

Using RAMPAGE to identify and annotate promoters in insect genomes

R. Taylor Raborn^{*1,2} and Volker P. Brendel^{1,2}

¹Department of Biology, Indiana University

²School of Informatics and Computing, Indiana University

Department of Biology and School of Informatics and Computing,
Indiana University

212 S. Hawthorne Drive 205 Simon Hall, Bloomington, IN 47401, USA
<http://www.brendelgroup.org>

Abstract. Application of Transcription Start Site (TSS) profiling technologies, coupled with large-scale next-generation sequencing (NGS) has yielded valuable insights into the location, structure and activity of promoters across diverse metazoan model systems. In insects, TSS profiling has been used to characterize the promoter architecture of *D. melanogaster*, and, shortly thereafter, to reveal widespread transposon-driven alternative promoter usage.

In this chapter we highlight the utility of one TSS profiling method, RAMPAGE (RNA annotation and mapping of promoters for analysis of gene expression), for the precise, quantitative identification of promoters in insect genomes. We demonstrate this using our tools GoRAMPAGE and TSSrchitect, providing details instructions with the aim of taking the user from raw reads to processed results.

Keywords: *cis*-regulatory regions, promoter architecture, transcription initiation, transcription start sites (TSSs)

1 Introduction

1.1 TSS Profiling Identifies Promoters at Genome-Scale

The promoter, defined in eukaryotes as the genomic region bound by RNA Polymerase II immediately prior to transcription initiation [?], is the site where regulatory signals unite to direct gene expression. The identification of promoter regions is a valuable step for understanding the *cis*-regulatory signals that are present in an organism, and is also important for genome annotation. However, despite the rapid accumulation of genome sequences across metazoan and arthropod diversity, accurate annotation of promoter regions remains sparse. This is because—empirical mapping of TSSs—precisely identifying sequence motifs that demarcate the promoter is unreliable. In contrast with current *in silico*

* Correspondence: rtraborn@indiana.edu

approaches, direct mapping of TSSs identifies the location of the core promoter. Cap Analysis of Gene Expression (CAGE) [?], one of the first methods devised to identify 5'-ends of mRNAs at large-scale, involves selective capture of 5'-capped transcripts, first-strand reverse-transcription and ligation of a short oligonucleotide (CAGE tag). CAGE was initially utilized by the FANTOM (Functional Annotation of the Mammalian Genome) consortium to identify promoter architecture in human and mouse [?], providing the first glimpse of the global landscape of transcription initiation. At the onset of the NGS era, CAGE was coupled with massively-parallel sequencing to generate 5'-ends of mRNAs at substantially higher scale. This advance provided more extensive coverage of the expressed transcriptome, and provided increased sensitivity for quantitative measurements *i.e.* measurement of promoter activity.

1.2 Promoter Architecture of *Drosophila melanogaster*

Hoskins and colleagues [?] performed CAGE in *D. melanogaster* as part of the modENCODE consortium, identifying promoters at large-scale and characterizing the promoter architecture of an insect genome for the first time. Hoskins [?] indicated that TSS distributions at *Drosophila* promoters exhibit a range of shapes that can be generally grouped into two major classifications: *peaked* and *broad*. Peaked promoters have a single, major TSS position occupying a narrow genomic region, whereas broad promoters lack a single, major TSS and contain TSSs across a wider region [?][?]. The authors also showed a strong association between promoter class and motif composition (consistent with previous findings [?,?]). Peaked promoters were associated with positionally-enriched *cis*-regulatory motifs including TATA, Initiator (Inr) and DPE, while broad promoters contained an enrichment of less-well characterized motifs, including *Ohler6* and *Ohler7* [?]. The existence of two promoter classes appears to be conserved among metazoans, and has been reported (using TSS profiling methodologies) in insects, cladocerans [?], fish [?] and mammals [?,?].

1.3 Promoter Structure of Insects

Beyond *D. melanogaster*, few investigations have utilized TSS profiling in insect genomes. As a consequence, what is known about promoter architecture in insects is largely restricted to the *Drosophila* genus. As part of the modENCODE effort, CAGE was performed in multiple tissues and developmental stages of the *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. TSSs were found to be highly similar between species: more than 80% of TSSs (81%) of aligned, CAGE-identified TSSs from *D. pseudoobscura* were positioned within 20nt of their counterparts in *D. melanogaster*. An enrichment of the CA dinucleotide was detected at the TSS ([-1, +1]), and the motifs corresponding to TATA, Inr and DPE were positioned at the same locations relative to the TSS in both species. The one other insect species for which TSS profiling has been applied is the Tsetse fly (*Glossina morsitans morsitans*) [?]. Using TSS-seq (specifically Oligo-capping; for details on this method

see [?]), the authors identified 3134 mapping to 1424 genes. The authors found a preference for CA and AA dinucleotides at the TSS, and observe the major core promoter elements observed in *Drosophila*: TATA, Inr, DPE, in addition to MTE (Motif Ten Element). As in *D. melanogaster*, peaked promoters were more likely to contain TATA and Inr than broad promoters. While the taxonomic sampling of species for TSS profiling has been limited, the existing studies are sufficient to provide a general picture of insect promoter architecture. A major demarcation between the promoter architecture of insects and mammals appears to be the large fraction of mammalian promoters found in CpG islands [?]. CpG island promoters (CPIs) form the largest class of promoter in mammals [?]; by contrast, CPIs are not known to exist as a class in invertebrates.

1.4 Paired-end TSS Profiling with RAMPAGE

The most recent major methodological advance in TSS Profiling is RAMPAGE (RNA Annotation and Mapping of Promoters for the Analysis of Gene Expression) . RAMPAGE is a protocol for 5'-cDNA sequencing that combines cap trapping and template-switching with paired-end sequence information. A key advantage of generating paired-end sequence is transcript connectivity, which provides a direct link between a given 5'-end and its associated mRNA molecule. Because short or spurious RNAs are found within the transcriptome, transcript connectivity allows the TSSs (and thus promoters) of full-length mRNAs to be unambiguously identified, which benefits genome annotation. Batut and colleagues generated libraries from total RNA isolated from 36 stages across the life cycle of *D. melanogaster* providing a comprehensive gene expression and promoter atlas for fruit fly and in the process demonstrating the utility of RAMPAGE. RAMPAGE is currently being applied as part of the latest iteration of ENCODE to identify promoters in human, but as of this writing it has not been applied to any non-*Drosophila* insect species. In anticipation of the future application of TSS profiling into other insect model systems here we provide a documented protocol for the computational processing RAMPAGE data, using selected libraries from Batut *et al.*. This method will consist of two parts: first, we will process, filter and align the sequenced RAMPAGE libraries to the *D. melanogaster* genome. Second, we will identify TSSs and promoters from the aligned sequences and associate them with coding regions. In closing, we will consider further applications of this data and discuss the utility of reproducible workflows in bioinformatic analysis.

2 Materials

The analyses described herein require a workstation capable for modern bioinformatics. An intermediate understanding of the Linux/Unix command line will be extremely useful, although we make efforts to explain the procedures with clarity. In addition, it will likely be necessary for the participant to have superuser privileges on the machine. If you do not have a machine (or access to one) that meets

these requirements, it is recommended that you consider cloud-based cyberinfrastructure, including Amazon Web Services (AWS; <https://aws.amazon.com/>) or CyVerse (<http://www.cyverse.org/>). The former is a well-known pay-per-use solution, while the latter is an NSF-funded resource that is made freely available to the public.

2.1 Hardware Requirements

- x86-64 compatible processors
- At least 8GB RAM
- 30GB+ hard disk space

2.2 Software Requirements

- Operating system: 64 bit Linux (preferred) or Mac OS X (with Command Line Tools from XCode)
- R (version 3.4)
- Bioconductor (version 3.5)
- FASTX-Toolkit (version 0.0.13)
- Samtools (version 1.3 or above)
- SRA Toolkit (version 2.3.4-2 or above)
- STAR aligner (version 2.4 or above)
- TagDust (version 2.33)

2.3 Online Appendix

We created an online appendix to serve as a companion to this chapter. Please find the repository at https://github.com/rtraborn/MMB_appendix (see **Note 1**).

The "scripts/" folder in the Appendix contains code for you to run the two major workflows described in this chapter. The "additional_files/" folder contains the following files which are necessary for the analysis: i) a fasta file containing ribosomal RNA sequences for *D. melanogaster* (*Dmel_rRNA.fasta*) and ii) a gene annotation for *D. melanogaster* (*Drosophila_melanogaster.BDGP5.78.gff*).

2.4 Installation of R packages

For installation of the software listed above, please follow the instructions provided by each respective package. Part of our analysis will require the use of R packages found in the Bioconductor suite. To install Bioconductor, please type the following from an R console:

```
source("https://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
biocLite()
```

130 We will use the R package *TSRchitect* to identify promoters from aligned
 131 RAMAPGE libraries. First, we will need to install a series of prerequisite pack-
 132 ages to *TSRchitect* from Bioconductor. Please install these packages as follows
 133 (as before, from an R console):

```
134 source("https://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
135 biocLite(c("AnnotationHub", "BiocGenerics", "BiocParallel",
136 "ENCODEExplorer", "GenomicAlignments", "GenomeInfoDb",
137 "GenomicRanges", "IRanges", "methods",
138 "Rsamtools", "rtracklayer", "S4Vectors",
139 "SummarizedExperiment"))
```

140 To install *TSRchitect*, please type the following from an R console:

```
141 source("https://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
142 biocLite("TSRchitect")
```

143 Finally, please confirm that *TSRchitect* has been installed correctly by load-
 144 ing it from your R console as follows:

```
145 library(TSRchitect)
```

146 3 Methods

147 3.1 Retrieving the RAMPAGE sequence data from NCBI's Gene 148 Expression Omnibus (GEO)

149 To begin our analysis, we must download the RAMPAGE data to our worksta-
 150 tion. We will utilize tools provided by the SRA Toolkit, which should already
 151 be installed on your machine (see **Materials**). The command *fastq-dump* allows
 152 one to directly retrieve data from the GEO database using the appropriate iden-
 153 tifier(s). While there are 36 RAMPAGE libraries in the Batut *et al.* dataset,
 154 we will select a subset of these to analyze here. We will compare samples from
 155 selected embryonic (E01h-E03h) and larval (L1-L3) tissues, representing the be-
 156 ginning and end of embryonic development. For more information about the
 157 experiment and the available RAMPAGE libraries, please see the following link:
 158 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/study/?acc=SRP011193>.

159
 160 First, let's proceed with the libraries from early embryonic tissues (see **See**
 161 **Note 2**).

```
162 mkdir fastq_files #creating a new folder to house the downloaded files
163 cd fastq_files #moving into this directory
164 fastq-dump --split-files SRR424683
165 fastq-dump --split-files SRR424684
166 fastq-dump --split-files SRR424685
```

167 We continue by downloading the RAMPAGE libraries from late embryonic
 168 tissues:

```

169 fastq-dump --split-files SRR424707
170 fastq-dump --split-files SRR424708
171 fastq-dump --split-files SRR424709

```

Once the download of the aforementioned files are complete, you should see a total of 12 (6x2) separate fastq files in your current working directory:

```

174 ls -l *.fastq | wc -l

```

3.2 Creating symlinks to the files

Our workflow expects fastq files that have the format “*.R1/R2.clipped.fq”. Rather than rename them, we can simply create brand new symbolic links (symlinks) to the files, as follows:

```

179 mkdir symlinks
180
181 #embryonic libraries
182 ln -s SRR424683_1.fastq symlinks/E01h.R1.clipped.fq
183 ln -s SRR424683_2.fastq symlinks/E01h.R2.clipped.fq
184 ln -s SRR424684_1.fastq symlinks/E02h.R1.clipped.fq
185 ln -s SRR424684_2.fastq symlinks/E02h.R2.clipped.fq
186 ln -s SRR424685_1.fastq symlinks/E03h.R1.clipped.fq
187 ln -s SRR424685_2.fastq symlinks/E03h.R2.clipped.fq
188
189 #larval libraries
190 ln -s SRR424707_1.fastq symlinks/L1.R1.clipped.fq
191 ln -s SRR424707_2.fastq symlinks/L1.R2.clipped.fq
192 ln -s SRR424708_1.fastq symlinks/L2.R1.clipped.fq
193 ln -s SRR424708_2.fastq symlinks/L2.R2.clipped.fq
194 ln -s SRR424709_1.fastq symlinks/L3.R1.clipped.fq
195 ln -s SRR424709_2.fastq symlinks/L3.R2.clipped.fq

```

3.3 Downloading genomic data from *D. melanogaster*

Now that we have the fastq files from the RAMPAGE libraries downloaded and named appropriately, we now must retrieve the genome assembly and rRNA sequences from *D. melanogaster*. The genome assembly is required for aligning the RAMPAGE reads, and the rRNA sequences are required to filter out matching reads in the sequenced RAMPAGE libraries, since our sample is intended to contain only capped RNA transcripts. Please download the rRNA sequences from the link we provide below. These sequences were retrieved separately from Genbank at the NCBI database.

Please download the assembly from the ENSEMBL database as follows:

```

206 wget ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-78/fasta/drosophila_melanogaster/dna/Drosophila_m
207 #uncompressing the file
208 gzip -d Drosophila_melanogaster.BDGP5.dna.toplevel.fa.gz

```

209 Please navigate to the rRNA file texttt"Dmel_rRNA.fasta" found in the
 210 Appendix.

```
211 head -n 3
212 >ref|NR_133562.1| Drosophila melanogaster 28S ribosomal RNA (28SrRNA:CR45844), rRNA
213 TTATATACAACCTCAACTCATATGGGACTACCCCTGAATTTAAGCATATTAATTAGGGGAGGAAAAGAA
214 ACTAACAAGGATTTTCTTAGTAGCGCGAGCGAAAAGAAAACAGTTCAGCACTAAGTCACTTTGTCTATA
```

215 3.4 Filtering and alignment of RAMPAGE reads using 216 GoRAMPAGE

217 At this stage we are ready to commence with the rRNA filtering and alignment
 218 of the RAMPAGE libraries. We will use GoRAMPAGE, a tool we developed, to
 219 perform these tasks in a concerted workflow. GoRAMPAGE runs TagDust [?] to
 220 remove rRNA and low-complexity reads, and uses STAR [?] to align RAMPAGE
 221 (or other paired-end) reads to a given genome assembly.
 222

223 **Preparing the output directory** It will also be necessary to create an output
 224 directory under "outputDir" for the results. GoRAMPAGE expects the results
 225 of a given step to be in place prior to initiating a run, so we'll need to create the
 226 appropriate folders before proceeding. Please do this as follows:

```
227 mkdir output #omit if you already have an output directory selected
228 mkdir output/reads
229 mkdir output/reads/clipped
```

230 **Setting up the GoRAMPAGE job** Now, once this is complete, please
 231 copy the contents of the "symlinks" directory that you created earlier (*i.e.*
 232 all of the *.fq files) into the "clipped/" directory. Please refer to the script
 233 "GoRAMPAGE_script_MMB.sh" and (using a text editor) provide the appropriate
 234 paths to the genome assembly, output directory (see above) and rRNA sequences
 235 (*see Note 3*). The script can be executed as follows:

```
236 ./GoRAMPAGE_script_MMB.sh
237 #alternatively 'sh GoRAMPAGE_script_MMB.sh'
```

238 If everything is working correctly you should start to see the results of the
 239 job being written to the file "errScript". You can inspect the progress during the
 240 run using the *less* command.

```
241 less -S errScript
```

242 Should the run fail before completion, any associated error messages will be
 243 printed to the errScript file. Once the job is complete, you should see the message
 244 "GoRAMPAGE job is complete!" appear on the command-line terminal.

Inspecting the rRNA filtering results To evaluate the results from Step 3 (rRNA filtering), please navigate to the top level of the "output" directory and open the file "LOGFILES". You'll see the recorded progress of the program Tagdust and a record of the results. We notice that (for the L3h library) 1046448 of reads (78.1%) were "extracted", meaning that slightly more than 20% of reads were removed because of matches with ribosomal sequences. The removed reads from all libraries are found in the "dusted_discard" directory, and the extracted reads are found in the current directory. Due to their sheer abundance within cells, ribosomal RNA sequences are an inevitable contaminant within TSS profiling libraries. For analysis purposes, it is important that these sequences be removed, which is what has been completed here.

Since this step was conducted appropriately, we can proceed to the next step.

Evaluating the alignments The folder "alignments/" in your GoRAMAPGE output folder will now contain 6 .bam files, each representing the distinct RAMAPGE libraries selected for our analysis. Typing "ls -l" from the command line will show that these files are symlinks to the original alignment files found in the "STARoutput/" directory. "STARoutput/", as its name suggests, contains the output from the STAR alignment, and this includes the alignment files "*.sortedByCoord.out.bam", and four additional log files. The files with the suffix "*.STAR.Log.final.out" each contain a summary of the alignment, such as the number of input reads, the percentage of uniquely-mapped reads and the percentage of unmapped reads. An inspection of these log files indicates that the alignments have similar mapping rates (70-80%), a reasonable outcome for our purposes.

Now that our RAMPAGE libraries are filtered and aligned, we can commence with the second half of our analysis.

3.5 Promoter identification from aligned RAMPAGE libraries

We can now use the prepared alignment files to identify TSSs and promoters from the selected RAMPAGE libraries. There are currently several tools available for this purpose. *CAGEr*, developed by Haberle [?], was utilized to perform TSS identification as part of the FANTOM5 efforts. We will use *TSRchitect* in this demonstration, since it was specifically designed to analyze paired-end TSS profiling datasets, and also because it is more flexible with respect to model system (*i.e.* it does not require a corresponding *BSTGenome* package). The latter feature will be helpful when analyzing the non-*D. melanogaster* TSS profiling datasets that we expect to be generated in the near future.

Setting up the Analysis *TSRchitect*, the package we'll use for this analysis, is an R package available in the Bioconductor suite of genomics tools [?]. It makes use of existing packages and data structures within this environment, where available, to identify promoters from sequence alignments. Since you have

286 already installed *TSRchitect* and its dependencies (see section 2.3), we are set
 287 to proceed.

288 There are two general ways one can choose to run *TSRchitect*. The first is in-
 289 teractively *i.e.* typing the instructions directly into an R console. While this
 290 is a perfectly acceptable way to run analyses using package, for larger jobs
 291 it will likely be more efficient (and likely more reproducible) to run a dedi-
 292 cated R script. We have provided a sample script "MMB_chapter_TSRchitect.R"
 293 to make it easier for you to set up an R script. In the section to follow, we
 294 will go through the output of the analysis. For further details on how to use
 295 *TSRchitect*, please see its documentation at its Bioconductor page found here
 296 <https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/TSRchitect.html>.
 297

298 **Running the Analysis** To run *TSRchitect* using the batch script provided,
 299 first provide full paths for the variables "BAMDIR" and "DmAnnot" in "MMB_chapter_TSRchitect.R"
 300 using a text editor. *BAMDIR* should be a path to the subdirectory "alignments/"
 301 in RAMPAGE output directory you specified earlier, and *DmAnnot* should be
 302 a full path to the *D. melanogaster* gene annotation listed above. Once this is
 303 complete, we can run the batch script from the Linux command-line as follows:

```
304 R CMD BATCH MMB_chapter_TSRchitect.R
305 #assumes variables BAMDIR and DmAnnot have already been set
306 bg #puts this job in the background
```

307 Once the job is underway, you can monitor its progress by looking at the
 308 contents of the .Rout file (in this case, "MMB_chapter_TSRchitect.Rout"). The
 309 job should complete within an hour on most systems.
 310

311 Before we evaluate the results (which will have been written to your working
 312 directory after running the batch script), there are some important parameters
 313 to review. First, we must initialize the *tssObject* (which stores the information
 314 about the experiment) appropriately (see **Note 4**).
 315

316 The input in this case are BAM files (*inputType*="bam"); *TSRchitect* also
 317 accepts input in BED format.

```
318 DmRAMPAGE <- loadTSSobj(experimentTitle = "RAMPAGE Tutorial", \
319   inputDir=BAMDIR, inputType="bam", isPairedEnd=TRUE, \
320   sampleNames=c("E1h", "E2h", "E3h", "L1", "L2", "L3"), \
321   replicateIDs=c(1,1,1,2,2,2))
```

322 A critical step in our analysis is identifying TSRs from the aligned TSS
 323 data; to do this we use the function *determineTSR*. We have selected the job
 324 to run on 4 cores in this example (*n.cores*=4). Please enter the number of cores
 325 appropriate for your system. Because we want to identify TSRs from every one
 326 of the selected RAMPAGE libraries, we specify *tssSet*="all". The parameter
 327 *tagCountThreshold* was set to 25, meaning that only TSSs supported by 25 or

more 5' RAMPAGE reads will be included within a TSR. Setting *writeTable* to "TRUE" means that the identified TSRs from each set will be written to the working directory.

```
331 DmRAMPAGE <- determineTSR(experimentName=DmRAMPAGE, n.cores=4, tsrSetType="replicates", \
332 tssSet="all", tagCountThreshold=25, clustDist=20, writeTable=TRUE)
```

TSRchitect can incorporate the tag abundances from each of the samples and append them to the list of identified TSRs. This is useful for downstream analysis of differential expression.

```
336 DmRAMPAGE <- addTagCountsToTSR(experimentName=DmRAMPAGE,
337 tsrSetType="replicates", tsrSet=1, tagCountThreshold=10, \
338 writeTable=TRUE)
```

We can use *TSRchitect* to import an annotation file (or, alternatively, use an existing one from *AnnotationHub*) and use it to associate our set of identified TSRs with coding genes. We can specify the maximum distances (both up- and downstream) between the TSR and the annotation using the arguments *upstreamDist* and *downstreamDist*.

```
344 DmRAMPAGE <- importAnnotationExternal(experimentName=DmRAMPAGE, \
345 fileType="gff3", annotFile=DmAnnot)
346
347 DmRAMPAGE <- addAnnotationToTSR(experimentName=DmRAMPAGE,
348 tsrSetType="replicates", tsrSet=1, \
349 upstreamDist=1000, downstreamDist=200, feature="gene", \
350 featureColumnID="ID", writeTable=TRUE)
```

Now we have generated a set of identified TSSs, TSRs from all 6 RAMPAGE libraries, and have associated the identified TSRs with annotated genes. Next, we will merge the libraries into two samples according to condition: early embryonic (E1h, E2h, E3h) and late larval (L1, L2, L3) using the information we provided when we initialized the *tssObject* at the start of this section. After merging, we identify promoters i) within the merged samples and ii) within the entire dataset combined, and associate with the *D. melanogaster* gene annotation as described previously (not shown).

```
359 #merging the sample data into two groups
360 DmRAMPAGE <- mergeSampleData(DmRAMPAGE)
361
362 # ... identifying TSRs from the merged samples:
363 DmRAMPAGE <- determineTSR(experimentName=DmRAMPAGE, \
364 n.cores=4, tsrSetType="merged", \
365 tssSet="all", tagCountThreshold=40, \
366 clustDist=20, writeTable=TRUE)
```

Evaluating the results Our analysis using *TSRchitect* is now complete. For comparison, the example batch script we provide took just under 44 minutes to run.

Your working directory should now contain the following:

- TSSs from each sample *e.g.* TSSset-1.txt: (6)
- TSRs from each sample (in both .txt and .tab formats): (12)
- TSRs from each merged group (in both .txt and .tab formats): *e.g.* TSRsetMerged-1.txt: (4)
- TSRs from the combined set of TSSs: TSRsetCombined.tab: (1)

Let's briefly review the files. We can quickly obtain the counts on the command line, as follows:

```

wc -l *.tab
8377 TSRset-1.tab
6159 TSRset-2.tab
4814 TSRset-3.tab
17924 TSRset-4.tab
11851 TSRset-5.tab
3242 TSRset-6.tab
13986 TSRsetCombined.tab
7344 TSRsetMerged-1.tab
12126 TSRsetMerged-2.tab
85823 total

```

We will see that we have identified between roughly 3,200 and 18,000 TSRs within the individual RAMPAGE samples, which is attributable to the differences in library sizes. We detect 7,344 TSRs within the early embryonic samples ("TSRsetMerged-1.tab") and 12,126 TSRs in the late larval samples ("TSRsetMerged-2.tab"). Within the combined samples ("TSRsetCombined.tab") we find 13,986 TSRs, which is similar to the number reported by Hoskins *et. al.* [?].

In addition to identifying the position of a given TSRs, *TSRchitect* records other useful information about its properties. The *width* of a TSR refers the span of the genomic region it occupies (in bp), and the *Shape Index* (SI) is measure of the relative peakedness of the TSR. We can see an example of this in the file "TSRsetMerged-1.txt".

seq	start	end	strand	nTSSs	tsrWidth	shapeIndex	featureID
2L.67043.67044.+			2L	67043	67044 +	270 2	1 NA
2L.74089.74115.+			2L	74089	74115 +	341 27	0.13 NA
2L.94739.94752.+			2L	94739	94752 +	1650 14	0.55 FBgn0031
2L.102386.102386.+			2L	102386	102386 +	284 1	2 FBgn0031

407 3.6 Summary

408 The workflow provided here is intended to serve as a useful entry point for the
 409 analysis of TSS profiling data in insects. On the computational side, we have
 410 provided an open source set of tools so that the uninitiated genome scientist
 411 can begin to analyze RAMPAGE (or other forms of TSS profiling data) quickly.
 412 While the analysis centered on *D. melanogaster* via the use of public datasets,
 413 it is anticipated that this will assist groups who may be interested in performing
 414 TSS profiling in their preferred insect model system.
 415 The application of TSS profiling technology across a more representative sample
 416 of insect diversity will improve our understanding of the positions and general
 417 structure *cis*-regulatory regions in this phylum.

418 4 Notes

- 419 1. You can clone this appendix to your workspace on the command line using
 420 git, as follows:
 421 `git clone https://github.com/rtraborn/MMB_appendix.git`
- 422 2. Since these fastq files are paired-end, we use the argument `-split-files` to
 423 generate separate files for each read pair.
- 424 3. If you are running this on a cluster with a job scheduler you'll need to add
 425 the necessary headers to the top of the script and submit the job in the
 426 appropriate manner.
- 427 4. Because the samples provided derive from related developmental stages, we
 428 will merge them for annotation purposes using the argument `replicateIDs`,
 429 (though it must be emphasized that they are not replicates).

430 Acknowledgments

431 The authors would like to thank Philippe Batut for generous technical as-
 432 sistance with the RAMPAGE protocol, and to Nathan Keith for his help
 433 establishing the protocol in our laboratory.

434 Disclosure Declaration

435 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

436 5 References

437 References

- 438 1. J. T. Kadonaga, "Perspectives on the RNA polymerase II core promoter." *Wiley*
 439 *Interdisciplinary Reviews: Developmental Biology*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 40–51, Jan.
 440 2012.

- 441 2. R. Kodzius, M. Kojima, H. Nishiyori, M. Nakamura, S. Fukuda, M. Tagami,
442 D. Sasaki, K. Imamura, C. Kai, M. Harbers, Y. Hayashizaki, and P. Carninci,
443 “CAGE: cap analysis of gene expression.” *Nature Methods*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 211–
444 222, Mar. 2006.
- 445 3. P. Carninci, T. Kasukawa, S. Katayama, J. Gough, M. C. Frith, N. Maeda,
446 R. Oyama, T. Ravasi, B. Lenhard, C. Wells, R. Kodzius, K. Shimokawa, V. B.
447 Bajic, S. E. Brenner, S. Batalov, A. R. R. Forrest, M. Zavolan, M. J. Davis, L. G.
448 Wilming, V. Aidinis, J. E. Allen, A. Ambesi-Impiombato, R. Apweiler, R. N. Atu-
449 raliya, T. L. Bailey, M. Bansal, L. Baxter, K. W. Beisel, T. Bersano, H. Bono, A. M.
450 Chalk, K. P. Chiu, V. Choudhary, A. Christoffels, D. R. Clutterbuck, M. L. Crowe,
451 E. Dalla, B. P. Dalrymple, B. de Bono, G. Della Gatta, D. di Bernardo, T. Down,
452 P. Engstrom, M. Fagiolini, G. Faulkner, C. F. Fletcher, T. Fukushima, M. Furuno,
453 S. Futaki, M. Gariboldi, P. Georgii-Hemming, T. R. Gingeras, T. Gojobori, R. E.
454 Green, S. Gustincich, M. Harbers, Y. Hayashi, T. K. Hensch, N. Hirokawa, D. Hill,
455 L. Huminiecki, M. Iacono, K. Ikeo, A. Iwama, T. Ishikawa, M. Jakt, A. Kanapin,
456 M. Katoh, Y. Kawasawa, J. Kelso, H. Kitamura, H. Kitano, G. Kollias, S. P. T. Kr-
457 ishnan, A. Kruger, S. K. Kummerfeld, I. V. Kurochkin, L. F. Lareau, D. Lazarevic,
458 L. Lipovich, J. Liu, S. Liuni, S. McWilliam, M. Madan Babu, M. Madera, L. Mar-
459 chionni, H. Matsuda, S. Matsuzawa, H. Miki, F. Mignone, S. Miyake, K. Mor-
460 ris, S. Mottagui-Tabar, N. Mulder, N. Nakano, H. Nakauchi, P. Ng, R. Nilsson,
461 S. Nishiguchi, S. Nishikawa, F. Nori, O. Ohara, Y. Okazaki, V. Orlando, K. C.
462 Pang, W. J. Pavan, G. Pavesi, G. Pesole, N. Petrovsky, S. Piazza, J. Reed, J. F.
463 Reid, B. Z. Ring, M. Ringwald, B. Rost, Y. Ruan, S. L. Salzberg, A. Sandelin,
464 C. Schneider, C. Schönbach, K. Sekiguchi, C. A. M. Semple, S. Seno, L. Sessa,
465 Y. Sheng, Y. Shibata, H. Shimada, K. Shimada, D. Silva, B. Sinclair, S. Sperling,
466 E. Stupka, K. Sugiura, R. Sultana, Y. Takenaka, K. Taki, K. Tammoja, S. L. Tan,
467 S. Tang, M. S. Taylor, J. Tegner, S. A. Teichmann, H. R. Ueda, E. van Nimwegen,
468 R. Verardo, C. L. Wei, K. Yagi, H. Yamanishi, E. Zabarovsky, S. Zhu, A. Zim-
469 mer, W. Hide, C. Bult, S. M. Grimmond, R. D. Teasdale, E. T. Liu, V. Brusic,
470 J. Quackenbush, C. Wahlestedt, J. S. Mattick, D. A. Hume, C. Kai, D. Sasaki,
471 Y. Tomaru, S. Fukuda, M. Kanamori-Katayama, M. Suzuki, J. Aoki, T. Arakawa,
472 J. Iida, K. Imamura, M. Itoh, T. Kato, H. Kawaji, N. Kawagashira, T. Kawashima,
473 M. Kojima, S. Kondo, H. Konno, K. Nakano, N. Ninomiya, T. Nishio, M. Okada,
474 C. Plessy, K. Shibata, T. Shiraki, S. Suzuki, M. Tagami, K. Waki, A. Watahiki,
475 Y. Okamura-Oho, H. Suzuki, J. Kawai, Y. Hayashizaki, F. Consortium, R. G. E. R.
476 Group, and G. S. G. G. N. P. C. Group, “The transcriptional landscape of the mam-
477 malian genome,” *Science (New York, NY)*, vol. 309, no. 5740, pp. 1559–1563, Sep.
478 2005.
- 479 4. R. A. Hoskins, R. A. Hoskins, J. M. Landolin, J. M. Landolin, J. B. Brown, J. B.
480 Brown, J. E. Sandler, J. E. Sandler, H. Takahashi, H. Takahashi, T. Lassmann,
481 T. Lassmann, C. Yu, C. Yu, B. W. Booth, B. W. Booth, D. Zhang, D. Zhang,
482 K. H. Wan, K. H. Wan, L. Yang, L. Yang, N. Boley, N. Boley, J. Andrews, J. An-
483 drews, T. C. Kaufman, T. C. Kaufman, B. R. Graveley, B. R. Graveley, P. J.
484 Bickel, P. J. Bickel, P. Carninci, J. W. Carlson, J. W. Carlson, S. E. Celniker,
485 and S. E. Celniker, “Genome-wide analysis of promoter architecture in *Drosophila*
486 *melanogaster*,” *Genome Research*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 182–192, Feb. 2011.
- 487 5. E. A. Rach, H.-Y. Yuan, W. H. Majoros, P. Tomancak, and U. Ohler, “Motif
488 composition, conservation and condition-specificity of single and alternative tran-
489 scription start sites in the *Drosophila* genome,” *Genome Biology*, vol. 10, no. 7, p.
490 R73, 2009.

- 491 6. B. Lenhard, A. Sandelin, and P. Carninci, "Metazoan promoters: emerging char-
492 acteristics and insights into transcriptional regulation." *Nature Reviews Genetics*,
493 vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 233–245, Apr. 2012.
- 494 7. T. Ni, D. L. Corcoran, E. A. Rach, S. Song, E. P. Spana, Y. Gao, U. Ohler,
495 and J. Zhu, "A paired-end sequencing strategy to map the complex landscape of
496 transcription initiation." *Nature Methods*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 521–527, Jul. 2010.
- 497 8. U. Ohler, G.-c. Liao, H. Niemann, and G. M. Rubin, "Computational analysis of
498 core promoters in the Drosophila genome." *Genome Biology*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp.
499 research0087.1–0087.12, 2002.
- 500 9. R. T. Raborn, K. Spitze, V. P. Brendel, and M. Lynch, "Promoter Architecture
501 and Sex-Specific Gene Expression in *Daphnia pulex*." *Genetics*, vol. 204, no. 2, pp.
502 593–612, Aug. 2016.
- 503 10. C. Nepal, Y. Hadzhiev, C. Previti, V. Haberle, N. Li, H. Takahashi, A. M. M.
504 Suzuki, Y. Sheng, R. F. Abdelhamid, S. Anand, J. Gehrig, A. Akalin, C. E. M.
505 Kockx, A. A. J. van der Sloot, W. F. J. van IJcken, O. Armant, S. Rastegar,
506 C. Watson, U. Strahle, E. Stupka, P. Carninci, B. Lenhard, and F. Muller, "Dy-
507 namic regulation of the transcription initiation landscape at single nucleotide res-
508 olution during vertebrate embryogenesis," *Genome Research*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp.
509 1938–1950, Nov. 2013.
- 510 11. P. Carninci, A. Sandelin, B. Lenhard, S. Katayama, K. Shimokawa, J. Ponjavic,
511 C. A. M. Semple, M. S. Taylor, P. G. Engström, M. C. Frith, A. R. R. For-
512 rest, W. B. Alkema, S. L. Tan, C. Plessy, R. Kodzius, T. Ravasi, T. Kasukawa,
513 S. Fukuda, M. Kanamori-Katayama, Y. Kitazume, H. Kawaji, C. Kai, M. Naka-
514 mura, H. Konno, K. Nakano, S. Mottagui-Tabar, P. Arner, A. Chesi, S. Gustincich,
515 F. Persichetti, H. Suzuki, S. M. Grimmond, C. A. Wells, V. Orlando, C. Wahlest-
516 edt, E. T. Liu, M. Harbers, J. Kawai, V. B. Bajic, D. A. Hume, and Y. Hayashizaki,
517 "Genome-wide analysis of mammalian promoter architecture and evolution," *Nat-
518 ure Genetics*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 626–635, Apr. 2006.
- 519 12. S. Mwangi, G. Attardo, Y. Suzuki, S. Aksoy, and A. Christoffels, "TSS seq based
520 core promoter architecture in blood feeding Tsetse fly (*Glossina morsitans mor-
521 sitans*) vector of Trypanosomiasis," *BMC Genomics*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 722, Sep.
522 2015.
- 523 13. K. Tsuchihara, Y. Suzuki, H. Wakaguri, T. Irie, K. Tanimoto, S.-i. Hashimoto,
524 K. Matsushima, J. Mizushima-Sugano, R. Yamashita, K. Nakai, D. Bentley, H. Es-
525 umi, and S. Sugano, "Massive transcriptional start site analysis of human genes in
526 hypoxia cells," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 2249–2263, Apr. 2009.
- 527 14. N. Cveticic and B. Lenhard, "Core promoters across the genome," *Nature Biotech-
528 nology*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 123–124, Feb. 2017.
- 529 15. T. Lassmann, "TagDust2: a generic method to extract reads from sequencing data,"
530 *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 1, Jan. 2015.
- 531 16. A. Dobin and T. R. Gingeras, "Optimizing RNA-Seq Mapping with STAR," in
532 *Transcription Factor Regulatory Networks*. New York, NY: Springer New York,
533 Apr. 2016, pp. 245–262.
- 534 17. V. Haberle, "CAGER: an R package for CAGE (Cap Analysis of Gene Expression)
535 data analysis and promoterome mining," 2013.
- 536 18. M. Lawrence and M. Morgan, "Scalable Genomics with R and Bioconductor,"
537 *Statistical Science*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 214–226, May 2014.

538 6 Checklist of Items to be Sent to Volume Editors

539 Here is a checklist of everything the volume editor requires from you:

540 ☐ The final L^AT_EX source files

541 ☐ A final PDF file

542 ☐ A copyright form, signed by one author on behalf of all of the authors of the
543 paper.

544 ☐ A readme giving the name and email address of the corresponding author.