

Compass-ECL-MAS — Decision Brief

Run: education_paper_20260124_160431

Dataset: simulated education dataset (\$N=20,000\$; mean \pm std over 5 seed(s))

1) Recommendation

MAS-selected: lr_K1000_g0.000_e10

Metric	Value
AUC	0.718
F1	0.389
$\Delta EOpp$ (audit on ses)	0.051
Coverage (automation rate)	1.000
Explainability entropy	1.444
#Features in explanation	6
Latency (ms, proxy)	162.438

Equity premium (performance sacrificed for equity)

Compared to the best unconstrained baseline (*lr*), the selected policy achieves a reduction of $\Delta EOpp$ by -0.024 at a cost of $\Delta AUC=0.001$ and $\Delta F1=-0.058$.

2) Governance guardrails (ECL)

- Fairness: $\Delta EOpp \leq 0.1$ (audit-only group: ses; sensitive attribute excluded from training/inference).
- Explainability: explanation entropy ≤ 1.6 .
- Explainability: number of features in explanation ≤ 10 .
- Forbidden predictive features: ses.
- Abstention enabled: yes (coverage controls automation budget).

3) Alternatives on the Pareto frontier

Option	candidate_id	AUC	F1	$\Delta EOpp$	Coverage
High performance	lr_K1000_g0.000_e10	0.723	0.391	0.004	1.000
Low disparity	rf_K500_g0.000_e10	0.676	0.209	0.002	1.000

4) Practical deployment checklist

- Run a time-bounded pilot (e.g., 4–8 weeks) before scaling.
- Monitor AUC/F1 and $\Delta EOpp$ monthly; report coverage and abstention volume to quantify the automation budget.
- Define rollback triggers (e.g., $\Delta EOpp$ above threshold for 2 consecutive periods, or performance drop beyond a preset margin).
- Document decision pathways: when the system abstains, what human workflow applies, and how appeals are

handled.

- Re-evaluate constraints and thresholds with stakeholders (education experts, legal/compliance, and equity representatives).

Note: this brief is generated automatically from run artifacts (CSVs/figures) to support auditing and governance.

Appendix: Key trade-off visualization

