Why Zeilberger's Algorithm Works Try telescoper of order v on a hg. input f (n.k), i.e., apply Gosper's algorithm to P(n,k):= > p(n)f(n+i,k): f(n,k+1) Let $f(n+1,k) = u(n,k) = \frac{u_1(n,k)}{u_2(n,k)}$ F(nok) and $f(n_1k+1) = V(n_1k) = \frac{V_1(n_1k)}{V_2(n_1k)}$ = 5 i= ρ (h) f (n+i, k+1) Σ= ρ(h) - f(n+i, h) = [[p:(n) (] u(n+j,k+1)) - f(n,k+1) $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i(n) \left(\prod_{i=0}^{i-1} u(n+j,k) \right) \cdot f(n,k)$ = [[] ([] [] u, (nej, k+1) ([] [] u, (nej, k+1) ([] [] u, (nej, k+1)) [] p: (n) (TT; u, (n+j, k)) (TT; u2 (n+j, k)) (TT u2 (n+j, k+1)) v2 (n,k) = : w(k) $=: \frac{a_0(k+1)}{a_0(k)} \cdot w(k)$ Note, w(k) has no p. Compute Grosper form $\delta: W(k) = \frac{a(k) G(k+1)}{b(k) C_1(k)}$ Let $C(k) = C_0(k) \cdot C_1(k)$, then $\frac{a(k) \cdot c(k+1)}{b(k) \cdot c(k)}$ is a Gosper form for f(mk). Gospet equation: a(k) x(k+1) - b(k-1)-x(k) = c(k)

2002

Proof of Apagodu-Zeilberger Let $\overline{h}(n,k) = \frac{(\prod_{j=1}^{A} (\alpha_{j})_{\alpha_{j}^{j}n+\alpha_{j}^{j}k})(\prod_{j=1}^{B} (\beta_{j})_{b_{j}^{j}n-b_{j}^{j}k})}{(\prod_{j=1}^{C} (\gamma_{j})_{a_{j}^{j}(n+L)+\gamma_{j}^{j}k})(\prod_{j=1}^{D} (\gamma_{j})_{a_{j}^{j}(n+L)-d_{j}^{j}k})} \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{k}$ Now $\frac{\overline{h}(n_{1}k_{1})}{\overline{h}(n_{1}k_{1})} = \frac{(\pi_{j=1}^{A}(\alpha_{j}+\alpha_{j}n_{1}+\alpha_{j}k_{1})}{(\pi_{j=1}^{C}(\beta_{j}+\beta_{j}n_{1}-\beta_{j}k_{1}-\beta_{j}k_{1})} \cdot (\pi_{j=1}^{C}(\beta_{j}+\beta_{j}n_{1}+\beta_{j}n_{1}-\beta_{j}k_{1}-\beta_{j}k_{1}) \cdot (\pi_{j=1}^{C}(\beta_{j}+\beta_{j}n_{1}+\beta_{j}k_{1}-\beta_{j}k_{1}$ Let g(n,k) = v(n,k-1). x(k). T(n,k) and plug it into the telescopic rel.; $\sum_{i=0}^{k} p_i(n) p(n+i,k) h(n+i,k) = v(n,k) x(k+1) \overline{h}(n,k+1) - v(n,k-1) x(k) \overline{h}(n,k)$ divide $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i(n) p(n+i,k) \frac{h(n+i,k)}{h(n,k)} = u(n,k) \cdot x(k+1) - V(n,k-1) \cdot x(k)$ by h(n,k)Note that w(n/k) is a polynomial, since $\frac{h(n+i,k)}{\bar{h}(n,k)} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{A} (a_j + a_j^{\dagger} n + a_j^{\dagger} k)_{i,a_j^{\dagger}} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^{B} (\beta_j + b_j^{\dagger} n - b_j^{\dagger} k)_{i,b_j^{\dagger}} \right)$ x ([] (\(\frac{C}{k} + c_{j}'(n+i) + c_{j}k)(\(\frac{L}{k} \)) - (\(\frac{D}{k} \) (\(\frac{C}{k} + d_{j}' \) (n+i) - \(\delta_{j}k \) (\(\frac{L}{k} + d_{j}' \)) Make an ansate for $x(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} x_i k^i$ where S:= degk(w) - max (degk(u), degk(v)) Coefficient comparison wirt k yields · deg(w)+1 equations in the · (+1) + (s+1) unknowns The condition #unknowns > #equations yields ++S+2 > deg_k(w)+2 => +> max (deg_k(u), deg_k(v)). But note that deg(u) = $\sum_{j=1}^{A} a_j + \sum_{j=1}^{D} d_j$ and $deg(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{B} b_j + \sum_{j=1}^{C} c_j$