Translating L_{if} to L_{cfi} : A Multi-Step Compilation Approach (Team 2)

Ephraim Siegfried, Luca Gloor and Ruben Hutter

1 Introduction

"Every program is open source if you know Assembly." - Unknown. But must this always be the case? What if we could write programs in a high level programming language like python and interleave them with many different programs without changing input-output behavior making it (almost) impossible to reverse engineer the original program? The interleaving part of this problem has already been solved by Ali Ajorian (TODO Luca: Insert Aporia Paper reference here) but the first part was where this project came into play. The goal was to create a compiler from the python subset L_{if} to the aporia language L_{cfi} allowing the original program to be obfuscated at a later point in time. This objective was achieved by generating two new intermediary languages L_{if}^{sc} and L_{if}^{flat} , each with their own restrictions and compiling the input program in three separate steps in order to finally reach the desired L_{cfi} representation.

In the following report, we will give an overview of the technical background before we explain the implementation of the project. We will also discuss the difficulties that were encountered while coming up with solutions, evaluate the achieved outcomes, and reflect on the lessons learned during the course of this project.

2 Technical Background

2.1 Program Obfuscation and Its Challenges

Program obfuscation is the process of transforming a program into a functionally equivalent version that is difficult to analyze or reverse-engineer. It is widely used for *software protection*, *digital watermarking*, *and cryptographic applications*, such as secure multiparty computation and homomorphic encryption [1].

Traditional obfuscation techniques rely on ad hoc transformations like control flow flattening, junk code insertion, or opaque predicates. However, these methods often fail against modern deobfuscation tools that leverage symbolic execution and AI-assisted static analysis [1].

2.2 Aporia: Instruction Decorrelation as an Obfuscation Strategy

Aporia introduces instruction decorrelation, a technique that breaks the one-to-one mapping between source and compiled instructions. Unlike traditional obfuscation, decorrelation rearranges, delays, and duplicates instructions in a way that depends on runtime execution states [1].

This is achieved through two key mechanisms:

- Randomized Scheduling: Instructions are not executed in their original order, forcing an adversary to reconstruct execution flow dynamically.
- Context-Dependent Execution: Execution conditions are based on hidden states, preventing static analysis.

2.3 The L_{cfi} Language and Its Role in Obfuscation

 L_{cfi} (the input language for Aporia) is specifically designed to support instruction decorrelation. It employs:

 Predicate-based execution, where each instruction is executed only if a dynamic condition holds, making control flow reconstruction difficult.

While L_{cfi} facilitates instruction decorrelation, its design constraints make it challenging to write directly. Developers must handle explicit predicates and low-level constructs, which significantly differ from high-level programming languages like Python.

2.4 Relation to Our Project

The goal of our project is to create the missing "bridge" between Python and the Aporia framework. Writing code directly in L_{cfi} is impractical due to its low-level nature and strict requirements. Our compiler translates programs written in a subset of Python (L_{if}) into L_{cfi} .

This transformation allows developers to:

- Write secure code in a familiar, high-level syntax.
- Leverage Aporia's obfuscation techniques without directly interacting with L_{cfi} .

By bridging this gap, our project streamlines the workflow for developers, enabling them to write Python-like programs and automatically obtain the obfuscation benefits provided by Aporia.

3 Implementation

3.1 Overview

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

```
cmp
                  != | == | < | <= | > | >=
                  + | - | * | / | %
bop
                  true | false | bool var
pred
            ::=
                  pred | (bool exp) | !bool exp
bool exp
            ::=
                  bool_exp && bool_exp
                  bool_exp cmp bool_exp
                  number | num var | (num exp) | -num exp
num exp
            ::=
                  num exp bop num exp
                  print("string",bool exp) | bool exp
inst
                  print("string, num exp") | num exp
                  bool_var = bool_exp
                  num_var = num_exp
stmt
            ::=
                  ($label)? | pred : inst
                  bool bool var<sup>+</sup> | int num var<sup>+</sup> | int float var<sup>+</sup>
declar
            ::=
                  declar* stmt*
L_{cfi}
```

Fig. 1: Backus Naur Form Grammar of L_{cfi}

```
cmp ::= | = | < | < | > | > =
exp ::= int | input_int() | -exp
| exp + exp | exp - exp
| (exp) | var
| True | False | exp and exp
| exp or exp | not exp | exp cmp exp
| exp if exp else exp

stmt ::= print(exp) | exp | var = exp
| if exp : stmt<sup>+</sup> else : stmt<sup>+</sup>

L_{if} ::= stmt<sup>+</sup>
```

Fig. 2: Backus Naur Form Grammar of L_{if}

3.2 First pass: Remove complex operands (L_{if}^{sc})

```
cmp ::= | = | < | < | > | > =
atm ::= True | False | var

exp ::= int | -exp | exp + exp
| exp - exp | (exp)
| exp and exp | exp or exp
| not exp | exp cmp exp | atm

stmt ::= print(exp) | exp | var = exp
| if atm: stmt<sup>+</sup>

L_{sc} ::= stmt<sup>+</sup>
```

Fig. 3: Backus Naur Form Grammar of L_{sc}

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

3.3 Second pass: Flatten and Make everything an if (L_{if}^{flat})

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonumny

```
!= | == | < | <= | > | >=
cmp
            ::=
                   True | False | var
atm
                   int \mid -exp \mid exp + exp
            ::=
exp
                   \exp - \exp \mid (\exp)
                   exp and exp | exp or exp
                   not exp | exp cmp exp | atm
stmt
                  print(exp) \mid exp \mid var = exp
if stmt
                   if atm: stmt<sup>+</sup>
                   if stmt<sup>+</sup>
L_{flat}
```

Fig. 4: Backus Naur Form Grammar of L_{flat}

pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

3.4 Third pass: Select instructions (L_{cif})

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

4 Difficulties

Write some difficulties you encountered during the project. This can be technical difficulties, difficulties with the group, etc.

5 Evaluation

In this section, we will evaluate the project and the results. We will discuss the project's objectives, the implementation, and the results. We will also discuss the project's limitations and possible improvements.

6 Lessons Learned

What we learned from this project...

7 Conclusion

A nice conclusion...

8 Individual Contributions

8.1 Ephraim

- Technical Background: Wrote the section about the technical background of the project.
- Introduction: Wrote the section about the introduction of the project.
- Lessons Learned: Wrote the section about the lessons learned from the project.
- Conclusion: Wrote the section about the conclusion of the project.

8.2 Luca

- Introduction: Wrote the section about the introduction of the project.
- Technical Background: Wrote the section about the technical background of the project.
- Lessons Learned: Wrote the section about the lessons learned from the project.
- Conclusion: Wrote the section about the conclusion of the project.

8.3 Ruben

- **Introduction:** Wrote the section about the introduction of the project.
- **Technical Background:** Wrote the section about the technical background of the project.
- Lessons Learned: Wrote the section about the lessons learned from the project.
- Conclusion: Wrote the section about the conclusion of the project.

References

[1] Ali Ajorian, Erick Lavoie, and Christian Tschudin. "Obfuscation as Instruction Decorrelation". In: arXiv (2024). arXiv: 2411.05570 [cs.CR]. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.05570.