

# ShareTrace: Proactive Contact Tracing with Asynchronous Message Passing

Ryan Tatton

Case Western Reserve University

7 February 2025

# Introduction: Types of Contact Tracing

- ▶ Digital contact tracing (DCT)
- ▶ Proximity tracing
- ▶ Decentralized DCT
  - ▶ Broadcast model
  - ▶ Message-oriented model

# Introduction: Limitations of Other Approaches

- ▶ No DCT approach exists that incorporates both non-diagnostic information and indirect contacts to estimate infection risk.
- ▶ Accounting for indirect contact can substantially improve the efficacy of DCT [10].
- ▶ Cherini et al. [4] propose exchanging pseudonyms of indirect contacts, but restrict themselves to diagnostic testing.
- ▶ Gupta et al. [6] incorporate non-diagnostic information, but do not account for indirect contact.


# Introduction: ShareTrace

- ▶ Accounts for both non-diagnostic information and indirect contact to estimate infection risk.
- ▶ Developed in collaboration with Dataswyft [2].
- ▶ Ayday, Yoo, and Halimi [1] designed ShareTrace to use proximity tracing for contact discovery.
  - ▶ In practice, this was infeasible, because Apple and Google's Exposure Notification API did not permit the user's ephemeral identifiers to be stored remotely in a Dataswyft Personal Data Store.

# Proposed Design: Definitions


- ▶ Risk propagation
- ▶ Risk score
  - ▶ Symptom score
  - ▶ Exposure score

# Experiment 1: Accuracy I



**Figure:** Cumulative accuracy distributions.

# Experiment 1: Accuracy II




**Figure:** Send coefficient optimality distributions. The dashed line inside each violin marks the median. The upper and lower dotted lines inside each violin mark the upper and lower quartiles, respectively.

# Experiment 1: Accuracy III




# Experiment 1: Efficiency I



# Experiment 1: Efficiency II


**Figure:** Message-passing efficiency. The send coefficient  $\gamma = 1$  was used as a baseline for message-passing efficiency since it was found to be the maximum send coefficient that achieves perfect accuracy.

# Experiment 1: Efficiency III



**Figure:** Message reachability cumulative distributions.


# Experiment 1: Exploration I



# Experiment 1: Exploration II

**Figure:** Contact network degree distributions. All vertices in random regular contact networks had a degree of 20, so the distribution was omitted to provide more visual space for the distributions of other contact networks.

# Experiment 1: Exploration III




# Experiment 1: Exploration IV

**Figure:** Correlation matrix of dataset attributes. Each cell is the Spearman rank partial correlation coefficient [15], controlling for the effect of the send coefficient. All coefficients are significant ( $p < 0.01$ ), adjusting for multiple comparisons via the Holm–Bonferroni method [7].

## Experiment 2: Benchmarking Hypothesis Testing




# Experiment 3: Benchmarking I



**Figure:** Message-passing runtimes.

## Experiment 3: Benchmarking II



**Figure:** Message-passing runtimes with regression lines.

## Conclusion: Future Work

- ▶ Incorporate differential privacy techniques that are designed for DCT applications that utilize risk scores [12].
- ▶ Formally define the security and privacy characteristics of ShareTrace, using the framework proposed by Kuhn, Beck, and Strufe [9] to characterize the latter.
- ▶ Conduct a simulation-based analysis of asynchronous risk propagation with COVI-AgentSim [5].
- ▶ Explore the utility and feasibility of integrating decentralized technologies [3, 8, 14, 17, 18] and self-sovereign identity [11, 13] into the system design.

# Prior Designs and Implementations

- ▶ “Thinking like a vertex” with Apache Giraph
- ▶ Factor subgraph actors
- ▶ Driver-monitor-worker framework
- ▶ Projected subgraph actors [16]
- ▶ Contact search

# References I

- [1] Erman Ayday, Youngjin Yoo, and Anisa Halimi. “ShareTrace: An iterative message passing algorithm for efficient and effective disease risk assessment on an interaction graph”. In: *Proceedings of the 12th ACM Conference on Bioinformatics, Computational Biology, and Health Informatics*. 2021. DOI: 10.1145/3459930.3469553.
- [2] Erman Ayday et al. *ShareTrace: A smart privacy-preserving contact tracing solution by architectural design during an epidemic*. White paper. Case Western Reserve University, 2020.
- [3] Juan Benet. *IPFS - content addressed, versioned, P2P file system*. 2014. arXiv: 1407.3561 [cs.NI].
- [4] Renato Cherini et al. “Toward deep digital contact tracing: Opportunities and challenges”. In: *IEEE Pervasive Computing* 22.4 (2023), pp. 15–25. DOI: 10.1109/mperv.2023.3320987.
- [5] Prateek Gupta et al. *COVI-AgentSim: An agent-based model for evaluating methods of digital contact tracing*. 2020. arXiv: 2010.16004 [cs.CY].
- [6] Prateek Gupta et al. “Proactive contact tracing”. In: *PLOS Digital Health* 2.3 (2023), pp. 1–19. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pdig.0000199.
- [7] Sture Holm. “A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure”. In: *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* 6.2 (1979), pp. 65–70. URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4615733>.

# References II

- [8] Navin Keizer et al. “A survey on content retrieval on the decentralised web”. In: *ACM Computing Surveys* 56.8 (2024). DOI: 10.1145/3649132.
- [9] Christiane Kuhn, Martin Beck, and Thorsten Strufe. “Covid notions: Towards formal definitions—and documented understanding—of privacy goals and claimed protection in proximity-tracing services”. In: *Online Social Networks and Media* 22 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.osnem.2021.100125.
- [10] Francisco Pozo-Martin et al. “Comparative effectiveness of contact tracing interventions in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review”. In: *European Journal of Epidemiology* 38.3 (2023), pp. 243–266. DOI: 10.1007/s10654-023-00963-z.
- [11] Alex Preukschat and Drummond Reed. *Self-sovereign identity: Decentralized digital identity and verifiable credentials*. Manning, 2021.
- [12] Rob Romijnders et al. “Protect your score: Contact-tracing with differential privacy guarantees”. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* 38.13 (2024), pp. 14829–14837. DOI: 10.1609/aaai.v38i13.29402.
- [13] Frederico Schardong and Ricardo Custódio. “Self-sovereign identity: A systematic review, mapping and taxonomy”. In: *Sensors* 22.15 (2022). DOI: 10.3390/s22155641.

# References III

- [14] Ruizhe Shi et al. “A closer look into IPFS: Accessibility, content, and performance”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM on Measurement and Analysis of Computing Systems* 8.2 (2024). DOI: 10.1145/3656015.
- [15] Charles Spearman. “The proof and measurement of association between two things”. In: *The American Journal of Psychology* 15.1 (1904), pp. 72–101. DOI: 10.2307/1412159.
- [16] Ryan Tatton et al. “ShareTrace: Contact tracing with the actor model”. In: *2022 IEEE International Conference on E-health Networking, Application & Services (HealthCom)*. ©2022 IEEE. 2022, pp. 13–18. DOI: 10.1109/healthcom54947.2022.9982762.
- [17] Dennis Trautwein et al. “Design and evaluation of IPFS: A storage layer for the decentralized web”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM 2022 Conference*. 2022, pp. 739–752. DOI: 10.1145/3544216.3544232.
- [18] Carmela Troncoso et al. “Systematizing decentralization and privacy: Lessons from 15 years of research and deployments”. In: *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies* 2017.4 (2017), pp. 307–329. DOI: 10.1515/popets-2017-0056.