

# $\begin{array}{c} {\rm KTH\mbox{ - Royal\mbox{ Institute of Technology}}\\ {\rm Pattern\mbox{ Recognition (EQ2340) - Exercise\mbox{ Project}}\\ {\rm A.3\mbox{ - Backward\mbox{ Algorithm}} \end{array}$

Alessio Russo - alessior@kth.se (911103-T192) Lars Lindemann - llindem@kth.se (891113-4131)

October 2015

# Contents

1	Test: Finite duration HMM	2
2	Test: Infinite duration HMM	3

#### 1 Test: Finite duration HMM

To test the finite duration HMM the example from the assignment was used in order to compare the results.

In that example we have the following parameters for the HMM:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9 & 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.9 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{b} \sim \begin{pmatrix} N(0,1) \\ N(3,4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

From the example we know that given  $\underline{x} = (-0.2, 2.6, 1.3)$  we obtain from the Forward Algorithm the scale factor:

$$\underline{c} = (1, 0.1625, 0.8266, 0.0581)$$

Using this data we ran the following test:

Where  $prob(\mathbf{b}, \underline{x})$  computes  $f_i(x_i)$ .

The output of the test is the following one:

Which is equal to the result obtained in the assignment example.

## 2 Test: Infinite duration HMM

For the test of an infinite HMM an example of the course compendium on page 121 has been taken. This example uses a discrete output distribution.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.6 \end{pmatrix}$$

The observed sequence is  $\underline{x} = (1, 2, 4, 4, 1)$  and the forward algorithm gives:

$$\underline{c} = (1, 0.35, 0.35, \frac{79}{140}, 0.0994)$$

In order to compare the computational results, the backward variables have been calculated by hand as described in the course compendium, i.e. for t=4 and t=5:

$$\hat{\beta}_{1,5} = \frac{1}{0.0994} = 10.0604$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{2,5} = \frac{1}{0.0994} = 10.0604$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{3,5} = \frac{1}{0.0994} = 10.0604$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{1,4} = \frac{140}{79} \left[ \frac{0.3}{0.0994} + 0 + 0 \right] = 5.3485$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{2,4} = \frac{140}{79} \left[ 0 + 0 + \frac{0.5 \cdot 0.1}{0.0994} \right] = 0.8914$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{3,4} = \frac{140}{79} \left[ 0 + 0 + \frac{0.1}{0.0994} \right] = 1.7828$$

This recursion goes on until the final result is obtained

$$\hat{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9997 & 0.3311 & 0.1783 & 5.3485 & 10.0604 \\ 0.9760 & 2.8562 & 1.6555 & 0.8914 & 10.0604 \\ 0.5239 & 5.2394 & 3.0563 & 1.7828 & 10.0604 \end{pmatrix}$$

Afterwards, the following algorithm has been run

betaHat=backward(mc,pX,c)

## The output of this lines is

betaHat =

0.9997	0.3311	0.1783	5.3485	10.0604
0.9760	2.8562	1.6555	0.8914	10.0604
0.5239	5.2394	3.0563	1.7828	10.0604

which proves that the algorithm also works for infinite HMM. Furthermore a second test has been run which is omitted here.