

Knowledge Representation and Reasoning

Second Test – Closed book – 1h30m

20th December 2012

Group 1

Consider the following information:

Projects are identified by a name. Student projects and research projects are projects. Persons, identified by a name, can be members of projects. Researchers and students are persons. Usually if a project has at least a researcher as member then it is a research project. Usually if a project has at least a student as member then it is a student project. In case of conflict between these two default rules, prefer the former (i.e. prefer the one that states it is a research project).

p_1 , p_2 and p_3 are projects, s is a student and r is a researcher. s is a member of p_1 and p_2 , and r is a member of p_2 and p_3 .

- 1) Represent the information above with an extended logic program under the well founded model semantics.
- 2) Determine the well-founded model of the program.
- 3) Except for the rules that apply by default (the ones with “usually”), and the preference among these, the above knowledge could as well be represented using description logics.
 - 3.1) Represent those sentences in the Description Logic \mathcal{ALC} . Namely, the sentences: *Project are identified by a name. Student projects and research projects are projects. Persons, identified by a name, can be members of projects. Researchers and students are persons.*
 - 3.2) Represent in \mathcal{ALC} the sentence: *there is at least one project with a student.*

Group 2

Consider the following EVOLP program and sequence of events:

$$P = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \leftarrow \text{assert}(c \leftarrow \text{not } d.), \text{not } b. \\ d \leftarrow \text{assert}(b.). \\ \text{assert}(c \leftarrow \text{not } d.) \leftarrow \text{not } \text{assert}(b.), b. \\ \text{assert}(\text{not } b \leftarrow c.) \leftarrow \text{assert}(c \leftarrow \text{not } d.). \end{array} \right\} \quad \begin{array}{l} E_1 = \{\text{assert}(b.).\} \\ E_2 = \emptyset \\ E_3 = \emptyset \end{array}$$

- 1) Show that the evolution interpretation $\langle M_1, M_2, M_3 \rangle$ is an evolution stable model of P given $\langle E_1, E_2, E_3 \rangle$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \{\text{assert}(b.), d\} \\ M_2 &= \{\text{assert}(c \leftarrow \text{not } d.), \text{assert}(\text{not } b \leftarrow c.), b\} \\ M_3 &= \{c\} \end{aligned}$$