

AdS/CFT - Homework 5

M. Ross Tagaras
(Dated: December 11, 2020)

EXERCISE 1

When we reach the unitarity bound, a generic long multiplet splits into a product of a short multiplet with the same Lorentz quantum numbers and a second short multiplet that contains the null states of the first. We first need to identify the null states for $[j, \bar{j}]$, $[j, 0]$, and $[0, 0]$. Generally, the representation $[j, \bar{j}]_{\tilde{\Delta}}$ has the null descendant $P^\mu |[j, \bar{j}]_{\tilde{\Delta}}\rangle$, where $\tilde{\Delta}$ is determined by the unitarity bound and the level. The exception is the case $j = \bar{j} = 0$, where an additional level two constraint gives the null descendant $P^\mu P_\mu |[0, 0]_1\rangle$.

The unitarity bounds are

$$\Delta \geq \begin{cases} \frac{j}{2} + \frac{\bar{j}}{2} + 2, & j > 0, \bar{j} > 0 \\ \frac{j}{2} + 1, & j > 0, \bar{j} = 0 \\ \frac{\bar{j}}{2} + 1, & j = 0, \bar{j} > 0 \\ 1, & j = \bar{j} = 0 \end{cases}$$

When $j, \bar{j} \neq 0$, the null state is

$$P^\mu |[j, \bar{j}]_{\frac{j}{2} + \frac{\bar{j}}{2} + 2}\rangle$$

and L' is $[j - 1, \bar{j} - 1]$. We find the rule

$$[j, \bar{j}]_{\frac{j}{2} + \frac{\bar{j}}{2} + 2} \oplus [j - 1, \bar{j} - 1]_{\frac{j}{2} + \frac{\bar{j}}{2} + 3} \rightarrow [j, \bar{j}]_{\frac{j}{2} + \frac{\bar{j}}{2} + 2 + \epsilon}$$

When $j \neq 0, \bar{j} = 0$, the null state is

$$P^\mu |[j, 0]_{\frac{j}{2} + 1}\rangle$$

and L' is $[j + 1, -1]$. We find the rule

$$[j, 0]_{\frac{j}{2} + 1} \oplus [j + 1, -1]_{\frac{j}{2} + 2} \rightarrow [j, 0]_{\frac{j}{2} + 1 + \epsilon}$$

When $j = \bar{j} = 0$, the null state is

$$P^\mu P_\mu |[0, 0]_1\rangle$$

and L' is $[\cdot, \cdot]$. We find the rule

$$[0, 0]_1 \oplus [\cdot, \cdot]_3 \rightarrow [0, 0]_{1 + \epsilon}$$

EXERCISE 2

The commutator of variations acts on a field \mathcal{W} as

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta_1, \delta_2]\mathcal{W} &= \epsilon^\alpha_a \epsilon^\beta_b \left[Q^a_\alpha, Q^b_\beta \right] \mathcal{W} + \epsilon^\alpha_a \dot{\epsilon}^{\dot{\beta}b} \left[Q^a_\alpha, \dot{Q}_{\dot{\beta}b} \right] \mathcal{W} + \epsilon^\alpha_a e^\nu \left[Q^a_\alpha, B_\nu \right] \mathcal{W} + \dot{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}a} \epsilon^\beta_b \left[\dot{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}a}, Q^b_\beta \right] \mathcal{W} \\ &\quad + \dot{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}a} \dot{\epsilon}^{\dot{\beta}b} \left[\dot{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}a}, \dot{Q}_{\dot{\beta}b} \right] \mathcal{W} + \dot{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}a} e^\nu \left[\dot{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}a}, B_\nu \right] \mathcal{W} + e^\mu \epsilon^\beta_b \left[B_\mu, Q^b_\beta \right] \mathcal{W} + e^\mu \dot{\epsilon}^{\dot{\beta}b} \left[B_\mu, \dot{Q}_{\dot{\beta}b} \right] \mathcal{W} + e^\mu e^\nu \left[B_\mu, B_\nu \right] \mathcal{W} \\ &= \delta_1 (\delta_2 \mathcal{W}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \end{aligned}$$

We find a similar expression for the anticommutator of variations. Performing the variations of \mathcal{W} using the given expressions, we will find a sum of terms proportional to the various fields and combinations of ϵ and e . From these, we will be able to directly read off the expressions for the various (anti)commutators. For example, varying Φ_m gives

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]\Phi_m = \delta_1 (\delta_2 \Phi_m) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) = \delta_1 \left(\epsilon^\beta_b \sigma_m^{bc} \psi_{bc} + \dot{\epsilon}^{b\dot{\beta}} \sigma_{mbc} \dot{\psi}_{\dot{\beta}}^c + e^\nu D_\nu \Phi_m \right) - \dots$$

To find $[B_\mu, B_\nu]$, we need to pick out the terms proportional to $e^\mu e^\nu \Phi_m$. Clearly, such terms can only come from varying $e^\nu D_\nu \Phi_m$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta_1, \delta_2]\Phi_m &= 2ig e^\mu e^\nu \mathcal{F}_{\nu\mu} \Phi_m + e^\mu e^\nu D_\nu D_\mu \Phi_m - e^\mu e^\nu D_\mu D_\nu \Phi_m + \dots = e^\mu e^\nu \left(2ig \mathcal{F}_{\nu\mu} + [D_\nu, D_\mu] \right) \Phi_m + \dots \\ &= e^\mu e^\nu \left[-ig \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \right] \Phi_m + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Comparing to the expression for $[\delta_1, \delta_2]$ in terms of commutators, we find

$$[B_\mu, B_\nu] = -ig \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$$

The rest of the algebra follows similar logic.

EXERCISE 3

We want to compute the composite operators associated with

$$[0, 1]_{5/2}^{(1,1,0)} \quad [1, 1]_3^{(1,0,1)} \quad [0, 0]_3^{(2,0,0)}$$

The case of $[0, 2]_3^{(0,1,0)}$ was done in class as an example, and it is simple to relate the three cases above to operators created by exchanging $Q \leftrightarrow \tilde{Q}$ as needed. Using the notation of Beisert, the action of Q on the fields is (up to numerical factors)

$$[Q_\alpha^a, \phi_m] = \sigma_m^{ab} \psi_{\alpha b}$$

$$\{Q_\alpha^a, \psi_{b\beta}\} = \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}^\mu \sigma_{\beta\dot{\delta}}^\nu \epsilon^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} \delta_b^a F_{\mu\nu} + g \sigma_{ac}^m \sigma_n^{cd} \delta_{db} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} [\phi_m, \phi^n]$$

$$\{Q_\alpha^a, \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\beta}}^b\} = \sigma_n^{ab} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu D_\mu \phi^n$$

$$[\tilde{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}a}, \phi^n] = \sigma_{m,ab} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^b \phi^n$$

$$\left\{ \tilde{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}a}, \psi_{\beta b} \right\} = \sigma_{ab}^n \sigma_{\dot{\alpha}\beta}^\mu D_\mu \phi_n$$

The primary associated with $B_1 \bar{B}_1 [0, 0]_2^{(0,2,0)}$ is

$$\text{Tr} \left(\phi_m \phi_n + \delta_{mn} \phi_k \phi^k \right)$$

Applying a single Q , we find (again dropping coefficients)

$$[1, 0]_{5/2}^{(0,1,1)} \leftrightarrow \text{Tr} \left[\left(\sigma_m^{ab} \phi_n + \phi_m \sigma_n^{ab} + \delta_{mn} \sigma_k^{ab} \phi^k \right) \psi_{\alpha b} \right]$$

Applying QQ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} [0, 0]_3^{(0,0,2)} \leftrightarrow \text{Tr} & \left[\left(\sigma_m^{ab} \sigma_n^{cd} + \sigma_n^{ab} \sigma_m^{cd} + \delta_{mn} \sigma_k^{ab} \sigma^{k,cd} \right) \psi_{\alpha b} \psi_{\gamma d} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\sigma_m^{ab} \phi_n + \sigma_n^{ab} \phi_m + \delta_{mn} \sigma_k^{ab} \phi^k \right) \left(\sigma_{\gamma\delta}^\mu \sigma_{\alpha\epsilon}^\nu \epsilon^{\delta\epsilon} \delta_b^c F_{\mu\nu} + g \sigma_{cd}^r \sigma_s^{de} \delta_{eb} \epsilon_{\gamma\alpha} [\phi_r, \phi^s] \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Applying $Q\tilde{Q}$ gives

$$[1, 1]_3^{(1,0,1)} \leftrightarrow \text{Tr} \left[\left(\sigma_m^{ab} \sigma_{n,cd} + \sigma_n^{ab} \sigma_{m,cd} + \delta_{mn} \sigma_k^{ab} \sigma_{cd}^k \right) \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\gamma}}^d \psi_{\alpha b} + \left(\sigma_m^{ab} \phi_n + \sigma_n^{ab} \phi_m + \delta_{mn} \sigma_k^{ab} \phi^k \right) \sigma_{cb}^r \sigma_{\dot{\gamma}\alpha}^\mu D_\mu \phi_r \right]$$