

That's the superclg slides right here. We have the project dashboard as you can see. We have 8 sites RFS right now, Fauzi and everyone. We have 16 sites that have been completed with ATP. The total is 39 sites. So we have 16 sites where we have completed the ATP successfully. We only managed to RFS 8. So we have 8 boxes right now are binding RFS. We would appreciate your support here. I'll move to the physical issues that we are encountering. Siraj, this is the list I've sent you, yes. We have these 7 sites with cooling issues. The ECDs are, as you can see, all of them are currently to be discussed because all of them have been passed. So we would appreciate your input here. These are the 3 sites with 2G equipment installed on site. This 2G equipment needs to be dismantled, and this was supposed to be completed back in May, actually. This one, Siraj, I know you are new now, but this was completed a long time ago. Yeah, this has been there for like forever now. So I don't know when we can have some, at least a timeline. I have here a fair warning, so hopefully today I will not get... Insha'Allah. Insha'Allah. Yeah. Thank you very much, guys. All right, we'll go to the RFS, the migration plan for the RFS sites. So currently we are at this stage, which is migration window 5. This was completed just yesterday. As you can see, we are kind of doing well so far. We are using the automation at the moment. We have a few pending ports that are yet to be migrated. Those are in government buildings. So... So how many shipments? A good percentage. Yes. I have another slide. I have another slide. I have another slide. No problem. No problem. So on the migration summary, as an overall, we have 79 ports completed at the moment, a total of 8% of the total number of ports. We've been working for the last week on site 1153, 1 and 2. Okay, how many? From last week, we completed 19 ports. Okay, but this is below the expectation. Yes, it is. Below, below, yeah? Yes, yes, yes. Okay, so when we can... What is the expected this week? We are actually still having some enhancements, let's call it enhancements, on the automation part. Okay, but till when? Because we are losing weeks, yes? That's true. Ma'an, do you have some timelines for the enhancements that we've been discussing today morning? All right, so I can actually share the list if you want to go through the issues. Yeah, that's all right. Let me go through that. Anyway, Ahmed, you just discussed it with your team. Okay, I'll repeat that. Okay. Then come up with accelerated plan. We'll accelerate even our testing, I suppose, for you, but you need to go faster for this. That's understood. Because now you're telling me 18 last week, this week, I'm not sure how much you will be able to get. And this really is a big problem. Yes, it is. It's a big problem. It's a big problem. It's a big problem. It's a big problem. It's a big problem. It's a big problem. It's a big problem. It's a big problem. It's a big problem. When was the pilot? When it was in SHAHA? Two months back. No, no. We are talking about pilot in production. Automation. Two months. Automation? Yes, the agreed finish and time goes now. Every month you are increasing the timeline. When we can complete this automation? Okay. What we are trying to do is to bring all parties together, right? We saw that there is a gap between what automation team with Ahad Salim and they are discussing and what the Super CSG requires. So we are trying to bridge this gap and we are getting

closer. Now just to answer the first part, the first maintenance window for the first pilot, it just happened here. Ahmed can refer back to the Excel, the table as well. When was the first maintenance window when it took place? 22nd. 22nd? 2nd. 22nd of June. And what is the date? The date we are? 11th, yes? Yeah, this is the first maintenance window. We had five maintenance windows so far. The first one was yesterday, early morning. And Ma'an will take us through now what are the findings and what are the, let's say, course of action. All right. So these are the list, if you can see my screen, these are the list of the issues. No issue. Yeah, that's good. Right? All right. Yeah. So these are the issues and we have, we've worked with Abdullah as well to have a description for like, have a severity and this is a description for the severity. So the low ones are the ones that can be modified manually by Cisco Migration Team. And the medium ones can typically be avoided with pre-activity action, so there's just a risk. And for the high ones, we have either Nokia or Cisco team will be needed for the migration still this issue is fixed. And the critical ones where Nokia will be needed and also scaling the migration is not possible without a fix or can cause the activity to fail. Right? So we have a few points still, these are from the second maintenance window, the second maintenance window, which we had on the 3rd and 4th of July and two shots. So these are the items that we had the issues in. Some of them are already fixed. One is high and two, sorry, we have two low priorities. We have three high impact that are already fixed, and we have still some items that are critical and high that still need to be working on. We need to work on these critical items here. These two at least are supposed to be fixed already, but needs to be verified in the next maintenance window. Just not make it long, okay? This is the first case that we agreed on. This is the first case, which is the Pre-Ag to Super-CSG, yes? Yes. Correct. Yes. This is only one case. This is the issues that we have seen in the five maintenance window. In the five maintenance window, related to the first use case, we didn't reach yet, whatever. Do you mean the aggregator or you mean the... Whatever we agreed on before, Yannick, whatever use cases. This is only the Pre-Ag and Super-CSG use case. Okay. What is the ACB to complete all, to resolve all of these issues? All right. These issues are, again, these issues are happening, not like they happened in the first window and then we're just fixing them. We're facing different issues with each window. They're less with time and we're fixing them. It's an ongoing process at the moment. Some items we do have timelines to fix, and some items are still under investigation. We're trying to provide you with due dates, but at the moment, some of them, we don't have due dates for, and some of them still need some investigation. So yeah. Just until the end of this month, means I'm expecting to have the same rate as well, yes? Until we discover how we can fix these issues, yes? Just to highlight, Saif and team, these issues, as you can see, we have also identified the owner, right? Some of these timelines or ACDs need to be highlighted or identified by Nokia, right? We are having a close follow-up with Nokia to give us dates, but we are not controlling the delivery timeline for Nokia. How are you going to balance the gap in this case? I mean, you are delivering seven or let's say 10 ports per week, yes? It's not a great timeline. It's not a great rate. Yes. The scalability issue is mainly

with Nokia, right? We are trying to identify what is the solution, how they can help us to tackle this point, right? So we just had a call with Nokia before this one, and we have a market for ACDs. Amr, we have two phases. One phase, which we are currently in, which is until the automation is fully functional, and another phase when the automation is ready, and that is the rhythm that Saif was referring to by, I think, 80 ports per week. Yeah. I mean, until you really deliver this use case or whatever use case is. No, no, but Yahya, I think Amr's point is this is the scalability issue. Sorry, we couldn't hear your voice. With Nokia, which we are discussing. It's with the current phase, not the future phase. To reach the base or the volume of ports or the speed that you are looking for, Saif, this is something that we are investigating with Nokia and trying to see what is the issue, what is blocking them to have this concurrent execution or number of skills. Guys, guys, what Saif is trying to say that, guys, until we investigate and we solve the problem, OK, what will be the rhythm and how are we trying to increase it? So, so this is the question. So what workarounds we have temporarily until we investigate and until we solve the problems? So I think for automations, there is no alternatives until we have an understanding or an analysis of Nokia, what is preventing them to scale higher. Otherwise, we can move on with the manual, go back to the manual if Do decides to move on manually. But again, it requires Nokia's support as well. There's no third option. This is the only two options I think we have. So let me put it this way. The first maintenance window that we went through had, I think, one CSG that we did in around six hours because we faced all the issues. The second maintenance window that we took, I think we were only able to, the second CSG maintenance window, we were only able to finish two CSGs in six hours. The third one, we were able to finish two in three hours. The fourth one, we were able to finish four. The next one, we'll finish more. So it's not that every time is going to be the same until we finish all the issues. It's not about the same, Abdullah. It's not about the same. It's about the time that you're taking in order to increase the strength, yes? I'm not going to wait until August. I don't know, until September, maybe after September, maybe more, okay? Until you reach this agreed rate, which is 60 to 84. I'm sure you will not do it this month or next month by automation, okay? So now we need to understand how we were going to do it. How to ramp up. Yeah. Okay. Let's stay focused on what can be done and let's see if really we can collaborate, okay? What Khanfar is saying is that we are ramping up, yes, gradually, okay, because nothing much can be done when it comes to manual migration or when it comes to using the automation and trying to mitigate, let's say, the shortcomings manually. Automation, what I can see now, automation will not help you to ramp up. Yes. It will not help you to increase. You will have this rate, let's say, two months at least, yes? So now what I can see is that we need to go back to the money flow, yes? Why two months if we have done these five windows in less than two weeks, right? Okay. Give me timeline. Okay. Can you give me when I can reach this 60 to 84? Once we get the timeline from Nokia, we can give you a timeline. This is the point. So we are telling me it would not take two months. I'm telling you it would take two months. Saif, we're getting closer.

We're getting closer. Now it's identified. Fine, but I need next week to increase. I think let's not put assumptions, Saif. Let's avoid assumptions. Let's work with Nokia. I think with the due support, we can get more attention. I just wanted to say, Saif, that whatever we are able to do, we are doing on the spot, right? We have seen lots of even modification on the requirements or changes that will be required, and we did it on the spot, right? So we are not saving any effort or power from the team to save, right? We are not saving anything. We are trying to do our maximum, right? But we are blocked sometimes with the changes either on the design or from Nokia. I know what you're trying to do, and it's appreciated, yes. But for me, I have a project to deliver, yes. So I need to deliver on timelines, either by automation or manual thing, okay? So there is a delay from you, from Nokia, from whatever, okay? We need to deliver the project. How it will be done, this is we need to align now. If we are going back to the manual stuff, we need to align to Nokia. How we can do that? I believe manual will not serve you safe. Moving back to the manual, you will not be able to do it faster than automation, right? Can we have the PIP for the migrations instead of going back and forth with this? If you will do it manual, just send us a PIP that you will be done with this on this day. But we are not saying that we will do it manual, Abkhab. This is what I mean. No, we're not saying. I know. No, no, no, no. The automation now, it's already used in the first five maintenance windows. Some issues and enhancements been identified with some action owners. I think everyone has the right to understand what are the issues and what are the fixes, who's the owners. Otherwise, we will continue speaking with unknowns to uncertainties to people. I think you will need to understand where the delays are coming from and support us to bridge these gaps. Otherwise, we will continue speaking like no one knows where the issues are. Guys, I agree with you. If you just can see on this list. Let me ask another question. Now, if the automation is not done, you'll keep the migration on hold, right? Yes or no? Migration is already completed. We are using. We are using. And also, there is an investment that has been done that we want to leverage. And let's follow up. Guys, let me just resume it. And if I'm wrong, just correct me. Okay, so today we have three or four use cases that we need to automate. Yes, we started only with one use case. Okay. And this use case, till now, it is delivering only eight or let's say 15 ports per week. Yes. Maximum. Yes. Okay. Our target is to achieve 60 per week. But these were pilot migrations. This was the intention. I mean, we've shown you this plan that these are pilot migrations. We're going to take the output of these. And I mean, enhancements that is required. I'm not talking about automation now. I have a delivery. Is it done by automation or manual? I need to deliver something. Yes. Continue, please. Continue. Okay. So now, okay, we have dependency with automation. Okay. Related to Nokia, related to Cisco, I don't. Dependency, yes. This is dependency. Correct. Yes. Correct. In order to resolve this dependency, we need to have alignments with Nokia in order to give the timelines or whatever. Right. This could take, let's say, one week, two weeks, three weeks. Okay. In order to reach our target, 64 per week. Okay. What we can do. Okay. Should we wait for automation till everything is resolved? And I will have a gap of, let's

say, maybe one month later. How we will compensate this? I don't know how. Okay. Or should we go back to the manual stuff? Okay. If I'm going to the, by the way, this is one use case. Okay. Let's say that I completed this use case. Would I be able to reach the other use cases with automation as well? Correct. We don't have also timelines. Yes. Okay. This is the thing. So, in order to reach our 64 per week. Now, should we discuss the manual thing or should we wait for automation? Allow me to answer, please. Okay. Now, in any process in the world, there will be some ramp-up. You cannot start, let's say, with a rhythm of 100% from the beginning. Okay. So, even if it is manual or if it is using the automation, there will be some ramp-up. Now, since we started with the first maintenance windows, the first five maintenance windows, so this is where the rhythm will start building. Now, we will reach to a point that if we don't use the automation fully, yes, we will not reach to the 60 ports that we have discussed and requested from your side. So, here we need to discuss about what will be the mitigation plan. But, temporarily, it is, I would say it's haram to just drop the ball and say that we will not use the automation. The automation, all right. So, allow me, allow me, please. Meanwhile, all the teams, they are putting all the effort to use the automation and to, let's say, compensate the additional efforts if there is any manual job to be done. So, meanwhile, the rhythm increase will not be that much. We need to set expectations until the automation issues are resolved. One second. Now, you need to explain to me, please, what you mean the team they are compensating, how they are compensating. Ma'an, can you just take us through any example, please, of any maintenance window? What are the activities? What was concluded via automation? And what was done manually? And because of what? What was the root cause? Can you give us any example, please? Yeah, sure, sure, sure. So, we can go to two different types of windows. The CSG migration, for example. This is maintenance window two. And what we've done with migration was network assessment, pre-checks. Migration execution was done with exceptions. So, some stuff were done manually, which is the Nokia CSG reload. And by the way, this is already fixed now to be verified. And Nokia STP tunnel. I think this issue is already fixed, but we'll check. IXRE IP filter update. This is also, according to Nokia, is fixed to be verified. Intervention to change Nokia new BTP interface name in the CSG file due to a special character not allowed. And that's actually, and the rest of the points as well. The rest of the actions were done also with automation. And there was no rollbacks. These are also the issues that were encountered based on this window. So, this is a thing. If we compare it with the first maintenance window, with the first maintenance window, the issues are here and need to be updated. But the actual activities, let me zoom in. So, for the actual activities, we didn't do, it was manual metrics, post-checks, and the finalization as well on NSP. That was done manually and also the Nokia CSG reload. So, we're just moving. We're getting things to be done. I think the compensation that Yahya is referring to is mainly this point. Like, if there's something that's missing, the team is doing that manually. I don't know if this is... I see there is a progress, okay? But it's for me slow, okay? So, now, we need two things to do, okay? First

thing, we need the PIP of the full automation use case, query use case. And first, are we aligned on the use cases or no? So, I discussed that point with the automation team. For the fixed one, the fixed pre-checks and clean-up and those were rejected. This is my understanding from them. This is what I heard Yahya said. I believe from Du's side. So, maybe Maaz, you can... Not from you. I'm not saying from you. But Maaz, you can... This is Ahmed Suleiman. I don't expect Ahmed Suleiman to reject. Hello. Anirban, can you clarify this point? Anirban? Yes, Ahmed. Go ahead. So, we are talking regarding the mobility checks enhancements. Additional checks enhancements and additional... I think the rollback topic, right? No, I'm talking about the fixed services. The clean-up and shut-down on the interfaces, the one we discussed yesterday. That was rejected and removed from the plan. Nokia, I guess. Yeah, yeah. So, that was basically... It was rejected due to too many variables since the fixed services... Rejected by whom, Anirban? Rejected by whom? Nokia? We invited them, right? He accepted the invite. Yes, it was from Nokia. This was rejected by Nokia, not from Suleiman. It was rejected by Nokia. Suleiman, but what we understood is that it is not doable for them because the variables are too much. Too much. Because how are they going to clean up? How are they going to clean up? Because the service will be different than the... Fixed services might be built differently. We are doing clean-up here, yes. So, we need just to match all services and do clean-up. This is the simplest thing we can do. This is not Khanfar's input. He's delivering the message. This is why we need to bridge the gap and really start having these alignments with the network team, with Ahmad Suleiman, and with Nokia. Now, our team with Nokia, they are doing it on a daily basis. We just need to make it a bigger audience. The rejection was from Nokia's side, right? Yes. Okay. We are dealing with Ahmad Eid, Shahbaz, Ayman, all these guys. Let's have a meeting later on. Yes, exactly. Let's have a meeting later on. Okay, all these guys. So, okay, what is rejected from them? The fixed services. Only that one? Yes. So, aggregator is already in phase 2, which is already being... Okay. When we can have the full TID? Because there is the panel... I don't think we should discuss this. I want to know the project. Of our projects. Now, T-dependency automation, not for the separate team. But you are right in one thing, the mitigation plan. So, I am expecting that you have, every day, a certain number. And you are not meeting that. Then you need to put a mitigation plan for... Like you said. So, where is this? Now, I need to see first... 10 sites. No, we are not doing 10 sites. I'm doing it. Then this part, the second part, where are we going to? You are saying when we have the automation. Then you put the milestone in automation. And you increase your migration plan. Understood. So, we need to see this. Where is it? Ahmed, this is the ROMBO plan. It's showing the rhythm increase, right? It is showing, yeah, to some extent. Let's go through it, please. If you don't mind. Yeah. Sure. My understanding is that we are facing an issue in the automation. That's why we are not able to... Our expectation is 60. Okay, now we are not reaching. We are not reaching. I have a question, sorry for you. A side question. Based on which calculation we have come up with this number? Based on your competitor who is doing this manually, okay? Okay,

we are doing this manually and we are doing it 60. You guys are doing the same migration? Same migration? No, manually. The same migration, same scope. Okay. I'm giving you the minimum, by the way. Right? I'm sorry. That was worse. It was bigger than the screen. So they are doing one pre-app per week. While I'm doing automation, I'm expecting also to complete this per week as well. Each week I'll complete a pre-app. Yes, yes. We are expecting more than this. I'm just asking from where we get this number, right? Based on some exercise, some calculation that we have done or similar activity. This is my question. But from automation, I agree with you. This should be much bigger. That's why we are having this closed sync up with Nokia, right? To identify what is the issue. Apparently there is a process issue. Yes, we have a long list of issues, Fatma, that have been shared with Nokia. And we are in daily follow-ups with them. And also I have discussed with them today. I'm talking about the process of how you hand over, how you deliver. So they are doing manually and they are faster than you. And you have the advantage of automation. And you're still slower than them. There is a process issue. At this stage, Fatma, the automation is rather a responsibility or an obligation. Because it's still in the pilots. We are still fixing the issues. Yeah, later on it will be an advantage. For now, yes. Okay, forget about automation. Let's do neck-to-neck. Nokia is doing it manually. And they are faster than you. No, Fatma, we have not done any manual. We've done previously manual migrations, which were fine. But we were asked to use the automation as the reference for migration. As the tool to go to. Let's say we have it in parallel. But keep the manual ongoing so that we can reach data. But, again, Fatma, what we are trying to do is, if we don't test on production, the automation, then I think we will not be able to prove that the automation is working. But we need another track with manual. In manual migration, we need to intervene from Nokia. As most of the CGs, Nokia is still there. Even they are not supporting with DFs. Currently, for the upcoming week, for just only requesting IVs and DFs, they are not supporting. They are not supporting because there is no money to swap with them. That will be what we ask for. So, if we want to reach your count, which is, I mean, my count is 60. So we need to walk through this process. Right now, the automation is not working as expected. Then we have this second track, which is manual. Fatma, I honestly don't want to use these words because, again, we have done a few maintenance windows using the migration automation, and no one would expect this to work from day one. There will be enhancements. So let's not use the word of it's not serving the purpose because that's not fair to Nokia or Cisco, right? Sorry, I just want to clarify a few details and things. Don't be sensitive. We are just highlighting, Fatma, the reality, right? I'm just trying to tell you, we want to have a parallel track. Parallel track so that we can make you guys reach to your target. We are facilitating you. So what do you mean? Now, the gentleman here was saying something valid, which is we need Nokia support. Okay, tell me what things that you want our support. And we will support you. Yes, for Nokia support, the gentleman here said, you know, that Nokia VIP just only supports for the pilot side. And after this, we have some payment issues. Fatma, we will discuss it later. Sir, I have a few more questions.

Yes, yes. Yes. But I need you guys to work on 2%. Automation, I'm supporting automation 100%. But, does that mean I stop working? Of course, of course. Okay, so we have to. Inshallah. The next question that comes to my mind, you said that Nokia, they are doing it with a rhythm of 60, let's say, ports per week. It is migrating from Nokia to Nokia, maybe, right? Everything, everything, not only Nokia. Okay, and they have dependency on Cisco also and other vendors? Yes. All right, so what we recently started, I discussed with you, that we have a short journey for establishing a racy metrics for all of the parties that are participating in this project. Because if we assume that only Cisco can do the manual migration alone and they can ramp up the progress to reach the 60, then we are setting wrong expectations. So, we established a first skeleton for the racy metrics. It is showing dependency, even if it is manual or automation. There is a dependency on vendors. Something close, something close, yeah. Fatma, it's a collaboration effort. It's not only one-handed. It's not only Cisco. Okay. Yeah. Fatma, the requirements of Nokia are not supported. Okay, I'll just consume one minute out of your time just to show you what are our expectations about the engagement of third parties. Okay? So, this is just like non-elaborated racy about all of the actions or activities. Just, let's say, in a minute or less, as you can see, each party here, they have the responsibility or accountability or to be, let's say, consulted or informed. So, in many cases, or let's say in the majority of the cases, the intervention of the third party, Nokia, Huawei, or Ericsson is needed. So, even if we want to ramp up manually or via automation, we want to reach the 60 plus or minus, there will be some expectation from other parties. So, Just to set expectations. So, you are completely right when you said process. Yes, it's process. We need to set expectations. Who are the engaged parties? And then we can start talking about the rhythm. Okay. So, I want you guys to immediately, when you have any delay, immediately on board us, for phones, WhatsApp, whatever. Understood. Okay? We want to reach not, what do you say? 60. 60. 60. 60. So, we want to double that. So, at least we reach double. I'm not saying 16, double that, so that we can do something about it. Understood. What I can think of, I don't know, we have CRs, approved CRs, right? We have a schedule, let's say, for the CRs. Is it approved? We have actually a plan till the end of July, the first week of August, and all of it was planned according to automation, you know. At least in two weeks' time, okay? Because CR dates two weeks, let's say, one week, two, three weeks, okay? Maximum, okay? We should reach more. Understood. And starting, let's say, August, we should not see this number. Yeah. The problem, Fatma, we need to do it in the next CRs. CRs were already raised and they are in standby automation, so they cannot cancel. If they are going to cancel, they need other two weeks. But for the other two weeks... We will not cancel. We will just only modify... Modify? This is mobile, you mean, yes? Yes, yes. We will modify the mobs only. That means that the number of devices that we have included in the maintenance is corresponding to the number that we believe automation can do. So, we cannot increase the number of devices, right? Okay, for the mobile migration, let's discuss mobile. Mobile, how much timeline do we need in order to complete the CR? Mobile means CSG and RTM? Yeah, yeah. You need one



week, two weeks? For what? For... Mobile. For CR, normal CR? Yes, two weeks. Two weeks. But we don't have any CRs currently on the... No, we already raised the CRs until the end of this month. We raised it only for automation. Only for automation. Please let me modify it. I can modify it if we get the mobs from Nokia. And you're not sure you cannot do it by automation? No, don't do it by automation. We will do it through automation for sure. Two parallel lines. One line that, for example, we have a maintenance went tonight, we will do one or two sites with automation, and the remaining sites we will do it... What do you mean? That you already scheduled this through automation? Correct. As we make that through automation. Create a new track for the manual. Yeah, yeah. That will start two weeks from now. Whatever you already scheduled through automation, and create a new track for manual. Understood that. I want him to do the modification for one of the CRs so that we don't lose these two weeks. Two weeks. One manual, one automated. But see if it's possible or not. For this CR, give it to him, so that he will talk to Nokia and give me the data. Okay. If we are late, it's on us. But if you say, no, we don't have support. Okay. But if it's a follow-up, you guys are not telling them that there is an issue, it's on you. We are talking. We wanted to raise the issue, but Nokia is not supporting us, they have some payment issues, so... If he doesn't support, you go to Ahmed. If Ahmed doesn't support, I am there. If I am not there, he will go to Hassan. Okay. We are accelerating. In person, you talk to them in person. Email us and I know we are having... Call, WhatsApp, do whatever you want, but we need to change this result. There was no even the strength in the picture of the manual. It should be done by automation. I know, but not much. I can see the frustration by you guys, so let's have two tracks. But first, automation should work in parallel. Okay? Yeah. For automation, we need to start bridging the gaps more between the network automation and Nokia. We need to... Maybe we have to have a weekly... Okay. So, expectation. I am waiting for you. Then we come and let you say that. Call for a meeting between us, Ahmed Suleiman, you, and Nokia for the automation. I want to see this rejection comment. We need to have a meeting about automation. All right. So, yeah, we've discussed all the migration issues and the number of migrated ports during the last week. I believe this concludes the Super CSG part, unless you guys have any other comments. If not, then we could ask our automation team to drop this call if they wish, or they could stick with us till the end of the call. I will drop. Thank you so much. Yeah. No problem. Thank you. All right. Sanjay, you're still with us? Yeah. Am I audible? Yeah, Sanjay. You are audible a bit far. Is it okay now? Yes, much better. You may proceed with the last thing. Walk us through the slides, please. Yeah, yeah. Sure. So, good afternoon. So, the first one is the MST ring closure. That ATP already completed on 23rd. So, there was a discussion with the operation team for separating two rings to be tested in parallel. So, yesterday we got a confirmation that we need to do that, and we are preparing the infrastructure for that. So, we can expect that within a week time, we'll complete and we'll go for ATP. Then for point number two, it is just now. Sanjay, before, I just have a lot. Do you have any concerns? What we did last time? What we discussed last time in operation to do the suppressors?

So, what we agreed on, we will analyze and you'll see the number. If it's a significant number, then we'll have to discuss it. If it's a small number, then maybe we'll have to discuss it. So, what is the number? We already discussed, yes. We discussed the number. We need to make it, when I can have feedback on this. By next week. Yeah, please. We need to close this year. Okay. So, point number two, all the testing has been completed. Just now it is completed. And the ATP we are going to offer by tomorrow. Point number three, all the testing has been completed with the same configuration. So, we don't think we should go for any further ATP. It is just a checkup with that. So, that has been completed, right? The Nokia aggregator. So, can you move to the second slide? Yeah. Okay. Aggregator as an inline RR, that testing for NCS 540, 5773, and ASR 920, that means all the Cisco boxes, that has been completed. We are following up with the Nokia to provide us one CAG, so that parenting that CAG with the Cisco aggregator, we'll test it. Sanjay, if testing is completed, we are expecting ATP, right? But also we are expecting as well, migration models. Migration means when I'm going to do migration, the guy in the line. Okay. Migration model, yes. And what is the expected outage related to this migration? Because we need to discuss this later with the operation, yes? So, we need to find the best strategy in order to apply this in the line later, yes? With minimal outage. So, Sanjay, please check it and let's discuss it, okay? Yeah, yeah. So, during this ATP, we'll say what is the migration strategy, right? Yeah. And let's lock also the model. Let's say like a small model, in order to define what is the steps and what we need to do in order to achieve this. So, later if we put the automation or any kind of migration plan, we need to have the initial model related to, okay? Okay. We'll share with you and Javed. Okay. Can you move to the next slide? Sure. So, EVPN on NCS-5773, I think it is getting closed. And for the SRV6 locator planning doc, we shared with Saif, right? So, you are evaluating. So, once we'll come out with your suggestions, then we'll proceed. So, currently we are just checking what are the options we are having with the ISS area and net. Okay. Okay. Yeah. So, I think that concludes the... Thank you very much, Sanjay. Thank you very much. So, yeah, yeah. I just, I came back to ask the guys if they have any question. I did not skip that part. Sorry. You guys have any questions here? No, it's fine. Any concerns? Okay, very good. So, the EPNM now. Okay. The last update is our CR is approved. Thanks to Javed, of course, and his support on this track. We managed to re-provision the additional VM, the new VM that was created for us. Currently, it is at 3.0. We've done the network configuration part. The VM now is accessible through SSH. The problem is, at the moment, we are having a problem transferring the files from the local drive, where the files are, to the VM to proceed further with upgrades. So, yesterday evening, I was with Aftab here, and Javed also is aware about this. It seems that we need the... Yes, we need security approval to enable or to whitelist Cisco website on the VM so we can download the upgrades directly from Cisco website. That is the easiest thing to do for now. We investigated and we tried to find a way around it. We couldn't. One second. So, the files will be downloaded from the Cisco website to the VM, right? To the... Yes, to the Citrix PC, yes. It's similar to sharing it between the

P drive and... That's the problem. On Citrix, we are not able to reach the P drive. Yeah, yeah, I know, I know. It's a chicken and egg. It is a chicken and egg, but, unfortunately, that's the current situation. Now, we are unable to access the file or the folder where the files are from Citrix. So, we cannot upload this to the VM. Question. If somehow one file comes to the... One at a time. Yes. Is this helpful? Yes, very much. We actually need them one at a time. Even the plan is to download one file from Cisco website, do the upgrade, and then delete it and download the next one because there is a limit of 5 GB on... Please check this part. Last time, only 500 meg is supported, not more. This is what we are struggling with. As I explained to you, the guys here are following a backdoor, let me call it, or I don't know, and they are trying to find a way a backdoor, let me call it, or I don't know, an alternative route. EPNM? We actually re-provisioned the VM just a couple of days ago, and then we encountered this issue. Yeah. So... I don't know, honestly. Somehow... Somehow, I shared them to P-drive. He gave me a file. Now, the problem is to move from there to the VM. Yeah. This is it. Because P-drive is not in the circuit, that's the VDI. So, we have to open for them. Even VDI doesn't have this space. I don't think it's enough. So, we have to open for them their communication service, and then we do it. We will discuss it with CSR, but in parallel, check this option that he was telling you. There is also an option to download it batch by batch, not all at once. This is what we tried to do, actually. Faisal here with Thanks gave us a shared link, a shared folder link, where we managed to upload one file, a 500 megabyte file, an upgrade or a patch, and once we downloaded that from the shared file to the VDI, it downloaded on like 250 megabytes or so. So, we lost half of the file. That's why it didn't work. So, we're trying to download it directly now from Cisco website to try and fix this. Osama Rauf, yes, is the guy. Yeah, that's the name I got from Aftab just yesterday evening. Yeah. Right. David, thanks a lot, of course, for the support on this track, man. Yeah. All right. So, on the rollout updates, the CSGs, until last week, we have 231 sites, out of which 225 were out of us already. There is one site underhanding over, and we managed to integrate three sites, and we are currently having two sites with issues. The guys here are working to resolve those. These are two different weeks, yes. Yes. Yes, we're actually having a problem with the scope itself. As you can see here, there are no fiber-to-fiber migrations, and there are also no microwave-to-fiber migrations. You can see we only have six in the pipeline, which is just this one. They are not pending. That's actually the plan, but those teams are not idle yet, so they still have some activities to do during the next week, but we will have that time to prepare the documentation. Let me rephrase it. We think that we have less scope to do. Yeah. Less scope to do. Let them cater for the super CSGs and cover the manual... That's the plan. That's the plan, believe me. At least migrate the Cisco CSGs and come back, tell me all of the Cisco CSGs have migrated. I'm waiting for Nokia and who are about to fund them and so forth. Understood. Taking into consideration the skill set. You have substitute defenders. You need strikers. You need strikers. Yeah, you should have it from the background. You should know. You should have the skill set. Yeah, yeah, yeah. So they work on something slightly different,

but we will find the plan. We'll share it with you and we'll discuss it. I'm expecting a PIP from the migration. I don't... I know. So let's not go back to history. I know, I know. And let's try to get a peer PIP. Let's try to migrate the services. Bishab, let me... Well, I'll leave that to the team here. Ayman and Mahmoud, they are the ones who's managing this. Ayman? For time being. Okay. When you receive it, do you receive it as... A list. A list. No, I mean the condition is as per your standards, our standards, our ATP standard. No, the issue is that we usually... I'm asking if there is an issue. No, no. Just only that the number is few. The number is less. Only that we have less coverage. We don't discuss. Okay, okay. The number, we discuss in another forum. But then I will ask if there is an issue for the migration or the rollout or there is a process issue, there is no support. The site is closed. Once we are going back to the country, we are receiving our case notification and we go ahead. We are receiving from... There are a few cases where we found some sites that are not up to the mark. Some of them were announced RFS and unfortunately when we went to sites, we realized that it's not. There are some patching missing or a device or... Yeah. But it's few. It's few. Let's not generalize. Because when we do the migration, we don't want to have an issue. Yeah. Because naming convention, I don't know, patching issues or something. So we need to make the quality of the work up to the... Understood. Actually, for the migration itself, we are making sure that everything goes smoothly during the migration. So if we find anything related to the site itself, we notify but we rectify as well. Okay. All right. On the 1G to 10G, we've managed to complete 17 sites as planned during the last week. We have 20 planned for this week and 7, 10 for the remaining weeks coming further, as you can see here in this graph. On the Preact to SuperCSG rehoming, no scope were provided in the previous weeks. We have two sites planned for next week, and there are another two planned a week later, a week after. From Preact to SuperCSG. From Nokia Preact to Nokia SuperCSG. Right. And the CSG... From Nokia Preact to Cisco SuperCSG. From Preact to... That's a different track. Yeah. This only... That's a different track. For Cisco CSG, which is connecting to Nokia Preact, and we are rehoming it. Oh, okay. Yeah, I'll adjust this. Yeah. Okay. What is the Cisco SuperCSG? I wasn't expecting Cisco SuperCSG. What is it? What is it? These... We're actually tracking them here. The migration. We're tracking it here. So you can see here... No, no, no. This is migration of RFS sites. This is a port migration summary for the RFS sites. So you can see here, these are... For this site, for example, this is site 1153. There are six CSGs. We've migrated already four of them. A question maybe for Ahmad. Yeah. You have Cisco devices, which are... We're not differentiating between Cisco and Nokia CSG. You're going to use automation. Yeah. It's done both. Automation does both. I know. Whether it was Nokia or... So that's why we do not differentiate between them. If the device is Cisco, do you need the intervention from Nokia? For the manual? Yeah. Since it is on their device. Yeah, yeah, yeah. The automation is ready for the CSG. How are we in time for the access? So it is... Are we waiting for the readiness of the automation of the access so we can plan the migration? No, no. So we are doing the CSG migrations with automation.

It's just that we have seen some issues, and we are gradually fixing them. The more we fix, the faster we can migrate things. The more we can migrate in a single minute. Let's have a simple... Yes. Cisco and Nokia. Well, I'll arrange a call. I'll arrange a call with all stakeholders, and we'll sit on a table to discuss the migration separately. Okay. Now, the image core or the image upgrade, it's with Yahya. Carry on, please. Thank you, Ahmed. Okay. Just to brief you guys, Fatma and the new team, we have total six... I was looking for Swedish. Yeah. So we have six sites total to perform the image upgrade. We completed two in Fujairah. We have the remaining four in the Dubai campus. Two of them, PDC and Abdullah, we submitted ODRs back in June 26th. We received some comments from Irfan. Just to brief you, Fatma, we've been going through a lot of back and forth in planning, supporting us, implementation, supporting us, but operation objecting about who should do these ODRs and what is the content of the ODRs. So, again, I don't want to consume your time over here. I will brief you separately. We need the intervention of you guys to support us with the operation, mainly with Irfan, about the RR, who's responsible and who should do it, what should be the content of the ODRs. Now, what was the mitigation plans? Meanwhile, Cisco, as I just shared, we did these ODRs for the first two sites in Fujairah, completed, and we also prepared both two for the PDC and Abdullah. It took us a lot of time to manually fetch all of the information. We submitted it, and now it's returned back. Again, we need to solve it from the root. It will never be solved easily if we don't solve it from the root with Irfan. Again, as a mitigation, I asked the team to work on it, to restart it from the scratch, to refetch the information in the format that Irfan is asking for. But, again, we should not keep counting on these mitigation plans. It's not ODRs for linked data. It's also automated. ODRs are automated. The format is shared by ODRs. It's not enough for Irfan. It's asking for more data. Yeah. I'm sorry. We heard enough. Is there any difference with the MSAM-9922 or ODR? No, it's not the same process yet. It should be the same process. It's about the amount of efforts, which we pointed out. 9922, there is a way for the ODR to be included, right? Yes. And it's not the ODRs committee that needs it. I'll explain. I'll explain to you. Give us those ODRs. Submit it. Give me those ODRs. The numbers are there also. Submit it. I think we spoke with Irfan already. Irfan, I don't see him in the office. Give me those ODRs. It's here in the slides. We'll cascade it after the meeting. It's supposed to depend on the automation which is prepared and delivered to. The 992 is more bigger than those. I agree. But 992 is bigger. I agree. But 992 is bigger than those. I agree. But 992 is a P-router, right? Because it does not contain services. Those are transit routers, right? So here we have services. That's why Irfan is concerned. He said, give me the device list of all the boxes which are below those. So what we have explained to you is that 992 is a P-router, right? And 992 is a P-router. So what we have explained to him, all the service transmission points are either on the aggregation box or on the BDS, right? Why is Irfan insisting to also give them the device list? Up to the last line. The last line. Right? Cascade the numbers? Sure. Cascade it. Sure. Okay. Yeah. It's good to resolve it because today we have six. Tomorrow we might have many. So let's establish our offer.

Your team is working very hard. Automation. Use case. But meanwhile, we need to close it. This is what we are doing. This is why it's time consuming. Yeah. Because you took more than. Just give us. We'll finish. Inshallah. So next, please. Yeah. The core currently, we've dropped business pay and Dubai Motor City sites because of just don't drop it. Yesterday we had a discussion with me and. Okay. Okay. So he told Farid. He told me he will update today. Okay. Just to follow with. For it. Operation. And try to do it on the 14th and 17th. Yeah. Yeah. So, save, save them and work on the links. Now, we can, we can share. Right. You can say that we're working on the. Upgrade hardware. It gets forgiven. For the next two weeks. Right. So link. Back to back. Action points with the owner. So, yes, whenever there is an action. Don't. We need to see it with the owner here. Understood. So we know that. Actions. Delay. From implementation. So we can take an actions. The state of debates on the emails. We send the emails. Just to support for. It is. August. Let's work on the links. Yeah. But. So don't miss this. They installed the fan. So, he told, I will just come into the operation. Okay. Okay. We already discussed. Okay. A new plan date is twenty eight and thirty first. I said the. But for. We need a date. We need to align with the operations before we can set a date to. To proceed. Even this twenty eight and thirty first. It's a proposal. Yes. That's the date we put on the. But still, we need to align with the operation. Yeah. Yeah. All right. So, yeah. I'll wait for a feedback from you. If we can proceed on the. I did. Yeah. I will. No problem. The, the, actually, the links. The idea is. We need to make sure that the, the, the newly introduced line cards are already installed on all the sites. So, we can build the. Build the. It's partially. Yes. Yeah. We could do two links now. Yeah. Okay. Understood. Yeah. We're trying to run both tracks in parallel. Yeah, I had the same discussion with a couple of days ago. So, that's in the pipeline. Back to you. Yeah, it's a bit hot topic. So, now, really, every minute counts. Okay. We, before the project was put on hold. We submitted the and the draft. Really, guys, the earlier we receive your feedback, the better. Okay. At least, at least on the pep, if you can give us any feedback today. Because this is the old date. Update the. Yeah. It was shared before the project was put on hold. It was shared. Yeah, it was submitted on May 15th. Please review the two topics. Okay. Let's have a review. Let's review the documents. This is from you guys. We need a session to review together. Okay. So. Please submit it again. May 15th. May 15th. I told you just to update the. So, okay. Can we at least just ask you, please, to screen both the LLD and the pep. Just to give any quick feedback. Saying that, guys, okay. Let's have the meeting. But let's receive from you any input. Anything that you saw. You have some comments. Any generic comments. No need to go into detail. Just review it quickly. It will help even to explain things. I'm. I'm. I'm. I'm. I was. This morning. So. From the scratch. Yeah. Tomorrow we will get back to you. Yeah. Even on the pep. If any, any response that what we didn't receive any deadline, for example, officially. So if we receive any input will help us in the, in the meeting. Just look at the last. Let's try. Acceptable. One month. That's what we are. Okay. Okay. All right. So we have to resubmit to us. We're approved, but we need to submit new. I think

