

1 Question 1

We are required to prove that the set \mathbb{C} of Complex Numbers form a field. under the operations which have been defined.

1.1 Addition Axioms

1. Commutativity :

We have , $(a + bi) + (c + di) = (a + c) + (b + d)i = (c + a) + (d + b)i$ (As \mathbb{R} is a field) and thus $(a + bi) + (c + di) = (c + di) + (a + bi)$

2. Associativity :

We have , $(a + bi) + ((c + di) + (e + fi)) = (a + bi) + (c + e + (d + f)i) = (a + c + e) + (b + d + f)i = (a + c) + (b + d)i + (e + fi)$ (As \mathbb{R} is a field) and thus $(a + bi) + ((c + di) + (e + fi)) = ((a + bi) + (c + di)) + (e + fi)$

3. Addition with 0 :

We have , $(a + bi) + 0 = 0 + (a + bi)$ from Commutativity , further $(a + bi) + 0 = (a + bi) + (0 + 0i) = (a + 0) + (b + 0)i = a + bi$

4. Existence of Inverse :

We have , $(a + bi) + (-a + (-b)i) = (-a + (-b)i) + (a + bi)$ from Commutativity , further $(a + bi) + (-a + (-b)i) = (a + (-a)) + ((b + (-b))i) = 0 + 0i = 0$

Thus , we see that all the addition axioms hold for \mathbb{C} . Now , moving on to multiplication ,

1.2 Multiplication Axioms

1. Commutativity :

$(a + bi) * (c + di) = (ac - bd) + (bc + ad)i = (ca - db) + (cb + da)i$ As \mathbb{R} is a field , we have thus $(ca - db) + (cb + da)i = (c + di) * (a + bi)$

2. Associativity :

$(a + bi) * ((c + di) * (e + fi)) = (a + bi) * ((ce - df) + (cf + ed)i) = (a(ce - df) - b(cf + ed)) + (a(cf + ed) + b(ce - df))i = (e(ac - bd) - f(ad + bc)) + (e(ad + bc) + f(ac - bd))i$ As \mathbb{R} is a field and thus $(e(ac - bd) - f(ad + bc)) + (e(ad + bc) + f(ac - bd))i = (e + fi) * ((ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i) = (e + fi) * ((a + bi) * (c + di)) = ((a + bi) * (c + di)) * (e + fi)$ As commutativity holds

3. Multiplication by 1 :

We have that $(a + bi) * 1 = 1 * (a + bi)$ by commutativity and further $(a + bi) * 1 = (a + bi) * (1 + 0i) = (a(1) - b(0)) + (a(0) + b(1))i$ Now as \mathbb{R} is a field , we have that : $(a(1) - b(0)) + (a(0) + b(1))i = (a + 0) + (0 + b)i = a + bi$

4. Existence of Inverse :

Let $z = \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} - (\frac{b}{a^2 + b^2})i$ We have that , $(a + bi) * z = z * (a + bi)$ by commutativity and further $(a + bi) * z = (\frac{a(a) + b(b)}{a^2 + b^2}) + (\frac{a(b) - b(a)}{a^2 + b^2})i = (\frac{a^2 + b^2}{a^2 + b^2}) + (\frac{0}{a^2 + b^2})i$ since \mathbb{R} is a field and this precisely is, $1 + 0i = 1$

1.3 Distributive Property

We have that , $(a + bi) * ((c + di) + (e + fi)) = (a + bi) * ((c + e) + (d + f)i) = (a(c + e) - b(d + f)) + (a(d + f) + b(c + e))i$ But as \mathbb{R} is a field , we have that this is precisely $((ac + ae) - (bd + bf)) + (ad + af + bc + be)i = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i + (ae - bf) + (af + be)i = (a + bi) * (c + di) + (a + bi) * (e + fi)$

Thus , \mathbb{C} is a field

2 Question 2

We are given that \mathbb{F} is a field therefore we will assume that all Field axioms hold,

1. To see the uniqueness of 1. Suppose that there are two multiplicative identities 1 and $1'$. Then $1 = 1 * 1' = 1'$ and thus $1 = 1'$.
Similarly, To see the uniqueness of -a, Suppose there exist additive inverses of a -a and $-a'$ then we have,
 $-a = -a + 0 = -a + a + -a' = 0 + -a'$ by Associativity and thus $-a = -a'$
Finally, let us assume that $\exists a \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a \neq 0$ and that it has two inverses a^{-1} and b
Then we have $a^{-1} = a^{-1} * 1 = a^{-1} * (a * b)$ which by associativity is :
 $(a^{-1} * a) * b = 1 * b = b$
2. Next for $a \in \mathbb{F}$ we have that $a * 0 = a * (0 + 0) = a * 0 + a * 0$
But we know that $a * 0 + 0 = a * 0$ and thus we have
 $a * 0 + 0 = a * 0 + a * 0$ and the conclusion follows :
3. Next we have that $-a + a = 0$ and thus $-a + a * 1 + a * -1 = a * -1$ and finally by the distributive property
 $-a + a * (1 + -1) = a * -1$
 $-a + a * 0 = a * -1$ which from the first part implies that $-a + 0 = a * -1$ and the conclusion follows
4. We have that -1^2 is by definition $-1 * -1$ which by the previous proof is precisely $-(-1)$ which by definition is 1
5. Given that $ab = 0$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$
The case that both are 0 is trivially verified thus we shall consider the case when either is 0
Suppose that $a \neq 0$ then we have that $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ and thus, $a^{-1} * (ab) = 1.b$ which is 0 therefore $b = 0$
similarly if $b \neq 0$ we can show that $a = 0$

3 Question 3

By definition $\text{char}(\mathbb{F})$ is the smallest natural number n such that $(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{ntimes} = 0_{\mathbb{F}}$ if no such number exists then $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$. If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$ then there is nothing to prove. Thus assume, that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 0$

We now have that $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{ntimes} = 0_{\mathbb{F}}$. Assume that n is composite we shall derive a contradiction from this assumption;

Clearly if n is composite by definition it can be written in the form ab where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a \neq 1$ and $b \neq 1$ and $a < n$ and $b < n$

Let $(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{btimes}$ be termed as $p \in \mathbb{F}$. Since \mathbb{F} is a field we have that :

$$(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{ntimes} = (p + p \dots)_{atimes} \quad (1)$$

Let $(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{atimes}$ be termed as $q \in \mathbb{F}$. We have that ;

$$(p + p \dots)_{atimes} = (p * 1_{\mathbb{F}} + p * 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{atimes} = p * (1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{atimes} = p * q \quad (2)$$

But by definition $(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{ntimes} = 0_{\mathbb{F}}$ From (1) and (2), we have that $p * q = 0_{\mathbb{F}}$.

From the last part of the previous question we have that either $p = 0_{\mathbb{F}}$ or $q = 0_{\mathbb{F}}$ either way we get a contradiction since the minimality of n is violated ! ■

4 Question 4

We are given that \mathbb{F} is a finite field such that $|\mathbb{F}| = n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We are to show that $(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{ntimes} = 0_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Clearly \mathbb{F} cannot have $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$ for otherwise the process of adding $1_{\mathbb{F}}$ can generate infinitely many distinct elements contradicting the finiteness of \mathbb{F}

Thus $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 0$ and in particular $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = p$ for some prime p from the previous answer.

We shall show that $p|n$

Because if it were to be that p does not divide n . Then by the euclidean algorithm we have the existence of $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 < r < p - 1$ such that

$$n = q * p + r$$

Then from above , we have that

$$(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{ntimes} = (1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{q * p + rtimes}$$

$$\text{Let } (1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{qtimes} = i \text{ and } (1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{rtimes} = j$$

Then we have that ,

$$(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{ntimes} = i * 0_{\mathbb{F}} + j \text{ as } \text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = p$$

$$\text{Implying that, } (1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{ntimes} = j$$

as $r < p$ we have that $j \neq 0_{\mathbb{F}}$

Thus as long as $p|n$ we are done, since $j = 0_{\mathbb{F}}$

In fact we shall show the stronger statement that $|\mathbb{F}| = p^m$ for some $m > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

To see this , Consider the subfield of \mathbb{F} formed by the elements $1_{\mathbb{F}}, (1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{2times} \dots (1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{ptimes}$. This is a valid subfield since $0_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $1_{\mathbb{F}}$ are in this subfield and a quick check reveals that all field axioms are satisfied (we can use the euclidean algorithm to reduce any $(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{ktimes}$ where $k > p$ to $(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} \dots)_{utimes}$ where $k = mp + u$ for some m and $0 \leq u \leq p - 1$)

Now , we state that \mathbb{F} is a vector space over this subfield with the operations of Vector Addition and Scalar Multiplication defined as follows :

1. Vector Addition : This is simply the addition of any two elements in \mathbb{F}
2. Scalar Multiplication : In this case if q is an element of the subfield and $v \in \mathbb{F}$ as $q \in \mathbb{F}$ $q * v$ is well defined with respect to the multiplication in \mathbb{F} and thus we chose this to be our scalar multiplication

Using the field axioms , it is easy to see that our definition of \mathbb{F} as a vector space is valid .

Clearly then , $|\mathbb{F}| = p^m$ for $m > 0$ where m is in fact the *dimension* of this Vector Space.

This is easy to see as if m is the dimension of this vector space then there are exactly p^m distinct possible combinations of m linearly independent basis vectors (since each can have p choices in total)

■

5 Question 5

Lemma : 0 is unique

Proof : Suppose that $\exists 0, 0'$. We have that $0 + 0' = 0$ considering $0'$ to be the zero vector but then considering that 0 is the zero vector $0 + 0' = 0'$ and thus $0 = 0'$ □

Given that V is a vector space over a field \mathbb{F} and that $c \in \mathbb{F}$ and $v \in V$.

Now $0 + 0 = 0$ Implies that $c \cdot 0 = c \cdot (0 + 0) = c \cdot 0 + c \cdot 0$ Thus if $u = c \cdot 0$ then $u + c \cdot 0 = u$ which implies that u is 0 which is uniquely determined from the lemma

Now , suppose that $0_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot v = a$ for some $a \in V$ then we have that for $c \in \mathbb{F}$

$$c \cdot v = (c + 0_{\mathbb{F}}) \cdot v = c \cdot v + a$$

Once again we have that , a is uniquely determined to be 0

■

6 Question 6

Given that $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ We are to show that :

$$\text{trace}(AB) = \text{trace}(BA)$$

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$

Similarly let $B = [b_{ij}]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$

Diagonal elements of AB are of the form $[AB]_{ii}$ where $1 \leq i \leq m$ and of BA are of the form $[BA]_{ii}$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$

Using the properties of matrix multiplication

$$[AB]_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} a_{ij} b_{ji}$$

$$[BA]_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^{j=m} a_{ij} b_{ji}$$

Thus we have ,

$$\text{trace}(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=m} [AB]_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=m} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{j=n} a_{ij} b_{ji} \right)$$

Exchanging summation the right hand side gives ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=m} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{j=n} a_{ij} b_{ji} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=m} a_{ij} b_{ji} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{j=m} a_{ij} b_{ji} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} [BA]_{ii} = \text{trace}(BA)$$

■