

Computational Game Theory

Exercises on Repeated Games

1. Repeated Games with single NE in stage game

Two players play the following normal form game:

1\2	Left	Middle	Right
Left	4,2	3,3	1,2
Middle	3,3	5,5	2,6
Right	2,1	6,2	3,3

- a) Which is the pure strategy Nash equilibrium of this stage game (if it is played only once)?
- b) Suppose that the game is repeated for two periods. What is the outcome from the subgame perfect Nash equilibrium of the whole game:
- (Left, Left) is played in both periods
 - (Right, Right) is played in both periods
 - (Middle, Middle) is played in the first period, followed by (Left, Left)
 - (Middle, Middle) is played in the first period, followed by (Right, Right)
- c) Suppose that there is a probability p that the game continues next period and a probability $(1-p)$ that it ends. What is the threshold p^* such that when $p \geq p^*$ (Middle, Middle) is sustainable as a subgame perfect equilibrium by grim trigger strategies, but when $p < p^*$ playing Middle in all periods is not a best response? [Here the grim strategy is: play Middle if the play in all previous periods was (Middle, Middle); play Right otherwise.]
- $1/2$
 - $1/3$
 - $1/4$
 - $2/5$

2. Repeated Games with multiple NE in stage game

Two players play the following normal form game:

1\2	Left	Middle	Right
Left	1,1	5,0	0,0
Middle	0,5	4,4	0,0
Right	0,0	0,0	3,3

- a) Which are the pure strategy Nash equilibria of this stage game (if it is played only once)? There can be more than one.
- b) Suppose that the game is repeated for two periods. Which of the following outcomes could occur in some subgame perfect equilibrium? (There might be more than one).
- (Left, Left) is played in both periods
 - (Right, Right) is played in both periods
 - (Middle, Middle) is played in the first period, followed by (Right, Right)

3. Tit for Tat

In an infinitely repeated Prisoner's Dilemma, a version of what is known as a "tit for tat" strategy of a player i is described as follows:

- There are two "statuses" that player i might be in during any period: "normal" and "revenge";
- In a normal status player i cooperates;
- In a revenge status player i defects;
- From a normal status, player i switches to the revenge status in the next period only if the other player defects in this period;
- From a revenge status player i automatically switches back to the normal status in the next period regardless of the other player's action in this period.

Consider an infinitely repeated game so that with probability p that the game continues to the next period and with probability $(1-p)$ it ends.

1\2	Cooperate (C)	Defect (D)
Cooperate (C)	4,4	0,5
Defect (D)	5,0	1,1

a) True or False: When player 1 uses the above-described "tit for tat" strategy and starts the first period in a revenge status (thus plays defect for sure), in any infinite payoff maximizing strategy, player 2 plays defect in the first period.

b) What is the payoff for player 2 from always cooperating when player 1 uses this tit for tat strategy and begins in a normal status? How about always defecting when 1 begins in a normal status?

- | | |
|---|--|
| i. $4+4p+4p^2+4p^3+\dots$; $5+p+p^2+p^3+\dots$ | iii. $5+4p+4p^2+4p^3+\dots$; $4+4p+4p^2+4p^3+\dots$ |
| ii. $4+4p+4p^2+4p^3+\dots$; $5+p+5p^2+p^3+\dots$ | iv. $5+4p+4p^2+4p^3+\dots$; $5+p+p^2+p^3+\dots$ |

c) What is the threshold p^* such that when $p \geq p^*$ always cooperating by player 2 is a best response to player 1 playing tit for tat and starting in a normal status, but when $p < p^*$ always cooperating is not a best response?

- | | | | |
|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| i. $1/2$ | ii. $1/3$ | iii. $1/4$ | iv. $1/5$ |
|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|