

All legacy individual and organizational repository plans expire on their January 2021 billing cycle date.
Read the FAQ (<https://www.docker.com/pricing/faq#legacy>)

Image building tips

Estimated reading time: 9 minutes

Security Scanning

When you have built an image, it is good practice to scan it for security vulnerabilities using the `docker scan` command. Docker has partnered with Snyk (<http://snyk.io>) to provide the vulnerability scanning service.

For example, to scan the `getting-started` image you created earlier in the tutorial, you can just type

```
docker scan getting-started
```

The scan uses a constantly updated database of vulnerabilities, so the output you see will vary as new vulnerabilities are discovered, but it might look something like this:

```
x Low severity vulnerability found in freetype/freetype
Description: CVE-2020-15999
Info: https://snyk.io/vuln/SNYK-ALPINE310-FREETYPE-1019641
Introduced through: freetype/freetype@2.10.0-r0, gd/libgd@2.2.5-r2
From: freetype/freetype@2.10.0-r0
From: gd/libgd@2.2.5-r2 > freetype/freetype@2.10.0-r0
Fixed in: 2.10.0-r1

x Medium severity vulnerability found in libxml2/libxml2
Description: Out-of-bounds Read
Info: https://snyk.io/vuln/SNYK-ALPINE310-LIBXML2-674791
Introduced through: libxml2/libxml2@2.9.9-r3, libxslt/libxslt@1.1.33-r3, nginx-r
From: libxml2/libxml2@2.9.9-r3
From: libxslt/libxslt@1.1.33-r3 > libxml2/libxml2@2.9.9-r3
From: nginx-module-xslt/nginx-module-xslt@1.17.9-r1 > libxml2/libxml2@2.9.9-r3
Fixed in: 2.9.9-r4
```

The output lists the type of vulnerability, a URL to learn more, and importantly which version of the relevant library fixes the vulnerability.

There are several other options, which you can read about in the [docker scan documentation \(/engine/scan/\)](#).

As well as scanning your newly built image on the command line, you can also configure Docker Hub ([/docker-hub/vulnerability-scanning/](#)) to scan all newly pushed images automatically, and you can then see the results in both Docker Hub and Docker Desktop.

Image Layers
Vulnerabilities 148

SCANNING BY **snky**

Use Snyk to fix these vulnerabilities

- Base image upgrade guidance
- Fix advice for both the container and the code
- Continue monitoring in Kubernetes

[See the detailed analysis at Snyk.io](#)

Severity & Vulnerability	Package	Version	Fixed in
H 9.8 Out-of-bounds Write CVE-2019-12900	bzip2/libbz2	1.0.6-r6	1.0.6-r7
H 9.8 Out-of-bounds Write CVE-2019-12900	bzip2/libbz2	1.0.6-r6	1.0.6-r7
H 9.8 Out-of-Bounds CVE-2019-3822	curl/curl	7.61.0-r0	7.61.1-r2
H 9.8 Out-of-Bounds CVE-2018-16839	curl/curl	7.61.0-r0	7.61.1-r1
H 9.8 Integer Overflow or Wraparound CVE-2018-14618	curl/curl	7.61.0-r0	7.61.1-r0
H 9.8 Use After Free CVE-2018-16840	curl/curl	7.61.0-r0	7.61.1-r1
H 9.8 Double Free CVE-2019-5481	curl/curl	7.61.0-r0	7.61.1-r3
H 9.8 Buffer Overflow CVE-2019-5482	curl/curl	7.61.0-r0	7.61.1-r3
H 9.8 Out-of-Bounds CVE-2019-3822	curl/curl	7.61.0-r0	7.61.1-r2
H 9.8 Out-of-Bounds CVE-2018-16839	curl/curl	7.61.0-r0	7.61.1-r1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 > >>

Image Layering

Did you know that you can look at what makes up an image? Using the `docker image history` command, you can see the command that was used to create each layer within an image.

1. Use the `docker image history` command to see the layers in the `getting-started` image you created earlier in the tutorial.

```
docker image history getting-started
```

You should get output that looks something like this (dates/IDs may be different).

IMAGE	CREATED	CREATED BY
a78a40cbf866	18 seconds ago	/bin/sh -c #(nop) CMD ["node" "src
f1d1808565d6	19 seconds ago	/bin/sh -c yarn install --productio
a2c054d14948	36 seconds ago	/bin/sh -c #(nop) COPY dir:5dc710ad
9577ae713121	37 seconds ago	/bin/sh -c #(nop) WORKDIR /app
b95baba1cfdb	13 days ago	/bin/sh -c #(nop) CMD ["node"]
<missing>	13 days ago	/bin/sh -c #(nop) ENTRYPOINT ["doc
<missing>	13 days ago	/bin/sh -c #(nop) COPY file:2387373
<missing>	13 days ago	/bin/sh -c apk add --no-cache --vir
<missing>	13 days ago	/bin/sh -c #(nop) ENV YARN_VERSION
<missing>	13 days ago	/bin/sh -c addgroup -g 1000 node
<missing>	13 days ago	/bin/sh -c #(nop) ENV NODE_VERSION
<missing>	13 days ago	/bin/sh -c #(nop) CMD ["/bin/sh"]
<missing>	13 days ago	/bin/sh -c #(nop) ADD file:e69d441d

Each of the lines represents a layer in the image. The display here shows the base at the bottom with the newest layer at the top. Using this, you can also quickly see the size of each layer, helping diagnose large images.

2. You'll notice that several of the lines are truncated. If you add the `--no-trunc` flag, you'll get the full output (yes... funny how you use a truncated flag to get untruncated output, huh?)

```
docker image history --no-trunc getting-started
```

Layer Caching

Now that you've seen the layering in action, there's an important lesson to learn to help decrease build times for your container images.

Once a layer changes, all downstream layers have to be recreated as well

Let's look at the Dockerfile we were using one more time...

```
FROM node:12-alpine
WORKDIR /app
COPY . .
RUN yarn install --production
CMD ["node", "src/index.js"]
```

Going back to the image history output, we see that each command in the Dockerfile becomes a new layer in the image. You might remember that when we made a change to the image, the yarn dependencies had to be reinstalled. Is there a way to fix this? It doesn't make much sense to ship around the same dependencies every time we build, right?

To fix this, we need to restructure our Dockerfile to help support the caching of the dependencies. For Node-based applications, those dependencies are defined in the `package.json` file. So, what if we copied only that file in first, install the dependencies, and *then* copy in everything else? Then, we only recreate the yarn dependencies if there was a change to the `package.json`. Make sense?

1. Update the Dockerfile to copy in the `package.json` first, install dependencies, and then copy everything else in.

```
FROM node:12-alpine
WORKDIR /app
COPY package.json yarn.lock ./
RUN yarn install --production
COPY . .
CMD ["node", "src/index.js"]
```

2. Create a file named `.dockerignore` in the same folder as the Dockerfile with the following contents.

```
node_modules
```

`.dockerignore` files are an easy way to selectively copy only image relevant files. You can read more about this here (</engine/reference/builder/#dockerignore-file>). In this case, the `node_modules` folder should be omitted in the second `COPY` step because otherwise, it would possibly overwrite files which were created by the command in the `RUN` step. For further details on why this is recommended for Node.js applications and other best practices, have a look at their guide on Dockerizing a Node.js web app (<https://nodejs.org/en/docs/guides/nodejs-docker-webapp/>).

3. Build a new image using `docker build`.

```
docker build -t getting-started .
```

You should see output like this...

```
Sending build context to Docker daemon 219.1kB
Step 1/6 : FROM node:12-alpine
---> b0dc3a5e5e9e
Step 2/6 : WORKDIR /app
---> Using cache
---> 9577ae713121
Step 3/6 : COPY package.json yarn.lock ./
---> bd5306f49fc8
Step 4/6 : RUN yarn install --production
---> Running in d53a06c9e4c2
yarn install v1.17.3
[1/4] Resolving packages...
[2/4] Fetching packages...
info fsevents@1.2.9: The platform "linux" is incompatible with this module.
info "fsevents@1.2.9" is an optional dependency and failed compatibility ch
[3/4] Linking dependencies...
[4/4] Building fresh packages...
Done in 10.89s.
Removing intermediate container d53a06c9e4c2
---> 4e68fbc2d704
Step 5/6 : COPY . .
---> a239a11f68d8
Step 6/6 : CMD ["node", "src/index.js"]
---> Running in 49999f68df8f
Removing intermediate container 49999f68df8f
---> e709c03bc597
Successfully built e709c03bc597
Successfully tagged getting-started:latest
```

You'll see that all layers were rebuilt. Perfectly fine since we changed the Dockerfile quite a bit.

4. Now, make a change to the `src/static/index.html` file (like change the `<title>` to say "The Awesome Todo App").
5. Build the Docker image now using `docker build -t getting-started .` again. This time, your output should look a little different.

```
Sending build context to Docker daemon 219.1kB
Step 1/6 : FROM node:12-alpine
---> b0dc3a5e5e9e
Step 2/6 : WORKDIR /app
---> Using cache
---> 9577ae713121
Step 3/6 : COPY package.json yarn.lock ./
---> Using cache
---> bd5306f49fc8
Step 4/6 : RUN yarn install --production
---> Using cache
---> 4e68fbc2d704
Step 5/6 : COPY . .
---> cccde25a3d9a
Step 6/6 : CMD ["node", "src/index.js"]
---> Running in 2be75662c150
Removing intermediate container 2be75662c150
---> 458e5c6f080c
Successfully built 458e5c6f080c
Successfully tagged getting-started:latest
```

First off, you should notice that the build was MUCH faster! And, you'll see that steps 1-4 all have `Using cache`. So, hooray! We're using the build cache. Pushing and pulling this image and updates to it will be much faster as well. Hooray!

Multi-Stage Builds

While we're not going to dive into it too much in this tutorial, multi-stage builds are an incredibly powerful tool to help use multiple stages to create an image. There are several advantages for them:

- Separate build-time dependencies from runtime dependencies
- Reduce overall image size by shipping *only* what your app needs to run

Maven/Tomcat Example

When building Java-based applications, a JDK is needed to compile the source code to Java bytecode. However, that JDK isn't needed in production. Also, you might be using tools like Maven or Gradle to help build the app. Those also aren't needed in our final image. Multi-stage builds help.

```
FROM maven AS build
WORKDIR /app
COPY . .
RUN mvn package

FROM tomcat
COPY --from=build /app/target/file.war /usr/local/tomcat/webapps
```

In this example, we use one stage (called `build`) to perform the actual Java build using Maven. In the second stage (starting at `FROM tomcat`), we copy in files from the `build` stage. The final image is only the last stage being created (which can be overridden using the `--target` flag).

React Example

When building React applications, we need a Node environment to compile the JS code (typically JSX), SASS stylesheets, and more into static HTML, JS, and CSS. If we aren't doing server-side rendering, we don't even need a Node environment for our production build. Why not ship the static resources in a static nginx container?

```
FROM node:12 AS build
WORKDIR /app
COPY package* yarn.lock ./
RUN yarn install
COPY public ./public
COPY src ./src
RUN yarn run build

FROM nginx:alpine
COPY --from=build /app/build /usr/share/nginx/html
```

Here, we are using a `node:12` image to perform the build (maximizing layer caching) and then copying the output into an nginx container. Cool, huh?

Recap

By understanding a little bit about how images are structured, we can build images faster and ship fewer changes. Scanning images gives us confidence that the containers we are running and distributing are secure. Multi-stage builds also help us reduce overall image size and increase final container security by separating build-time dependencies from runtime dependencies.

get started (/search/?q=get started), setup (/search/?q=setup), orientation (/search/?q=orientation), quickstart (/search/?q=quickstart), intro (/search/?q=intro), concepts (/search/?q=concepts), containers (/search/?q=containers), docker desktop (/search/?q=docker desktop)