

Usability review

[Enter product name]



Hover over a guideline to more information, examples of good practice and importance to the overall user experience.

Score

Comments

N/A = not applicable
or can't be assessed

Optional - Provide a short rational for the score, such as a description of the issues found; examples of good practice and the likely impact for users.

Features & functionality

- 1 Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives.
- 2 Features and functionality support users desired workflows.
- 3 Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from the homepage) and well supported (e.g. short cuts are available).
- 4 Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise (e.g. short cuts for expert users, help and instructions for novice users).
- 5 Call to actions (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable.

Good

Good

Good

Very poor

Good

La pagina ofrece mecanismos para añadir las rutas elegidas a una lista

La pagina no diferencia entre usuarios, no quiere decir que tengan que tener mala experiecia pero no hay niveles de experiencia de usuario

Homepage / starting page

- 6 The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of the content, features and functionality available.

Moderate

La pagina principal contiene mucha publicidad para descargar la aplicación y no aparece de forma suficientemente clara las rutas que existen.

7 The home page / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks.

Poor

El usuario tiene que deducir por el dibujo el tipo de ruta que es

8 The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space'.

Good

Navigation

9 Users can easily access the site or application (e.g. the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines).

Excellent

10 The navigational scheme (e.g. menu) is easy to find, intuitive and consistent.

Excellent

11 The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means (e.g. searching, browse by type, browse by name, most recent etc...).

Good

12 The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals.

Good

13 Links are clear, descriptive and and well labelled.

Moderate

Algunos nombres de rutas no son lo suficientemente descriptivos

14 Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported.

Good

15 The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item).

Good

16 Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point.

Excellent

17 A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary).

Good

Search

18 A consitent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout (where desirable).

Excellent

La pagina ofrece buenos filtros de búsqueda

19 The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals (e.g. multi-parameter, prioritised results, filtering search results).

Excellent

20 The search facility deals well with common searchs (e.g. showing most popular results), misspellings and abbreviations.

Moderate

La pagina diferencia entre idiomas luego algunas búsquedas no pueden dar resultados

21 Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed.

Good

Los resultados se ordenan por relevancia por defecto

Control & feedback

22 Prompt and appropriate feedback is given (e.g. following a successful or unsuccessful action).

Excellent

23 Users can easily undo, go back and change or cancel actions; or are at least given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before placing an order).

Good

24 Users can easily give feedback (e.g. via email or an online feedback / contact us form).

Good

Forms

25 Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with clear numbers or named stages.

Excellent

Por ejemplo permite añadir a varias listas y aparece una confirmación

26 A minimal amount of information is requested and where required justification is given for asking for information (e.g. date of birth, telephone number).

Moderate

La pagina no pide muchos datos personales

27 Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated.

Moderate

28 Appropriate input fields (e.g. calendar for date selection, drop down for selection) are used and required formats are indicated.

Poor

29 Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary.

Good

Errors

30 Errors are clear, easily identifiable and appear in appropriate location (e.g. adjacent to data entry field, adjacent to form, etc.).

Good

31 Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is necessary.

Good

32 Common user errors (e.g. missing fields, invalid formats, invalid selections) have been taken into consideration and where possible prevented.

Good

33 Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors.

Good

Content & text

34 Content available (e.g. text, images, video) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals.

Moderate

35 Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages or external websites) are available and shown in context.

Good

36 Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience.

Very poor

La pagina no diferencia entre idiomas

37 Terms, language and tone used are consistent (e.g. the same term is used throughout).

Moderate

38 Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast.

Good

Help

39 Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base (e.g. is written in easy to understand language and only uses recognised terms). Where appropriate contextual help is provided.

Good

40 Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language.

Excellent

41 Accessing online help does not impede users (i.e. they can resume work where they left off after accessing help).

Poor

No puedes volver a tu actividad inicial si accedes a la ayuda

42 Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address).

Poor

Performance

43 Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays).

Excellent

44 Errors and reliability issues don't inhibit the user experience.

Excellent

45 Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported.

Very poor

Rutas desaparecen del menú principal si reducimos la resolución a la de dispositivos móviles

Overall usability score (out of 100) *	74	-	Good
--	----	---	------

* Very poor (less than 29) - Users are likely to experience very significant difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete a significant number of important tasks.
* Poor (between 29 and 49) - Users are likely to experience some difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete some important tasks.
* Moderate (between 49 and 69) - Users should be able to use this site or system and complete most important tasks, however the user experience could be significantly improved.
* Good (between 69 and 89) - Users should be able to use this site or system with relative ease and should be able to complete the vast majority of important tasks.
* Excellent (more than 89) - This site or system provides an excellent user experience for users. Users should be able to complete all important tasks on the site or system.