

1.

$P \rightarrow$ property over set of partial recursive functions

$$P: RE \rightarrow \{T, F\}$$

$$P = \{ \textcircled{i} \mid f_i \in RE \text{ and } P(i) \}$$

\textcircled{i} index of partial recursive function
(encoding of M that computes f)

Let x_1, x_2 be 2 such indices, $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$P(x_1) = T$$

$$P(x_2) = F$$

Let P be decidable.

\exists a total computable function ϕ that computes P .

$$\therefore \phi(y) = \begin{cases} x_2 & P(y) = T \\ x_1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since P is decidable, G_1 is a total computable function.

By fixed point theorem,

$$\exists x_0 \quad f_{x_0}(y) = f_{G_1(x_0)}(y)$$

$$\text{If } P(x_0) = \text{True}, \text{ then } f_{x_0} \text{ satisfies } P.$$

$$f_{G_1(x_0)} \text{ satisfies } P$$

$$f_{x_2} \text{ satisfies } P$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} P(x_2) = \text{True} \\ \text{But } P(x_2) = \text{False} \end{array} \right\} \text{contradiction}$$

\therefore Assumption is wrong

$\Rightarrow P$ is undecidable

\therefore Non trivial property of set of partial recursive functions is undecidable.

18CS10062

2. $L = \{ M \mid \exists \in \{0,1\}^*, M \text{ writes symbol } 1 \text{ at some point on its tape} \}$

L is undecidable

Proof $HP \leq L \rightarrow$ Reduction from Halting Problem

Let (M, x) be HP instance

$$(M, x) \mapsto N$$

- Construct N with input alphabet $\Sigma = \{0,1\}$

- On input x' to N ,

Run M on x and use the tape alphabets $\{K, \Sigma\}$ to do computation

If M halts on x , write 1 on the tape & halt

If M does not halt, 1 could not be written to the tape

$$\therefore L = \{ x(N) = \Sigma^* \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{if } M \text{ halts on } x \\ \emptyset \quad \text{if } M \text{ does not halt on } x \end{array} \}$$

\therefore Since HP is undecidable, L is undecidable

4. Let $PCP' =$ all strings of length exactly 5

$$A = \{w_1, w_2, w_3 \dots w_k\}$$

$$B = \{x_1, x_2, x_3 \dots x_k\}$$

$$\forall w_i \in A \text{ \& } \forall x_i \in B \text{ (} w_i, x_i \in \Sigma^k \text{)}$$

$$\& |w_i| = |x_i| = 5$$

Let $PCP' =$ variant of P as defined above

$$PCP' = (A, B) \in PCP' \Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ sequence } i_1, i_2, i_3 \dots i_m$$

$$\text{such that } w_{i_1}, w_{i_2} \dots w_{i_m} = x_{i_1}, x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m}$$

PCP' is decidable = C (Assumption)

Let C be a total TM that decides PCP'

On input - (A, B) , C - decides if \exists any index i_1 to i_k such that

- if such index exists, $w_{i_1} = x_{i_1}$ solution to C is found (C halts on input)
- else C rejects & halts

→ Checking single index is sufficient - as w_i & x_i are strings of constant-length 5.

$$\therefore w_{i_1}, w_{i_2} \dots w_{i_m} = x_{i_1}, x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m}$$

$$\Rightarrow w_{i_1} = x_{i_1} \& w_{i_2} = x_{i_2} \dots \text{ till } w_{i_m} = x_{i_m}$$

So if it matches for multiple i 's, it matches for one of i 's.

→ It is sufficient to check 1 index \rightarrow Undecidable PCP' .

Thus there exist $PCP \leq PCP' \rightarrow$ Hence PCP' is undecidable.

5. Given $L(G) \Rightarrow P = \{u \mid L(u) = L(G)^R\}$

We know $S = \{(u_1, u_2) \mid u_1 = u_2\}$ is undecidable

\therefore Reduction from S to P is used to show P is undecidable

18CS10062.

5. Proof.

$$S \leq P$$

Let G_1, G_2 be CFLs $\in P$. We can construct a context-free Grammar G such that

$$L(G) = \# L(G_1) \cup L(G_2)^R \#$$

where $\#$ = new symbol

$$\text{So } L(G) = L(G)^R \text{ iff } L(G_1) = L(G_2)$$

Hence Reduction is possible.

S is undecidable $\Leftrightarrow P$ is undecidable.

8. $\forall n^1(N)$ is decidable

— Use a finite automata capable of doing addition if input is presented in a special form. The special form is 3bit value that represents 8 diff. characters.

We use the below form for $i > 0$

$$\Sigma_i = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \dots \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

— Let $\phi = \phi_1 x_1 \phi_2 x_2 \dots \phi_L x_L [\psi]$

ϕ 's \rightarrow quantifiers
 $\psi \rightarrow$ No quantifier,
 has variables x_1, x_L

For each i from $(0, L)$ define

$$\phi_i = \phi_{i+1} x_{i+1} \phi_{i+2} x_{i+2} \dots \phi_L x_L [\psi]$$

$$\text{then } \phi_0 = \phi, \phi_L = \psi$$

— ϕ_i has i free variables and each ϕ can take arguments a_1, \dots, a_n
 $\phi_i(a_1, \dots, a_i)$ and substitute them for respective x_i 's.

— For each $i \in [0, L]$, create a finite automata A_i that recognises strings that represent i -tuples of numbers that make $\phi_i = \text{true}$

— First construct $A(L)$ directly, iterate i from L to 0 .

— Construct $A(L-1)$ using $A(L)$

— Check $A(0)$ accepts empty string

— if it does, $\phi = \text{true}$ & algorithm accepts.

Machine A_i

We have 2 cases

- A_L : since $\phi_L = \psi$ is a boolean combination of additions, we can build a finite automata to compute single additions and regular languages are closed under \cup, \cap, \neg , we can build A_L .
- A_i from A_{i+1} :
 - if $\phi_i = \exists x_{i+1}. \phi_{i+1}$: A_i operates as A_{i+1} , but it nondetermines value of a_{i+1} , such that A_i accepts input (a_1, \dots, a_i) if some a_{i+1} exists such that A_{i+1} accepts (a_1, \dots, a_{i+1})
 - if $\phi_i = \forall x_{i+1}. \phi_{i+1}$, it is equivalent to $\neg \forall x_{i+1}. \neg \phi_{i+1}$. Thus we can build a finite automata that recognizes $\neg A_{i+1}$, then apply preceding construction to the \exists quantifier, then account for the complement again to obtain A_i
- A_0 accepts any input iff ϕ_0 is true. \therefore if A_0 accepts ϵ , $\phi = \text{true}$ and A_0 accepts, otherwise it rejects.

$\therefore \mathcal{P}_A(N_1^+)$ is decidable.