Department of Veterans Affairs	KNEE AND LOWER LEG DISABILITY BENEFITS QUESTIONNAIRE		
Name of Patient/Veteran	Patient/Veteran's Social Security Number Date of examination:		
IMPORTANT - THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS A OF COMPLETING AND/OR SUBMITTING THIS FORM		EXPENSES OR COST INCURRED IN THE PROCESS	
Note - The Veteran is applying to the U.S. Department questionnaire as part of their evaluation in processing complete VA's review of the Veteran's application. VA questionnaire will be completed by the Veteran's he	the Veteran's claim. VA may obtain additional medical in reserves the right to confirm the authenticity of ALL cor	information, including an examination, if necessary, to	
Are you completing this Disability Benefits Questionnal	re at the request of:		
Veteran/Claimant			
Third party (please list name(s) of organization(s)	or individual(s))		
Other: please describe			
Are you a VA Healthcare provider? Yes	○ No		
Is the Veteran regularly seen as a patient in your clinic	?		
Was the Veteran examined in person? Yes	○ No		
If no, how was the examination conducted?			
	EVIDENCE REVIEW		
Evidence reviewed:			
No records were reviewed			
Records reviewed			
Please identify the evidence reviewed (e.g. service treat	atment records, VA treatment records, private treatmen	nt records) and the date range.	
	SECTION I - DIAGNOSIS		
Note: These are condition(s) for which an evaluation has evidence be provided for submission to VA.	as been requested on the exam request form (Internal	VA) or for which the Veteran has requested medical	
1A. List the claimed condition(s) that pertain to this que	estionnaire:		

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2 Page 1 of 14

from a previous diagnosis for this condition, or if there is a diagnosis of a complication due to the claimed condition(s), explain your findings and reasons in the Remarks section. Date of diagnosis can be the date of the evaluation if the clinician is making the initial diagnosis or an approximate date determined through record review or reported history. 1B. Select diagnoses associated with the claimed condition(s) (check all that apply): The Veteran does not have a current diagnosis associated with any claimed condition(s) listed above. (Explain your findings and reasons in the Remarks Side affected: ICD Code: Date of diagnosis: Right O Left O Both Knee strain Right: Left: Right (Left Knee meniscal tear O Both Right: Left: Right O Both Knee anterior cruciate ligament O Left Right: Left: O Left Knee posterior cruciate ligament Right O Both Right: Left: Patellar or quadriceps tendon Right O Left O Both Right: Left: Knee joint osteoarthritis Right O Left O Both Right: Left: O Right O Both Knee joint ankylosis O Left Right: Left: O Both Knee fracture (including patellar O Left Right Right: Left: O Both Stress fracture of tibia Right O Left Right: Left: Tibia and/or fibula fracture O Left Right O Both Right: Left: O Right Recurrent patellar dislocation O Left O Both Right: Left: Recurrent subluxation Right () Left O Both Right: Left: O Right O Both Knee instability ○ Left Left: Right: O Both Right Patellar instability O Left Right: Left: Right Left: Knee cartilage restoration surgery (Left O Both Right O Right O Both Shin splints (if diagnosed with () Left Right: Left: compartment syndrome complete the Muscles questionnaire in lieu of this questionnaire) Right Patellofemoral pain syndrome O Left O Both Right: Left: Degenerative arthritis, other than Right O Left O Both Right: Left: post traumatic Arthritis, gonorrheal () Right (Left O Both Right: Left: Arthritis, pneumococcic Right () Left O Both Right: Left: Right O Both Arthritis, streptococcic O Left Right: Left: Arthritis, syphilitic Right O Left O Both Right: Left: O Both Arthritis, rheumatoid (multi-joints) Right Right: Left: O Left Right O Both Post-traumatic arthritis O Left Right: Left: O Both Arthritis, typhoid Right O Left Right: Left: O Both Right Other specified forms of O Left Right: Left: arthropathy (excluding gout) (specify) Right O Left O Both Osteoporosis, residuals of Right: Left: Right O Left O Both Osteomalacia, residuals of Right: Left:

Note: These are the diagnoses determined during this current evaluation of the claimed condition(s) listed above. If there is no diagnosis, if the diagnosis is different

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2 Page 2 of 14

Bones, neoplasm, benign	Right	O Left	O Both	Right:	Left:
Osteitis deformans	Right	C Left	Both	Right:	Left:
Gout	Right	C Left	Both	Right:	Left:
Bursitis	Right	O Left	O Both	Right:	Left:
Myositis	Right	O Left	O Both	Right:	Left:
Heterotopic ossification	Right	C Left	Both	Right:	Left:
Tendinopathy (select one if known)	Right	C Left	Both	Right:	Left:
Tendinitis	Right	C Left	O Both	Right:	Left:
Tendinosis	Right	O Left	O Both	Right:	Left:
Tenosynovitis	Right	C Left	Both	Right:	Left:
Inflammatory other types (specify)	Right	◯ Left	Both	Right:	Left:
Other (specify)					
Other diagnosis #1					
	Right	C Left	Both	Right:	Left:
Other diagnosis #2					
	Right	○ Left	Both	Right:	Left:
Other diagnosis #3					
	Right	○ Left	Both	Right:	Left:
1C. If there are additional diagnoses tha	t pertain to kn	ee conditions,	list using above format:		
		SEC	TION II - MEDICAL HISTORY		
2A. Describe the history, including onset	and course,	of the Veteran	's knee and/or lower leg condition(s). Brief	summary:	
CD Dana the Victoria and the control of	46-1	/ 0			
2B. Does the Veteran report flare-ups of Yes No	tne knee and	or lower leg?			
If yes, document the Veteran's description	on of the flare-	ups he/she ex	speriences, including the frequency, durati	on, characteristics, precipita	ting and alleviating factors,
severity and/or extent of functional impa	irment he or s	he experience	es during a flare-up of symptoms.		
L					

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2 Page 3 of 14

2C. Does the Veteran report having any functional loss or functional impairment climited to after repeated use over time?	of the joint or extremity being evaluated on this questionnaire, including but not
○ Yes ○ No	
If yes, document the Veteran's description of functional loss or functional impairm	ent in his/her own words.
2D. Does the Veteran report or have a history of instability or recurrent subluxation	n of the knee?
◯ Yes ◯ No	
If yes, document the Veteran's description of instability/recurrent subluxation in h	s/her own words.
2E. Does the Veteran report or have a history of frequent effusion of the knee?	
○ Yes ○ No	
If yes, is the frequent effusion a result of a diagnosis in Section I? Describe below	r.
SECTION III - RANGE OF MOTION	ROM) AND FUNCTIONAL LIMITATION
There are several separate parameters requested for describing function of a joir functional loss that can be ascribed to any documented loss of range of motion; a be considered. Subsequent questions take into account additional factors such a on examination, it is important to understand whether or not that pain itself contril use over time or during a flare-up; however, this is not always feasible. Information regarding joint function on repetitive use is broken up into two subset functional loss associated with repeated use over time. The observed repetitive u of motion testing. The second subset provides a more global picture of functional probability of additional functional loss as a global view. This takes into account rhistory provided by the claimant, as well as review of the available medical evider Optimally, a description of any additional loss of function should be provided - such	t. The question "Does this ROM contribute to a functional loss?" asks if there is a nd, unlike later questions, does not take into account the numerous other factors to s pain, fatigue, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination. If there is pain noted butes to functional loss. Ideally, a claimant would be seen immediately after repetitive s. The first subset is based on observed repetitive use, and the second is based on se section initially asks for objective findings after three or more repetitions of range loss associated with repetitive use over time. The latter takes into account medical ot only the objective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective noe.
There are several separate parameters requested for describing function of a joir functional loss that can be ascribed to any documented loss of range of motion; a be considered. Subsequent questions take into account additional factors such a on examination, it is important to understand whether or not that pain itself contril use over time or during a flare-up; however, this is not always feasible. Information regarding joint function on repetitive use is broken up into two subset functional loss associated with repeated use over time. The observed repetitive u of motion testing. The second subset provides a more global picture of functional probability of additional functional loss as a global view. This takes into account rhistory provided by the claimant, as well as review of the available medical evided. Optimally, a description of any additional loss of function should be provided - surepetitive use over time. However, when this is not feasible, an "as clear as possi	t. The question "Does this ROM contribute to a functional loss?" asks if there is a nd, unlike later questions, does not take into account the numerous other factors to spain, fatigue, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination. If there is pain noted butes to functional loss. Ideally, a claimant would be seen immediately after repetitive so. The first subset is based on observed repetitive use, and the second is based on se section initially asks for objective findings after three or more repetitions of range loss associated with repetitive use over time. The latter takes into account medical ot only the objective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective note.
There are several separate parameters requested for describing function of a joir functional loss that can be ascribed to any documented loss of range of motion; a be considered. Subsequent questions take into account additional factors such a on examination, it is important to understand whether or not that pain itself contril use over time or during a flare-up; however, this is not always feasible. Information regarding joint function on repetitive use is broken up into two subset functional loss associated with repeated use over time. The observed repetitive use of motion testing. The second subset provides a more global picture of functional probability of additional functional loss as a global view. This takes into account in history provided by the claimant, as well as review of the available medical evided. Optimally, a description of any additional loss of function should be provided - surepetitive use over time. However, when this is not feasible, an "as clear as possithree repetitions) is asked to be provided with regards to flare-ups.	t. The question "Does this ROM contribute to a functional loss?" asks if there is a nd, unlike later questions, does not take into account the numerous other factors to a pain, fatigue, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination. If there is pain noted outes to functional loss. Ideally, a claimant would be seen immediately after repetitive so. The first subset is based on observed repetitive use, and the second is based on see section initially asks for objective findings after three or more repetitions of range loss associated with repetitive use over time. The latter takes into account medical ot only the objective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective note. The subset is based on observed repetitive use, and the second is based on see section initially asks for objective findings after three or more repetitions of range loss associated with repetitive use over time. The latter takes into account medical ot only the objective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective note. The account of the provided is a function of the provided is a function of the loss should be provided. This same information (minus the
There are several separate parameters requested for describing function of a joir functional loss that can be ascribed to any documented loss of range of motion; a be considered. Subsequent questions take into account additional factors such a on examination, it is important to understand whether or not that pain itself contril use over time or during a flare-up; however, this is not always feasible. Information regarding joint function on repetitive use is broken up into two subset functional loss associated with repeated use over time. The observed repetitive use of motion testing. The second subset provides a more global picture of functional probability of additional functional loss as a global view. This takes into account thistory provided by the claimant, as well as review of the available medical evide. Optimally, a description of any additional loss of function should be provided - surepetitive use over time. However, when this is not feasible, an "as clear as possithree repetitions) is asked to be provided with regards to flare-ups.	t. The question "Does this ROM contribute to a functional loss?" asks if there is a nd, unlike later questions, does not take into account the numerous other factors to so pain, fatigue, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination. If there is pain noted butes to functional loss. Ideally, a claimant would be seen immediately after repetitive so. The first subset is based on observed repetitive use, and the second is based on see section initially asks for objective findings after three or more repetitions of range loss associated with repetitive use over time. The latter takes into account medical ot only the objective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective note. The asymptotic provided in the degrees of range of motion would be opined to look like after ble" description of that loss should be provided. This same information (minus the
There are several separate parameters requested for describing function of a joir functional loss that can be ascribed to any documented loss of range of motion; a be considered. Subsequent questions take into account additional factors such a on examination, it is important to understand whether or not that pain itself contril use over time or during a flare-up; however, this is not always feasible. Information regarding joint function on repetitive use is broken up into two subset functional loss associated with repeated use over time. The observed repetitive use of motion testing. The second subset provides a more global picture of functional probability of additional functional loss as a global view. This takes into account in history provided by the claimant, as well as review of the available medical evided Optimally, a description of any additional loss of function should be provided - surepetitive use over time. However, when this is not feasible, an "as clear as possisthree repetitions) is asked to be provided with regards to flare-ups. RIGHT KNEE 3A. Initial ROM measurements Abnormal or outside of normal	t. The question "Does this ROM contribute to a functional loss?" asks if there is a nd, unlike later questions, does not take into account the numerous other factors to a pain, fatigue, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination. If there is pain noted outes to functional loss. Ideally, a claimant would be seen immediately after repetitive seen seen to functional loss. Ideally, a claimant would be seen immediately after repetitive sees escition initially asks for objective findings after three or more repetitions of range loss associated with repetitive use over time. The latter takes into account medical of only the objective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective note. The same information (minus the latter takes) and the subjective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective note. The same information (minus the latter takes) and the subjective note. The same information (minus the latter takes) and the subjective note in the subjective note. The same information (minus the latter takes) and the subjective note in the subjective note. The same information (minus the latter takes) and the subjective note in the subjective note in the subjective note. The same information (minus the latter takes) and the subjective note in the subjective note i
There are several separate parameters requested for describing function of a joir functional loss that can be ascribed to any documented loss of range of motion; a be considered. Subsequent questions take into account additional factors such a on examination, it is important to understand whether or not that pain itself contril use over time or during a flare-up; however, this is not always feasible. Information regarding joint function on repetitive use is broken up into two subset functional loss associated with repeated use over time. The observed repetitive u of motion testing. The second subset provides a more global picture of functional probability of additional functional loss as a global view. This takes into account rhistory provided by the claimant, as well as review of the available medical evider Optimally, a description of any additional loss of function should be provided - surpeptitive use over time. However, when this is not feasible, an "as clear as possible repetitives used to be provided with regards to flare-ups. RIGHT KNEE 3A. Initial ROM measurements Abnormal or outside of normal range	t. The question "Does this ROM contribute to a functional loss?" asks if there is a nd, unlike later questions, does not take into account the numerous other factors to so pain, fatigue, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination. If there is pain noted butes to functional loss. Ideally, a claimant would be seen immediately after repetitive so. The first subset is based on observed repetitive use, and the second is based on se section initially asks for objective findings after three or more repetitions of range loss associated with repetitive use over time. The latter takes into account medical of only the objective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective nice. The asymptotic provided in the provided of the provided of the same information (minus the left of that loss should be provided. This same information (minus the left of the loss of the provided of normal range). All normal Abnormal or outside of normal range
There are several separate parameters requested for describing function of a joir functional loss that can be ascribed to any documented loss of range of motion; a be considered. Subsequent questions take into account additional factors such a on examination, it is important to understand whether or not that pain itself contril use over time or during a flare-up; however, this is not always feasible. Information regarding joint function on repetitive use is broken up into two subset functional loss associated with repeated use over time. The observed repetitive use of motion testing. The second subset provides a more global picture of functional probability of additional functional loss as a global view. This takes into account rhistory provided by the claimant, as well as review of the available medical evider Optimally, a description of any additional loss of function should be provided - surpeptitive use over time. However, when this is not feasible, an "as clear as possist three repetitions) is asked to be provided with regards to flare-ups. RIGHT KNEE 3A. Initial ROM measurements Abnormal or outside of normal range Unable to test Not indicated	t. The question "Does this ROM contribute to a functional loss?" asks if there is a not, unlike later questions, does not take into account the numerous other factors to a pain, fatigue, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination. If there is pain noted outes to functional loss. Ideally, a claimant would be seen immediately after repetitive so. The first subset is based on observed repetitive use, and the second is based on se section initially asks for objective findings after three or more repetitions of range loss associated with repetitive use over time. The latter takes into account medical of only the objective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective note. The first subset is based on observed repetitive use, and the second is based on se section initially asks for objective findings after three or more repetitions of range loss associated with repetitive use over time. The latter takes into account medical of only the objective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective note. LEFT KNEE 3A. Initial ROM measurements Abnormal or outside of normal range Unable to test Not indicated
There are several separate parameters requested for describing function of a joir functional loss that can be ascribed to any documented loss of range of motion; a be considered. Subsequent questions take into account additional factors such a on examination, it is important to understand whether or not that pain itself contril use over time or during a flare-up; however, this is not always feasible. Information regarding joint function on repetitive use is broken up into two subset functional loss associated with repeated use over time. The observed repetitive use of motion testing. The second subset provides a more global picture of functional probability of additional functional loss as a global view. This takes into account rhistory provided by the claimant, as well as review of the available medical evider Optimally, a description of any additional loss of function should be provided - surpeptitive use over time. However, when this is not feasible, an "as clear as possist three repetitions) is asked to be provided with regards to flare-ups. RIGHT KNEE 3A. Initial ROM measurements Abnormal or outside of normal range Unable to test Not indicated	t. The question "Does this ROM contribute to a functional loss?" asks if there is a not, unlike later questions, does not take into account the numerous other factors to a pain, fatigue, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination. If there is pain noted outes to functional loss. Ideally, a claimant would be seen immediately after repetitive so. The first subset is based on observed repetitive use, and the second is based on se section initially asks for objective findings after three or more repetitions of range loss associated with repetitive use over time. The latter takes into account medical of only the objective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective note. The first subset is based on observed repetitive use, and the second is based on se section initially asks for objective findings after three or more repetitions of range loss associated with repetitive use over time. The latter takes into account medical of only the objective findings noted on the examination, but also the subjective note. LEFT KNEE 3A. Initial ROM measurements Abnormal or outside of normal range Unable to test Not indicated

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2 Page 4 of 14

If abnormal, does the range of motion itself contribute to a functional loss?	If abnormal, does the range of motion itself contribute to a functional loss?
○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No
(if yes, please explain)	(if yes, please explain)
Note: For any joint condition, examiners should address pain on both passive and should also test the contralateral joint (unless medically contraindicated). If testing Veteran severe pain or the risk of further injury), an explanation must be given belo expression or wincing on pressure or manipulation).	
Can testing be performed?	Can testing be performed?
○ Yes ○ No	Yes No
If no, provide an explanation:	If no, provide an explanation:
If this is the unclaimed joint, is it: O Damaged Undamaged	If this is the unclaimed joint, is it:
If undamaged, range of motion testing must be conducted.	If undamaged, range of motion testing must be conducted.
Active Range of Motion (ROM) - Perform active range of motion and provide the ROM values.	Active Range of Motion (ROM) - Perform active range of motion and provide the ROM values.
Flexion endpoint (140 degrees): degrees	Flexion endpoint (140 degrees): degrees
Extension endpoint (0 degrees): degrees	Extension endpoint (0 degrees): degrees
If noted on examination, which ROM exhibited pain (select all that apply):	If noted on examination, which ROM exhibited pain (select all that apply):
Flexion Extension	Flexion Extension
If any limitation of motion is specifically attributable to pain, weakness, fatigability, incoordination, or other; please note the degree(s) in which limitation of motion is specifically attributable to the factors identified and describe.	If any limitation of motion is specifically attributable to pain, weakness, fatigability, incoordination, or other; please note the degree(s) in which limitation of motion is specifically attributable to the factors identified and describe.
Flexion degree endpoint (if different than above)	Flexion degree endpoint (if different than above)
Extension degree endpoint (if different than above)	Extension degree endpoint (if different than above)
Passive Range of Motion - Perform passive range of motion and provide the ROM values.	Passive Range of Motion - Perform passive range of motion and provide the ROM values.
Flexion endpoint (140 degrees): degrees Same as active ROM	Flexion endpoint (140 degrees): degrees Same as active ROM
Extension endpoint (0 degrees): degrees Same as active ROM	Extension endpoint (0 degrees): degrees Same as active ROM
If noted on examination, which passive ROM exhibited pain (select all that apply):	If noted on examination, which passive ROM exhibited pain (select all that apply):
Flexion Extension	Flexion Extension

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2 Page 5 of 14

If any limitation of motion is specifically attributable to pain, weakness, fatigability, incoordination, or other; please note the degree(s) in which limitation of motion is specifically attributable to the factors identified and describe.	If any limitation of motion is specifically attributable to pain, weakness, fatigability, incoordination, or other; please note the degree(s) in which limitation of motion is specifically attributable to the factors identified and describe.			
Flexion degree endpoint (if different than above)	Flexion degree endpoint (if different than above)			
Extension degree endpoint (if different than above)	Extension degree endpoint (if different than above)			
Is there evidence of pain?	Is there evidence of pain?			
○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No			
If yes, check all that apply:	If yes, check all that apply:			
weight-bearing nonweight-bearing	weight-bearing nonweight-bearing			
active motion passive motion	active motion passive motion			
on rest/non-movement does not result in/cause functional loss	on rest/non-movement does not result in/cause functional loss			
causes functional loss (if checked describe in the comments box below)	auses functional loss (if checked describe in the comments box below)			
Comments:	Comments:			
Is there objective evidence of crepitus? Yes No	Is there objective evidence of crepitus? No No			
Is there objective evidence of localized tenderness or pain on palpation of the	Is there objective evidence of localized tenderness or pain on palpation of the			
joint or associated soft tissue?	joint or associated soft tissue?			
Yes No	○ Yes ○ No			
If yes, please explain. Include location, severity, and relationship to condition(s).	If yes, please explain. Include location, severity, and relationship to condition(s).			
RIGHT KNEE	LEFT KNEE			
3B. Observed repetitive use ROM	3B. Observed repetitive use ROM			
Is the Veteran able to perform repetitive-use testing with at least three repetitions?	Is the Veteran able to perform repetitive-use testing with at least three repetitions?			
Yes No	Yes No			
If no, please explain:	If no, please explain:			

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2 Page 6 of 14

Is there additional loss of function or range of motion after three repetitions?	Is there additional loss of function or range of motion after three repetitions?			
Yes No	◯ Yes ◯ No			
If yes, please respond to the following after the completion of the three repetitions:	If yes, please respond to the following after the completion of the three repetitions:			
Flexion endpoint (140 degrees): degrees	Flexion endpoint (140 degrees): degrees			
Extension endpoint (0 degrees): degrees	Extension endpoint (0 degrees): degrees			
Select factors that cause this functional loss. Check all that apply.	Select factors that cause this functional loss. Check all that apply.			
Pain Fatigability Weakness Lack of endurance	Pain Fatigability Weakness Lack of endurance			
Incoordination Other: N/A	Incoordination Other: N/A			
Note: When pain is associated with movement, the examiner must give a statemen after repeated use over time in terms of additional loss of range of motion. In the exof motion (in degrees) that reflect frequency, duration, and during flare-ups - even in	kam report, the examiner is requested to provide an estimate of decreased range			
3C. Repeated use over time	3C. Repeated use over time			
Is the Veteran being examined immediately after repeated use over time?	Is the Veteran being examined immediately after repeated use over time?			
◯ Yes ◯ No	○ Yes ○ No			
Does procured evidence (statements from the Veteran) suggest pain, fatigability, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination which significantly limits functional ability with repeated use over time?	Does procured evidence (statements from the Veteran) suggest pain, fatigability, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination which significantly limits functional ability with repeated use over time?			
○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No			
Select factors that cause this functional loss. Check all that apply.	Select factors that cause this functional loss. Check all that apply.			
Pain Fatigability Weakness Lack of endurance	Pain Fatigability Weakness Lack of endurance			
Incoordination Other: N/A	☐ Incoordination ☐ Other: ☐ N/A			
Estimate range of motion in degrees for this joint immediately after repeated use over time based on information procured from relevant sources including the lay statements of the Veteran.	Estimate range of motion in degrees for this joint immediately after repeated use over time based on information procured from relevant sources including the lay statements of the Veteran.			
Flexion endpoint (140 degrees): degrees	Flexion endpoint (140 degrees): degrees			
Extension endpoint (0 degrees): degrees	Extension endpoint (0 degrees): degrees			
The examiner should provide the estimated range of motion based on a review of all procurable information - to include the Veteran's statement on examination, case-specific evidence (to include medical treatment records when applicable and lay evidence), and the examiner's medical expertise. If, after evaluation of the procurable and assembled data, the examiner determines that it is not feasible to provide this estimate, the examiner should explain why an estimate cannot be provided. The explanation should not be based on an examiner's shortcomings or a general aversion to offering an estimate on issues not directly observed. Please cite and discuss evidence. (Must be specific to the case and based on all procurable evidence.)	The examiner should provide the estimated range of motion based on a review of all procurable information - to include the Veteran's statement on examination, case-specific evidence (to include medical treatment records when applicable and lay evidence), and the examiner's medical expertise. If, after evaluation of the procurable and assembled data, the examiner determines that it is not feasible to provide this estimate, the examiner should explain why an estimate cannot be provided. The explanation should not be based on an examiner's shortcomings or a general aversion to offering an estimate on issues not directly observed. Please cite and discuss evidence. (Must be specific to the case and based on all procurable evidence.)			
RIGHT KNEE	LEFT KNEE			
3D. Flare-ups	3D. Flare-ups			
Is the examination being conducted during a flare-up?	Is the examination being conducted during a flare-up?			
Yes No	◯ Yes ◯ No			
Does procured evidence (statements from the Veteran) suggest pain, fatigability, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination which significantly limits functional ability with flare-ups?	Does procured evidence (statements from the Veteran) suggest pain, fatigability, weakness, lack of endurance, or incoordination which significantly limits functional ability with flare-ups?			
○ Yes ○ No	◯ Yes ◯ No			

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2 Page 7 of 14

Select factors that cause this functional	loss. Check all that apply.	Select factors that cause this functional	l loss. Check all that apply.		
Pain Fatigability	Weakness Lack of endurance	Pain Fatigability	Weakness Lack of endurance		
Incoordination Other:	N/A	Incoordination Other:	N/A		
Estimate range of motion in degrees for information procured from relevant source Veteran.		Estimate range of motion in degrees fo information procured from relevant sou Veteran.	r this joint during flare-ups based on rces including the lay statements of the		
Flexion endpoint (140 degrees):	degrees	Flexion endpoint (140 degrees):	degrees		
Extension endpoint (0 degrees):	degrees	Extension endpoint (0 degrees):	degrees		
The examiner should provide the estimated range of motion based on a review of all procurable information - to include the Veteran's statement on examination, case-specific evidence (to include medical treatment records when applicable and lay evidence), and the examiner's medical expertise. If, after evaluation of the procurable and assembled data, the examiner determines that it is not feasible to provide this estimate, the examiner should explain why an estimate cannot be provided. The explanation should not be based on an examiner's shortcomings or a general aversion to offering an estimate on issues not directly observed.		The examiner should provide the estimated range of motion based on a review of all procurable information - to include the Veteran's statement on examination, case-specific evidence (to include medical treatment records when applicable and lay evidence), and the examiner's medical expertise. If, after evaluation of the procurable and assembled data, the examiner determines that it is not feasible to provide this estimate, the examiner should explain why an estimate cannot be provided. The explanation should not be based on an examiner's shortcomings or a general aversion to offering an estimate on issues not directly observed.			
Please cite and discuss evidence. (Must procurable evidence.)	t be specific to the case and based on all	Please cite and discuss evidence. (Mus procurable evidence.)	st be specific to the case and based on all		
3E. Additional factors contributing to dis	ability	3E. Additional factors contributing to di	sability		
In addition to those addressed above, a disability? Please select all that apply ar	re there additional contributing factors of nd describe:	In addition to those addressed above, a disability? Please select all that apply a	are there additional contributing factors of and describe:		
None	Interference with sitting	None	Interference with sitting		
Interference with standing	Swelling	Interference with standing	Swelling		
Disturbance of locomotion	Deformity	Disturbance of locomotion	Deformity		
Less movement than normal	More movement than normal (indicate if there is nonunion of fracture)	Less movement than normal	More movement than normal (indicate if there is nonunion of fracture)		
	nonunion of fracture		nonunion of fracture		
Weakened movement	Atrophy of disuse	Weakened movement	Atrophy of disuse		
Instability of station	Other, describe:	Instability of station	Other, describe:		
Please describe additional contributing f	factors of disability:	Please describe additional contributing	factors of disability:		
	SECTION IV - MU	JSCLE ATROPHY			
4A. Does the Veteran have muscle atrophy?		4A. Does the Veteran have muscle atrophy?			
Yes No		○ Yes ○ No			
4B. If yes, is the muscle atrophy due to t section?	the claimed condition in the diagnosis	4B. If yes, is the muscle atrophy due to section?	the claimed condition in the diagnosis		
○ Yes ○ No		Yes No			
If no, provide rationale:		If no, provide rationale:			

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2 Page 8 of 14

4C. For any muscle atrophy due to a diagnosis listed in Section I, indicate specific location of atrophy, providing measurements in centimeters of normal side and corresponding atrophied side, measured at maximum muscle bulk.	4C. For any muscle atrophy due to a diagnosis listed in Section I, indicate specific location of atrophy, providing measurements in centimeters of normal side and corresponding atrophied side, measured at maximum muscle bulk.			
Right lower extremity (specify location of measurement such as "10cm above or below knee"):	Left lower extremity (specify location of measurement such as "10cm above or below knee"):			
Circumference of more normal side: cm	Circumference of more normal side: cm			
Circumference of atrophied side: cm	Circumference of atrophied side: cm			
RIGHT KNEE	LEFT KNEE			
SECTION V -	ANKYLOSIS			
Note: Ankylosis is the immobilization of a joint due to disease, injury, or surgical pro-	ocedure.			
5A. Is there ankylosis of the knee and/or Yes No lower leg?	5A. Is there ankylosis of the knee and/or Yes No lower leg?			
If yes, indicate the severity of ankylosis:	If yes, indicate the severity of ankylosis:			
Favorable angle in full extension or in slight flexion between 0 and 10 degrees	Favorable angle in full extension or in slight flexion between 0 and 10 degrees			
In flexion between 10 and 20 degrees	In flexion between 10 and 20 degrees			
In flexion between 20 and 45 degrees	In flexion between 20 and 45 degrees			
Extremely unfavorable, in flexion at an angle of 45 degrees or more	Extremely unfavorable, in flexion at an angle of 45 degrees or more			
5B. Indicate angle of ankylosis in degrees.	5B. Indicate angle of ankylosis in degrees.			
degrees N/A no ankylosis of knee joint	degrees N/A no ankylosis of knee joint			
5C. If ankylosed, is there involvement of Muscle Group XIII (posterior thigh group, hamstring complex of 2-joint muscles: (1) biceps femoris; (2) semimembranosus; (3) semitendinosus)?	5C. If ankylosed, is there involvement of Muscle Group XIII (posterior thigh group, hamstring complex of 2-joint muscles: (1) biceps femoris; (2) semimembranosus; (3) semitendinosus)?			
○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No			
If yes, complete the Muscle Injuries questionnaire.	If yes, complete the Muscle Injuries questionnaire.			
SECTION VI - JO	DINT STABILITY			
Note: For patellar instability, the patellofemoral complex consists of the quadriceps involve repair of one or more patellofemoral components that contribute to the under but not limited to, arthroscopy to remove loose bodies and joint aspiration).				
6A. Is there recurrent subluxation or Yes No persistent instability?	6A. Is there recurrent subluxation or Yes No persistent instability?			
6B. Is there or has there been a Yes No ligament tear (sprain)?	6B. Is there or has there been a Section Yes No ligament tear (sprain)?			
If yes, select one of the following.	If yes, select one of the following.			
Complete ligament Incomplete/partial ligament tear tear	Complete ligament Incomplete/partial ligament tear tear			
6C. Was the ligament tear repaired? Yes No	6C. Was the ligament tear repaired? Yes No			
If yes, select one of the following.	If yes, select one of the following.			
Complete tear Complete tear repair- successful repair- failed	Complete tear Complete tear repair- successful repair- failed			
6D. Does the Veteran require a prescription (by a Yes No medical provider) of any of the following for ambulation?	6D. Does the Veteran require a prescription (by a Yes No medical provider) of any of the following for ambulation?			
If yes, check all that apply.	If yes, check all that apply.			
Cane(s) Walker	Cane(s) Walker			
Crutches Brace(s)	Crutches Brace(s)			

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2 Page 9 of 14

6E. Is there recurrent patellar instability? Yes No	6E. Is there recurrent patellar instability? Yes No
6F. Has the Veteran had surgical repair of the Yes No knee for patellar instability?	6F. Has the Veteran had surgical repair of the Yes No knee for patellar instability?
If yes, please describe:	If yes, please describe:
6G. Does the Veteran require a Yes No	6G. Does the Veteran require a Yes No
prescription (by a medical provider) of any of the following for ambulation with	prescription (by a medical provider) of any of the following for ambulation with
patellar instability?	patellar instability?
If yes, check all that apply.	If yes, check all that apply.
Cane(s) Walker	Cane(s) Walker
Crutches Brace(s)	Crutches Brace(s)
RIGHT KNEE	LEFT KNEE
SECTION VII - TIBIAL OI	R FIBULAR IMPAIRMENT
7A. Does the Veteran currently have or has the Veteran been diagnosed with a	7A. Does the Veteran currently have or has the Veteran been diagnosed with a
recurrent patellar dislocation, shin splints (medial tibial stress syndrome), stress fractures, or any other tibial or fibular impairment?	recurrent patellar dislocation, shin splints (medial tibial stress syndrome), stress fractures, or any other tibial or fibular impairment?
Yes No (if yes, indicate condition and complete the appropriate sections below):	Yes No (if yes, indicate condition and complete the appropriate sections below):
Stress fracture of the lower leg (If this affects ROM of the ankle, please complete the appropriate musculoskeletal questionnaire and ROM section)	Stress fracture of the lower leg (If this affects ROM of the ankle, please complete the appropriate musculoskeletal questionnaire and ROM section)
Describe current symptoms:	Describe current symptoms:
Acquired and/or traumatic genu recurvatum with objectively demonstrated weakness and insecurity in weight-bearing.	Acquired and/or traumatic genu recurvatum with objectively demonstrated weakness and insecurity in weight-bearing.
Recurrent patellar dislocation	Recurrent patellar dislocation
"Shin Splints" (medial tibial stress syndrome - MTSS) (indicate all treatment	"Shin Splints" (medial tibial stress syndrome - MTSS) (indicate all treatment
and symptoms below)	and symptoms below)
treatment for less than 12 consecutive months	treatment for less than 12 consecutive months
unresponsive to shoe orthotics or other conservative treatment	unresponsive to shoe orthotics or other conservative treatment
requiring treatment for 12 consecutive months or more	requiring treatment for 12 consecutive months or more
responsive to surgery	responsive to surgery
unresponsive to surgery	unresponsive to surgery
Leg length discrepancy (shortening of any bones of the lower extremity) (If checked, provide length of each lower extremity in inches (to the nearest 1/4 inch) or centimeters measuring from the anterior superior iliac spine to the internal malleolus of the tibia).	Leg length discrepancy (shortening of any bones of the lower extremity) (If checked, provide length of each lower extremity in inches (to the nearest 1/4 inch) or centimeters measuring from the anterior superior iliac spine to the internal malleolus of the tibia).
Measurements: Right leg: cm inch	Measurements: Left leg: or on inch
For any leg length discrepancy, please describe the relationship to	For any leg length discrepancy, please describe the relationship to
the conditions listed in the diagnosis section above:	the conditions listed in the diagnosis section above:

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2 Page 10 of 14

		SECTION VIII - MEN	ISCAL CONDI	TIONS	
	Veteran currently have or hindunar cartilage) condition	as the Veteran been diagnosed with a		Veteran currently have or limitunar cartilage) condition	has the Veteran been diagnosed with a 1?
O Yes (No (If yes, indicated of symptoms	ate severity and frequency):	○ Yes	No (If yes, indic of symptoms	ate severity and frequency s):
[No current symptoms	Meniscal dislocation		No current symptoms	Meniscal dislocation
[Meniscal tear	Frequent episodes of joint "locking"		Meniscal tear	Frequent episodes of joint "locking"
[Frequent episodes of joint pain	Frequent episodes of joint effusion		Frequent episodes of joint pain	Frequent episodes of joint effusion
F [For all checked boxes above	/e, describe:		For all checked boxes abo	ove, describe:
	RIGHT KNEI			LEFT KNEE	
		SECTION IX - SURG	ICAL PROCE	DURES	
Provide the ad	ditional information as requ	the Veteran has had performed and uested (check all that apply):	provide the a	dditional information as req	nt the Veteran has had performed and juested (check all that apply):
Knee joint	t resurfacing	Date of surgery:	Knee joi	nt resurfacing	Date of surgery:
Total knee	e joint replacement	Date of surgery:	Total kne	ee joint replacement	Date of surgery:
	Total knee joint replacement residuals:	None		Total knee joint replacement residuals:	None
[Intermediate degrees residual weakness, palimitation of motion			Intermediate degrees residual weakness, p limitation of motion	
[Other residuals, describe:			Other residuals, describe:	
Meniscec	etomy	Date of surgery:	Menisce	ctomy	Date of surgery:
Arthrosco	opic ligament repair	Date of surgery:	Arthrosc	opic ligament repair	Date of surgery:
Other sure (specify b	gery not described below):	Date of surgery:	Other su (specify	rgery not described below):	Date of surgery:
٦	Type of surgery:			Type of surgery:	
	signs of symptoms due to other knee surgery not des	meniscectomy, arthroscopic ligament cribed above:		I signs of symptoms due to other knee surgery not des	meniscectomy, arthroscopic ligament scribed above:
ז]	Describe residuals:			Describe residuals:	
	SECTION X - OTHER P	ERTINENT PHYSICAL FINDINGS, COM	PLICATIONS,	CONDITIONS, SIGNS, SY	MPTOMS, AND SCARS
10A. Does the section above?		ertinent physical findings, complications, c	onditions, signs	s or symptoms related to ar	ny conditions listed in the diagnosis
O Yes	No If yes, descri	be (brief summary):			

Knee and Lower Leg
Disability Benefits Questionnaire

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2
Page 11 of 14

10B. Does the Veteran has section?	ave any scars or other disfigurement (of the	e skin) relate	d to any condition	ns or to the trea	atment of any cor	nditions listed	d in the diagnosis	
○ Yes ○ No	If yes, also complete the appropriate de	ermatological	questionnaire.					
	SEC	TION XI- A	SSISTIVE DE\	/ICES				
11A. Does the Veteran us methods may be possible	se any assistive devices (other than those ??	noted in Sec	tion VI) as a norn	nal mode of loc	omotion, althoug	jh occasiona	l locomotion by other	
◯ Yes ◯ No								
If Yes, identify the assisting	ve devices used. Check all that apply and	indicate frequ	uency.					
Wheelchair	Frequency	of use:	Occasion	nal	Regular	(Constant	
Brace	Frequency	of use:	Occasion	nal	Regular	(Constant	
Crutches	Frequency	of use:	Occasion	nal	Regular	(Constant	
Cane(s)	Frequency	of use:	Occasion	nal	Regular	(Constant	
Walker	Frequency	of use:	Occasion	nal	Regular	(Constant	
Other, describe:	Frequency	of use:	Occasion	nal	Regular	(Constant	
11B. If the Veteran uses	any assistive devices, specify the condition	n, indicate the	e side, and identif	y the assistive	device used for	each condition	on.	_
	SECTION XII - REMAINI	NG EFFEC	TIVE FUNCTION	N OF THE E	XTREMITIES			_
Note: The intention of this section is to permit the examiner to quantify the level of remaining function; it is not intended to inquire whether the Veteran should undergo an amputation with fitting of a prosthesis. For example, if the functions of grasping (hand) or propulsion (foot) are as limited as if the Veteran had an amputation and prosthesis, the examiner should check "yes" and describe the diminished functioning. The question simply asks whether the functional loss is to the same degree as if there were an amputation of the affected limb.								
	knee or lower leg condition(s), is there fuved by an amputation with prosthesis (fun						other than that which	
Yes, fu	nctioning is so diminished that amputation	with prosthe	sis would equally	serve the Vete	eran.			
○ No								
If yes, indic	ate extremities for which this applies:	Right	lower	Left lowe	r			
12B. For each checked e	xtremity, identify the condition causing los	s of function,	describe loss of	effective function	on and provide sp	pecific exam	oles (brief summary):	

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2 Page 12 of 14

SECTION XIII - DIAGNOSTIC TESTING
Note: Testing listed below is not indicated for every condition. The diagnosis of degenerative arthritis (osteoarthritis) or post-traumatic arthritis must be confirmed by imaging studies. Once such arthritis has been documented, even if in the past, no further imaging studies are required by VA, even if arthritis has worsened.
13A. Have clinically relevant diagnostic imaging studies or other diagnostic procedures been performed or reviewed in conjunction with this examination?
13B. If yes, is degenerative or post-traumatic arthritis documented? Yes No
If yes, indicate side: Right Left Both
13C. If yes, provide type of test or procedure, date, and results (brief summary):
13D. Are there any other clinically relevant diagnostic test findings or results related to the claimed condition(s) and/or diagnosis(es), that were reviewed in
conjunction with this examination?
○ Yes ○ No
If yes, provide type of test or procedure, date, and results (brief summary):
13E. If any test results are other than normal, indicate relationship of abnormal findings to diagnosed conditions:
SECTION XIV - FUNCTIONAL IMPACT
Note: Provide the impact of only the diagnosed condition(s), without consideration of the impact of other medical conditions or factors, such as age.
14A. Regardless of the Veteran's current employment status, do the conditions listed in the diagnosis section impact his/her ability to perform any type of occupational task (such as standing, walking, lifting, sitting, etc.)?
○ Yes ○ No
If yes, describe the functional impact of each condition, providing one or more examples:

Knee and Lower Leg
Disability Benefits Questionnaire

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2
Page 13 of 14

SECTION XV - REMARKS				
15A. Remarks (if any - please identify the section to which the remark pertains when appropriate).				
CECTION VIII. EVANINEDIO CERTIFICATIONI AND CICALITATI				
SECTION XVI - EXAMINER'S CERTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE CERTIFICATION. To the best of my knowledge, the information contained berein is accurate, complete and current				
CERTIFICATION - To the best of my knowledge, the information contained herein is accurate, complete and current. PENALTY: The law provides severe penalties which include fine or imprisonment, or both, for the willful submission of any statement or evidence of a material fact,				
knowing it to be false, or for the fraudulent acceptance of any payment to which you are not entitled.				
16A. Examiner's signature:		16B. Examiner's printed name and title (e.g. MD, DO, DDS, DMD, Ph.D, Psy.D, NP, PA-C):		
16C. Examiner's Area of Practice/Specialty (e.g. Cardiology, Orthopedics, Psychology/Psychiatry, General Practice): 16D. Date Signed:				16D. Date Signed:
16E. Examiner's phone/fax numbers:	16F. National Provider Identifier (NPI) number: 16G. Med		16G. Medica	Il license number and state:
16H. Examiner's address:				

Knee and Lower Leg
Disability Benefits Questionnaire

Updated on: 2024-09-03 ~v24_2
Page 14 of 14