

Third Homework of Concurrent Systems

Exercise 1. Let P_1 , P_2 and P_3 be three processes each running an operation op_i . At every moment, we want the number of op_i that are terminated or in execution (not the ones that are suspended, waiting to be executed) to be at most one more than the number of op_{i+1} . Write a solution using semaphores, and remember to specify the domain of each semaphore you use and its initial value.

Exercise 2. Consider the following solution for the dining philosophers:

Array [1..5] of semaphores: fork = [1, 1, 1, 1, 1];

Philosopher i:

Repeat forever

 think;

 if (i mod 2 = 0)

 then fork[i].down();fork[(i+1) mod 5].down();

 else fork[(i+1) mod 5].down();fork[i].down();

 eat;

 fork[(i+1) mod 5].up(); fork[i].up();

Prove that it is deadlock free.

Exercise 3. Consider the following simplification for the multiple producers/consumers problem:

produce(v) ::=	consume() ::=
FREE.down();	BUSY.down();
SP.down();	SC.down();
$i \leftarrow \text{IN}$;	$i \leftarrow \text{OUT}$;
$\text{IN} \leftarrow (\text{IN}+1) \bmod k$;	$\text{OUT} \leftarrow (\text{OUT}+1) \bmod k$;
SP.up();	SC.up();
BUFF[i] \leftarrow v;	$r \leftarrow \text{BUFF}[i]$;
BUSY.up();	FREE.up();
return ();	return (r);

where semaphores FREE/BUSY/SP/SC have the same meaning and are initialized in the same way as in section 3.2.2 (algorithm in Fig. 3.7). Is this simplified algorithm correct? To show that it is correct, a proof has to be given. To show that it is incorrect, a counter-example has to be exhibited.

Exercise 4. Program a monitor for three processes that have the following behavior: processes A and B may execute operations op_A and op_B (in any order and as many times as they want) only after process C has completed at least one invocation of operation op_C .