from dynamic programming, the recursive solution relies on Bellman's principle of optimality cf. Bellman's equation in RL,

$$q_*(s, a) = \sum_{r} \sum_{s'} P(s', r|s, a) [r(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} q_*(s', a')]$$

. It states, "For any solution for steps j to N with  $j \geq 0$ , taken from the 0 to N solution, must itself be optimal for the j-to-N problem". Therefore, we have for any j=0,...,N

$$J_j^*(x_j) = \min_{\mathbf{u}_j} J(x_i, u_i) + J_{j+1}^*(x_{j+1})$$
  
subj. to  $x_{j+1} = Ax_j + Bu_j$ 

Define the "j-step optimal cost-to-go" as the **optimal** cost attainable for the step j problem:

$$J_{j}^{*}(x(j)) = \min_{U_{j} \to N} x_{N}^{T} P x_{N} + \sum_{i=j}^{N-1} (x_{i}^{T} Q x_{i} + u_{i}^{T} R u_{i})$$
subj. to  $x_{i+1} = A x_{i} + B u_{i}, i = j, ..., N-1$ 

$$x_{i} = x(j)$$

where the final state cost  $J(x(j)) = x(j)^T Px(j)$  is an assumed Lyapunov function,  $P_{k+1} = P_{k+1}^T \ge 0$ . This is the minimum cost attainable for the remainder of the horizon after step j.

• Consider the 1-step problem (solved at time N-1)

$$J_{N-1}^*(x_{N-1}) = \min_{U_{N-1}} x_{N-1}^T Q x_{N-1} + u_{N-1}^T R u_{N-1} + x_N^T P_N x_N$$
 (1)

s.t. 
$$x_N = Ax_{N-1} + Bu_{N-1}$$
 (2)  
 $P_N = P$ 

• Substituting (2) into (1)

$$J_{N-1}^{*}(x_{N-1}) = \min_{u_{N-1}} \{ x_{N-1}^{T} (A^{T} P_{N} A + Q) x_{N-1}$$

$$u_{N-1}^{T} (B^{T} P_{N} B + R) u_{N-1}$$

$$+2x_{N-1}^{T} A^{T} P_{N} B u_{N-1} \}$$

 $\bullet$  Solving again by setting the gradient to zero leads to the following optimality condition for  $u_{N-1}$ 

$$2(B^T P_N B + R)u_{N-1} + 2B^T P_N Ax_{N-1} = 0$$

Optimal 1-step input:

$$u_{N-1}^* = -(B^T P_N B + R)^{-1} B^T P_N A x_{N-1} = F_{N-1} x_{N-1}$$

1-step cost-to-go:

$$J_{N-1}^*(x_{N-1}) = x_{N-1}^T P_{N-1} x_{N-1} \ ,$$

where

$$P_{N-1} = A^T P_N A + Q - A^T P_N B (B^T P_N B + R)^{-1} B^T P_N A.$$

 $\bullet$  We can obtain the solution for any given time step i in the horizon

$$u_t^* = -(B^T P_{t+1} B + R)^{-1} B^T P_{t+1} A x_t$$

$$K_t = -(B^T P_{t+1} B + R)^{-1} B^T P_{t+1} A$$

where we can find any  $P_t$  by recursive evaulation from  $P_N = P$ , using

$$P_t = Q + A^T P_{t+1} A - A^T P_{t+1} B (B^T P_{t+1} B + R)^{-1} B^T P_{t+1} A$$

which can be initialized with  $P_N = P$ , the given terminal weight. This is called the **Discrete Time Riccati equation** or **Riccati Difference equation** (**RDE**)

• The optimal cost-to-go is

$$J_i^*(x_i) = x_I^T P_i x_i$$

, evaluating down to  $P_0$ , we obtain

$$x(0)^T P_0 x(0)$$

references:

- 1. ee363
- 2. Model Predictive Control, Melanie Zeilinger