

CryptoCommands Test

Roy Stracovsky

June 3, 2024

\mathcal{A} , \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{Q} . I like the group \mathbb{G} and R , \mathbb{F} . \perp vs $\perp \mathcal{G}$. and a bunch of random text to make the line longer and stuff. If I were to add more text would this work even more let me check.

Enc and Dec and Vrfy of $\text{PKE.Enc}(\text{pk}, \text{msg})$. $\text{ct} \xleftarrow{\$} \text{PKE.Enc}(\text{pk}, \text{msg})$ and a bunch of random text to make the line longer and stuff.

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\text{SE}, \mathcal{A}}^{\text{OW-Pass}}(\lambda) = \Pr[\mathcal{G}_{\text{SE}, \mathcal{A}}^{\text{Ind\$-CCA}}(\lambda) \Rightarrow 1].$$

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\text{SE}, \mathcal{A}}^{2\text{PreR}}(\lambda) = \Pr[\mathcal{G}_{\text{SE}, \mathcal{A}}^{\text{EUf-CMA}}(\lambda) \Rightarrow 1].$$

We like random oracles \mathcal{O} and also some linear algebra $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e}$. The $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is less ambiguous than using naturals. We work with \mathbb{Z}_p^* quite a lot and rarely $\mathbb{Z}_{<0}$. Also $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $i\mathbb{R}$ haha.

I think I realized something. $2^{\{0,1\}}$ no longer fails right. Sample an $i \xleftarrow{\$} \{1, \dots, q_H\}$. $f = \gcd(\lambda)$. It is $O(n^2)$. Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$.