

AdS/CFT - Homework 2

M. Ross Tagaras
(Dated: September 21, 2020)

PROBLEM 1

To find the number of cross ratios, we start with the number of configurations of n points in d dimensions and subtract the number of configurations related by symmetry. The number related by symmetry will change depending on how large n is relative to d .

The points all need to be related by conformal symmetry, so for large n , we should find

$$nd - \text{Dim} [SO(d+1, 1)] = dn - \frac{(d+2)(d+1)}{2}$$

When n is small enough, there may be a subgroup of $SO(d+1, 1)$ that fixes all n points. This occurs when $n < d+1$. In that case, the number of cross ratios is

$$nd - \text{Dim} [SO(d+1, 1)] + \text{Dim} [\text{Subgroup}]$$

For each point that we add, the size of the subgroup that fixes all points decreases; for $n < d+1$ it is $SO(d+1-n, 1)$. That gives

$$nd - \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2} + \frac{(d-n+1)(d+2-n)}{2} = \frac{n^2 - 3n}{2}$$

so all together, the number of cross ratios is

$$\begin{aligned} dn - \frac{(d+2)(d+1)}{2} & \quad n \geq d+1 \\ \frac{n^2 - 3n}{2} & \quad n < d+1 \end{aligned}$$

PROBLEM 2

The stress tensor is the unique real, traceless, symmetric, primary operator of dimension d constructed from φ and φ^\dagger . Note that φ and φ^\dagger are primary operators. φ has dimension $[\varphi] = \frac{d-2}{2}$, so we begin by writing every possible term with φ , φ^\dagger , and two derivatives:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = A \left(\partial_\mu \varphi^\dagger \partial_\nu \varphi + \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \varphi^\dagger \right) + C \left(\varphi^\dagger \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \varphi + \varphi \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \varphi^\dagger \right) + D \delta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \varphi^\dagger \partial^\rho \varphi + E \delta_{\mu\nu} \left(\varphi^\dagger \partial^2 \varphi + \varphi \partial^2 \varphi^\dagger \right)$$

Imposing tracelessness and doing some algebra, we find

$$0 = \delta_{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} = (2A + dD) \partial_\mu \varphi^\dagger \partial^\mu \varphi + (C + dE) \left(\varphi^\dagger \partial^2 \varphi + \varphi \partial^2 \varphi^\dagger \right)$$

which tells us that $(2A + dD) = 0 = (C + dE)$. To ensure that $T_{\mu\nu}$ is a primary, we will impose $[K_\rho, T_{\mu\nu}] = 0$. This gives (after more algebra)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & A \left(\partial_\mu \varphi^\dagger [K, \partial_\nu \varphi] + [K, \partial_\mu \varphi^\dagger] \partial_\nu \varphi + \partial_\mu \varphi [K, \partial_\nu \varphi^\dagger] + [K, \partial_\mu \varphi] \partial_\nu \varphi^\dagger \right) \\
& + C \left(\varphi^\dagger [K, \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \varphi] + \varphi [K, \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \varphi^\dagger] \right) + D \delta_{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\rho \varphi^\dagger [K, \partial^\rho \varphi] + [K, \partial_\rho \varphi^\dagger] \partial^\rho \varphi \right) \\
& + E \delta_{\mu\nu} \left(\varphi [K, \partial^2 \varphi^\dagger] + \varphi^\dagger [K, \partial^2 \varphi] \right)
\end{aligned}$$

So we find $A = C = D = E = 0$. This clearly isn't right...

The most general object with three indices and dimension $d+1$ that we can write down is

$$J_{\mu\nu\rho} = A \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \varphi^\dagger \partial_\rho \varphi + B \delta_{\mu\nu} \left(\partial^2 \varphi^\dagger \right) \partial_\rho \varphi + C \varphi^\dagger \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\rho \varphi + D \delta_{\mu\nu} \varphi^\dagger \partial_\rho \partial^2 \varphi + \text{perms} + \text{h.c.}$$

Taking the trace in μ and ν gives

$$0 = \delta^{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu\rho} = [A + (d+2)B] \left(\partial^2 \varphi^\dagger \partial_\rho \varphi \right) + 2A \left(\partial^\mu \varphi^\dagger \partial_\mu \partial_\rho \varphi \right) + [C + (d+2)D] \left(\varphi^\dagger \partial^2 \partial_\rho \varphi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

which implies $A = B = 0$ and $C = -(d+2)D$. Similar results are found from the other traces.

PROBLEM 3

Using the projective null cone formalism, the most general expression for the 4-point function is

$$F = \langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}(P_1) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}(P_2) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}(P_3) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_4}(P_4) \rangle = C P_{12}^\alpha P_{12}^\beta P_{14}^\gamma P_{23}^\delta P_{24}^\epsilon P_{34}^\zeta$$

where $P_{ij} = -2P_i \cdot P_j$ and C is an undetermined constant. Requiring homogeneity under $P_1 \rightarrow \lambda P_1$ implies

$$\lambda^{-\Delta_1} F = \lambda^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} F$$

Similar results are found when transforming $P_{2,3,4}$. We find the following (underdetermined) linear system:

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma = -\Delta_1 \quad \alpha + \delta + \epsilon = -\Delta_2 \quad \beta + \delta + \zeta = -\Delta_3 \quad \gamma + \epsilon + \zeta = -\Delta_4$$

which has the solution

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= -\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2}{2} + x & \beta &= \frac{\Delta_4 - \Delta_3}{2} - x - y & \gamma &= \frac{-\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_4}{2} + y \\
\delta &= y & \epsilon &= \frac{\Delta_1 - \Delta_2}{2} - x - y & \zeta &= -\frac{\Delta_3 + \Delta_4}{2} + x
\end{aligned}$$

where x and y cannot be determined. Plugging these values into F gives

$$F = C \left(\frac{P_{12} P_{34}}{P_{13} P_{24}} \right)^x \left(\frac{P_{14} P_{23}}{P_{13} P_{24}} \right)^y \frac{1}{P_{12}^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2}{2}} P_{34}^{\frac{\Delta_3+\Delta_4}{2}}} P_{24}^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}} P_{13}^{\frac{\Delta_4-\Delta_3}{2}} P_{14}^{\frac{\Delta_2-\Delta_1+\Delta_3-\Delta_4}{2}}$$

Notice that the terms in parentheses are the usual cross-ratios u and v . When we project to the Euclidean section, we have $P_{ij} \rightarrow x_{ij}^2$, so F becomes

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}(P_1) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}(P_2) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}(P_3) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_4}(P_4) \rangle = \frac{f(u, v)}{x_{12}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2} x_{34}^{\Delta_3+\Delta_4}} x_{24}^{\Delta_1-\Delta_2} x_{13}^{\Delta_4-\Delta_3} x_{14}^{\Delta_2-\Delta_1+\Delta_3-\Delta_4}$$

If we take $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \Delta_3 = \Delta_4$, we recover the expected result

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta(P_1) \mathcal{O}_\Delta(P_2) \mathcal{O}_\Delta(P_3) \mathcal{O}_\Delta(P_4) \rangle = \frac{f(u, v)}{x_{12}^{2\Delta} x_{34}^{2\Delta}}$$

PROBLEM 4

The correlation function on the cylinder and in flat space are related by

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\tau_1, \vec{n}_1) \mathcal{O}(\tau_2, \vec{n}_2) \rangle_{cyl} = \frac{1}{r_1^{\Delta_1} r_2^{\Delta_2}} \langle \mathcal{O}(r_1, \vec{n}_1) \mathcal{O}(r_2, \vec{n}_2) \rangle_{flat}$$

Time translations on the cylinder correspond to dilations in flat space. The RHS is clearly invariant under dilations, so the LHS is invariant under time translations.

Now we show that $\langle \mathcal{O}(\tau_1, \vec{n}_1) \mathcal{O}(\tau_2, \vec{n}_2) \rangle_{cyl}$ admits the desired expansion. We know that \mathcal{O} creates a sum of descendants and we can use (109) of DSD to find the action on the conjugate state. We have

$$\mathcal{O}(y) = e^{y \cdot P} |\mathcal{O}\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y \cdot P)^n}{n!} |\mathcal{O}\rangle \quad \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}(x) = x^{-2\Delta} \langle \mathcal{O} | e^{-iK \cdot x} = x^{-2\Delta} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{O} | \frac{(\tilde{x} \cdot K)^m}{m!}$$

where the tilde on x denotes inversion. The flat-space inner product is

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(r_1, \vec{n}_1) \mathcal{O}(r_2, \vec{n}_2) \rangle = x^{-2\Delta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{O} | \frac{(\tilde{x} \cdot K)^n (y \cdot P)^n}{(n!)^2} | \mathcal{O} \rangle$$

The two sums have combined into one, since states with unequal numbers of P 's and K 's will vanish by orthogonality. Splitting $x = (r_1, \vec{n}_1)$ (and similarly for y) and using the fact that $r = e^\tau$, this sum becomes

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(r_1, \vec{n}_1) \mathcal{O}(r_2, \vec{n}_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{(r_1 r_2)^\Delta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{O} | \frac{(K^\mu \vec{n}_{1\mu})^n (P^\nu \vec{n}_{2\nu})^n}{(n!)^2} | \mathcal{O} \rangle e^{(\tau_2 - \tau_1)(n + \Delta)}$$

The matrix elements correspond to descendants in the multiplet created by \mathcal{O} . Since the flat space correlator admits this expansion, the correlator on the cylinder does as well, modified by the factors we found previously.

PROBLEM 5

TO satisfy reflection positivity, time-symmetric configurations must have positive norm. The 2-point function for spin-1 operators with identical dimensions is

$$\langle J^\mu(x) J_\nu(y) \rangle = C_J \frac{I^\mu{}_\nu(x-y)}{(x-y)^{2\Delta}}, \quad I^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu - 2 \frac{x^\mu x_\nu}{x^2}$$

The reflected version is

$$\langle J^\dagger_\mu(x) J^\dagger_\nu(y) \rangle = I_\mu{}^\rho(x) I_\nu{}^\sigma(y) (xy)^{-2\Delta} \left\langle J_\rho \left(\frac{x}{x^2} \right) J_\sigma \left(\frac{y}{y^2} \right) \right\rangle = C_J I_\mu{}^\rho(x) I_\nu{}^\sigma(y) I_{\rho\sigma} \left(\frac{xy^2 - yx^2}{x^2 y^2} \right) (y^2 - x^2)^{-2\Delta}$$

PROBLEM 6

We want to calculate $|P^2 |\mathcal{O}\rangle|^2 = \langle \mathcal{O} | K^2 P^2 | \mathcal{O} \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{O} | K_\mu K^\mu P_\nu P^\nu | \mathcal{O} \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{O} | K_\mu (P_\nu K^\mu + [K^\mu, P_\nu] P^\nu) | \mathcal{O} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O} | [K_\mu, P_\nu] K^\mu P^\nu | \mathcal{O} \rangle + 2 \langle \mathcal{O} | K_\nu D P^\nu | \mathcal{O} \rangle - 2 \langle \mathcal{O} | K_\mu M^\mu{}_\nu P^\nu | \mathcal{O} \rangle \\
&= 2 \langle \mathcal{O} | D \delta_{\mu\nu} K^\mu P^\nu | \mathcal{O} \rangle + 2 \langle \mathcal{O} | (D K_\nu + [K_\nu, D]) P^\nu | \mathcal{O} \rangle - 2 \langle \mathcal{O} | K_\mu [M^\mu{}_\nu, P^\nu] | \mathcal{O} \rangle \\
&= (4\Delta + 2) \langle \mathcal{O} | K_\mu P^\mu | \mathcal{O} \rangle - 2 \langle \mathcal{O} | K_\mu (\delta^\nu{}_\mu P^\mu - \delta^{\mu\nu} P_\nu) | \mathcal{O} \rangle = (4\Delta + 4 - 2d) \langle \mathcal{O} | [K_\mu, P^\mu] | \mathcal{O} \rangle \\
&= 2d\Delta(4\Delta + 4 - 2d) \langle \mathcal{O} | \mathcal{O} \rangle \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

We normalize $|\mathcal{O}\rangle$ so that $\langle \mathcal{O} | \mathcal{O} \rangle = 1$, which gives $2d\Delta(4\Delta + 4 - 2d) \geq 0$. This is satisfied for $\Delta \geq 0$ or $\Delta \geq \frac{d-2}{2}$.