

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/304747943>

Swarbrick, M. (2012). A Wellness Approach to Mental Health Recovery. In Recovery of People with Mental Illness: Philosophical and Related Perspectives. Abraham Rudnick,(ed). Oxford...

Chapter · January 2012

CITATION

1

READS

6,963

1 author:



[Margaret Swarbrick](#)

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

134 PUBLICATIONS 1,068 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

In Recovery of People with Mental Illness (2012) by Abraham Rudnick

Chapter 3

A wellness approach to mental health recovery

Margaret Swarbrick

Introduction

Recovery is a deeply personal, unique process of regaining physical, spiritual, mental, and emotional balance when one has experienced illness, crisis, or trauma. Through this process, we manage associated challenges while adjusting attitudes, beliefs, and often life roles and goals. Thus recovery is a process of healing and restoring a sense of physical, mental, and spiritual balance (mind-body-spirit) during episodes of stress and crisis. Recovery is impeded by a life of poverty, poor access to high-quality health-care and other needed health and social supports, and the effects of stigma, discrimination, and social exclusion. Mental health recovery is now being viewed in the context of wellness, initially introduced within public health. This chapter will explore mental health recovery in the context of a wellness model, recognizing that, philosophically, wellness—and health—can be viewed as the opposite of illness (as is often the perspective in biomedicine) or as part of a different dimension to that of illness (as is the perspective here) (Rudnick, 2002). Determinants of health will be reviewed and wellness strategies will be examined as a proactive means of promoting mental health recovery and social inclusion. A detailed philosophical discussion will not be attempted here, but the author's endorsement of wellness (and health) as separate from illness will philosophically inform this whole chapter.

Recovery and wellness

Around the world, "recovery" has become a vision, outcome, and framework for transforming lives and the mental health service delivery system. Strong advocacy by the service user survivor movement, as well as research and strong leadership by prominent leaders, promoted the notion of recovery (Deegan, 1988), now endorsed at an international level (Slade et al, 2008). Service users want the same things that most people in society desire, namely a sense of belonging, an adequate income, and a decent place to live. They aspire to fulfill various life roles and contribute to their community at large. Despite these generic similarities in overall goals, recovery remains a personal, unique process of (re)gaining physical, spiritual, mental/emotional, and intellectual balance after one has encountered illness, crisis, or trauma, (or often a combination of all three). For some, recovery means the ability to work, to live in housing of their own choice, to have friends and intimate relationships, and to become

contributing members of their healing and restoring health resolution of traumatic experience.

In addition to embracing a now shifting to adopt a focus on a process that requires a personal satisfying lifestyle (Swarbrick, 1997). Wellness habits, including adequate sleep, participation in meaningful activities (Swarbrick, 1997). Wellness is mental and emotional well-being, mental, occupational, intellectual. Recovery Action Plan (WRAP) (Copeland, 1997), is a clear example of wellness principles into an effective within community mental health in Asia. The wellness dimension

Box 3.1 Dimension

Physical dimension: involve health habits, adequate nutrition, care. It is important to emphasize cessation, and stress awareness of co-occurring medical conditions that empower service users access timely preventative and

Intellectual dimension: involve learned, and sharing knowledge and help individuals to find meaning at the same time helping them to develop Services and supports should be up to date on issues, as well as on

Environmental dimension: involve safe and clean surroundings; includes both our micro-environment and our macro-environment supports should help people promote learning, contemplation

Spiritual dimension: involve and peace. This is one of two recoveries. The mental health rather than as helping people traditions and environments

contributing members of their community. Recovery can be described as a process of healing and restoring *health and wellness* during episodes of illness, life stress, and resolution of traumatic experiences (Swarbrick, 1997).

In addition to embracing a recovery vision, community mental health practice is now shifting to adopt a focus on wellness. Wellness is defined as a conscious, deliberate process that requires a person to become aware of and make choices for a more satisfying lifestyle (Swarbrick, 2006). A *wellness lifestyle* includes a balance of health habits, including adequate sleep, rest, and good nutrition, productivity and exercise, participation in meaningful activity, and connections with supportive relationships (Swarbrick, 1997). Wellness views a person holistically (i.e. it involves more than just mental and emotional well-being), and includes physical, intellectual, social, environmental, occupational, intellectual, financial, and spiritual dimensions. The Wellness Recovery Action Plan (WRAP), which was introduced by Mary Ellen Copeland (Copeland, 1997), is a clear example of how service users have translated recovery and wellness principles into an effective self-care strategy that is now embraced widely within community mental health practice in the USA and throughout Europe and Asia. The wellness dimensions are defined and explained briefly in Box 3.1.

Box 3.1 Dimensions of wellness

Physical dimension: involves the maintenance of a healthy body, good physical health habits, adequate nutrition and exercise, and obtaining appropriate health-care. It is important to empower people to focus on nutrition, exercise, smoking cessation, and stress awareness and reduction as means of self-care and prevention of co-occurring medical conditions. It is also important to offer services and supports that empower service users to establish healthy habits and routines and to access timely preventative and needed healthcare services.

Intellectual dimension: involves lifelong learning, application of knowledge learned, and sharing knowledge. We need to recognize people's creative abilities and help individuals to find ways to expand their knowledge and skills while at the same time helping them to discover the potential for sharing these gifts with others. Services and supports should help people to pursue personal interests and keep up to date on issues, as well as offering opportunities to share ideas.

Environmental dimension: involves being able to be and feel physically safe, in safe and clean surroundings, and able to access clean air, food, and water. This includes both our micro-environment (the places where we live, learn, work, etc.) and our macro-environment (our communities, country, and planet). Services and supports should help people to create living, learning, and working spaces that promote learning, contemplation, and relaxation.

Spiritual dimension: involves having meaning and purpose and a sense of balance and peace. This is one of two aspects of life that sustain many people during their recoveries. The mental health system sometimes views spirituality as pathology rather than as helping people to connect with cultural, religious, and/or spiritual traditions and environments that enhance self-identity.

to mental

physical, spiritual, mental, crisis, or trauma. Through-
ing attitudes, beliefs, and
ng and restoring a sense of
during episodes of stress
ess to high-quality health-
ffects of stigma, discrimi-
eing viewed in the context
apter will explore mental
ing that, philosophically,
is (as is often the perspec-
that of illness (as is the
ill be reviewed and well-
romoting mental health
sion will not be attempt-
as separate from illness

nd framework for trans-
Strong advocacy by the
g leadership by promi-
!), now endorsed at an
same things that most
equate income, and a
ontribute to their com-
ils, recovery remains a
ental/emotional, and
r trauma, (or often a
ity to work, to live in
ships, and to become

Box 3.1 (Cont'd)

Social dimension: involves having relationships with friends, family, and the community, and having an interest in and concern for the needs of others and human-kind. Social support and connectedness is a key ingredient for supporting recovery.

Emotional dimension: involves the ability to express feelings, enjoy life, adjust to emotional challenges, and cope with stress and traumatic life experiences. Emotional stability helps an individual to recognize conflict as being potentially healthy, and enhances self-acceptance and contentment.

Financial dimension: involves the ability to have financial resources to meet practical needs, and a sense of control and knowledge of personal finances. Financial instability can result in emotional distress. Therefore attention to financial/economic self-sufficiency is a central focus of assessment and intervention.

Occupational dimension: involves participating in activities that provide meaning and purpose, including employment, voluntary work, and engagement in meaningful activity connected to social roles. Through their jobs, people find self-definition, structure their lives, develop a sense of self-efficacy, develop and maintain relationships, maintain incomes that support financial wellness, and more. Underemployment and unemployment undermine recovery. Therefore access to evidence-based supported employment services is essential for any funded service delivery system, and is key to transcending the poverty trap that is too often faced by service users.

In terms of mental health recovery, a person can regain mental and emotional balance—even if mental illness and related symptoms persist—by focusing on the various aspects of overall wellness, including social support, spiritual connections, and taking care of their physical health by obtaining adequate relaxation, sleep, and nutrition. The need to attend to wellness is evidenced by the increased morbidity and mortality experienced by people with a serious mental illness, which is largely due to treatable medical conditions that are caused by modifiable risk factors such as smoking, obesity, substance abuse, and inadequate access to medical care (Parks et al, 2006), and is compounded by the adverse effects on health of medications prescribed for their psychiatric conditions.

Around the world, there has been a focus on mobilizing action to address this health crisis. Many service users have assumed key roles in helping the US Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) to launch the Wellness Campaign (Manderscheid and del Vecchio, 2008). They are mobilizing efforts to address the considerably shortened life expectancy and reduced quality of life associated with living with a serious mental illness. The wellness campaign aims to motivate action to incorporate wellness as a means of enhancing quality of life, and demonstrate the value of focusing on improving health behaviors by incorporating the eight dimensions of wellness into recovery. This reflects the critical need to embrace and implement a focus on wellness, and to advocate for health promotion models for community mental health practice.

Being regularly engaged (vocational) is a key aspect recognized as a central goal; users should avoid stressors, debated. Although moderate level of employment, income of the mental healthcare rates for service users caring are underemployed, prevailing minimum wage.

Almost everybody relies on reasons. Our basic social network and include friendship, circle to whom we are close, judgment, to help us in choice, entrée so that we can express, play a role in reducing the aging and disability more.

Many factors contribute to symptoms, family, ghetto, attempts to help people in mid-1970s in the form of Bellack, 1976). This kind of *engagement and recovery* (IM) stance of having five or more self-management programs (2011). Finally, while the group have less access to Internet population; this is an effort to work together to remedy.

People in our social network "natural" supports. "Natural" supports, friends, co-workers, and take) nature. Such supports, dignity, and self-esteem." There is some form of payment for providers—such as community University Collaborative contribute to the tendency including the psychiatric societal stigma. Issues of public multisystem challenge. So encouraging family connections found in isolated locations access.

friends, family, and the community needs of others and human-ingredient for supporting

s feelings, enjoy life, adjust to life experiences. Emotional being potentially healthy, and

financial resources to meet personal finances. Financial fore attention to financial/ent and intervention.

ivities that provide meaning, and engagement in meaningful jobs, people find self-efficacy, develop and maintain wellness, and more. Underlying. Therefore access to evi- tial for any funded service trap that is too often faced

mental and emotional balance—by focusing on the various connections, and taking on, sleep, and nutrition. The morbidity and mortality experienced due to treatable medical conditions such as smoking, obesity, and is com- rks et al, 2006), and is com- escribed for their psychiat-

ction to address this health ing the US Substance Abuse .) to launch the Wellness are mobilizing efforts to uced quality of life associ- ampaign aims to motivate ty of life, and demonstrate porating the eight dimen- d to embrace and imple- on models for community

Being regularly engaged in meaningful and purposeful activity (occupational or vocational) is a key aspect of recovery from many health conditions, and has long been recognized as a central goal of rehabilitation services. The issue of whether service users should avoid stressful employment in the primary labor market has long been debated. Although modern thinking advocates helping people to choose their own level of employment, including full-time primary labor market work, large segments of the mental healthcare community do not fully embrace this, and unemployment rates for service users can be as high as 85%. Many of the service users who are working are underemployed, or are employed in positions that pay less than 150% of the prevailing minimum wage (Cook, 2006).

Almost everybody relies on a personal social network for both social and functional reasons. Our basic social needs are met by our relationships with friends and family, and include friendship, companionship, love, and belonging. We also rely on the people to whom we are close to discuss issues, to vent our feelings without experiencing judgment, to help us in countless practical ways, and to serve as an introduction and entrée so that we can expand our networks. Strong social networks and social support play a role in reducing the likelihood of disability, and in helping people to cope with aging and disability more successfully (Gottlieb, 1985).

Many factors contribute to this pattern of reduced social networks, including illness symptoms, family, ghettoization, and isolation during institutional treatment. Various attempts to help people with reduced community integration came together in the mid-1970s in the form of an intervention known as *social skills training* (Hersen and Bellack, 1976). This kind of intervention later became an integral part of *illness management and recovery (IMR) education* (Mueser et al, 2002). More recently, the importance of having five or more supporters is emphasized in the widely employed recovery self-management program known as *wellness recovery action planning* (Cook et al, 2011). Finally, while the gap is being both studied and closed, many service users may have less access to Internet-based social networking (notably Facebook) than the general population; this is an area that the service and self-help system have to continue to work together to remedy.

People in our social networks, including family members, can be thought of as our “natural” supports. “Natural supports usually involve relationships with family members, friends, co-workers, neighbors and acquaintances, and are of a reciprocal (give-and-take) nature. Such supports help one to develop a sense of social belonging, dignity, and self-esteem.” This is in contrast to formal supports, which “usually involve some form of payment for services and may include relationships with service providers—such as counselors, therapists, line staff and care managers” (Temple University Collaborative on Community Integration, undated). Several factors contribute to the tendency for service users to have reduced family connectedness, including the psychiatric issues of the individual, family psychiatric histories, and societal stigma. Issues of parenting while pursuing psychiatric recovery are a complex multisystem challenge. Some mental health providers shy away from building and encouraging family connectedness. Psychiatric hospitals and hospital units are often found in isolated locations, have limited visiting hours, and/or have limited telephone access.

In order to implement a wellness framework it is important to look at issues of quality of life and social determinants. Quality of life is defined as general well-being of individuals and societies, and refers to a person's subjective well-being. Quality of life includes mental and physical health, financial security, relationships with others, community and civic activity, personal development and fulfillment, and recreation (Flanagan, 1976). Quality of life is very much related to the Social Determinants of Health framework, which recognizes that the economic and social conditions in which people live help to determine their health. Some conditions are a result of social, economic, and political forces, and include income and social status, social support networks, education and literacy, employment, social and physical environments, personal health practices and coping skills, access to health services, gender, and culture (Wilkinson and Marmot, 2003). These determinants can have a strong impact on health, healing, and quality of life, and are significant barriers to mental health recovery and wellness.

Why social determinants matter

Wellness is so very important because it provides a context for recognizing and addressing the social determinants of health that can facilitate or hinder recovery. A social gradient in health runs through society, with those who are poorest generally suffering the worst health. Many major diseases are determined by a network of interacting exposures that increase or decrease the risk of developing the disease. Individuals living with various forms of disability are often at greater risk of being negatively affected due to under- and unemployment, social isolation, access problems, and the negative impact of trauma and early adverse events (Wilkinson and Marmot, 2003).

It is clear that mental health recovery is affected by social determinants, and it seems very important to view people served by the mental health service delivery system through this lens. People who are living in poverty often have strained or limited social networks. In addition, people who are pursuing mental health recovery paths are too often subject to treatment that has harmful side-effects. We are finding that psychiatric medications often have an impact on other conditions and create disability resulting from long-term harmful metabolic and other side-effects to a greater extent than the disorders that they are intended to help to relieve.

Many service users become trapped inside a box—they become or believe that they are dependent on the medications they use, many of which are expensive, and therefore they do not want to risk losing public benefits by becoming employed, so they avoid employment and live on subsistence-level incomes, while the medical effects of the medications increase their need for medical treatment. Many people move into a culture of "entitlement," rather than seeking support to move beyond their illnesses and disabilities (occupational and intellectual imbalances). At the same time, many mental health providers demonstrate a strong pro-medication stance, and this is often reinforced by the advocacy of pharmaceutical manufacturers and some self-help organizations which embrace the "medical model." Under these circumstances, people often feel condemned to poverty, which then often undermines their confidence and

their capacity to pursue v help to lift people from p and wellness.

Access to healthcare ca with mental health proble difficulties navigating the he the real or perceived expe mental health condition, i fort in healthcare setting: Anecdotal evidence on th they are not manifesting them to their companions an emergency departmen nurse attitudes towards th medications.

Histories of physical, er among service users. Peop variety of ways. Needless t dialogues, invasive proced physical health interventio what was ostensibly a heal people with psychiatric c retraumatization.

Many service users are o institutions such as board intermediate care facilities, that fund and manage these being person centered. Mar coordinating healthcare se efficient" (Sofaer, 2009). Th illness creates cognitive def For people with notable cc medical need, integrated a (Bazelon Center for Mental

However, there is increas tribute to poor physical hea (such as the separation of m care provider issues (includ and the consequences of me

Certain social determinat grate mental and physical h care can help to address suci and culture issues, personal and employment, social supp and social and physical env

it to look at issues of quality of life as general well-being of well-being. Quality of life relationships with others, community, and recreation are Social Determinants of social conditions in which people live. These conditions are a result of social, economic status, social support systems, physical environments, personal services, gender, and race. They can have a strong impact on barriers to mental health

for recognizing and addressing or hinder recovery. People who are poorest generally are limited by a network of factors that are developing the disease. They are at greater risk of being isolated, access problems (Wilkinson and

determinants, and it seems that the service delivery system is constrained or limited social recovery paths are too narrow. Finding that psychiatric disability resulting in a greater extent than the

people or believe that they are expensive, and therefore not employed, so they are not getting the medical effects of the medical system. As people move into a new environment beyond their illnesses at the same time, many people are in a state of uncertainty, and this is often a barrier to some self-help. In such circumstances, people lose their confidence and

their capacity to pursue valued life roles and goals. Strategies, services, and supports to help to lift people from poverty are necessary, and are vital for mental health recovery and wellness.

Access to healthcare can also be limited by factors that are more strongly associated with mental health problems, including fear of stigmatization, a history of trauma, difficulties navigating the healthcare system, and cognitive problems. Stigma, including the real or perceived experience of being judged negatively or blamed due to having a mental health condition, is a significant factor that causes service users to feel discomfort in healthcare settings, and sometimes to reduce their use of needed healthcare. Anecdotal evidence on this point is strong. Many service users report that even when they are not manifesting psychiatric symptoms, physicians and dentists speak over them to their companions or caregivers. Others share anecdotes such as presenting to an emergency department with a leg fracture, and sensing a major change in triage nurse attitudes towards them after they have revealed that they are taking psychotropic medications.

Histories of physical, emotional, and/or sexual trauma are typically very common among service users. People who have histories of trauma avoid retraumatization in a variety of ways. Needless to say, routine healthcare involves a wide variety of intrusive dialogues, invasive procedures, and even intimate invasions. People who have received physical health interventions against their will, and/or been physically restrained in what was ostensibly a healthcare setting (both of these scenarios are common among people with psychiatric disabilities) are more likely to fear (and avoid) medical retraumatization.

Many service users are outside of inpatient settings but remain in community-based institutions such as boarding homes, adult homes, residential healthcare facilities, intermediate care facilities, assisted living, or nursing homes. The healthcare systems that fund and manage these facilities are complex, fragmented, and arcane, rather than being person centered. Many service users have considerable difficulty navigating and coordinating healthcare services. As a result, "care is less timely, safe, effective, and efficient" (Sofaer, 2009). These challenges are, of course, magnified for a person whose illness creates cognitive deficits, which may interact with low levels of health literacy. For people with notable cognitive and similar problems, as well as some degree of medical need, integrated and co-located models of care are strongly recommended (Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law, 2004).

However, there is increasing evidence that disparities in healthcare provision contribute to poor physical health outcomes, including a combination of systemic issues (such as the separation of mental health services from other medical services), healthcare provider issues (including the pervasive stigma associated with mental illness), and the consequences of mental illness and side-effects of its treatment.

Certain social determinants can be credibly addressed through services that integrate mental and physical healthcare and focus on recovery and wellness. Integrated care can help to address such social determinants as access to health services, gender and culture issues, personal health practices and coping skills, income, social status, and employment, social support networks and natural supports, education and literacy, and social and physical environments.

Factors associated with poverty in general involve the inability to pay for good-quality healthcare. Reliance on public clinics may not provide sufficient access to specialists or the continuity of a "medical home." Lack of financial resources also creates difficulties with transportation to obtain needed healthcare, especially in rural areas, and the inability to pay for and procure medically recommended items. With regard to the latter, people with very limited incomes tend to have difficulty purchasing recommended over-the-counter medications, vitamin supplements, and non-prescription appliances.

Cultural disparities with regard to healthcare use have been the subject of many reports in the healthcare community (see, for example, Harris, 2010). Some cultures have an inherent distrust of "western medicine," and myriad interventions have been developed to provide culturally competent outreach and care. There is also a clear gender disparity, with women typically making much greater use of general preventive care, and men being more likely to delay seeking care until a health condition becomes significant. A variety of factors can be cited to explain this disparity, including women's use of prenatal care and the fact that they are often responsible for managing the medical care of their children, and men's cultural conditioning to avoid signs of weakness. These disparities need to be considered when designing interventions to help service users to access and, more importantly, to return to physical and mental healthcare services, including peer support/self-help.

Health literacy is a necessary skill for understanding health conditions, communicating with health providers, management or prevention of illness, use of health treatment, ensuring safety during health treatment (e.g. understanding medication dosing instructions), and doing all of these things for the people and creatures whom we care for (e.g. our children and pets). Because mental health service users often encounter significant medical comorbidities and health conditions resulting from iatrogenesis, an adequate level of health literacy is vital. Most of the interventions that have been developed to improve the health of service users have focused on surveillance, treatment, access, and prevention or management of comorbid health conditions, rather than on helping people to improve their health literacy and their sense of healthcare autonomy. This is a trend that will require careful design and is therefore key for social inclusion, recovery and positive wellness outcomes.

A person's health behaviors can play a significant role in their overall long-term wellness. When dealing with psychiatric distress, people sometimes turn to behaviors such as heavy use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs, excessive or unusual eating, and self-injury. Each of these has negative health consequences. Relatively common approaches to maximizing physical health, such as ensuring adequate sleep, regular exercise, and a balanced diet with regular meals, and avoiding alcohol and other drugs of abuse, are all common ways to minimize the frustrations and impacts of mental health disorders. Although they do not have such a direct impact on mental health, regular bathing, avoidance of tobacco, regular hand washing, oral care, use of primary and surveillance medical services, physical health safety, and appropriate use of medications and supplements are all predictors of health and longevity, and can often be negatively influenced by psychiatric symptoms, which suggests that there is a need for extra attention to these areas. Emerging services are showing some promise in

helping people to achieve better health and peer well.

Conclusion

The recovery paradigm services are offered, The notion of wellness work for redesigning that foster empowerment view of a wellness model along with some suggested methods for intervention and provider level intervention design, deliver, and health, focus on well

References

- Albert M., Becker T., M health service utilis 44, 248–266.
- Bazelton Center for Mental health care j gravitahosting.co ProductID/23.aspx
- Cook, J.A. (2006). Emp the President's New
- Cook, J.A., Copeland, M of mental illness sel Bulletin doi:10.1092 content/early/2011/
- Copeland, M.E. (1997).
- Deegan, P.E. (1988). Re Journal, 11, 11–19.
- Flanagan, J. (1976). A n 33, 138–147.
- Gottlieb, B.H. (1985). S and policy implicati
- Harris, G.L. (2010). Cul Journal of the Health
- Hersen, M. and Bellack, rationale, research fi
- Manderscheid, R. and d of premature death.
- Mueser, K.T., Corrigan, review of the research

the inability to pay for good-quality care, and the lack of financial resources also create barriers to accessing healthcare, especially in rural areas. With limited recommended items. With limited ability to have difficulty purchasing recommended items, and limited access to vitamin supplements, and

have been the subject of many studies (Harris, 2010). Some cultures have been resistant to interventions have been limited. There is also a clear need for the use of general preventive services. As a health condition becomes more complex, including women's health, the role for managing the medication to avoid signs of weakness. Interventions to help service users with mental healthcare

with conditions, community health, use of health treatment, medication dosing, and the creatures whom we care for. Service users often encounter barriers from iatrogenesis, interventions that have been based on surveillance, treatment conditions, rather than the sense of healthcare and therefore key for social

their overall long-term outcomes turn to behaviors, positive or unusual eating, and so on. Relatively common barriers include inadequate sleep, regular alcohol and other drugs, and the impacts of mental health on mental health, access to care, use of primary care, appropriate use of medication, and can often find that there is a need to bring some promise in

helping people to achieve their desired health habit goals, such as *peer support whole health* and *peer wellness coaching* (Swarbrick et al, 2011).

Conclusion

The recovery paradigm has made some significant strides towards changing where services are offered, what practice models are used, and what outcomes are measured. The notion of wellness and social determinants of health offers an expanded framework for redesigning, delivering, and implementing holistic person-centered services that foster empowerment and self-determination. This chapter has offered an overview of a wellness model as well as the relevance of the social determinant framework, along with some suggestions for personal and system-level change towards areas and methods for intervention. Comprehensive program, system, individual service user, and provider level involvement will be crucial for community mental healthcare to design, deliver, and evaluate services that take into account the social determinants of health, focus on wellness, and achieve significant positive health outcomes.

References

- Albert M., Becker T., McCrone, P. and Thornicroft, G. (1998). Social networks and mental health service utilisation—a literature review. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 44, 248–266.
- Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law (2004). *Get it Together: how to integrate physical and mental health care for people with serious mental disorders*. <http://bazelon.org.gravitatehosting.com/News-Publications/Publications/CategoryID/8/List/1/Level/a/ProductID/23.aspx?SortField=ProductNumber>
- Cook, J.A. (2006). Employment barriers for persons with psychiatric disabilities: a report for the President's New Freedom Commission. *Psychiatric Services*, 57, 1391–1405.
- Cook, J.A., Copeland, M.E., Jonikas, J.A. et al (2011). Results of a randomized controlled trial of mental illness self-management using Wellness Recovery Action Planning. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* doi:10.1093/schbul/sbr012. <http://schizophreniabulletin.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2011/03/14/schbul.sbr012.full.pdf+html>
- Copeland, M.E. (1997). *Wellness Recovery Action Plan*. Brattleboro, VT: Peach Press.
- Deegan, P.E. (1988). Recovery: the lived experience of rehabilitation. *Psychosocial Rehabilitation Journal*, 11, 11–19.
- Flanagan, J. (1976). A research approach to improving our quality of life. *American Psychologist*, 33, 138–147.
- Gottlieb, B.H. (1985). Social networks and social support: an overview of research, practice, and policy implications. *Health Education Quarterly*, 12, 5–22.
- Harris, G.L. (2010). Cultural competence: its promise for reducing healthcare disparities. *Journal of the Health and Human Services Administration*, 33, 2–52.
- Hersen, M. and Bellack, A.S. (1976). Social skills training for chronic psychiatric patients: rationale, research findings, and future directions. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 17, 559–580.
- Manderscheid, R. and del Vecchio, P. (2008). Moving toward solutions: responses to the crisis of premature death. *International Journal of Mental Health*, 37, 3–7.
- Mueser, K.T., Corrigan, P.W., Hilton, D.W. et al (2002). Illness management and recovery: a review of the research. *Psychiatric Services*, 53, 1272–1284.

- Parks, J., Svendsen, D., Singer, P. et al (2006). *Morbidity and Mortality in People with Serious Mental Illness*. Technical Report. [www.nasmhpd.org/general_files/publications/med_directors_pubs/Technical Report on Morbidity and Mortality Final 11-06.pdf](http://www.nasmhpd.org/general_files/publications/med_directors_pubs/Technical_Report_on_Morbidity_and_Mortality_Final_11-06.pdf) (accessed 12 June 2007).
- Rudnick, A. (2002). The notion of health: a conceptual analysis. *Israel Medical Association Journal*, 4, 83-85.
- Slade, M., Amering, M. and Oades, L. (2008). Recovery: an international perspective. *Epidemiologia e Psichiatria Sociale*, 17, 128-137.
- Sofaer, S. (2009). Navigating poorly charted territory: patient dilemmas in health care "nonsystems." *Medical Care Research and Review*, 66(1 Suppl.), 75S-93S.
- Swarbrick, M. (1997). A wellness model for clients. *Mental Health Special Interest Section Quarterly*, 20, 1-4.
- Swarbrick, M. (2006). A wellness approach. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, 29, 311-314.
- Swarbrick, M., Murphy, A.A., Zechner, M. et al (2011). Wellness coaching: a new role for peers. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, 34, 328-331.
- Temple University Collaborative on Community Integration (undated). *Community Integration Tools*. http://tucollaborative.org/pdfs/Toolkits_Monographs_Guidebooks/relationships_family_friends_intimacy/Natural_Supports.pdf (accessed 17 August 2011).
- Wilkinson, R. and Marmot, M. (2003). *Social Determinants of Health: the solid facts*, 2nd edn. Copenhagen, Denmark: World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe. www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0005/98438/e81384.pdf

Introdu

This chapter writing (20 shaped my riences in father as wellness and th

The cha and learni ities. A fe sections. I meeting p the consu Families c and comr of organi rehabilita

Issues y out the cl most pre longed li bidity is t family to this deta commitr

This cl evolution social un detachm ity due t discusse Fears, s