Interpretação e Compilação de Linguagens—2016-2017 Interpretation and Compilation of Programming Languages

Exam January, 9, 2017

Author: João Costa Seco

Notes: The exam is open book. Students can use any (individual) printed material that each student

brings along. The exam has a maximum duration of 2h30.

Q-1 [7 val.] This question is about the definition of an abstract syntax and the operational semantics for a functional programming language with evaluated lists. Consider the programming language, called pipes, with the concrete syntax given by the following grammar:

$$E ::= num \mid E_1 * E_2 \mid \mathsf{fun} \ x \Rightarrow E \mid x \mid [E_1 \ .. \ E_2] \mid E_1 >> \mathsf{map}(E_2) \mid E_1 >> \mathsf{get} \mid E_1 >> \mathsf{next}$$

The language comprises the base constructs for:

- integer literals (num), and their usual operations, represented here by operation $E_1 * E_2$;
- functions as first-class values (fun $x \Rightarrow E$), and identifiers (x) introduced as parameters.
- finite **lists**, with the constructor ($[E_1 ... E_2]$), whose result is the immutable list of consecutive integer values between the denotation of expression E_1 and the denotation of E_2 (inclusive).
- a map expression $(E_1 > map(E_2))$ that iterates the list denoted by expression E_1 , applying a function denoted by expression E_2 to every element of the list. The denotation of the expression is the list of all result values.
- the expression $E_1>>get$, that denotes the first element of the list denoted by expression E_1 .
- and the expression E_1 >>next that denotes the list starting from the second element of the list denoted by expression E_1 .

The semantics of the language follows a static resolution of names, and a *call-by-value* evaluation strategy.

Consider as an example the programming language pipes, with the integer value 6 as denotation:

$$[1..10]$$
>>map(fun x=>2*x)>>next>>next>>get

- a) [1 val.] Define the abstract syntax of all list related operations in language pipes by means of an abstract data type, defined by set of (abbreviated) Java classes and interfaces.
- b) [1 val.] Define the set of values of language pipes by means of an abstract data type, defined by a set of (abbreviated) Java classes and interfaces.
- c) [5 val.] Define the operational semantics for the list related operations in the language pipes by means of a method named eval of the Java classes defined in the previous question.

- **Q-2** [6 val.] This question is about the definition of the type system for language pipes. To answer the following questions you may use abstract data types, defined by a set of Java classes and interfaces, and the corresponding methods using Java Code.
 - a) [2 val.] Define the set of types used to type programs of language pipes.
 - b) [3 val.] Define the type system of language pipes by means of a typecheck function, for all list related operations.
 - c) [1 val.] Enumerate the execution errors that may occur during the execution of a program written in language pipes, according to the semantics defined in question Q-1. Indicate and justify which execution errors may be prevented by the type system, and those that cannot.
- Q-3 [2 val.] This question is about the definition and use of closures and corresponding environments. It is know that the standard declaration of an identifier can be encoded as a function call and corresponding definition. Consider the following encoding:

```
\operatorname{decl} x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \triangleq (\operatorname{fun} x \Rightarrow E_2)(E_1)
```

Note that pipes includes closures, but it does not include the standard function call expression.

- a) [1 val.] Define a language encoding to introduce function call in language pipes.
- b) [1 val.] Consider the following example written in the language pipes, extended with declaration and function call. Here, a list of integer values is first mapped to a list of functions and mapped again to a list of integer values.

```
decl f = decl i = 10 in fun x => x(i) in

(fun z => [1..z]>>map(fun x=>fun y=>x*y)>>map(f)>>next>>get)(10)
```

Present the diagram of the evaluation environment for expressions x*y and x(i). You may pick one element of the list to illustrate.

Q-4 [2 val.] Mainstream languages are being extended to deal with streams and lists, by promoting lazy evaluation as a mean to implement infinite lists. For instance, the following example written in pipes, extended with a filter operation, denotes the list of all even integer numbers.

```
[1..] > filter(fun x = > x \% 2 = = 0)
```

Discuss the extensions and modifications to the language pipes necessary to implement lazy evaluation and infinite lists.

Q-5 [2 val.] This question is about the compilation of programs in language pipes. Consider the supporting Jasmin class Node listed below needed in a type preserving compilation procedure for the example above.

```
.class Node
.super java/lang/Object
.field public value I
.field public next LNode;
```

a) [2 val.] List the set of instructions that results from translating to Jasmin assembly code the following pipes expression: [1..5]>>next>>get.

Hint: consider building lists as linked lists from the last element to the first.

0