

Homework 3: Discrete Mathematics Spring 2021 – Logic II

Due Sunday February 7 @11:59:00pm

Show all your work to receive full credit

1. Laws of PL

Consider the following proposition:

$$\neg p \vee (q \wedge (r \vee p))$$

Write the negation of the proposition above and simplify it using the laws of logic into an expression that can't be reduced further.

2. Inference

Consider the following premises:

1. $p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
2. $\neg q$
3. $\neg r$

Prove using inference rules that we can derive the conclusion:

$$\neg p$$

Make sure to cite the inference rule you used at each step.

3. FOL

Consider the following statements:

1. Some cats are lovely.
2. All mice are smart.
3. All cats are lovely and all mice are smart.
4. All cats play with all mice.

Let the domain be **Animals**. Consider the following predicates:

1. $cat(x)$: x is a cat
2. $mouse(x)$: x is a mouse
3. $play(x, y)$: x plays with y
4. $lovely(x)$: x is lovely
5. $smart(x)$: x is smart.

1. Translate the following statements in FOL. Use only the predicates above along with connectives and quantifiers. No justification needed.

4. FOL

Same context as the previous question (cats and mice FOL).

Write the negation of all FOL expressions you wrote for the statement:

All cats are lovely and all mice are smart

5. FOL

Consider the following statement:

THERE IS NO SMALLEST POSITIVE RATIONAL NUMBER.

1. Is the statement true? Explain.
2. Translate the statement to FOL. You are required to:
 - Consider the domain to be the set of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} . Use the set \mathbb{Q} . Do not use \mathbb{Q}^+ .
 - No need to define what a rational number q is, just use $q \in \mathbb{Q}$.
 - Invent as many variables as you need.
 - You cannot use predicates like “smallest(q)” or variants of it. You can only use comparison operators between numbers like $\leq, <, \geq, >, =$.