

# Theory of Computer Science

G. Röger  
S. Eriksson  
Spring Term 2023

University of Basel  
Computer Science

## Exercise Sheet 7

Due: Wednesday, April 26, 2023

### Exercise 7.1 (Turing-Computable Numerical Functions; 1+1 Point)

Consider function  $f : \mathbb{N}_0^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  and a DTM  $M$  computing  $f^{\text{code}}$ . Specify  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  and  $y$  with  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = y$  for the following start and end configurations of  $M$ :

(a)  $\langle \varepsilon, q_0, 101\#0\#11 \rangle \vdash^* \langle \varepsilon, q_{\text{accept}}, 1001 \rangle$

(b)  $\langle \varepsilon, q_0, 11\#100\#1 \rangle \vdash^* \langle \varepsilon, q_{\text{accept}}, 01 \rangle$

### Exercise 7.2 (Exponentiation is Turing-computable; 2 Points)

Describe the main idea of a proof showing that exponentiation of binary numbers is Turing-computable, i.e., describe the high-level idea of a Turing machine that computes  $\exp^{\text{code}}$  for the function  $\exp : \mathbb{N}_0^2 \rightarrow_{\text{p}} \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $\exp(n_1, n_2) = n_1^{n_2}$ .

We recommend to have the tape content represent a tuple of numbers for most of the computation, and refer to the numbers by index. For example, if the tape currently encodes 3 numbers, you can refer to the second number by  $n_2$ . It is then sufficient to describe how your TM manipulates these numbers (including introducing new numbers or deleting numbers).

You can simulate any of the following DTMs in your TM:  $M_{\text{succ}}$ ,  $M_{\text{pred}_1}$ ,  $M_{\text{pred}_2}$ ,  $M_{\text{add}}$ ,  $M_{\text{sub}}$ ,  $M_{\text{mul}}$ ,  $M_{\text{div}}$  (they compute the function denoted in their subscript). If you do so, specify which numbers you use as input, and in which number the output is stored.

### Exercise 7.3 (Composition of Computable Functions; 2 Points)

Let  $g : \Sigma_1^* \rightarrow \Sigma_2^*$  and  $f : \Sigma_2^* \rightarrow \Sigma_3^*$  be Turing-computable partial functions for alphabets  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$ . Show that the *composition*  $(f \circ g) : \Sigma_1^* \rightarrow \Sigma_3^*$  is also Turing-computable.

In general the composition of two functions is defined as  $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$ . Specifically, the value  $(f \circ g)(x)$  is undefined if  $g(x)$  is undefined.

### Exercise 7.4 (Transitivity of Reductions; 2 Points)

Show for any languages  $A, B$  and  $C$ : if  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq C$ , then  $A \leq C$ .

*You may use the result from exercise 7.3, even if you have not solved it.*

### Exercise 7.5 (Reductions; 0.5+0.5+0.5+0.5 Points)

Consider a decidable language  $D$ , a language  $R$  that is Turing-recognizable but not decidable, and a language  $U$  that is not Turing-recognizable. Given the following reductions, is  $L$  decidable? Is it Turing-recognizable? To both, the answer is either “yes”, “no”, or “we cannot tell from the given information”.

(a)  $R \leq L$

(b)  $L \leq U$

(c)  $D \leq L$

(d)  $L \leq D$