Regional Transportation Plan Checklist

(Revised February 2010)

(To be completed electronically in Microsoft Word format by the MPO/RTPA and submitted along with the draft RTP to Caltrans)

Name of MPO/RTPA:	Metropolitan Transport	ration Commission
Date Draft RTP Completed:	March 3	1, 2017
RTP Adoption Date:	Slated fo	r adoption in late July 2017
What is the Certification Date of the E Document (ED)?	Environmental	Slated for certification in (or after) late July 2017
Is the ED located in the RTP or is it a	separate document?	Separate document

By completing this checklist, the MPO/RTPA verifies the RTP addresses all of the following required information within the RTP.

Access Draft Plan Bay Area 2040 Document here (electronic version): http://2040.planbayarea.org/

Access Draft Plan Bay Area 2040 Document here (PDF version with page numbers): http://2040.planbayarea.org/reports

Access Draft Plan Bay Area 2040 supplemental reports here: http://2040.planbayarea.org/reports

Access Draft Plan Bay Area 2040 environmental impact report here: http://2040.planbayarea.org/reports

Access Draft Plan Bay Area 2040 project list here: http://projects.planbayarea.org/

If specific document has printed page numbers, page number cited reflects that. If no page numbers are printed in the relevant document, the page number reflects the page of entire PDF file (e.g., Air Quality Conformity Report).

Regional Transportation Plan Contents

C 1		
General		

- 1. Does the RTP address no less than a 20-year planning horizon? (23 CFR 450.322(a))
- 2. Does the RTP include both long-range and short-range strategies/actions? (23 CFR part 450.322(b))
- 3. Does the RTP address issues specified in the policy, action and financial elements identified in California Government Code Section 65080?

- 4. Does the RTP address the 10 issues specified in the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) component as identified in Government Code Sections 65080(b)(2)(B) and 65584.04(i)(1)? (MPOs only)
 - a. Identify the general location of uses, residential densities, and building intensities within the region? (MPOs only)
 - b. Identify areas within the region sufficient to house all the population of the region, including all economic segments of the population over the course of the planning period of the regional transportation plan taking into account net migration into the region, population growth, household formation and employment growth? (MPOs only)
 - c. Identify areas within the region sufficient to house an eight-year projection of the regional housing need for the region pursuant to Government Code Section 65584? (MPOs only)
 - d. Identify a transportation network to service the transportation needs of the region? (MPOs only)

	Yes/No	Page #
	Yes	Plan Document – page 5
	Yes	Plan Document – pages 41, 45-49, 57-63, 74, 76, 78
	Yes	Plan Document – pages 30-78 Transit Needs Assessment – pages 1-5 Local Streets and Roads, Bridges, and State Highway Needs Assessment – pages 1-9 Performance Assessment Report – pages 6-7 Land Use Modeling Report – pages 16-27, App. A Public Engagement Report – pages 1-6 Travel Modeling Report – pages 38-70 Project List (projects.planbayarea.org) Financial Assumptions Report – pages 1-14 Investment Strategy Report – page 6
	**	20 10
	Yes	Plan Document – pages 42-44 Statutory-Required Plan Maps – pages 6-25
5	Yes	Plan Document – pages 42-44 Statutory-Required Plan Maps – pages 6-7
e f t	Yes	Plan Document – pages 31-33 Regional Forecast Report – pages 1-2, 7-16, 18-31
1	Yes	Plan Document – page 42 Land Use Modeling Report – Appendix 1 (starting on page 28)
	Yes	Plan Document – pages 50-56 Investment Strategy Report – pages 6-18 Project List (projects.planbayarea.org)

		Yes/No	Page #
e.	Gather and consider the best practically available scientific information regarding resource areas and farmland in the region as defined in subdivisions (a) and (b) of Government Code Section 65080.01? (MPOs only)	Yes	Plan Document – page 17 Statutory-Required Plan Maps – pages 8-24
f.	Consider the state housing goals specified in Sections 65580 and 65581? (MPOs only)	Yes	Plan Document – pages 26-27, 31-33 Performance Assessment Report – pages 8-9 Regional Forecast Report – pages 13-14 Land Use Modeling Report – Appendix 1 (starting on page 28)
g.	Utilize the most recent planning assumptions, considering local general plans and other factors? (MPOs only)	Yes	Plan Document – page 42 Land Use Modeling Report – page 16
h.	Set forth a forecasted development pattern for the region, which, when integrated with the transportation network, and other transportation measures and policies, will reduce the greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles and light trucks to achieve, if there is a feasible way to do so, the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets approved by the ARB? (MPOs only)	Yes	Plan Document – page 65
i.	Provide consistency between the development pattern and allocation of housing units within the region (Government Code 65584.04(i)(1)? (MPOs only)	Yes	Land Use Modeling Report – Appendix 1 (starting on page 28) Note that RHNA is not required for this cycle of the RTP/SCS.
j	Allow the regional transportation plan to comply with Section 176 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Section 7506)? (MPOs only)	Yes	Air Quality Conformity Report – pages 16-22, 27 Final conformity results will be incorporated in July.
Does the R	TP include Project Intent i.e. Plan Level Purpose and Need Statements?	Yes	Plan Document – pages 25-27 Environmental Impact Report – pages 1.2-15 to 16
	TP specify how travel demand modeling methodology, results and key as were developed as part of the RTP process? (Government Code 14522.2) ly)	Yes	Travel Modeling Report – pages 2-37
Consulta	ation/Cooperation		
	TP contain a public involvement program that meets the requirements of Title art 450.316(a)?	Yes	Plan Document – page 26 Public Engagement Report – pages 5-6 (includes link to MTC Public Participation Plan)

5.

1.

- 2. Did the MPO/RTPA consult with the appropriate State and local representatives including representatives from environmental and economic communities; airport; transit; freight during the preparation of the RTP? (23CFR450.316(3)(b))
- 3. Did the MPO/RTPA who has federal lands within its jurisdictional boundary involve the federal land management agencies during the preparation of the RTP?
- 4. Where does the RTP specify that the appropriate State and local agencies responsible for land use, natural resources, environmental protection, conservation and historic preservation consulted? (23 CFR part 450.322(g))
- 5. Did the RTP include a comparison with the California State Wildlife Action Plan and (if available) inventories of natural and historic resources? (23 CFR part 450.322(g))
- 6. Did the MPO/RTPA who has a federally recognized Native American Tribal Government(s) and/or historical and sacred sites or subsistence resources of these Tribal Governments within its jurisdictional boundary address tribal concerns in the RTP and develop the RTP in consultation with the Tribal Government(s)? (Title 23 CFR part 450.316(c))
- 7. Does the RTP address how the public and various specified groups were given a reasonable opportunity to comment on the plan using the participation plan developed under 23 CFR part 450.316(a)? (23 CFR 450.316(i))
- 8. Does the RTP contain a discussion describing the private sector involvement efforts that were used during the development of the plan? (23 CFR part 450.316 (a))
- 9. Does the RTP contain a discussion describing the coordination efforts with regional air quality planning authorities? (23 CFR 450.316(a)(2)) (MPO nonattainment and maintenance areas only)
- 10. Is the RTP coordinated and consistent with the Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan?
- 11. Were the draft and adopted RTP posted on the Internet? (23 CFR part 450.322(j))
- 12. Did the RTP explain how consultation occurred with locally elected officials? (Government Code 65080(D)) (MPOs only)

Yes/No	Page #
Yes	Public Engagement Report – pages 7-9
Yes	Public Engagement Report – pages 7-9
Yes	Public Engagement Report – pages 7-9
Yes	Environmental Impact Report Statutory-Required Plan Maps – pages 6-25
Yes	Native American Tribal Outreach Report – pages 2-11
Yes	Public Engagement Report – pages 5-31
Yes	Public Engagement Report – page 9
Yes	Air Quality Conformity Analysis – pages 17-18
Yes	Equity Analysis Report – page 7-2
Yes	Available at 2040.planbayarea.org
Yes	Public Engagement Report – pages 2, 8, 22, 23, 31 Additional elected official consultation currently underway as part of Draft Plan outreach process.

13. Did the RTP outline the public participation process for the sustainable communities strategy? (Government Code 65080(E) (MPOs only)

Yes/No	Page #
Yes Plan Document – page 26	
	Public Engagement Report – pages 5-6 (includes link
	to MTC Public Participation Plan)
	SCS public participation process occurs concurrently
	with RTP public participation process, as this is an
	integrated RTP-SCS effort.

Plan Document – pages 14, 62

Yes

*7

Yes

Yes

Modal Discussion

- 1. Does the RTP discuss intermodal and connectivity issues?
- 2. Does the RTP include a discussion of highways?
- 3. Does the RTP include a discussion of mass transportation?
- 4. Does the RTP include a discussion of the regional airport system?
- 5. Does the RTP include a discussion of regional pedestrian needs?
- 6. Does the RTP include a discussion of regional bicycle needs?
- 7. Does the RTP address the California Coastal Trail? (Government Code 65080.1) (For MPOs and RTPAs located along the coast only)
- 8. Does the RTP include a discussion of rail transportation?
- 9. Does the RTP include a discussion of maritime transportation (if appropriate)?
- 10. Does the RTP include a discussion of goods movement?

Programming/Operations

1. Is a congestion management process discussed in the RTP? (23 CFR part 450.450.320(b)) (MPOs designated as TMAs only)

Yes	Plan Document – pages 45-49, 54-58, 62
	Investment Strategy Report – pages 8, 10-13, 15-16
Yes	Plan Dogument - nagga 45 52 61 62
ies	Plan Document – pages 45-53, 61-63
	Investment Strategy Report – pages 7-10, 15-18
**	1
Yes	Investment Strategy Report – pages 3-4, 15
Yes	Investment Strategy Report – page 14
103	investment strategy report - page 14
Yes	Investment Strategy Report – page 14
	8, 1
Yes	Investment Strategy Report – page 14
Yes	Plan Document – pages 45-53, 61-63
100	Investment Strategy Report – pages 7-10, 15-18
	Investment Strategy Report – Dages 7-10, 13-18

Yes	Investment Strategy Report – page 3

Investment Strategy Report – pages 11-12

Investment Strategy Report – pages 11-12

Plan Document - page 58

- 2. Is the RTP consistent (to the maximum extent practicable) with the development of the regional ITS architecture?
- 3. Does the RTP identify the objective criteria used for measuring the performance of the transportation system?

4.	Does the RTP	contain a	list of	un-constrained	projects?
----	--------------	-----------	---------	----------------	-----------

Yes/No	Page #
Yes	Investment Strategy Report – page 13
Yes	Plan Document – pages 26-27
	Performance Assessment Report – pages 6-20
Yes	Investment Strategy Report – pages 18-19

Financial

- 1. Does the RTP include a financial plan that meets the requirements identified in 23 CFR part 450.322(f)(10)?
- 2. Does the RTP contain a consistency statement between the first 4 years of the fund estimate and the 4-year STIP fund estimate? (2006 STIP Guidelines, Section 19)
- 3. Do the projected revenues in the RTP reflect Fiscal Constraint? (23 CFR part 450.322(f)(10)(ii))
- 4. Does the RTP contain a list of financially constrained projects? Any regionally significant projects should be identified. (Government Code 65080(4)(A))
- 5. Do the cost estimates for implementing the projects identified in the RTP reflect "year of expenditure dollars" to reflect inflation rates? (23 CFR part 450.322(f)(10)(iv))
- 6. After 12/11/07, does the RTP contain estimates of costs and revenue sources that are reasonably expected to be available to operate and maintain the freeways, highway and transit within the region? (23 CFR 450.322(f)(10)(i))
- 7. Does the RTP contain a statement regarding consistency between the projects in the RTP and the ITIP? (2006 STIP Guidelines section 33)
- 8. Does the RTP contain a statement regarding consistency between the projects in the RTP and the FTIP? (2006 STIP Guidelines section 19)

	Yes	Plan Document – pages 34-37
		Financial Assumptions Report – pages 1-14
		Investment Strategy Report – page 6
	Yes	Financial Assumptions Report – page 3
	Yes	Plan Document – page 26
	Yes	Project List (projects.planbayarea.org)
f	Yes	Plan Document – pages 34-35
		Financial Assumptions Report – page 1
	Yes	Plan Document – page 34
		Transit Needs Assessment – pages 1-5
		Local Streets and Roads, Bridges, and State Highway Needs Assessment – pages 1-9
)	Yes	Financial Assumptions Report – page 3
)	Yes	Financial Assumptions Report – page 3
	,	

9. Does the RTP address the specific financial strategies required to ensure the identified TCMs from the SIP can be implemented? (23 CFR part 450.322(f)(10)(vi) (nonattainment and maintenance MPOs only)

Yes/No	Page #
Yes	Air Quality Conformity Analysis – pages 29-51

Environmental

- 1. Did the MPO/RTPA prepare an EIR or a program EIR for the RTP in accordance with CEOA guidelines?
- 2. Does the RTP contain a list of projects specifically identified as TCMs, if applicable?
- 3. Does the RTP contain a discussion of SIP conformity, if applicable? (MPOs only)
- 4. Does the RTP specify mitigation activities? (23 CFR part 450.322(f)(7))
- 5. Where does the EIR address mitigation activities?
- 6. Did the MPO/RTPA prepare a Negative Declaration or a Mitigated Negative Declaration for the RTP in accordance with CEQA guidelines?
- 7. Does the RTP specify the TCMs to be implemented in the region? (federal nonattainment and maintenance areas only)

Yes	Environmental Impact Report
	(http://2040.planbayarea.org/reports)
Yes	Air Quality Conformity Analysis – pages 143-162
Yes	Air Quality Conformity Analysis – pages 8, 10-18, 24
Yes	Environmental Impact Report – pages ES-11 to 49
Yes	Environmental Impact Report – pages ES-11 to 49
N/A	Will be prepared at a future date in the process (after Draft EIR phase)
Yes	Air Quality Conformity Analysis – pages 23-26

I have reviewed the above information and certify that it is correct and complete.

May 15, 2017

(Must be signed by MPO/RTPA
Executive Director or designated representative)

Alix Bockelman
Deputy Executive Director
Print Name
Title

For DRAFT Plan review purposes only.