

Interpretação e Compilação de Linguagens– 2017-2018

Interpretation and Compilation of Programming Languages

Final Test

December, 13, 2017 (17h-19h)

Author: João Costa Seco

Notes: The test is closed book with the exception of a single handwritten (cheat) sheet.

Q-1 [6 val.] This question is about the definition of the abstract syntax and the operational semantics for a programming language. Consider an imperative programming language, called **Nil**, with state variables, closures, and a special **nil** value.

$$E ::= \text{num} \mid E_1 + E_2 \mid \text{var} \mid \text{nil} \mid *E \mid E_1 := E_2 \mid \text{decl } x = ?E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \\ \mid x \mid (x) \Rightarrow E \mid E_1(E_2) \mid \text{ifzero } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3$$

The language comprises the following constructs. **Integer literals** (*num*), and their corresponding operations, represented here by operation $E + E$. The **imperative constructs**, where **var** creates a heap allocated variable and denotes its reference. The dereferentiation $*E$ and assignment $E_1 := E_2$ expressions according to the usual semantics. The initial value of a heap allocated variable is **nil**. The **nil** value, which represents a special value with no special operation other than the test $\text{decl } x = ?E_1 \text{ in } E_2$, which evaluates the declaration body (expression E_2) only if expression E_1 is different from **nil**. Identifier x is bound to the value denoted by E_1 as usual. If E_1 denotes **nil**, the whole expression denotes **nil**. Additionally, consider expressions for **identifiers** (x) and **anonymous functions** $(x) \Rightarrow E$, and function call according to the usual semantics. Also, we introduce a conditional expression on integers, $\text{ifzero } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3$. The remaining aspects of the language semantics follow the semantics presented in the course lectures.

Consider the example written in the programming language **Nil**:

```
decl f = (g)=>(x)=>(y)=>if *x then 0
                                else y := *y * *x;
                                x := *x - 1;
                                g(g)(x)(y)

in decl x = var
    y = var
in x := 5;
    y := 1;
    decl h =? f in h(h)(x)(y)
```

Sequence and regular declaration expressions are used in the examples, and can be obtained by encoding on other expressions, as explained in the course lectures.

- a) **[1 val.]** Define the set of values of language **Nil** by means of an abstract data type, using a set of (abbreviated) Java classes and interfaces.
- b) **[4 val.]** Define the operational semantics of language **Nil**, for expressions $E_1 + E_2$, **nil**, $E_1 := E_2$, $\text{decl } x = ?E_1 \text{ in } E_2$, and $E_1(E_2)$, by means of a method **eval** of the corresponding AST class.
- c) **[1 val.]** State the denotation (value) of the example above according to the semantics defined in **Q-1b**

Q-2 [6 val.] This question is about the definition of a type system for language Nil. Consider the language **Safenil**, obtained by extending Nil with type annotations in the definition of functions and in the allocation of variables. To answer the following questions you should use abstract data types, defined by a set of Java classes and interfaces, and the corresponding methods using Java Code. The goal of this question is to statically **avoid execution errors due to null values**.

Consider the language types represented by `IType`, and the types `IntType`, `FunT(IType,IType)`, `Nullable(IType)`, `Ref(IType)`. Consider the example with type `Nullable(IntType)`:

```
decl x = var<int> in decl y =? *x in y+1
```

And the following ill-typed example

```
decl x = var<int> f = (z:int)=>z+1 in f(*x)
```

- a) [4 val.] **Define** the type system cases for expressions $E_1 + E_2$, `var`, `*E`, `decl x =?E1 in E2`, and $E_1(E_2)$, by means of a **typecheck** method in the AST classes.
- b) [2 val.] **Explain** if the example expression in question **Q-1** is well typed, according to the type semantics defined in question **Q-2a**. **Exhibit a modified and well typed expression** if that is not the case (use **declrec** recursive declarations).

Q-3 [6 val.] This question is about the compilation of programs using closures, references, null values, and nullable variables. Consider the following program written in the **Safenil** language and the compilation schema introduced in the course lectures. Consider the well-typed and type annotated (**Q-2b**) variant of the example given in **Q-1**.

- a) [2 val.] Explain the generated supporting structures (Jasmin class skeletons and interfaces) necessary to compile the referred example (**Q-1**).
- b) [4 val.] **List** the set of instructions that would result from translating the final expression `decl h =? f in h(x)(y)` to the Jasmin assembly language.

Q-4 [2 val.] This question is about object encodings. Explain what is the advantage of using object encodings to support object-oriented features. Give an example of a well-typed functional encoding for a class **Point2D** with coordinates x and y , a `translate` method, and a `distance` method between two points.

```
class Point2D {
    int x,y;
    void translate(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
    double distance(Point q) { return Math.sqrt((x-q.x)*(x-q.x)+(y-q.y)*(y-q.y)); }
}
```