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Southern Coastal Plain Mesic Slope Forest
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Vegetation Type

Forest and Woodland

Map Zones

46, 47, 55, 58, 99

Geographic Range

This mesic upland system of the Southern Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plains is found in suitable conditions from Georgia south to northern Florida and west to (and including) the loessal plains of Mississippi and Louisiana. Its range is generally congruent with the natural range of *Pinus glabra* and *Magnolia grandiflora* (NatureServe 2006). There is a gradient in species composition from east to west. The mesic forests of the southern loess bluffs and ravines (proximal to the Mississippi River) are represented by a separate (but similar) biophysical setting (BpS).

Biophysical Site Description

This BpS model is specific to the mesic mixed slope and ravine forests of the Southern Coastal Plains, within the natural range of *Pinus glabra* and *Magnolia grandiflora*. The distribution of these forests is determined by the interaction of local topography and soil texture and fertility. This system occurs in a variety of moist, non-wetland sites that are naturally sheltered from frequent fire. Most common are lower slope, bluff, and ravine examples along streams and rivers in dissected terrain, but some examples occur on mesic flats between drier pine-dominated uplands and floodplains or on local high areas within bottomland terraces or nonriverine wet flats (NatureServe 2006). Within the type, local variability in topography and moisture, as well as regional floristic variation, determine the canopy dominants. Richer and more mesic stands occur in more strongly concave and finer-textured areas. Soils cover the full range of mineral soil textures, except for the coarsest sands (NatureServe 2006). Soils are not saturated for any significant time during the growing season and seldom, if ever, are extremely dry. Soils developed from calcareous materials or rich alluvium may be basic; others are strongly acidic. Sites are normally protected from most natural fires by steep topography or by surrounding extensive areas of non-flammable vegetation.

Vegetation Description

Most stands of vegetation in this BpS are diverse, but are typically co-dominated by American beech (*Fagus grandifolia*) with or without southern magnolia (*Magnolia grandifolia*), white oak (*Quercus alba*) and spruce pine (*Pinus glabra*). The more mesic end of the gradient may not include oaks at all, but instead show dominance by American beech, southern magnolia, tuliptree (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), southern sugar maple (*Acer barbatum*), and/or sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*). In some sites, cherrybark oak (*Quercus pagoda*) or Shumard oak (*Quercus shumardii*) are important canopy components. Swamp chestnut oak (*Quercus michauxii*) and/or water oak (*Quercus nigra*) may also be present, as well as bitternut hickory (*Carya cordiformis*), blackgum (*Nyssa sylvatica*) and umbrella magnolia (*Magnolia tripetala*). *Pinus taeda* is sometimes present, and it is probably a natural component at a lower level, but higher frequencies may indicate past removal of the hardwood canopy and subsequent invasion. Understories are usually well-developed. Shrub and herb layers may be sparse or moderately dense, with the herb layer being forb-dominated. Some typical smaller trees and shrubs include *Cornus florida, Symplocos tinctoria, Oxydendrum arboreum, Hamamelis virginiana, Morus rubra*, and *Stewartia malacodendron*. Within its range, *Sabal minor* may be a prominent shrub. Some stands may contain *Arundinaria gigantea*. Some typical herbs include *Mitchella repens* and *Hexastylis arifolia*.

BpS Dominant and Indicator Species

Species names are from the NRCS PLANTS database. Check species codes at http://plants.usda.gov.

Disturbance Description

These are stable, fire-sheltered forests. There is presumably some natural disturbance from the effects of hurricanes, which are relatively frequent in the range of this system (NatureServe 2006).

Fire regime characterized by infrequent, low-intensity surface fires and rare mosaic or replacement fires. The mean fire return interval (MFRI) is about 35yrs with wide year-to-year and within-type variation related to moisture cycles, degree of sheltering, and proximity to more fire-prone vegetation types. Anthropogenic fire was considered and it contributes to within-type MFRI variation.

Fire Frequency

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires modeled in that severity class. Minimum and Maximum FIs show the relative range of fire intervals as estimated by model contributors, if known.

Scale Description

Stands of this type may be essentially linear features on the landscape, occupying slopes associated with concave drainage features. There may be larger patches where side-drains join larger streams. Under closed canopy conditions, fire may only partially penetrate these systems from adjacent uplands.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Stands of this type may be essentially linear features on the landscape, occupying slopes associated with concave drainage features. There may be larger patches where side-drains join larger streams. Under closed canopy conditions, fire may only partially penetrate these systems from adjacent uplands.

East Gulf Coastal Plain Northern Mesic Hardwood Slope Forest (CES203.477) is a similar mesic forest system to the north of this one in the Upper East Gulf Coastal Plain that has greater dominance by deciduous trees. The systems of the loess bluffs to the west of this one, bordering the Mississippi River Alluvial Plain, are treated as distinct and are more extensive and continuous in their extent both vertically and latitudinally. (See East Gulf Coastal Plain Northern Loess Bluff Forest [CES203.481] and East Gulf Coastal Plain Southern Loess Bluff Forest (CES203.556)]). To the north of the combined ranges of *Pinus glabra* and *Magnolia grandiflora* in the Atlantic Coastal Plain, this system is replaced by Atlantic Coastal Plain Mesic Hardwood Forest (CES203.242) (NatureServe 2006).

Issues or Problems

There is an issue with respect to recognizing canopy closure of just the overstory of this forest type. In this model, the sub-canopy closure really makes the difference between what an open and closed stand represent. The keep relative age was used in the model to keep it realistic.

Native Uncharacteristic Conditions

*Pinus taeda* is sometimes present, and it is probably a natural component at a lower level, but higher frequencies may indicate past removal of the hardwood canopy and subsequent invasion.

Comments

This BpS is based on a revision of the descriptive material for R9OADM of the Rapid Assessment process. (Notes from R9OADM: We have included the use of keep relative age in this model, realizing that in the long-term modeling this will either have to be accommodated in the software or reworked. The inclusion makes more ecological sense. Without it the proportion of forests shift to the mid-successional forest class, which is not expected on the ground.)

Succession Classes

**Mapping Rules**

Succession class letters A-E are described in the Succession Class Description section. Some classes use a leafform distinction where a qualifier is added to the class letter: Brdl (broadleaf), Con (conifer), or Mix (mixed conifer and broadleaf). UN refers to uncharacteristic native or a combination of height and cover that would not be expected under the reference condition. NP refers to not possible or a combination of height and cover which is not physiologically possible for the species in the BpS.

**Description**

Class A 7 Early Development 1 - All Structures

Indicator Species

Description

This class is characterized by sprouts, seedlings, and saplings, primarily of major overstory species, in gaps created by wind, lightning, insect/disease, and less frequently, fire. Shade intolerant species (e.g. *Liriodendron tulipifera*) are confined to multiple-tree gaps. This is not a fire-driven system, so most of early succession would result from other disturbances, including tree fall.

*Maximum Tree Size Class*  
Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH

Class B 30 Mid Development 1 - Closed

Indicator Species

Description

Class B is dominated by a young to early mature canopy with some obligate mid-story and understory species. The closed condition is a function of understory/midstory development and, depending on the age of the overstory, at least two strata are present. The fire frequency primarily impacts the amount of subcanopy vegetation. Under standard conditions, infrequent and low-intensity fires, the stands have dense undergrowth and are considered closed.

*Maximum Tree Size Class*  
Medium 9-21"DBH

Class C 6 Mid Development 1 - Open

Indicator Species

Description

Class C has the same overstory composition and structure as B, but without a well-developed midstory. Surface fires serve to maintain the open understory in these stands. Class C will transition into class B through an alternative succession pathway (growth of the understory/midstory) if fire is absent.

*Maximum Tree Size Class*  
Medium 9-21"DBH

Class D 15 Late Development 1 - Open

Indicator Species

Description

Class D is characterized by an early-to-late mature canopy that may exceed 100ft in height. Dominant overstory species vary depending on location and stand history. The open condition is dependent on the absence of multi-layered vertical structure. Surface fires maintain the open understory in these stands. Class D will transition into class E through an alternative succession pathway (growth of the understory/midstory) if fire is absent.

*Maximum Tree Size Class*  
Large 21-33"DBH

Class E 42 Late Development 1 - Closed

Indicator Species

Description

Class E exhibits the same overstory composition and structure as D. However, well-developed lower layers are present containing canopy species and other species confined to those levels. Fire frequency primarily impacts the amount of subcanopy vegetation. Under standard conditions, e.g., infrequent and low intensity fires, the stands have dense undergrowth and are considered closed.

*Maximum Tree Size Class*  
Large 21-33"DBH

Model Parameters

Deterministic Transitions

Probabilistic Transitions
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