Growth of functions

The order of growth of the running time of an algorithm gives a simple characterization of its efficiency.

When the size of the input becomes large enough, multiplicative constants and lower-order terms are dominated by the effect of the input size itself, and in such scenarios where only the order of growth of the running time matters, we are studying the function asymptotically.

We can therefore use asymptotic notations to define the behaviour of the efficiency of algorithms, namely the O-notation, the Ω -notation and Θ -notation.

O-notation

For a given function g(n), we denote by O(g(n)), the set of functions:

$$O(g(n)) = \{ f(n) : \exists c, n_0 > 0 \mid cg(n) \ge f(n) \ge 0, \ \forall \ n \ge n_0 \}$$

We use the O-notation to give an upper bound on a function, to within a constant factor.

Ω -notation

Just as the O-notation provides an asymptotic upper bound, the Ω -notation provides an asymptotic lower bound. For a given function g(n), we denote by $\Omega(g(n))$ the set of functions:

$$\Omega(g(n)) = \{ f(n) : \exists c, n_0 > 0 \mid f(n) \ge cg(n) \ge 0, \ \forall \ n \ge n_0 \}$$

Furthermore
$$f(n) = \Omega(g(n)) \iff g(n) = O(f(n)).$$

Θ -notation

For a given function g(n), we denote by $\Theta(g(n))$ the set of functions:

$$\Theta(g(n)) = \{ f(n) : \exists c_1, c_2, n_0 > 0 \mid c_2 g(n) \ge f(n) \ge c_1 g(n) \ge 0, \ \forall \ n \ge n_0 \}$$

In other words, a function f(n) belongs to the set $\Theta(g(n))$ if there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that in can be enclosed between $c_1g(n)$ and $c_2g(n)$ for sufficiently large n.

How to choose between the three notations?

$$\begin{split} &if\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=c\neq0\implies f(n)=\Theta(g(n))\\ &if\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=0\implies f(n)=O(g(n))\\ &if\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=\infty\implies f(n)=\Omega(g(n)) \end{split}$$

Stirling's approximation

$$n! \approx (\frac{n}{e})^n \sqrt{2\pi n} (1 + \Theta(\frac{1}{n}))$$