

An introduction to deep learning


Romain Tavenard (Université de Rennes 2)

What can deep learning do?

- Skin cancer image classification
130 000 images
Error rate : 28 % (human expert 34 %)



- ECG signal classification
500 000 ECG
Precision 92.6 %
(human expert 80.0 %)





A short history of deep learning

- Early stage: 1943 - 1969
 - 1943: a formal model for the neuron
 - 1947: perceptron as a learning machine
 - 1969: perceptrons can't do XOR
- Back in the game: 1985 - 1995
- A *de facto* standard in computer vision: 2009 - ?

Formal neuron

(McCulloch & Pitts, 1943)



φ activation function

a neuron response


w, b weight, bias

Learning with the perceptron

(Rosenblatt, 1957)

- Problem statement
 - Given pairs of input-output data x_i, t_i
 - Find w such that:

$$\forall i, \varphi(w^t x_i) \approx t_i$$



Source : (Minsky & Papert, 1969)

- To do so:
 - Gradient descent


Gradient descent



1. Pick a (differentiable) loss function to be minimized

eg. $\text{loss}(w, x_i, t_i) = (\varphi(w^t x_i) - t_i)^2$

2. Use gradient descent



Algorithm 1 Gradient epoch

Data: w initialization, ρ stepsize

Result: w

for $i=1, n$ **do**

$x_i, t_i \leftarrow$ pick a point i

$\mathbf{d} \leftarrow d + \nabla_w \text{loss}(w, x_i, t_i)$

end

$w \leftarrow w - \rho \mathbf{d}$

Algorithm 2 Stochastic gradient

Data: w initialization, ρ stepsize

Result: w

for $i=1, n$ **do**

$x_i, t_i \leftarrow$ pick a point i


$\mathbf{d} \leftarrow \nabla_w \text{loss}(w, x_i, t_i)$

$w \leftarrow w - \rho \mathbf{d}$

end




Gradient descent in Real Life




2. Use gradient descent

Learning rate is too small

SS
d



Learning rate is too large




Standard pitfalls

Source: "Hands-On Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn and TensorFlow", A. Géron



Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Cons
 - Subject to high variance
- Pros
 - Faster weight update (each sample, or each mini batch)
 - Escape local minima in non-convex settings



Source: wikidocs.net/3413

Improvements to stochastic gradient descent

- Averaging updates (mini-batches)
 - Polyak and Juditsky, 1992
 - SAGA (2014)
- Convergence acceleration
 - Momentum (Polyak, 1964)
 - Nesterov (1983)
- Step size adaptation
 - RMSProp (2012)
 - Adam (2015)

SGD variants: a focus on Adam

- Adam uses ideas from
 - Momentum
 - AdaGrad


$$\mathbf{m}^{(t+1)} \propto \beta_1 \mathbf{m}^{(t)} + (1 - \beta_1) \nabla_w \mathcal{L}$$

$$\mathbf{s}^{(t+1)} \propto \beta_2 \mathbf{s}^{(t)} + (1 - \beta_2) \nabla_w \mathcal{L} \otimes \nabla_w \mathcal{L}$$

$$\mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^{(t)} - \rho \mathbf{m}^{(t+1)} \oslash \sqrt{\mathbf{s}^{(t+1)} + \epsilon}$$

First NN winter (Minsky & Papert, 1969)

1969: Perceptrons can't do XOR!




Marvin Minsky and Seymour Papert

Perceptrons

An Introduction to Computational Geometry

<http://www.i-programmer.info/images/stories/BabBag/AI/book.jpg>



A diagram of a XOR gate. It has two inputs, A and B, entering from the left. The output is labeled $A \oplus B$. Below the diagram is a truth table:

A	B	Out
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

<http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/electronic/ietron/xor.gif>



Minsky & Papert

<https://constructingkids.files.wordpress.com/2013/05/minsky-papert-71-csolomon-x640.jpg>

A short history of deep learning

- Early stage: 1943 - 1969
- Back in the game: 1985 - 1995
 - 1985: Multilayer perceptron
 - 1989: Universal approximation theorem
 - 1989: LeCun's Convolutional Neural Networks
 - 1995: Recurrent Neural Networks
- A *de facto* standard in computer vision: 2009 - ?


Multilayer perceptron

(Rumelhart, Hinton & Williams, 1985)




Definition

A Multilayer perceptron is an acyclic graph of neurons, where neurons are structured in successive layers, beginning by an input layer and finishing with an output layer.





Back to XOR



Interested in playing with MLPs ?
<http://playground.tensorflow.org/>

Neural networks and back-propagation



$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w^{(0)}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a^{(3)}} \frac{\partial a^{(3)}}{\partial o^{(3)}} \frac{\partial o^{(3)}}{\partial a^{(2)}} \frac{\partial a^{(2)}}{\partial o^{(2)}} \frac{\partial o^{(2)}}{\partial a^{(1)}} \frac{\partial a^{(1)}}{\partial w^{(0)}}$$

$$\frac{\partial a^{(l)}}{\partial o^{(l)}} = \varphi'(o^{(l)})$$

$$\frac{\partial o^{(l)}}{\partial a^{(l-1)}} = w^{(l-1)}$$

Neural networks and back-propagation: losses



$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w^{(0)}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a^{(3)}} \frac{\partial a^{(3)}}{\partial o^{(3)}} \frac{\partial o^{(3)}}{\partial a^{(2)}} \frac{\partial a^{(2)}}{\partial o^{(2)}} \frac{\partial o^{(2)}}{\partial a^{(1)}} \frac{\partial a^{(1)}}{\partial w^{(0)}}$$




- Requirement
 - \mathcal{L} should be differentiable wrt. to the net's output
- Standard losses
 - Mean Squared Error (MSE) for regression
$$\mathcal{L}(x_i, y_i; \theta) = (f_\theta(x_i) - y_i)^2$$
 - Cross-entropy for classification
$$\mathcal{L}(x_i, y_i; \theta) = -\log P_\theta(y = y_i | x_i)$$

Neural networks and back-propagation: activation functions




$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w^{(0)}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a^{(3)}} \frac{\partial a^{(3)}}{\partial o^{(3)}} \frac{\partial o^{(3)}}{\partial a^{(2)}} \frac{\partial a^{(2)}}{\partial o^{(2)}} \frac{\partial o^{(2)}}{\partial a^{(1)}} \frac{\partial a^{(1)}}{\partial w^{(0)}} \quad \frac{\partial a^{(l)}}{\partial o^{(l)}} = \varphi'(o^{(l)})$$

- Important features (more on that later)
 - φ should be differentiable almost everywhere
 - Non-linearities
 - Some linear regime
- Examples




End-to-end learning

- Classification using MLP
 - Hidden layers: non-linear transformations
 - Last layer: logistic regression
- Example with a 3-hidden-layer net (last layer with 2 units)



Input space



Last hidden layer

Universal approximation theorem (Cybenko, 1989)

- Under reasonable assumptions on the activation function to be used*
- For any continuous function on a compact g and any precision threshold ϵ
- There exists a 1-hidden-layer MLP with a finite number of neurons that can approximate g at level ϵ


*Non-constant, bounded, monotonically increasing, continuous
also holds for some unbounded functions like ReLU, cf. [Somoda & Murata, 2015]

Convolutional neural networks (CNN)

(LeCun, 1989)


3	8	6	9	6	4	5	3	8	4	5	2	3	8	4	8
1	5	0	5	9	7	4	1	0	3	0	6	2	9	9	4
1	3	6	8	0	7	1	6	8	9	0	3	8	3	7	7
8	4	4	1	2	9	4	1	1	0	6	6	5	0	1	1
7	2	7	3	1	4	0	5	0	6	8	7	6	8	9	9
4	0	6	1	9	2	1	3	9	4	4	5	6	6	1	7
2	8	6	9	7	0	9	1	6	2	8	3	6	4	9	5
8	6	8	7	8	8	6	9	1	7	6	0	9	6	7	0

MNIST dataset
10 classes
60,000 images



Neural Nets & sequences: Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN)

Warning: these examples are more recent than 1995!



PANDARUS:

Alas, I think he shall be come approached and the day
When little strain would be attain'd into being never fed,
And who is but a chain and subjects of his death,
I should not sleep.

Second Senator:

They are away this miseries, produced upon my soul,
Breaking and strongly should be buried, when I perish
The earth and thoughts of many states.

DUKE VINCENTIO:

Well, your wit is in the care of side and that.

Second Lord:

They would be ruled after this chamber, and
my fair nues begun out of the fact, to be conveyed,
Whose noble souls I'll have the heart of the wars.

Sample text generated by a RNN
trained on Shakespeare words

For $\bigoplus_{n=1,\dots,m} \mathcal{L}_{m,n} = 0$, hence we can find a closed subset \mathcal{H} in \mathcal{H} and any sets \mathcal{F} on X , U is a closed immersion of S , then $U \rightarrow T$ is a separated algebraic space.

Proof. Proof of (1). It also start we get

$$S = \text{Spec}(R) = U \times_X U \times_X U$$

and the comparicoly in the fibre product covering we have to prove the lemma generated by $\coprod Z \times_U U \rightarrow V$. Consider the maps M along the set of points Sch_{fppf} and $U \rightarrow U$ is the fibre category of S in U in Section ?? and the fact that any U affine, see Morphisms, Lemma ???. Hence we obtain a scheme S and any open subset $W \subset U$ in $\text{Sh}(G)$ such that $\text{Spec}(R') \rightarrow S$ is smooth or an

$$U = \bigcup U_i \times_{S_i} U_i$$

which has a nonzero morphism we may assume that f_i is of finite presentation over S . We claim that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a scheme where $x, x', s'' \in S'$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'_{X',x'}$ is separated. By Algebra, Lemma ?? we can define a map of complexes $\text{GL}_{S'}(x'/S'')$ and we win. \square

To prove study we see that $\mathcal{F}|_U$ is a covering of X' , and \mathcal{T}_i is an object of $\mathcal{F}_{X/S}$ for $i > 0$ and \mathcal{F}_p exists and let \mathcal{F}_i be a presheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on C as a \mathcal{F} -module. In particular $\mathcal{F} = U/\mathcal{F}$ we have to show that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet = \mathcal{I}^\bullet \otimes_{\text{Spec}(k)} \mathcal{O}_{S,s} - i_X^{-1} \mathcal{F}$$

is a unique morphism of algebraic stacks. Note that

$$\text{Arrows} = (\text{Sch}/S)^{opp}_{fppf}, (\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$$

and

$$V = \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow (U, \text{Spec}(A))$$

is an open subset of X . Thus U is affine. This is a continuous map of X is the inverse, the groupoid scheme S .

Proof. See discussion of sheaves of sets. \square

The result for prove any open covering follows from the less of Example ???. It may replace S by $X_{\text{spaces},\text{étale}}$ which gives an open subspace of X and T equal to S_{Zar} , see Descent, Lemma ???. Namely, by Lemma ?? we see that R is geometrically regular over S .

Sample LaTeX generated by a RNN
trained on a book of algebraic geometry


Caltech 101: the second winter (2004)

- 101 classes
- 30 training images per class
- NN are bad competitors here
 - Dataset is too small

Anchor



Joshua Tree




Beaver



Lotus



Water Lily




A short history of deep learning

- Early stage: 1943 - 1969
- Back in the game: 1985 - 1995
- A *de facto* standard in computer vision: 2009 - ?
 - 2009: GPUs for deep learning
 - 2012: ImageNet & AlexNet
 - 2014: Inception
 - 2016: Residual Networks
 - ...

ImageNet & LSVRC (2012)

- ImageNet
 - 15M images
 - 22k classes
- LSVRC
 - Subset of ImageNet (1.2M images, 1k classes)




A drastic improvement on performances (LSVRC)

2012 Teams	%error	2013 Teams	%error	2014 Teams	%error
Supervision (Toronto)	15.3	Clarifai (NYU spinoff)	11.7	GoogLeNet	6.6
ISI (Tokyo)	26.1	NUS (singapore)	12.9	VGG (Oxford)	7.3
VGG (Oxford)	26.9	Zeiler-Fergus (NYU)	13.5	MSRA	8.0
XRCE/INRIA	27.0	A. Howard	13.5	A. Howard	8.1
UvA (Amsterdam)	29.6	OverFeat (NYU)	14.1	DeeperVision	9.5
INRIA/LEAR	33.4	UvA (Amsterdam)	14.2	NUS-BST	9.7
		Adobe	15.2	TTIC-ECP	10.2
		VGG (Oxford)	15.2	XYZ	11.2
		VGG (Oxford)	23.0	UvA	12.1

shallow approaches



deep learning

AlexNet (2012)





- Error rate : 15%
- 60M parameters
- 2 GPUs – 6 days
- Regularization
 - Data augmentation
 - Dropout
 - L2

Regularization: Dropout



(a) At training time




(b) At test time

Images from [Srivastava et al., 2014]



Regularization: Early Stopping




What does AlexNet learn?


Sample convolution filters learned:



Layer 1




Layer 2



Layer 3




Layer 4



Layer 5

From 15% to 7% : Inception (2014)



- *Network of networks*
- ~100 blocks, 22 layers
 - Several convolutions per layer
- 5 million parameters
- *Intermediate classification outputs*



Convolution
Pooling
Softmax
Other

From 7% to 3% : Residual Networks (aka ResNets)

- Aims at facing the *vanishing gradient* effect



Why such sudden changes?

- Big data (ImageNet & co)
- Big infrastructures (GPU)
- Optimization
 - Algorithms
 - *Tricks* (initialization, regularization, fighting vanishing gradients)
- Automatic differentiation libraries (tensorflow, pytorch, ...)

Libraries

	Languages	Tutorials and training materials	CNN modeling capability	RNN modeling capability	Architecture: easy-to-use and modular front end	Speed	Multiple GPU support	Keras compatible
Theano	Python, C++	++	++	++	+	++	+	+
Tensor-Flow	Python	+++	+++	++	+++	++	++	+
Torch	Lua, Python (new)	+	+++	++	++	+++	++	
Caffe	C++	+	++		+	+	+	
MXNet	R, Python, Julia, Scala	++	++	+	++	++	+++	
Neon	Python	+	++	+	+	++	+	
CNTK	C++	+	+	+++	+	++	+	


Source : <https://www.kdnuggets.com/2017/03/getting-started-deep-learning.html>

A few hot topics


A - Adversarial examples



Original image:
Ara : 97%



Transformed image:
Ara : 0%
Bookshelf : 99%





Amplified noise

Source : github.com/Hvass-Labs/TensorFlow-Tutorials

A few hot topics

B - Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN)



Source : <https://www.datasciencecentral.com/profiles/blogs/generative-adversarial-networks-gans-engine-and-applications>

Sample images generated by a WGAN

A few hot topics

B - Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN)



Which sample is a real one?
Image from [Brock et al., 2018]

A few hot topics

C - Learning from little supervised data



We've trained a large-scale unsupervised language model which generates coherent paragraphs of text, achieves state of the art performance on many language modeling benchmarks, and performs rudimentary reading comprehension, machine translation, question answering, and summarization —

Twitter status, @openai, Feb 14th, 2019

Q: How do I know what architecture to use?

A: don't be a hero.

1. Take whatever works best on ILSVRC (latest ResNet)
2. Download a pretrained model
3. Potentially add/delete some parts of it
4. Finetune it on your application.



Andrej Karpathy,
Deep Learning Summer School,
2016

Conclusion

- Early stage: 1943 - 1969
 - learning with stochastic gradient descent
- Back in the game: 1985 - 1995
 - NN are universal approximators
- A *de facto* standard in computer vision: 2009 - ?
 - deep nets can leverage on big data + high perf. computers
- Open issues
 - learning on structured data (eg. graphs)
 - vulnerability to adversarial attacks
 - architecture design
 - sample-efficient learning
 - more theory needed