### **ECS230**

# Summary 6

## Eigenvalue Problems

The Power Method  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  non-defective (i.e. diagonalizable)

There are *n* eigenpairs  $(\lambda_i, x_i)$   $i = 1, \ldots, n$ 

We assume that eigenvalues are ordered by magnitude and

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \ge |\lambda_3| \ge \ldots \ge |\lambda_n|$$

 $\lambda_1$  is the dominant eigenvalue.

The eigenvectors  $x_i$  are linearly independent.

Any non-zero vector  $u_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  can be written as a linear combination of the  $x_i$ :

$$u_0 = \gamma_1 x_1 + \gamma_2 x_2 + \ldots + \gamma_n x_n$$

The power method consists in computing  $A^k u_0$ .

$$A^k u_0 = \gamma_1 \lambda_1^k x_1 + \gamma_2 \lambda_2^k x_2 + \ldots + \gamma_n \lambda_n^k x_n$$

 $\lim_{k\to\infty} A^k u_0 = \lambda_1^k \gamma_1 x_1$ 

## Algorithm 1 Power Method

- 1: k = 0
- 2: while not converged do
- $3: u_{k+1} = Au_k$
- 4: k := k + 1
- 5: end while

Algorithm 1 can lead to exponential growth (or decrease) of the norm of  $u_k$ , resulting in overflow (or underflow). Algorithm 2 solves this problem by normalizing  $u_k$  at each iteration.

#### Algorithm 2 Power Method

```
1: k = 0

2: while not converged do

3: u_{k+1} = Au_k

4: u_{k+1} := u_{k+1}/||u_{k+1}||

5: k := k+1

6: end while
```

#### Convergence:

The residual vector is  $r_k = Au_k - \mu_k u_k$  where  $\mu_k$  is an approximation of the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ . Convergence criterion: stop when  $||r_k||$  is "small". Rayleigh quotient For a given  $u \neq 0$  and a given  $\mu$ , define the residual as  $r(\mu) = Au - \mu u$ . The residual  $r(\mu)$  is minimum if

$$\mu = \frac{u^H A u}{u^H u}$$
 (Rayleigh quotient)

#### **Algorithm 3** Power Method with convergence criterion

```
1: x = u_0/||u_0||
2: while not converged do
3:
       y = Ax
       \mu = x^H A x
4:
       r = y - \mu x
5:
       x = y/||y||
6:
       if ||r|| < \epsilon then
 7:
8:
           converged = true, done
9:
       end if
10: end while
```

#### Convergence rate:

At iteration k, the error  $u_k - x_1$  is dominated by a term proportional to  $|\lambda_2/\lambda_1|^k$ , i.e. at each iteration the error is reduced by a factor  $|\lambda_2/\lambda_1|$ . The convergence rate is  $|\lambda_2/\lambda_1|$ .

#### Shifted power method

Use  $A - \sigma I$  instead of A.

 $A - \sigma I$  has eigenpairs  $(\lambda_1 - \sigma, x_1), (\lambda_2 - \sigma, x_2), \ldots$  i.e. eigenvectors of A are also eigenvectors of  $A - \sigma I$ . Choosing the shift  $\sigma$  carefully can improve the

convergence rate of the power iteration.

Example 1: A has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda_2 = -0.99$ . The convergence rate of the power method (without shift) is |0.99/1.0| = 0.99, i.e. convergence is slow. Using a shift  $\sigma = -1/2$ , the eigenvalues of  $A - \sigma I$  are  $\lambda_1 - \sigma = 1.5$  and  $\lambda_2 - \sigma = -0.49$ . The convergence rate of the (shifted) power iteration is

$$\frac{|\lambda_2 - \sigma|}{|\lambda_1 - \sigma|} = |-0.49/1.5| \approx 0.33$$

and convergence is faster.

Example 2: A has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 1$   $\lambda_2 = -0.99$  and  $\lambda_3 = 0$ . The convergence rate of the power method (without shift) is |0.99/1.0| = 0.99, i.e. convergence is slow. Using a shift  $\sigma = -1/2$ , the eigenvalues of  $A - \sigma I$  are  $\lambda_1 - \sigma = 1.5$ ,  $\lambda_2 - \sigma = -0.49$  and  $\lambda_3 - \sigma = 0.50$ . The convergence rate of the (shifted) power iteration is

$$\frac{|\lambda_3 - \sigma|}{|\lambda_1 - \sigma|} = |0.50/1.5| \simeq 0.33$$

and convergence is faster. Note that with this shift, the convergence rate is determined by  $\lambda_3$  and not  $\lambda_2$ .