## Computing minmax and maxmin

J. Leite

May 16, 2017

## Maxmin Strategies

- Player 1's maxmin strategy is a strategy that maximizes 1's worst-case payoff, in the situation where player 2 happen to play the strategy which causes the greatest harm to 1.
- ► The maxmin value (or safety level) of the game for player 1 is that minimum amount of payoff guaranteed by a maxmin strategy.

#### Definition (Maxmin, 2-player)

The maxmin strategy for player 1 is  $\arg\max_{s_1} \min_{s_2} u_1(s_1, s_1)$ , and the maxmin value for player 1 is  $\max_{s_1} \min_{s_2} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ .

## Minmax Strategies

▶ Player 1's minmax strategy against player 2 in a 2-player game is a strategy that minimizes 2's best-case payoff, and the minmax value for 1 against 2 is 2's payoff.

#### Definition (Minmax, 2-player)

In a two-player game, the minmax strategy for player 1 against player 2 is  $\arg\min_{s_1}\max_{s_2}u_2(s_1,s_2)$ , and player 2's minmax value is  $\min_{s_1}\max_{s_2}u_2(s_1,s_2)$ .

#### Minmax Theorem

### Theorem (Minimax theorem (von Neumann, 1928))

In any finite, two-player, zero-sum game, in any Nash equilibrium each player receives a payoff that is equal to both his maxmin value and his minmax value.

### Definition (Maxmin)

The maxmin strategy for player 1 is  $\arg\max_{s_1} \min_{s_2} u_1(s_1, s_1)$ , and the maxmin value for player 1 is  $\max_{s_1} \min_{s_2} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ .

- ▶ Given the game  $G = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$ .
- By the minmax theorem, since G' is zero-sum, every strategy for player 1 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for G' is a maxmin strategy for player 1 in G'.
- Notice that by definition, player 1's maxmin strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- $\triangleright$  Thus, player 1's maxmin strategy is the same in G and in G'.
- So, finding a maxmin strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.

### Definition (Maxmin)

The maxmin strategy for player 1 is  $\arg\max_{s_1}\min_{s_2}u_1(s_1,s_1)$ , and the maxmin value for player 1 is  $\max_{s_1}\min_{s_2}u_1(s_1,s_2)$ .

- ▶ Given the game  $G = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$ .
- By the minmax theorem, since G' is zero-sum, every strategy for player 1 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for G' is a maxmin strategy for player 1 in G'.
- Notice that by definition, player 1's maxmin strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- ► Thus, player 1's maxmin strategy is the same in *G* and in *G'*.
- So, finding a maxmin strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.

### Definition (Maxmin)

The maxmin strategy for player 1 is  $\arg\max_{s_1}\min_{s_2}u_1(s_1,s_1)$ , and the maxmin value for player 1 is  $\max_{s_1}\min_{s_2}u_1(s_1,s_2)$ .

- ▶ Given the game  $G = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$ .
- ▶ By the minmax theorem, since *G'* is zero-sum, every strategy for player 1 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for *G'* is a maxmin strategy for player 1 in *G'*.
- Notice that by definition, player 1's maxmin strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- ► Thus, player 1's maxmin strategy is the same in G and in G'.
- So, finding a maxmin strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.



### Definition (Maxmin)

The maxmin strategy for player 1 is  $\arg\max_{s_1} \min_{s_2} u_1(s_1, s_1)$ , and the maxmin value for player 1 is  $\max_{s_1} \min_{s_2} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ .

- ► Given the game  $G = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$ .
- ▶ By the minmax theorem, since G' is zero-sum, every strategy for player 1 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for G' is a maxmin strategy for player 1 in G'.
- Notice that by definition, player 1's maxmin strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- ► Thus, player 1's maxmin strategy is the same in G and in G'.
- ▶ So, finding a maxmin strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.



### Definition (Maxmin)

The maxmin strategy for player 1 is  $\arg\max_{s_1}\min_{s_2}u_1(s_1,s_1)$ , and the maxmin value for player 1 is  $\max_{s_1}\min_{s_2}u_1(s_1,s_2)$ .

- ► Given the game  $G = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$ .
- ▶ By the minmax theorem, since G' is zero-sum, every strategy for player 1 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for G' is a maxmin strategy for player 1 in G'.
- ▶ Notice that by definition, player 1's maxmin strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- ► Thus, player 1's maxmin strategy is the same in *G* and in *G*′.
- ▶ So, finding a maxmin strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.



### Definition (Maxmin)

The maxmin strategy for player 1 is  $\arg\max_{s_1}\min_{s_2}u_1(s_1,s_1)$ , and the maxmin value for player 1 is  $\max_{s_1}\min_{s_2}u_1(s_1,s_2)$ .

- ► Given the game  $G = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$ .
- By the minmax theorem, since G' is zero-sum, every strategy for player 1 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for G' is a maxmin strategy for player 1 in G'.
- Notice that by definition, player 1's maxmin strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- ► Thus, player 1's maxmin strategy is the same in *G* and in *G*′.
- ▶ So, finding a maxmin strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.



#### Definition (Minmax, 2-player)

The minmax strategy for player 2 is  $\arg\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ , and the minmax value player 1 is  $\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ .

- ▶ Given the game  $G = (\{1,2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1,2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$  (same game as before).
- By the minmax theorem, since G' is zero-sum, every strategy for player 2 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for G' is a minmax strategy for player 2 in G'.
- Notice that by definition, player 2's minmax strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- ightharpoonup Thus, player 2's minmax strategy is the same in G and in G'
- So, finding a minmax strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.

#### Definition (Minmax, 2-player)

The minmax strategy for player 2 is  $\arg\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ , and the minmax value player 1 is  $\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ .

- Given the game  $G = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$  (same game as before).
- By the minmax theorem, since G' is zero-sum, every strategy for player 2 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for G' is a minmax strategy for player 2 in G'.
- Notice that by definition, player 2's minmax strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- $\triangleright$  Thus, player 2's minmax strategy is the same in G and in G'.
- ▶ So, finding a minmax strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.



#### Definition (Minmax, 2-player)

The minmax strategy for player 2 is  $\arg\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ , and the minmax value player 1 is  $\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ .

- Given the game  $G = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$  (same game as before).
- ▶ By the minmax theorem, since *G'* is zero-sum, every strategy for player 2 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for *G'* is a minmax strategy for player 2 in *G'*.
- Notice that by definition, player 2's minmax strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- ► Thus, player 2's minmax strategy is the same in G and in G'.
- So, finding a minmax strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.

#### Definition (Minmax, 2-player)

The minmax strategy for player 2 is  $\arg\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ , and the minmax value player 1 is  $\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ .

- Given the game  $G = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$  (same game as before).
- ▶ By the minmax theorem, since G' is zero-sum, every strategy for player 2 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for G' is a minmax strategy for player 2 in G'.
- Notice that by definition, player 2's minmax strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- ► Thus, player 2's minmax strategy is the same in G and in G'.
- So, finding a minmax strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.



#### Definition (Minmax, 2-player)

The minmax strategy for player 2 is  $\arg\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ , and the minmax value player 1 is  $\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ .

- Given the game  $G = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$  (same game as before).
- ▶ By the minmax theorem, since G' is zero-sum, every strategy for player 2 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for G' is a minmax strategy for player 2 in G'.
- ▶ Notice that by definition, player 2's minmax strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- ► Thus, player 2's minmax strategy is the same in *G* and in *G*′.
- So, finding a minmax strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.



#### Definition (Minmax, 2-player)

The minmax strategy for player 2 is  $\arg\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ , and the minmax value player 1 is  $\min_{s_2} \max_{s_1} u_1(s_1, s_2)$ .

- Given the game  $G = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, u_2))$ , construct the zero-sum game  $G' = (\{1, 2\}, A_1 \times A_2, (u_1, -u_1))$  (same game as before).
- ▶ By the minmax theorem, since G' is zero-sum, every strategy for player 2 which is part of a Nash equilibrium strategy profile for G' is a minmax strategy for player 2 in G'.
- Notice that by definition, player 2's minmax strategy is independent of player 2's utility function.
- ► Thus, player 2's minmax strategy is the same in *G* and in *G'*.
- ▶ So, finding a minmax strategy in G reduces to finding a Nash equilibrium of G'.

