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Vegetation Type

Forest and Woodland

Map Zones

41, 62

Model Splits or Lumps

This BpS is lumped with: 13110

Geographic Range

This system is found throughout the glaciated regions of the Midwest, typically in gently rolling landscapes (NatureServe 2007).

Biophysical Site Description

This system occurs most commonly on interlobates where outwash, ice-contact, and end moraine landforms are situated between former glacial lobes. Other landforms suitable for development of the dry-mesic and dry oak forest are sandy ground moraine and lake plains. Common to all these landforms is well-drained, acidic soil characterized by loamy sand and sandy loam. Dry landscape settings, such as on western and southern aspects and upper slopes and ridge tops are conducive to the development of North-Central Interior Oak Savanna (BpS 1394) rather than this system (Curtis 1959).

For LANDFIRE mapping, SSURGO map units with moderate to high silt percentages (>40%) on uplands, with taxonomic particle sizes of “fine-silty” or “fine-loamy over sandy” may prove useful for mapping this system. Sites expected to have higher fire frequencies on “fine-loamy” may be included for mapping this system, whereas lower frequencies on these sites would likely indicate North-Central Interior Maple-Basswood Forest(BpS 1314). Soil orders are generally Alifsols with Mollisols becoming more important at the interface with prairie and savanna systems

Additionally, relevant for the most dry sites (from Aaseng et al. 2003): Present on hummocky stagnation moraines on well-drained, gravelly, loamy, calcareous till in northwestern MN.

With the dry settings of this system occurring on stagnation morains, the parent material typically is gravelly, loamy, calcareous till but may also include sandy lacustrine deposits. Soils have very dark surface horizons typical of prairies, suggesting these sites were formerly occupied by prairie or open woodland. Soils have firm, clayey subsoil horizons that perch snowmelt and rainfall. These clayey horizons have elements of precipitated lime, and deeper horizons are highly calcareous. Soils are well drained, and the soil-moisture regime is fresh.

Drier settings of this type most commonly on interlobates where outwash, ice-contact, and end moraine landforms are situated between former glacial lobes. Other landforms suitable for development of the dry oak forest are sandy lake plain and dunes. Common to all these landforms is somewhat excessively drained, acidic soil characterized by sand and loamy sand. Dry landscape settings, such as on western and southern aspects and upper slopes and ridge tops are conducive to the development of this system.

Additionally, relevant for the more mesic settings of this system (from Aaseng et al. 2003): Dry-mesic hardwood forests on undulating sand flats, hummocky moraines, and river bluffs. Present mostly on fine sand or sand-gravel soils. Often on south- or west-facing slopes but common also on flat to undulating sandy lake plains. The more mesic expressions of this system is reported to occur on three landforms (from Aaseng et al. 2003):

GLACIAL LAKE PLAINS-Common. Present on undulating sand flats that were deposited in the shallow waters of Glacial Lake Grantsburg. Parent material is stoneless, well sorted fine sand. It was initially calcareous, but soils are now leached of carbonates. Subsoil horizons capable of perching snowmelt are acking, but general fine-sand texture and occasional bands of silt and gravel can help to retain some soil moisture. Densely cemented layers of sand that may reflect past positions of the water table occur at depth and can help hold water for deeply rooted plants. Soils are excessively drained and the soil-moisture regime is moderately dry.

STAGNATION MORAINES—Occasional. Present on hummocky moraines, often adjacent to fire-prone outwash plains and tunnel valleys that were occupied in the past by brushland or prairie. Parent material is a discontinuous cap of partially sorted gravelly sand over a base of denser till and is often complexly stratified. Parent material can be calcareous or noncalcareous; when calcareous, soils are leached of free carbonates to at least 30in (75cm). Although some clays have accumulated in the subsoil, clays are insufficient to perch snowmelt and rainfall. The complex stratification allows these sites to retain some rainfall, and water is available to deeply rooted plants just above the dense till. Where the sandy cap is thick, the soils are excessively drained, and the soil moisture regime is moderately dry. Where the cap is thinner, the soils are well drained, and the soil-moisture regime is fresh.

RIVER BLUFFS-Common. Present on steep (20–50%) south- or west-facing slopes along the Minnesota River valley and other major streams. Soils are developed on eroded calcareous till or cut-faces of gravelly terraces well above modern alluvium. Free carbonates are present at or close to the surface and topsoil layers are thin because of surface erosion. Soils are somewhat excessively to excessively drained. Soil moisture regime is dry to moderately fresh.

Vegetation Description

Typically, the vegetation consists of forests dominated by oaks, especially white oak (Quercus alba), black oak (Quercus velutina and red oak (Quercus rubra). Black oaks were generally more abundant on more xeric, sandier sites, whereas red oak was generally more abundant on more mesic, loamier sites. Red oak can also develop under a moderate overstory of the other oaks. Bur oak was occasionally present on sites with higher fire frequency or on thin calcareous soils (Curtis 1959). White oak spans the range of edaphic conditions encapsulated by this system. Prunus serotina capitalizes on canopy gaps, but rarely achieves significant canopy dominance (McCune & Cottam 1985). Other hardwood species, including Juglans nigra, Juglans cinerea, Celtis occidentalis, Ulmus americana, and Acer negundo were occasionally found in the southern extent of this system on more mesic sites with lower fire frequencies.

Along with oaks are varying amounts of hickory (Carya glabra and Carya ovata), red maple (Acer rubrum), black cherry (Prunus serotina), and sassafras (Sassafras albidum).) and black cherry (Prunus serotina). However, the hickories become increasingly less prevalent to absent in Minnesota. Prunus serotina capitalizes on canopy gaps, but rarely achieves significant canopy dominance (McCune and Cottam 1985). Other hardwood species, including Sassafras albidum, Juglans nigra, Juglans cinerea, Celtis occidentalis, Ulmus americana and Acer negundo were occasionally found in the southern extent of this system on more mesic sites with lower fire frequencies.

Subcanopies and shrub layers are usually well-developed by witch-hazel (Hamamelis virginiana), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida) and hop-hornbeam (Ostrya virginiana). Common low woody shrubs include brambles (Rubus spp.), black currant (Ribes cynosbati) and both native and invasive roses (Rosa spp.). Graminoid species such as Carex pensylvanica, Danthonia spicata, Andropogon gerardii are also common.

For the drier settings of this system, oaks dominated the presettlement vegetation, especially white oak (Quercus alba), black oak (Quercus velutina), northern pin oak (Quercus ellipsoidalis), and bur oak (Quercus macrocarpa). These dry settings are distuguished from more mesic sites by stronger dominance of black oak and northern pin oak, and a general lack of red oak except in later seral stages. Associates include pignut hickory (Carya glabra), red maple (Acer rubrum), black cherry (Prunus serotina), and sassafras (Sassafras albidum). Small trees associates include witch-hazel (Hamamelis virginiana), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida), and hop-hornbeam (Ostrya virginiana). Common low woody shrubs include brambles (Rubus spp.), black currant (Ribes cynosbati), and native roses (Rosa spp.). Graminoid species such as Carex pensylvanica, Danthonia spicata, Andropogon gerardii are also common. In the most acidic lake plain physiographic systems, ericaceous shrubs such as wintergreen (Gualtheria procumbens), lowbush blueberry (Vaccinium angustifolium) and huckleberry (Gaylussacia baccata) become common. Bracken fern (Pteridium aquilinum) can be dominant in the most nutrient poor outwash and lake plain landscapes.

Graminoid species such as Carex pensylvanica, Danthonia spicata and Andropogon gerardii are also common.

BpS Dominant and Indicator Species

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Symbol** | **Scientific Name** | **Common Name** |
| QUAL | *Quercus alba* | White oak |
| QUVE | *Quercus velutina* | Black oak |
| CAGL8 | *Carya glabra* | Pignut hickory |
| QUCO2 | *Quercus coccinea* | Scarlet oak |
| SAAL5 | *Sassafras albidum* | Sassafras |
| QURU | *Quercus rubra* | Northern red oak |
| ACRU | *Acer rubrum* | Red maple |
| POTR5 | *Populus tremuloides* | Quaking aspen |

Species names are from the NRCS PLANTS database. Check species codes at http://plants.usda.gov.

Disturbance Description

The North-Central Interior Dry-Mesic Oak Forest and Woodland (oak-hickory forest) is predominantly Fire Regime I, characterized by low-severity surface fires. Historically, indigenous fires accounted for over 95% of the ignitions over these landscapes. Vegetation types varied based on fire frequency and intensity. Grassland prairies burned often with fire rotations approximately less than five years and were probably associated with flat-to-slightly rolling terrain that effectively carried fire (Anderson 1999). These grasslands, deliberately maintained by Native Americans for hunting purposes, were probably scattered throughout the forest matrix. Oak-hickory savannas occurred where fire frequency was a bit less, probably 5-15yrs. Woodlands developed within a moderate burning regime, with fire return times averaging every 15-25yrs. Closed-canopy oak-hickory forests would develop where fire return intervals stretched beyond 25yrs. Shade-tolerant, fire-sensitive maples (and associated late-successional trees) would regenerate and form understories beneath oak-hickory canopies when fire was excluded over many decades (50yrs). With continued fire exclusion, maple and other late-successional species would gradually replace overstory oaks and hickories through gap capture (Sutherland et al 2003). A mosaic of vegetation types comprised oak-hickory landscapes contingent on fire history (Cutter and Guyette 1994). From a gross landscape perspective, oak-hickory forests occurred in a contiguous matrix integrated with oak savannas, grassland prairies, and mesic forests dominated by red and sugar maple. Fire frequency and intensity determined the proportion of each of these landscape ecosystems across the landscape matrix. Historically, grazing would have similarly maintained open conditions in savannas and cause problems for oak species in recruiting into the overstory. Ice-damage, periodic insect defoliation, and the extinct passenger pigeon may have likely contributed to increased oak canopy openings that facilitated light penetration to the forest floor, and, ultimately, greater possibility of germination and recruitment of oaks.

Native Americans played a critical role in the development and maintenance of oak-hickory landscapes through fire ignition. Natives burned these landscapes for a variety of reasons. Fire encouraged open habitats which, in turn, increased food-producing plants (forbs, mast) and ungulate herbivores (meat). Also, lightning-strike ignitions, though limited in frequency, would have provided an additional source of ignition.

The North-Central Interior Dry Oak Forest and Woodland is predominantly Fire Regime I, characterized by low-to-moderate severity surface fires. Oak grubs (tree-sprout and shrub thickets) occurred where fire frequency was a bit less, probably 5-10yrs. Also, grub conditions would arise immediately after catastrophic burns that would top-kill tree-dominated communities. Savannas and woodlands developed within a moderate burning regime, with fire return times averaging every 4-17yrs (Henderson and Long, 1984). Closed-canopy oak forests would develop where fire return intervals stretched beyond 20-40yrs (Crow, 1988). Shade-tolerant, fire-sensitive maples (and associated late-successional trees) would regenerate and form understories beneath oak canopies when fire was excluded over several decades. With continued fire exclusion, maple and other late-successional species would gradually replace overstory oaks through gap capture (Sutherland and Hutchinson 2003). These shade-tolerant species would eventually form layered stratums of differing heights that will modify the microclimate and light environment to favor self-replacement with exclusion of oaks. A mosaic of vegetation types comprised oak landscapes contingent on fire history (Cutter and Guyette 1994). From a gross landscape perspective, oak forests occurred in a contiguous matrix integrated with oak savannas, grassland prairies and mesic forests dominated by red and sugar maple. Fire frequency and intensity determined the proportion of each of these landscape ecosystems across the landscape matrix.

Fire Frequency

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Severity** | **Avg FI** | **Percent of All Fires** | **Min FI** | **Max FI** |
| Replacement | 75 | 18 |  |  |
| Moderate (Mixed) | 57 | 24 |  |  |
| Low (Surface) | 23 | 58 | 2 | 25 |
| All Fires | 13 | 100 |  |  |

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires modeled in that severity class. Minimum and Maximum FIs show the relative range of fire intervals as estimated by model contributors, if known.

Scale Description

Pre-European oak forests covered hundreds of thousands of contiguous acres. When considered as a matrix with savannas and prairies, estimated acreage increases significantly.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Though often contiguous, oak patches are virtually always integrated in the larger landscape scale with mesic maple-dominated forests and dry oak savannas. Mesic maple forests were relegated to those areas where fire was restricted through facilitation by an edaphic factor such as heavy-textured soil or high water table or by natural fire breaks such as bodies of water and slightly protected depressions. Prolonged intervals (100-150yrs) without fire were needed for maples to manifest their dominance. Oak-hickory forests also graded into savannas (i.e., oak openings) when fire intervals shortened to the point where woody regeneration of overstory tree species was limited. Exposed areas where wind could carry flames at great distances tend to exhibit more savanna vegetation structure than a close oak-hickory forest. In areas where flat outwash extended beyond ice-contact terrain or end moraine, savannas would typically occur in the former abutting a closed forest on the latter landforms. Currently, under the past century's practice of fire suppression, oak-hickory forests are succeeding into a red maple-dominated forest. Prolific sprouting ability, light, wind-carried fruits, and the tendency to cast dense shade has enabled red maple to out compete white and black oak in these systems. Without fire as a natural disturbance that prevents establishment of fire-sensitive species, mesophytic species are free to invade and recruit into the overstory. Implications to forestry, wildlife, and pest and disease outbreaks become apparent.

This system can be similar to North-Central Interior Maple Basswood Forest (BpS 1314). However, the Maple Basswood system typically occurs on gravelly, partially sorted and weakly calcareous till. The maple basswood systems are less likely to have quaking aspen in the canopy (Aaseng et al. 2003).

Issues or Problems

This system has largely converted to closed-canopy forests progressively increasing in mesophytic species. As these systems become increasing mesophytic, the ability to get fire back on the landscape becomes increasingly difficult.

Native grazing, due to higher deer densities than historically (at least in WI) further suppress recruitment of oaks and exacerbates the trend toward closed-canopy mesophytic species. Invasive species, including buckthorn (Rhamnus cathartica) and honeysuckle (Lonicera spp.) are becoming increasingly prevalent in the understories of some stands. The models for North-Central Interior Dry-Mesic Oak Forest and Woodland and North-Central Interior Dry Oak Forest and Woodland were lumped several months after model delivery due to insufficient data and distinguishing criteria to correctly discriminate these types during mapping. The most prevalent oaks have large ecological amplitudes, which confounds mapping when only overstory composition is known. The description for this model was modified by Randy Swaty and Brendan Ward on 10/24/2007 to reflect the merged systems.

Native Uncharacteristic Conditions

Though present historically, red maple has been typified as the "native invasive" in oak hickory forests. Its abundance in these systems measured in both stem density and basal area has grown considerably due to fire suppression and the marked increase in fire return interval. Abundance of aspen, sassafras and black cherry can also be attributed to fire suppression and poor silviculture practices

Comments

Succession Classes

**Mapping Rules**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Upper Layer Lifeform** | **Height (m)** | **Canopy Cover (%)** | | | | | | | | | |
| **0-10** | **11-20** | **21-30** | **31-40** | **41 - 50** | **51-60** | **61-70** | **71-80** | **81-90** | **91-100** |
| Herb | 0-0.5 | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Herb | 0.5-1.0 | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Herb | >1.0 | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Shrub | 0-0.5 | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN |
| Shrub | 0.5-1.0 | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN |
| Shrub | 1.0-3.0 | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN |
| Shrub | >3.0 | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN |
| Tree | 0-5 | B | B | B | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | E | E |
| Tree | 5-10 | B | B | C | C | C | C | UN | UN | E | E |
| Tree | 10-25 | B | B | C | C | C | C | D | D | E | E |
| Tree | 25-50 | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | E | E |
| Tree | >50 | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | UN | E | E |

Succession class letters A-E are described in the Succession Class Description section. Some classes use a leafform distinction where a qualifier is added to the class letter: Brdl (broadleaf), Con (conifer), or Mix (mixed conifer and broadleaf). UN refers to uncharacteristic native or a combination of height and cover that would not be expected under the reference condition. NP refers to not possible or a combination of height and cover which is not physiologically possible for the species in the BpS.

**Description**

Class A 4 Early Development 1 - All Structures

Indicator Species

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Symbol** | **Scientific Name** | **Common Name** | **Canopy Position** |
| ANGE | Andropogon gerardii | Big bluestem | Upper |
| SCHIZ4 | Schizachyrium | Little bluestem | Upper |
| SONU2 | Sorghastrum nutans | Indiangrass | Upper |
| POTR5 | Populus tremuloides | Quaking aspen | None |

Description

PRAIRIE. Native Americans used these lands for hunting, and agriculture/native plant gathering. If fire is absent for a few years, tree seedlings and sprouts would recruit into trees and form savannas. Heavy grazing, though unlikely to have large-scale impact, would have kept certain patches from progressing to a woody shrub vegetation stage and would have maintained class A.

*Maximum Tree Size Class*  
None

Class B 18 Mid Development 1 - Open

Indicator Species

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Symbol** | **Scientific Name** | **Common Name** | **Canopy Position** |
| QUAL | Quercus alba | White oak | Upper |
| QUVE | Quercus velutina | Black oak | Upper |
| POTR5 | Populus tremuloides | Quaking aspen | Lower |
| SCHIZ4 | Schizachyrium | Little bluestem | Lower |

Description

SAVANNA. Savannas conditions occurred where fire was fairly frequent allowing some trees to develop (5-15yrs). Any area that does not burn frequently would convert to woodland conditions. Surface fire would maintain the system in this class.

*Maximum Tree Size Class*  
Large 21-33"DBH

Class C 27 Mid Development 2 - Open

Indicator Species

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Symbol** | **Scientific Name** | **Common Name** | **Canopy Position** |
| QUAL | Quercus alba | White oak | Upper |
| QUVE | Quercus velutina | Black oak | Upper |
| POTR5 | Populus tremuloides | Quaking aspen | Upper |
| QUMA2 | Quercus macrocarpa | Bur oak | Upper |

Description

WOODLAND.

*Maximum Tree Size Class*  
Large 21-33"DBH

Class D 46 Mid Development 3 - Closed

Indicator Species

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Symbol** | **Scientific Name** | **Common Name** | **Canopy Position** |
| QUAL | Quercus alba | White oak | Upper |
| QUVE | Quercus velutina | Black oak | Upper |
| QURU | Quercus rubra | Northern red oak | Upper |
| POTR5 | Populus tremuloides | Quaking aspen | Upper |

Description

OAK FOREST. Class D is defined as oak forest. The age class lasts as long as surface fire occurs periodically. If the late-succession open forest type persists for 50yrs without any type of fire, it will convert to a late-succession mixed mesophytic closed forest type (class E). This conversion is a result of species shift from dominant oaks to dominant maple and beech, which do not support fire as readily.

*Maximum Tree Size Class*  
Large 21-33"DBH

Class E 5 Late Development 1 - Closed

Indicator Species

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Symbol** | **Scientific Name** | **Common Name** | **Canopy Position** |
| ACRU | Acer rubrum | Red maple | Upper |
| ACSA3 | Acer saccharum | Sugar maple | Upper |
| TIAM | Tilia americana | American basswood | Upper |
| QUAL | Quercus alba | White oak | Upper |

Description

MESOPHYTIC FOREST. Maple forests develop during the absence of fire. Dense understories of shade-tolerant species develop. Surface fires would allow these systems to revert back to oak dominance.

*Maximum Tree Size Class*  
Large 21-33"DBH

Model Parameters

Deterministic Transitions

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **From Class** | **Begins at (yr)** | **Succeeds to** | **After (years)** |
| Early1:ALL | 0 | Mid1:OPN | 4 |
| Mid1:OPN | 5 | Mid1:OPN | 999 |
| Mid2:OPN | 15 | Mid2:OPN | 999 |
| Mid3:CLS | 25 | Mid3:CLS | 999 |
| Late1:CLS | 150 | Late1:CLS | 999 |

Probabilistic Transitions

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Disturbance Type** | **Disturbance occurs In** | **Moves vegetation to** | **Disturbance Probability** | **Return Interval (yrs)** | **Reset Age to New Class Start Age After Disturbance?** | **Years Since Last Disturbance** |
| Native Grazing | Early1:ALL | Early1:ALL | 0.01 | 100 | No | 0 |
| Replacement Fire | Early1:ALL | Early1:ALL | 0.1 | 10 | Yes | 0 |
| Alternative Succession | Mid1:OPN | Mid2:OPN | 1 | 1 | Yes | 10 |
| Native Grazing | Mid1:OPN | Mid1:OPN | 0.01 | 100 | No | 0 |
| Replacement Fire | Mid1:OPN | Early1:ALL | 0.01 | 100 | Yes | 0 |
| Surface Fire | Mid1:OPN | Mid1:OPN | 0.05 | 20 | No | 0 |
| Alternative Succession | Mid2:OPN | Mid3:CLS | 1 | 1 | Yes | 15 |
| Replacement Fire | Mid2:OPN | Early1:ALL | 0.01 | 100 | Yes | 0 |
| Mixed Fire | Mid2:OPN | Mid1:OPN | 0.015 | 67 | Yes | 0 |
| Surface Fire | Mid2:OPN | Mid2:OPN | 0.05 | 20 | No | 0 |
| Alternative Succession | Mid3:CLS | Late1:CLS | 1 | 1 | Yes | 65 |
| Mixed Fire | Mid3:CLS | Mid1:OPN | 0.01 | 100 | Yes | 0 |
| Replacement Fire | Mid3:CLS | Early1:ALL | 0.01 | 100 | Yes | 0 |
| Mixed Fire | Mid3:CLS | Mid2:OPN | 0.02 | 50 | Yes | 0 |
| Surface Fire | Mid3:CLS | Mid3:CLS | 0.05 | 20 | No | 0 |
| Mixed Fire | Late1:CLS | Mid3:CLS | 0.001 | 1000 | Yes | 0 |
| Mixed Fire | Late1:CLS | Mid2:OPN | 0.001 | 1000 | Yes | 0 |
| Mixed Fire | Late1:CLS | Mid1:OPN | 0.001 | 1000 | Yes | 0 |
| Mixed Fire | Late1:CLS | Early1:ALL | 0.002 | 500 | Yes | 0 |
| Wind or Weather or Stress | Late1:CLS | Late1:CLS | 0.01 | 100 | No | 0 |
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